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# THE SPACE OF RETRACTIONS OF A COMPACT HILBERT CUBE MANIFOLD IS AN ANR 

## T. A. Chapman ${ }^{1}$

## 1. Introduction

Let $M$ be a compact $Q$-manifold and let $C(M)$ be the space of all continuous functions from $M$ to $M$ equipped with the sup norm metric. By the space of retractions of $M$ we mean the closed subset $R(M)$ of $C(M)$ defined by $R(M)=\left\{e \in C(M) \mid e^{2}=e\right\}$. The purpose of this paper is to prove the following result.

Theorem. $R(M)$ is an ANR.
While it is well known that $C(M)$ is an ANR, it is not at all obvious that $R(M)$ is an ANR. In general, the problem of identifying subsets of $C(M)$ which are ANRs is extremely difficult. Recently Ferry proved that the space of homeomorphisms of $M$ is an ANR [4]. The main idea used there was the $\alpha$-Approximation Theorem, which gave conditions under which a homotopy equivalence is close to a homeomorphism. This was then used to retract a neighborhood of a suitable function space onto the homeomorphism group of M .

Our strategy in the proof of the Theorem stated above is to find a neighborhood of $R(M)$ in $C(M)$ which retracts onto $R(M)$. Note that the image of any element of $R(M)$ is a compact ANR. So a first step in our proof is to show how each element of $C(M)$ which is sufficiently close to $R(M)$ gives rise to a compact ANR. Once this is done a retraction of $M$ is constructed which has this ANR as its image. This then
${ }^{1}$ Supported in part by NSF Grant MCS 76-06929.
defines our map of a neighborhood of $R(M)$ onto $R(M)$. The hardest part of the proof is the construction of the compact ANR. To give the reader some idea of what is going on in the sequel we now give a brief description of how this is done.

Choose any e $\in C(M)$ which is close to $R(M)$ and let $e_{1}: M \rightarrow M$ be a $Z$-embedding which is close to $e$. Then form the infinite direct mapping cylinder $D_{e_{1}}$ and the infinite inverse mapping cylinder $I_{e_{1}}$ as pictured below. They are formed by piecing together countably many copies of the mapping cylinder of $e_{1}: M \rightarrow M$ and both have natural projections to the reals $R$ (see §2).


Since $e_{1}$ is a $Z$-embedding, both $D_{e_{1}}$ and $I_{e_{1}}$ are Q-manifolds. In §4 we construct a homeomorphism $h: I_{e_{l}} \rightarrow D_{e_{l}}$ which preserves the ends $+\infty$ and $-\infty$. By using retractions along mapping cylinder rays this enables us to get a strong deformation retraction of $D_{e_{1}}$ to a compact Q-manifold. This is obtained by first deforming the end $-\infty$ in $D_{e_{1}}$ along mapping cylinder rays of $D_{e_{1}}$ to a compact portion of the space, and then deforming the end $+\infty$ in $D_{e_{l}}$ along mapping cylinder rays supplied by $h\left(I_{e_{1}}\right)$ to a compact portion of this space. The
compact Q-manifold which is captured in between these two deformations is our desired compact ANR.

There is one part of this entire program which is quite unsatisfactory. In §§3, 4, and 5 there are "hidden conditions" which somehow say that if e $\in C(M)$ is sufficiently close to $e^{2}$, then $e$ is close to a retraction. These conditions are unpleasant to formulate in one simple statement. In particular, they do not imply the following plausible looking question.

Question 1. For every $\varepsilon>0$ is there a $\delta>0$ such that if $\mathrm{e} \in \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{M})$ and $\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{e}, \mathrm{e}^{2}\right)<\delta$, then e is $\varepsilon$-close to a retraction?
(Here $d$ is the sup norm metric on $C(M)$.
This is related to the result of [3], which implies that if $e \in C(M)$ and if there is a pointed homotopy $e \simeq e^{2}$, then there is an obstruction in the projective class group $\tilde{K}_{0} \pi_{1}(M)$ to homotoping $e$ to a retraction.

