TOPOLOGY PROCEEDINGS Volume 1, 1976

Pages 281–293

http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/

INDUCED INVOLUTIONS ON HILBERT CUBE HYPERSPACES

by

J. E. West

Topology Proceedings

Web:	http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/
Mail:	Topology Proceedings
	Department of Mathematics & Statistics
	Auburn University, Alabama 36849, USA
E-mail:	topolog@auburn.edu
ISSN:	0146-4124

COPYRIGHT © by Topology Proceedings. All rights reserved.

INDUCED INVOLUTIONS ON HILBERT CUBE HYPERSPACES ¹

J. E. West²

1. Introduction

If the Hilbert cube, Q, is represented as the Cartesian product, $\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} J_i$, of closed intervals $J_i = J = [-1,1]$, then the i=1 i natural reflection $\sigma(t) = -t$ of J about its mid-point induces a family of reflections of Q by independent (diagonal or product) action on various coordinates. These are so natural that they should be the standards against which all others must be compared. Two extreme cases are readily apparent: (a) σ_1 , which reflects only J_1 and (b) σ_{∞} , which reflects all J_i simultaneously.

It is easy to see that some of the involutions of Q which leave precisely $Q_0 = \{q = (t_1, t_2, \dots) \in Q | t_1 = 0\}$ fixed are topologically equivalent to σ_1 , namely those which actually reflect in the first coordinate^{*}; for, if $Q_- = \{q = (t_1, t_2, \dots) \in Q | t_1 \leq 0\}$ and $Q_+ = \{q = (t_1, t_2, \dots) \in Q | t_1 \geq 0\}$, then for any such "reflective" involution T, i.e. $T(Q_+) = Q_-$, we can define a homeomorphism S: $Q \rightarrow Q$ to be the identity on Q_+ and to be $\sigma_1 \cdot T^{-1} | Q_-$ on Q_- . Then $\sigma_1 = STS^{-1}$.

The situation in respect to involutions with a single fixed point is much less clear. In particular, it is not known at all whether there exists an involution on Q with unique

¹Talk given at the Auburn Topology Conference, March, 1976. ²Partially supported by NSF.

281

This need not be necessary, e.g., let $\sigma_2: Q \rightarrow Q$ reflect in precisely the odd-indexed coordinates. Then $Q_e = \{q \in Q | q = (0,t_2,0,t_4,0,\cdots)\}$ is the fixed point set of σ_2 , is a Z-set and hence (by a theorem of R. D. Anderson [1]) the *pair* (Q,Q_e) is homeomorphic to (Q_-,Q_0) as well as to (Q_+,Q_0) . There is, then, an involution induced on Q with fixed point set Q_0 but which does not reflect. These, of course, cannot be conjugate to σ_1 . Are they conjugate to one another? (Probably not.)

West

fixed point which is not "standard" in the sense of being conjugate to the refelction σ_{∞} . The best, indeed, the only, published result on this question is by R. Wong [14], who proved that an involution T of Q with exactly one fixed point is standard precisely when the fixed point has a basis of T-invariant, contractible neighborhoods.

Since the question of whether all involutions of Q with single fixed point satisfy this condition is quite difficult (it leads, via work of Chapman and Siebenmann, straight to a murky area of proper homotopy theory and shape theory--the question of "Whitehead" theorems for infinite-dimensional objects), the question of just how esoteric an involution one can dream up is quite intriguing, especially if one asks for an essentially infinite dimensional construction, rather than a product of finite-dimensional actions.