Since it is now fashionable to have $\ell_{2}$-factors in function spaces $l_{2}=$ separable Hilbert space), the following question seems reasonable.

Question 2. Is $\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{M})$ homeomorphic to $\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{M}) \times \ell_{2}$ ?

By the results of [6], an affirmative answer would imply that $R(M)$ is an $\ell_{2}$-manifold.

In this paper we assume that the reader is familiar with basic Q-manifold apparatus such as can be found in the first four chapters of [2]. We also rely quite heavily on the paper
[4]. Some of our techniques of proof come from ideas in [4], and in some cases we directly use results which are established in [4].

## 2. Definitions and Notation

The purpose of this section is to introduce some material which will be used in the remaining sections. Recall from §l that we are given a compact Q-manifold M which we will keep fixed throughout the remainder of this paper. We will regard M as a subset of the Hilbert cube $Q$ and fix a neighborhood $V$ of $M$ for which there is a retraction of $V$ onto $M$. If $x, y \in M$ are sufficiently close together, then the straight line segment $[x, y]$ from $x$ to $y$ lies in $V$, and therefore the retraction applied to $[x, y]$ defines a canonical path in $M$ from $x$ to y. Thus if $\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{g}: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{M}$ are maps which are sufficiently close, then there is a canonical homotopy from $f$ to $g$ which takes place along the canonical paths mentioned above. Now fix a neighborhood $U \subset C(M)$ of $R(M)$ such that if $e \in U$, then $e$ and $e^{2}$ are so close that they are canonically homotopic. Denote this canonical homotopy by $e_{t}$ : $e e^{2}$. Clearly if $e \in R(M)$, then $e=e^{2}$ and $e_{t}$ is the constant homotopy.

For any map $\mathrm{f}: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ we let $\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{f})$ denote its mapping cylinder. It is obtained from the disjoint union $\mathrm{X} \times[0,1]$ UY by identifying ( $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{l}$ ) with $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})$. We write $\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{f})=\mathrm{X} \times$ $[0,1) \cup Y$ and identify $X$ with its 0 -level, $X \times\{0\} \subset M(f)$. By the rays of $M(f)$ we mean the intervals $[x, 1) \cup f(x) \subset M(f)$.

For any space X and map $\mathrm{f}: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$, the infinite direct mapping cylinder of $f$, denoted $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{f}}$, is the quotient space obtained from the disjoint union
$\cdots 山 X \times[-1,0] 山 X \times[0,1] 山 X \times[1,2] 山 \cdots$, by identifying（ $x, n$ ）in $X \times[n-1, n]$ with $(f(x), n)$ in $X \times$ $[n, n+1]$ ．In a natural way $D_{f}$ may be coordinatized by ele－ ments of $X \times R$ ．＊Thus there is no confusion when we write $(x, t) \in D_{f}$ ．We will also identify $X \times\{t\}$ with an obvious subset of $D_{f}$ ，and in general we use $D_{f}[a, b]$ to denote the sub－ set of $D_{f}$ which corresponds to $X \times[a, b]$ in $X \times R$ ．The $i n-$ finite inverse mapping cylinder of f ，denoted $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{f}}$ ，is the quotient space obtained from the above disjoint union by identifying $(x, n)$ in $X \times[n, n+1]$ with $(f(x), n)$ in $X \times[n-1, n]$ ． We also coordinatize $I_{f}$ by $X \times R$ and use $I_{f}[a, b]$ to denote the subset of $I_{f}$ which corresponds to $X \times[a, b]$ ．

We are going to need sliced versions of these direct and inverse mapping cylinder constructions．Let $E: M \times C(M) \rightarrow M$ $\times C(M)$ be defined by $E(x, e)=(e(x), e)$ and form the infinite mapping cylinders $I_{E}$ and $D_{E}$ ．Then $I_{E}$ and $D_{E}$ are both coordi－ natized by $M \times R \times C(M)$ ．Let $p: D_{E} \rightarrow C(M)$ be defined by $p(x, t, e)=e$ and let $q: I_{E} \rightarrow C(M)$ be defined by $q(x, t, e)=e$ ． Then $p^{-1}(e)=D_{e}$ and $q^{-1}(e)=I_{e}$ ．For each $e \in U$ define $a$ $\operatorname{map} u_{e}: D_{e} \rightarrow M \times R$ by $u_{e}(x, t)=\left(e_{t-n}(x), t\right)$ ，where $(x, t) \in$ $D_{e}[n, n+1)$ ．Then define $u: p^{-1}(U) \rightarrow M \times R \times U$ by $u(x, t, e)=$ （ $\left.u_{e}(x, t), e\right)$ ．The $u_{e}$＇s are the levels of u．Also define $d: M \times R \times U \rightarrow p^{-1}(U)$ by $d(x, t, e)=\left(d_{e}(x, t), e\right)$ ，where $d_{e}(x, t)=\left(e_{n+l-t}(x), t\right) \in D_{e}$ for $(x, t) \in D_{e}[n, n+1)$ ．Simi－ larly，define $u^{\prime}: q^{-1}(U) \rightarrow M \times R \times U$ by $u^{\prime}(x, t, e)=\left(u_{e}^{\prime}(x, t), e\right)$ ， where $u_{e}^{\prime}(x, t)=\left(e_{n+l-t}(x), t\right)$ ，for $(x, t) \in I_{e}(n, n+1]$ ．Finally， define $d^{\prime}: M \times R \times U \rightarrow q^{-1}(U)$ by $d^{\prime}(x, t, e)=\left(d_{e}^{\prime}(x, t), e\right)$ ，

[^0]where $d_{e}^{\prime}(x, t)=\left(e_{t-n}(x), t\right) \in I_{e}$, for $(x, t) \in M \times(n, n+1)$.
Now let $A \subset U$ and let $\pi: \mathcal{E}+A$ be a continuous surjection. $A \operatorname{map} f: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathrm{p}^{-1}(\mathrm{~A})$ is sliced if $f \pi^{-1}(e) \subset p^{-1}(e)$, for all $e \in A$. We use $f_{e}: \pi^{-1}(e) \rightarrow p^{-1}(e)$ for the various levels of f. Two sliced maps $f, g: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow p^{-1}(A)$ are strongly homotopic if there is a sliced homotopy $\theta_{t}: f \simeq g, a k \geq 0$, and a map $\varepsilon: R(M) \cup A \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ such that
(1) $\varepsilon$ is 0 on $R(M)$ and positive on $A-R(M)$,
(2) the levels $u_{e}\left(\theta_{t}\right) e^{\prime} u_{e} f_{e}: \pi^{-1}(e) \rightarrow M \times R$ differ by at most $k$ in the $R$-coordinate and at most $\varepsilon(e)$ in the M -coordinate, for all $e$ and $t$.

We say that $\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{g}$ are strongly close if we omit the homotopy $\theta_{t}$ in the above definition by demanding that $u_{e} f_{e}, u_{e} g_{e}$ : $\pi^{-1}(e) \rightarrow M \times R$ differ by at most $k$ in the $R$-coordinate and at most $E(e)$ in the $M$-coordinate. A sliced map $f: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow p^{-1}(A)$ is said to be a strong homotopy equivalence if there is a sliced $\operatorname{map} g: p^{-1}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ and sliced homotopies $\theta_{t}: f g \simeq i d, \phi_{t}$ : $g f \simeq$ id such that $\theta_{t}$ and $f \phi_{t}$ are strong homotopies.