One of the most esoteric constructions of Hilbert cubes is the formation of Hyperspaces. Consider the involution $\sigma: J \rightarrow J$ above. Look at 2^J, the hyperspace of all non-void, closed subsets of J under the Hausdorff metric, ρ . [$\rho(A,B) = min\{\epsilon > 0\}$] $A \subseteq N_{c}(B)$ and $B \subseteq N_{c}(A)$, where $N_{c}(A)$ is the set of all points t which are within (<) ϵ of some point of A]. Now, 2^J is a Hilbert cube ([11]). (In fact, whenever X is a non-degenerate Peano continuum, 2^X is a Hilbert cube ([6],[7],[8]). Moreover, the hyperspace construction is functorial, i.e., if f: $X \rightarrow Y$ is a mapping, then $2^{f}: 2^{X} \rightarrow 2^{Y}$ defined by $2^{f}(A) = f(A)$ is also continuous. So, we have an involution $2^{\sigma}: 2^{J} \rightarrow 2^{J}$. What is it like? The first thing we notice is that its fixed point set, ${\mathbb S}$, is all symmetric closed subsets of J and is enormous. In fact, if we let J/σ be the space of σ -orbits, then this is just homeomorphic to [0,1], and the orbit mapping p: $J \rightarrow J/\sigma = [0,1]$ is simply the absolute value. Now, δ is easily seen to be homeomorphic to 2^{I} (see Lemma 1) and to have Property Z in 2^{X}

282

(see the preface to Lemma 3 for definition and the initial portion of the proof for the case under discussion here). Therefore, $2^{J}/\delta$ is a Hilbert cube ([4]). The involution 2^{σ} now induces an involution $\overline{\sigma}$ on $2^{J}/\delta$ which has exactly one fixed point, δ , so we have constructed from σ a *new* involution with single fixed point on a Hilbert cube,...or have we?

Consider the function N: $2^{J} \times [0,2] \rightarrow 2^{J}$ given by N(A,t) = N_t(A) (as defined earlier, the closed t-neighborhood of A in J). This is an equivariant contraction of 2^{J} to J which preserves δ . Therefore it induces an equivariant contraction \overline{N} of $2^{J}/\delta$. The neighborhoods $U_{\varepsilon} = \{A \in 2^{J} | \rho(A,S) \leq \varepsilon$ for some $S \in \delta$ } are contracted in themselves by N and thus their images U_{ε}/δ in $2^{J}/\delta$ satisfy the hypotheses of Wong's theorem, so $\overline{\sigma}$ is standard. (That is, there is a homeomorphism h: $2^{J}/\delta \rightarrow Q$ with h(δ) = (0,0,...) such that $h\overline{\sigma}h^{-1} = \sigma_{m}$.)

We might have expected this, since the system (J,σ) is so simple, but is it possible that somewhere there is a Peano continuum X with involution T such that $2^X/S$ is a Hilbert cube and the induced involution \overline{T} is not standard? The answer, which is the theorem of this paper, is no(!)

Theorem: Let X be a non-degenerate Peano continuum and T: $X \rightarrow X$ an involution. Then the hyperspace \mathcal{S} of T-symmetric closed subsets of X is a Hilbert sub-cube of 2^X which is a Z-set if (and only if) the fixed point set of T is nowhere dense in X. In this case, the induced involution $\overline{T}: 2^X/\mathfrak{F} + 2^X/\mathfrak{F}$ is standard.

2. Proofs

Here we give a sequence of lemmas culminating in a proof of the announced theorem, the outline of which has already been presented. Lemma 1: If T is an involution on a non-degenerate Peano continuum X, then the induced involution 2^{T} on the hyperspace 2^{X} has a fixed point set S which is a Hilbert sub-cube of 2^{X} .

Proof: Let p: X \rightarrow X/T be the orbit mapping. Because the orbits of T form a continuous decomposition of X, the function $p^{-1}: 2^{X/T} \rightarrow 2^X$ is continuous. It is one-to-one, and its image is \mathcal{S} . Since X/T is also a Peano continuum, $2^{X/T}$ is a Hilbert cube ([6],[7],[8]), and, thus, so is \mathcal{S} .

Lemma 2: δ is nowhere dense in 2^X if and only if the fixed point set, F, of T is nowhere dense in X.

Proof: As $\mathfrak{S} \supset 2^{\mathrm{F}}$, and 2^{F} contains an open set of 2^{X} if F contains one of X, it is clear that \mathfrak{S} is nowhere dense only if F is. On the other hand, if F is nowhere dense and $\mathrm{S} \in \mathfrak{S}$, then we may either add to S a single point of X \(S U F) which is close to S, thus unbalancing S by a small perturbation, or, in the event that F separates S from the remainder of X, select a point $\mathrm{s} \in \mathrm{S} \setminus \mathrm{F}$, and remove from S a small open neighborhood N of s which misses its T-image, also unbalancing S by a small perturbation. In either case, we see that \mathfrak{S} is not dense at S.