Write $Q=[0,1] \times[0,1] \times \cdots$ and let $s=(0,1) \times(0,1)$ $\times \cdots$ Choose any homeomorphism $\alpha: M \rightarrow M \times Q$ and let $M_{0}=$ $\alpha^{-1}(\mathrm{M} \times \mathrm{s})$. This gives us a pseudo-interior of $M$. Any compactum in $M_{0}$ is a $Z$-set in $M$, and there exist arbitrarily small maps of $M$ into $M_{0}$. A very nice property of $M_{0}$ is that $z$-sets in $M_{0}$ can be canonically unknotted in $M$ [l]. Let $Z \subset C(M)$ be the space of embeddings whose images lie in $M_{0}$. Then each $e \in Z$ is a $Z$-embedding and clearly $Z \cap R(M)=\varnothing$. Note also that for $e \in Z, I_{e}$ and $D_{e}$ are $Q$-manifolds.

In the sequel we will be dealing with $p^{-1}(\mathrm{Z}) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{z}$ and $q^{-1}(z) \xrightarrow{q} z$. The following useful observation tells us why
these spaces are easier to handle than the full spaces $D_{E} \xrightarrow{p} C(M)$ and $I_{E} \xrightarrow{q} C(M)$.

Theorem 2.1. $\quad \mathrm{p}^{-1}(\mathrm{Z}) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{P}} \mathrm{Z}$ and $\mathrm{q}^{-1}(\mathrm{Z}) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{q}} \mathrm{Z}$ are Locally trivial bundles.

Proof. Just use the canonical z-set unknotting results of [1].

## 3. Construction of a Strong Homotopy Equivalence

The main result of this section is Theorem 3.5, which proves that for some neighborhood $U_{1} \subset U$ of $R(M)$ there is a strong homotopy equivalence of $q^{-1}\left(U_{1}\right)$ to $p^{-1}\left(U_{1}\right)$. For notation let $\Omega: D_{E} \rightarrow D_{E}$ be the sliced homeomorphism defined by $\Omega_{e}(x, t)=(x, t+1)$, and let $\Sigma: D_{E} \rightarrow D_{E}$ be the sliced map defined by $\Sigma_{e}(x, t)=(e(x), t)$. It is clear that by deforming down mapping cylinders in $D_{E}$ (one notch to the right) we get a strong homotopy id $\simeq \Omega \Sigma$.

Lemma 3.1. For some neighborhood $U_{i} \subset U$ of $R(M)$ there is a strong homotopy $\Sigma \mid \mathrm{p}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \simeq\left(\Sigma \mid \mathrm{p}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\right)^{2}$.

Proof. Choose $U_{i}$ so that for any e $\in U_{i}$, the homotopy ee ${ }_{t}$ is very near the constant homotopy. Specifically, we want $U_{i}$ chosen so that the homotopies $e e_{t}: e^{2} \simeq e^{3}$ and $e_{t} e: e^{2} \simeq e^{3}$ are canonically homotopic rel the ends. This means that there is a two-parameter homotopy $F_{t, u}: M \rightarrow M$ such that $F_{t, 0}=e e_{t}, F_{t, 1}=e_{t} e$, and each $\left\{F_{t, u}(x) \mid 0 \leq u \leq l\right\}$ is the canonical path from $e e_{t}(x)$ to $e_{t} e(x)$. For any $e \in U_{i}$ we now describe a homotopy of $\Sigma_{e}$ to $\Sigma_{e}^{2}$. It will be clear from the construction that our desired strong homotopy from $\Sigma \mid \mathrm{p}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ to $\left(\Sigma \mid \mathrm{p}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\right)^{2}$ can be obtained by applying these
homotopies on the various levels.
It will suffice to construct a homotopy $\phi_{t}: D_{e}[0,1] \rightarrow$ $D_{e}[0,1]$ such that
(1) $\phi_{0}=\Sigma_{e} \mid D_{e}[0,1]$,
(2) $\phi_{1}=\left(\Sigma_{e} \mid D_{e}[0,1]\right)^{2}$,
(3) $\phi_{t} \mid M \times\{0\}: M \times\{0\} \rightarrow M \times\{0\}$ is given by $\phi_{t}(x, 0)=$ $\left(e_{t}(x), 0\right)$.
(4) $\phi_{t} \mid M \times\{1\}: M \times\{1\} \rightarrow M \times\{1\}$ is given by $\phi_{t}(x, 1)=$ $\left(e_{t}(x), 1\right)$.