A closed subset, A, of an absolute neighborhood retract, B, has *Property* Z *in* B if it is nowhere dense and if each mapping of an n-cube into B is uniformly approximable by mappings of the n-cube into $B\setminus A$. (See [2],[1],[9],[5].)

Lemma 3: If F is nowhere dense in X, then \mathfrak{S} has Property Z in 2^X .

Proof: The idea of the proof is simple and quite clearly illustrated in the case of the arc J and involution σ : let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Let $f_1: J \to J$ be multiplication by $(1-\varepsilon/2)$, let $f_2: 2^J \to 2^J$ be the mapping $N_{\varepsilon/4}$ sending each set A to its closed $\varepsilon/4$ -neighborhood, and let $f_3: 2^{[-1+\varepsilon/4, 1-\varepsilon/4]} \to 2^J$ be

the mapping defined by $A \rightarrow A \cup \{\min(A) - \varepsilon/4\}$. Then $f_3 \circ f_2 \circ 2^{f_1}: 2^J \rightarrow 2^J \setminus S$ (because $f_3 \circ f_2 \circ 2^{f_1}(A)$ has precisely one isolated point which is its minimum, and thus cannot be symmetric), and is within ε of the identity, so S has Property Z.

To push the above argument to the general case is guite a bit more complicated, the problem being how to unbalance the sets in a continuous manner, as there is in general no canonical way of choosing a point from each set (done above by taking the minimum value). Moreover, if the metric on X is not convex, then the "neighborhood function" applied above is in general not continuous. Fortunately, Bing [3] has proved that each Peano continuum admits a convex metric, d, which we assume is the given one. (A metric is *convex* if each pair of points a, b has a mid-point, that is, a point c such that d(a,c) = d(c,b) =1/2 d(a,b). There may be more than one, but this concept assures the existence of "geodesic" arcs between any two points.) To reduce the problem of finding the analogues of "end points," such as min(A) in the example, we employ the machinery of Curtis and Schori ([6],[7],[8]), who, taking an idea of J. Segal's, showed that if X is any Peano continuum, then 2^{X} is homeomorphic to an inverse limit lim $\{2^{i}, f_{i}\}$ of hyperspaces of embedded graphs Γ_i in such a way that there are maps $p_i: 2^X \rightarrow 2^{\Gamma_i}$ which converge uniformly to the identity as i $\rightarrow \infty$.

We are now ready for the general case of the lemma. Let X be any non-degenerate Peano continuum with involution T having nowhere dense fixed point set F. Let d be a convex metric for X and also denote the Hausdorff metric on 2^{X} derived from it. Let $\{\Gamma_{i}\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of finite embedded graphs as above.

Let $\alpha: I^n \to 2^X$ be any mapping, and fix $\varepsilon \ge 0$. Let $\alpha' = N_{\varepsilon/3} \circ \alpha: I^n \to 2^X$ and note that α' misses 2^F . Choose i so large that the distance from p, to the identity is less than $\varepsilon/3$ and the minimum distance between points of the image of α' and points of 2^{F} , and let $\alpha'' = p_{i} \cdot \alpha' \colon I^{n} \to 2^{\Gamma i}$. Note that $\alpha''(I^{n})$ misses $2^{F} \bigcap 2^{\Gamma i}$ and α'' is within $2\varepsilon/3$ of α .

To complete the proof, we need only find a map $\beta: I^n \rightarrow 2^{\Gamma_i} \setminus S$ which is within $\varepsilon/3$ of α ". We may do this if we show that $(2^{\Gamma_i} \cap S) \setminus 2^F$ is a z-set in $2^{\Gamma_i} \setminus 2^F$, and, since $2^{\Gamma_i} \setminus 2^F$ is complete, it is immediate from the given definition of Property Z that we need only show $(2^{\Gamma_i} \setminus 2^F) \cap S$ to be a countable union of Z-sets of $2^{\Gamma_i} \setminus 2^F$.