Conditions (3) and (4) define $\phi_{t}$ on the ends of $D_{e}[0,1]$. On $M \times\{1 / 2\}$ we obtain $\phi_{t}$ by deforming $(e(x), 1 / 2)$ down the rays of $D_{e}[0,1]$ to the base, applying the homotopy $e e_{t}: e^{2} \simeq e^{3}$, and then deforming back up $D_{e}[0,1]$ to $\left(e^{2}(x), 1 / 2\right)$. on $M \times\{s\}, 0 \leq s<1 / 2, \phi_{t}$ is obtained by deforming (e(x),s) to $(e(x), 2 s)$, applying $e_{t}: e \simeq e^{2}$, and then deforming back to $\left(e^{2}(x), s\right)$. Finally, on $M \times\{s\}, l / 2 \leq s<l, \phi_{t}$ is obtained as on $M \times\{1 / 2\}$, except that the homotopy $F_{t, 2 s-1}$ : $e^{2} \simeq e^{3}$ is used in the base.

Corolzary 3.2. $\Sigma \mid \mathrm{p}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{U}_{1}\right)$ is strongly homotopic to id.
Proof. We have already observed the strong homotopy $i d=\Omega \Sigma$. Restricting to $\mathrm{p}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ and multiplying on the right by $\Sigma$ we get

$$
\Sigma\left|p^{-1}\left(U_{i}\right) \simeq \Omega\left(\Sigma \mid p^{-1}\left(U_{i}^{\prime}\right)\right)^{2} \simeq \Omega \Sigma\right| p^{-1}\left(U_{1}^{\prime}\right) \simeq i d .
$$

Definition. Define f.p. maps $f: q^{-1}(U) \rightarrow p^{-1}(U)$ and $g: p^{-1}(U) \rightarrow q^{-1}(U)$ by $f=d u^{\prime}$ and $g=d ' u$.

Lemma 3.3. For some neighborhood $\mathrm{U}_{1} \subset \mathrm{U}_{1}$ of $\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{M})$ there is a strong homotopy $\theta_{t}: \mathrm{fg} \mid \mathrm{p}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{U}_{1}\right) \simeq \mathrm{id}$.

Proof. Choose $\mathrm{U}_{1} \subset \mathrm{U}_{1}$ so that for each e $\in \mathrm{U}_{1}$, the homotopy $e_{1-t} e_{1-t} e_{t} e_{t}: e^{6} \simeq e^{6}$ is canonically homotopic to the constant homotopy $e^{6}: e^{6} \simeq e^{6}$ rel the ends. For any e $\in U_{1}$ we now describe a level of our homotopy $\theta_{t}$. We want to describe a homotopy $f_{e} g_{e} \simeq i d$ on $D_{e}$. We only need a homotopy $f_{e} g_{e} \simeq\left(\Sigma_{e}\right)^{6}$, for then Corollary 3.2 gives $\left(\Sigma_{e}\right)^{6} \simeq$ id.

To get $f_{e} g_{e} \simeq\left(\Sigma_{e}\right)^{6}$ consider $f_{e} g_{e} \mid D_{e}[0,1]$. On the ends it is given by $(x, 0) \rightarrow\left(e^{6}(x), 0\right)$ and $(x, 1) \rightarrow\left(e^{6}(x), 1\right)$. For any $(x, t) \in D_{e}[0,1], 0 \leq t<1$, it is given by $(x, t) \rightarrow$ ( $e_{1-t^{e}}{ }_{1-t} e^{e} e_{t}(x), t$ ). Using our given canonical homotopy of $e_{1-t} e_{1-t} e^{e} e: e^{6} \simeq e^{6}$ to the constant homotopy we get a homotopy of $f_{e} g_{e} \mid D_{e}[0,1]$ to $\left(\Sigma_{e}\right)^{6} \mid D_{e}[0,1]$ rel the ends. This suffices to define our homotopy $f_{e} g_{e} \simeq\left(\Sigma_{e}\right)^{6}$.

Lemma 3.4. There is a homotopy $\phi_{\mathrm{t}}: \mathrm{gf} \mid \mathrm{q}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{U}_{1}\right) \simeq \mathrm{id}$ such that $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{t}}$ is a strong homotopy.

Proof. Analogous to the proof of Lemma 3.3.
Theorem 3.5. $\mathrm{f} \mid \mathrm{q}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{U}_{1}\right): \mathrm{q}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{U}_{1}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{p}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{U}_{1}\right)$ is a strong homotopy equivalence.

Proof. Apply Lemmas 3.3 and 3.4.

## 4. Construction of a Homeomorphism

Let $f: q^{-1}\left(U_{1}\right) \rightarrow p^{-1}\left(U_{1}\right)$ be the strong homotopy equivalence of Theorem 3.5. Our main result in this section is Theorem 4.5, where we prove that there is a neighborhood $\mathrm{U}_{2} \subset \mathrm{U}_{1}$ of $\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{M})$ and a sliced homeomorphism $\mathrm{h}: \mathrm{q}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{U}_{2} \cap \mathrm{Z}\right) \rightarrow$ $p^{-1}\left(U_{2} \cap \mathrm{Z}\right)$ which is strongly close to $\mathrm{f} \mid \mathrm{q}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{U}_{2} \cap \mathrm{Z}\right)$.

It will be convenient to generalize some notation which was introduced in §2. Let $A \subset U, \pi: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow A$ be a surjection,
$W$ be a metric space, and let $f, g: \mathcal{C} p^{-1}(A) \times W$ be sliced maps. This means that $f \pi^{-1}(e) \cup g \pi^{-1}(e) \subset p^{-1}(e) \times W$, for all e $\in A$. We say that $f, g$ are strongly close if there is a $k \geq 0$ and $a \operatorname{map} \varepsilon: R(M) \cup A \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ such that
(1) $\varepsilon$ is 0 on $R(M)$ and positive on $A-R(M)$,
(2) the levels $\left(u_{e} \times i d_{W}\right) f_{e},\left(u_{e} \times i d_{W}\right) g_{e}: \pi^{-l}(e) \rightarrow M \times R \times W$ differ by at most $k$ in the $R$-coordinate and at most $\varepsilon(e)$ in the $M \times W$-coordinate, for all e.
(We use the standard product metric on $M \times W$.) Our procedure for constructing $h$ is analogous to procedures used in [4]. The following is the first step.

Lemma 4.1. There are sliced homeomorphisms

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h_{1}: q^{-1}\left(U_{1} \cap z\right) \times[-3,3) \rightarrow p^{-1}\left(U_{1} \cap Z\right) \times[-3,3), \\
& h_{2}: q^{-1}\left(U_{1} \cap z\right) \times(-3,3] \rightarrow p^{-1}\left(U_{1} \cap Z\right) \times(-3,3]
\end{aligned}
$$

which are strongly close to fxid.
Proof. Using the fact that $p \mid p^{-1}\left(U_{1} \cap z\right)$ and $q \mid q^{-1}\left(U_{1} \cap z\right)$ are locally trivial bundles we proceed as in Proposition 5.5 of [4].