Let ρ be a convex metric for Γ_i , denoting also by ρ the Hausdorff metric for 2^{Γ_i} derived from it. For the rest of this proof, distances will be measured by ρ unless otherwise specified. Also, the neighborhood function N_t refers henceforth " ρ -neighborhoods in Γ_i ."

Now let S be any T-symmetric subset of Γ_i not lying in F. We consider three cases: (a) S has a boundary (in Γ_i) point which is not in F and is not a branch point of Γ_i , (b) each boundary point of S is a branch point of Γ_i , and (c) each boundary point of S lies in F.

In case (a) we have a situation somewhat analogous to the (J,σ) example. We find a closed neighborhood of S which misses 2^{F} and intersects \mathcal{S} in a Z-set of $2^{\Gamma_{i}}$. Let $\delta_{1} > 0$ be small enough that $N_{\delta_{1}}(S) \cap 2^{F} = \emptyset$ and $N_{\delta_{1}}(S) \cap T(N_{\delta_{1}}(S)) = \emptyset$. Now choose a boundary point x of S which is not in F and is not a branch point of Γ_{i} and let $\delta_{2} > 0$ be less than or equal to δ_{1} and such that $N_{\delta_{2}}(x)$ misses Γ_{i} 's branch points. Pick $c \in N_{\delta_{2}}(x)$ such that the open arc (t,c) joining x to c in $N_{\delta_{2}}(x)$ contains no point of S, and let $\delta_{3} = \rho(x,c)$, denoting by c' the point of (x,c) at distance $\delta = \delta_{3}/3$ from x. We show that for any $\delta' < \delta$, $\mathfrak{S} \cap N_{\delta}$, (S) is a Z-set of $2^{\Gamma_{i}}$ by showing that for any $\varepsilon' > 0$ there is a mapping $\gamma: 2^{\Gamma_{i}} \to 2^{\Gamma_{i}}$ which misses $\operatorname{int}(N_{\delta}(S)) \cap \mathfrak{S}$ and is within ε' of the identity. The method is first to

"fatten" and then to "unbalance" by introducing isolated points: Let $\gamma_1: 2^{\Gamma_1} \rightarrow 2^{\Gamma_1}$ be defined by $\gamma_1(A) = N_{\theta(A)}(A)$, where $\theta(A) =$ $(\varepsilon'/2)\max\{0, \delta-d(A,S)\}$. Now θ is continuous, and the neighborhood function is a homotopy, so γ_1 is continuous, is within $\epsilon/2$ of the identity, is the identity off $N_{s}(S)$, and carries $N_{s}(S)$ into itself. Moreover, if $A \in \text{int}(N_{\chi}(S))$, then $Y_1(A)$ has no isolated point. Additionally, if $A \in int(N_{g}(S))$, then $Y_{1}(A)$ has a unique point nearest c', and this point lies in $N_{\kappa}(x)$ and varies continuously with A as A varies within $N_{_{\mathcal{K}}}(S)$. (We have been at great pains to produce a situation in which this "nearest point" function is continuous.) Now let, for $A \in N_{s}(S)$, m(A) be the nearest point of A to c', and let a(A) be the point of (c',m(A)) at distance ($\epsilon'/2$)(δ -d(A,S)) from m(A). Then $\gamma_2: N_{\delta}(S) \rightarrow N_{\delta}(S)$ given by $\gamma_2(A) = A \bigcup \{a(A)\}$ is within $\varepsilon'/2$ of the identity, adds an isolated point to each $A \in int(N_{s}(S))$, and is the identity on the boundary of $N_{\delta}(S)$. Extend γ_2 to all of 2^{i} by the identity, and let $\gamma = \gamma_2 \circ \gamma_1 : 2^{\Gamma_1} \rightarrow 2^{\Gamma_1}$. This is the promised function: clearly within ϵ^{\prime} of the identity, it misses δ \bigcap int(N_{δ}(S)) because if γ (A) \in int(N_{δ}(S)), then γ (A) contains precisely one isolated point and that point is not in F.