Definition. If $h_{1}$ and $h_{2}$ are constructed carefully, then $h_{1} h_{2}^{-1}\left(p^{-1}\left(U_{1} \cap z\right) \times(-2,2)\right)$ lies in $p^{-1}\left(U_{1} \cap z\right) \times(-3,3)$. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
h= & h_{1} h_{2}^{-1} \mid p^{-1}\left(U_{1} \cap z\right) \times(-2,2): p^{-1}\left(U_{1} \cap z\right) \times \\
& (-2,2) \rightarrow p^{-1}\left(U_{1} \cap z\right) \times(-3,3)
\end{aligned}
$$

defines an open embedding which is strongly close to id. In what follows we assume that $k=1$ in the definition of $h$ being strongly close to id. This does not detract from the generality of the argument. We also use $h_{e}$ for the level of $h$ taking $D_{e} \times(-2,2)$ into $D_{e} \times(-3,3)$.

The following is the main step in our proof of Theorem 4.5. It and Lemma 4.3 are technical results which are used to prove Lemma 4.4. Then Lemma 4.4 is used to prove Theorem 4.5.

Lemma 4.2. For some neighborhood $\mathrm{U}_{1}^{\prime} \subset \mathrm{U}_{1}$ of $\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{M})$ there is a sliced embedding

$$
\begin{aligned}
\theta: & \left(D_{E}[-5 / 2,5 / 2] \cap p^{-1}\left(U_{1}^{\prime} \cap Z\right)\right) \times[-3 / 2,3 / 2] \rightarrow \\
& \left(D_{E}[-5 / 2,5 / 2] \cap P^{-1}\left(U_{1}^{\prime} \cap Z\right)\right) \times(-3,3)
\end{aligned}
$$

such that
(1) $\theta_{e}=$ id on $\left(D_{e}\{-5 / 2\} \times[-3 / 2,3 / 2]\right) \cup\left(D_{e}\{5 / 2\} \times\right.$ $[-3 / 2,3 / 2])$, for all e $\in U_{1}^{\prime} \cap \mathrm{Z}$,
(2) $\theta_{e}=h_{e}$ on $D_{e}[-1 / 2,1 / 2] \times[-3 / 2,3 / 2]$, for all $e \in U_{1}^{\prime} \cap Z$,
(3) the image of $\theta_{e}$ contains $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}[-5 / 2,5 / 2] \times[-4 / 3,4 / 3]$, for alle $\in \mathrm{U}_{1} \cap \mathrm{Z}$,
(4) $\theta$ is strongly close to id.

Proof. Define a subset $X$ of $p^{-1}\left(U_{1} \cap Z\right) \times(-3,3)$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
x= & h\left(\left(D_{E}[1 / 2, \infty) \cap p^{-1}\left(U_{1} \cap Z\right)\right) \times(-2,2)\right) \cap \\
& \left(\left(D_{E}(-\infty, 5 / 2] \cap p^{-1}\left(U_{1} \cap Z\right)\right) \times(-3,3)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The shaded region below is a picture of $X_{e}=x \cap\left(D_{e} \times(-3,3)\right)$.


Let $r: X \rightarrow M \times\{5 / 2\} \times\left(U_{1} \cap \mathrm{Z}\right) \times(-3,3)$ be the sliced map arising from mapping cylinder collapses and let $r_{t}$ : $x \rightarrow p^{-1}\left(U_{1} \cap z\right) \times(-3,3)$ be the sliced homotopy arising from mapping cylinder deformations such that $r_{0}=i d$ and $r_{1}=r$. Also we let

$$
\alpha: h\left(\left(D_{E}[-3 / 2,1 / 2] \cap p^{-1}\left(U_{1} \cap z\right)\right) \times(-2,2)\right) \cup x+x
$$ be the retraction along mapping cylinder rays supplied by $h$. Then for some neighborhood $G_{1} \subset U_{1}$ of $R(M)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
r_{t}^{\prime}= & \alpha r_{t}: x \cap\left(p^{-1}\left(G_{1} \cap z\right) \times[-30 / 16,30 / 16]\right) \rightarrow \\
& x \cap\left(p^{-1}\left(G_{1} \cap z\right) \times(-3,3)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

is a well-defined homotopy such that $r_{0}^{\prime}=i d$ and $r_{i}^{\prime}=r \mid x \cap$ $\left(P^{-1}\left(G_{1} \cap Z\right) \times[-30 / 16,30 / 16]\right)$. The remainder of the argument is carried out in the following five steps.