Case (b) comprises at most finitely many members of $2^{\Gamma_{i}}$. As $2^{\Gamma_{i}}$ is a Hilbert cube, each member of it has Property Z in it. (The Hilbert cube is homogeneous [5], and a point of $\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} J_{i}$ which has some coordinate equal to ± 1 is clearly a Z-set.) Case (c) is handled as follows: Let the components of $\Gamma_{i} \setminus F$ be C_{1}, C_{2}, \cdots . Discard all C_{i} such that TC_{i} is not a C_{j} . For each remaining one, let $K_{i} = \overline{C}_{i} \cup T(C_{i})$ and reindex them K_{1}, K_{2}, \cdots . As the boundary of S lies entirely within F, then because $S \not\subset F$, S is the union of S \bigcap F and a collection of K_{i} 's. We show that if $\mathcal{K}_{j} = \{A \in 2^{\Gamma_{i}} | K_{j} \subset A\}$ then \mathcal{K}_{j} is a Z-set of $2^{\Gamma_{i}}$. This is done, though not so labelled, in Lemma 4.2 of [12], which asserts that for any point z of a graph Γ , the subcontinua of Γ containing z form a Z-set in 2^{Γ} . The proof actually is by means of a locally determined deformation and shows that the closed subsets of Γ which contain non-degenerate subcontinua passing through z form a Z-set in 2^{Γ} . We repeat it here. Let $z \in K_j \setminus F$ be a non-branch point. As it is a local cut point of $K_j \setminus F$, we may pick two points b_1 and b_2 on either side of z in its component of $K_j \setminus (F \cup F)$ branch points of Γ_i). For simplicity, assume each of b_1 and b_2 is at distance 1 from z and parametrize the arcs $[z, b_k]$ by distance from z, letting $[t_k]$ be the point of $[z, b_k]$ at distance t_k from z, if $0 \leq t_k \leq 1$.

Now, fixing $\xi \ge 0$, let for each $A \in 2^{\Gamma_i}$, $[a_k]$ be the nearest point to z of A $\bigcap [z, b_k]$, if any, and for $0 \le a_k \le \xi$, let $a_k' = \max\{0, 2a_k - \xi\}$. Note that $a_k' = 0$ if $a_k \le \xi/2$ and $a_k' = a_k$ if $a_k = \xi$. Let $\delta = \delta(A) = \min\{\rho(a, z) \mid a \in A\}$ and set $f(A) = \begin{cases} A \cup \{[a_k'] \mid 0 \le a_k \le \xi, \ k = 1, 2\}, & \text{if} \quad \delta \ge \xi/2\\ A \cup \{[2\delta/\xi)a_k' + (1-2\delta/\xi)a_k\} \mid 0 \le a_k \le \xi, \ k = 1, 2\}. \\ \text{if} \quad 0 < \delta < \xi/2 \end{cases}$.

Now f moves sets toward those sets which contain z, but no more than $\delta/2$, and at the same time creates isolated points toward z. The next function excises neighborhoods of z of varying radius from sets while adding their boundaries, thus effectively "discontinuing" all sets near z: Let $\alpha(A) = \max\{0, \xi/2 - \delta(A)\}$, and set

$$gf(A) = \begin{cases} (f(A) \setminus N_{\alpha(A)}(z)) & \bigcup & BdN_{\alpha(A)}(z), & \text{if } \delta(A) < \xi/2 \\ f(A), & \text{if } \delta(A) \ge \xi/2 \end{cases}$$

Then gf is within ξ of the identity, and its image misses $\hat{\mathcal{K}_j}$, which must therefore be a Z-set.

Now $\mathfrak{S} \cap (2^{\Gamma_i} \setminus 2^F)$ is covered by a countable collection of compact Z-set neighborhoods (in \mathfrak{S}) of elements satisfying condition (a), together with a finite number of elements satisfying

condition (b) and a countable collection of Z-sets of the form $\delta \cap (\mathcal{K}_j \setminus 2^F)$ (closed subsets of Z-sets are, of course, Z-sets), so it is a Z-set in $2^{\Gamma_i} \setminus 2^F$ and the Lemma is proved (δ is a Z-set in 2^X).

Lemma 4: There is an invariant, convex metric on X.

Proof: Let d be a convex metric on X/T, and let p: $X \rightarrow X/T$ be the orbit map. We "pull d back" to an invariant, convex metric on X in a standard manner: Let α : [a,b] \rightarrow X/T be a path. For each partition $\mathscr{Q} = \{a = t_0 \leq t_1 \leq \cdots \leq t_n = b\}$ of [a,b], let $\ell(\alpha, \mathcal{L}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} d(\alpha(t_i), \alpha(t_{i-1})). \quad \text{Call } \alpha \text{ rectifiable if } \{\ell(\alpha, \mathcal{L})\}_{\mathcal{L}}$ is bounded above, and in this case let $l(\alpha)$ be its least upper bound. Then set $\rho(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ to be the greatest lower bound of $\{\ell(p \circ \alpha) \mid \alpha: ([a,b],a,b) \rightarrow (X,x,y) \text{ and } p \circ \alpha \text{ is rectifiable}\}.$ (We remark that because d is a convex metric for X/T and $p|X\setminus F$: $X \setminus F \rightarrow (X \setminus F) / T$ is a covering map and hence has the unique path lifting property (for a given lift of initial point), we may restrict our collection of paths α to those with the property that $p \circ \alpha$ is a piecewise geodesic, i.e., for some partition ${\mathcal P}$ of [a,b], $p \circ \alpha | [t_{i-1}, t_i]$ is an isometry.) Now we claim that ρ is a convex metric for X. (As it is readily seen to be a metric, we omit that verification.) Let $x, y \in X$. We need to produce a mid-point, z, with $\rho(x,z) = \rho(z,y) = 1/2 \rho(x,y)$. For each $n = 1, 2, \dots, let \alpha_n: [a_n, b_n] \rightarrow X$ be a path such that $p \circ \alpha_n$ is piecewise geodesic and $\ell(\alpha_n) < \rho(x,y) + 1/n$. Let $t_n \in (a_n,b_n)$ such that $\ell(p \circ \alpha_n | [a_n, t_n]) = \ell(p \circ \alpha_n | [t_n, b_n])$, and let $z_n = \alpha_n(t_n)$. By compactness, there is a cluster point, z, of the sequence z_n . It is clear that $\rho(x,z) = \rho(z,y) = 1/2 \rho(x,y)$.

We are now ready to prove our theorem.

Theorem: If T is any involution on a Peano continuum X with nowhere dense fixed point set, then the T-symmetric closed subsets of X form a Hilbert sub-cube S of 2^X with Property Z,

and the induced involution \overline{T} on the Hilbert cube $2^X/S$ is conjugate to the standard reflection σ_∞ of I J.

Proof: By Lemma 1, δ is a Hilbert sub-cube of the Hilbert cube, δ , and by Lemmas 2 and 3, δ has Property Z in 2^X . Thus, by a theorem of Chapman ([4]; Property Z is the proper analogue of cellularity, here.) $2^X / \delta$ is a Hilbert cube. By Lemma 4, there is an invariant convex metric ρ on X, so using the Hausdorff metric on 2^X induced by ρ , the expansion homotopy $A \rightarrow N_t(A)$ is a continuous, equivariant contraction of 2^X to the point X. This induces an equivariant contraction of $2^X / \delta$ to δ satisfying the hypotheses of Wong's theorem [14], in that if d is the metric induced on $2^X / \delta$ from ρ , the d-balls centered at δ are contracted in themselves (equivariantly, which is even stronger). Thus, \overline{T} is standard.

3. Questions and Conjectures

The above proof goes through *verbatim* (even the citation of Wong) if the word "involution" is replaced by the phrase "periodic mapping of prime period," and if we replace σ_{∞} by a standard model. My favorite is as follows: For any compact Lie group G, let C(G) be the cone of G and let G act on C(G) by translation of the base (g([h,t]) = [gh,t], where $[h,t] \in C(G)$ = G × [0,1]/G × {1}). Let $Q_{G} = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} C(G)_{i}$, and let G act on Q_{G} by the diagonal (simultaneous) action. Because C(G) is a contractible polyhedron, it is a Q-factor [13], and an infinite product of Q-factors is always a Hilbert cube [13]. (In fact, R. Edwards [5] has shown all compact metric absolute retracts to be Q-factors.) So we have a very canonical G action on a Hilbert cube Q_{G} with single fixed point. (The action is free off the infinite vertex.) This should be the standard.

Question: What is the situation in respect to induced G-actions on hyperspaces of Peano continua?

We note that in general this will be far more complex than the involutive case, e.g., if G is a compact, connected Lie group, then the action of G on 2^{G} by left translation will not be semifree. In fact, if H is a proper, closed subgroup of G, then the set of all members of 2^{G} which are fixed under the action of each member of H is easily seen to be homeomorphic to the space $2^{G/H}$ of closed subsets of the coset space and hence to the Hilbert cube. The initial aim should be to give conditions characterizing G-translations among the G actions on Hilbert cubes. Perhaps the first problem to be addressed is the following:

Conjecture: If G is a compact, connected Lie group, is $2^{\mbox{G}}/G$ a Hilbert cube?

Finally, if G acts on the Peano continuum X, and if we include X into 2^X as the singleton sets then G acts on 2^X by the induced action and we can form $2^{(2^X)}$, etc. We obtain thus a direct sequence $X + 2^X + 2^{(2^X)} + \cdots$. If we give X a convex, G-invariant metric, the inclusion into 2^X is an isometry and, moreover, the Hausdorff metric is both G-invariant and convex. Using the expansion homotopies $A + N_t(A)$, we see that X is included in 2^X as a Z-set. We can now (1) take the direct limit $l \pm m \{X + 2^X\}$ of Hilbert cubes, obtaining Q_{∞} , which is homeomorphic to ℓ^2 with the bounded-weak topology (direct limit of the closed bounded subsets of ℓ^2 , each given the (analysts') weak topology) [10]. This model of Q_{∞} has a G-action on it. How does it compare with other G-actions on this space?

We could, however, (2) take the metric direct limit of $\{X \rightarrow 2^X \rightarrow \cdots\}$, as the inclusions are isometries. What do we get? Especially, if we complete the resulting space, what is it? Is it, for example, homeomorphic to l^2 ? And if so, what is the induced G-action like in comparison with others? (In this connection, we might note that free actions of finite groups on

Hilbert spaces are all conjugate. (See [15].) These are not free, however.)

Finally, we restate a question of R. D. Anderson's:

Question: Are all involutions of Q with unique fixed point standard? What about other compact groups (semi-free actions with unique fixed points)?

References

- R. D. Anderson, On topological infinite deficiency, Mich. Math. J. 14 (1967), 365-383.
- Cz. Bessaga and A. Pelczynski, Selected topics in infinitedimensional topology, Polish Academy of Sciences, Institute of Mathematics Monograph 58. Warsaw, 1975.
- R. H. Bing, *Partitioning a set*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 55 (1949), 1101-1110.
- T. A. Chapman, On the structure of Hilbert cube manifolds, Comp. Math. 24 (1972), 329-353.
- Lectures on Hilbert cube manifolds, mimeographed notes. University of Kentucky.
- D. W. Curtis and R. M. Schori, 2^X and C(X) are homeomorphic to the Hilbert cube, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 78 (1972), 402-406.
- 7. ____, Hyperspaces of polyhedra are Hilbert cubes, preprint.
- 8. ____, Hyperspaces of Peano continua are Hilbert cubes, preprint.
- 9. J. Eells and N. H. Kuiper, Homotopy negligible subsets of infinite-dimensional manifolds, Comp. Math. 21 (1969), 155-161.
- R. E. Heisey, Stability, open embeddings and classification of bounded weak-* manifolds, preprint.
- R. M. Schori and J. E. West, The hyperspace of the closed unit interval is a Hilbert cube, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 213 (1975), 217-235.
- 12. ____, Hyperspaces of graphs are Hilbert cubes, Pac. J. Math. 53 (1974), 239-251.
- J. E. West, Infinite products which are Hilbert cubes, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 150 (1970), 1-25.
- R. Wong, Periodic actions on the Hilbert cube, Fund. Math. LXXXV (1974), 203-210.

15. ____, Periodic actions on (I-D) normed linear spaces, Fund. Math. LXXX (1973), 133-139.

Cornell University

Ithaca, New York 14853