Step I. The homotopy (rrí) $\mathrm{e}^{\prime} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{e}} \cap\left(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}} \times[-30 / 16,30 / 16]\right)$ $\rightarrow \mathrm{M} \times\{5 / 2\} \times(-3,3)$ gets "smaller" as e gets closer to $R(M)$, i.e. $\lim _{e \rightarrow R(M)} d\left(\left(r r_{t}^{\prime}\right) e^{\prime\left(r r_{0}^{\prime}\right)} e^{\prime}\right)=0$.

Proof. Choose any $e \in G_{1} \cap \mathrm{Z}$ which is close to $\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{M})$. We will prove that ( $r r_{t}^{\prime}$ ) $e^{\text {is a small homotopy. There are }}$ two cases. Choose any point ( $x, u, v$ ) $\in x_{e}{ }^{\cap}\left(D_{e} \times[-30 / 16\right.$, 30/16]), where $(x, u) \in D_{e}$ and $v \in[-30 / 16,30 / 16]$. If $\left(r_{t}\right) e^{(x, u, v)} \in X_{e}$, then $\alpha$ has no effect and

$$
\left(r \alpha r_{t}\right) e^{(x, u, v)}=\left(r r_{t}\right) e^{(x, u, v)}=r_{e}(x, u, v) .
$$

If $\left(r_{t}\right) e^{(x, u, v)} \ddagger x_{e}$, then we must have $u \leq 3 / 2$. This implies that $r_{e}(x, u, v)=\left(x_{1}, 5 / 2, v\right)$, where $x_{1}$ is close to $e(x)$. We also have $\left(r_{t}\right) e^{(x, u, v)}=\left(x^{\prime}, u^{\prime}, v\right)$, where $e(x)$ is close to $e\left(x^{\prime}\right)$, and therefore $\left(\alpha r_{t}\right) e^{(x, u, v)}=\left(x^{\prime \prime}, u^{\prime \prime}, v^{\prime}\right)$, where $e\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is close to $e\left(x^{\prime}\right)$ and $v^{\prime}$ is close to $v . ~ F i n a l l y$, $\left(\operatorname{rar}_{t}\right) e^{(x, u, v)}=\left(x^{\prime \prime}, 5 / 2, v^{\prime}\right)$, where $x^{\prime \prime \prime}$ is close to $e\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)$.

All this means that $\mathrm{x}^{\prime \prime \prime}$ is close to $\mathrm{x}_{1}$.
Remark. We have just shown that $\mathrm{r} \mid \mathrm{X} \cap\left(\mathrm{p}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{G}_{1} \cap \mathrm{Z}\right) \times\right.$ $(-3,3))$ is a "small equivalence" over $M \times\{5 / 2\} \times\left(G_{1} \cap \mathrm{Z}\right) \times$ $[-30 / 16,30 / 16]$, for $G_{1}$ close to $R(M)$. Such maps can be locally converted to homeomorphisms (see Theorem 3.6 of [4]). We will need this in the next step.

Step II. There is a neighborhood $\mathrm{G}_{2} \subset \mathrm{G}_{1}$ of $\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{M})$ and a subset Y of $\mathrm{X} \cap\left(\mathrm{p}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{G}_{2} \cap \mathrm{Z}\right) \times(-3,3)\right)$ so that
(1) $Y$ contains $X \cap\left(\mathrm{p}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{G}_{2} \cap \mathrm{Z}\right) \times[-7 / 4,7 / 4]\right)$,
(2) there exists a sliced homeomorphism $\phi: \mathrm{Y} \rightarrow \mathrm{M} \times\{5 / 2\}$ $\times\left(G_{2} \cap \mathrm{Z}\right) \times[-29 / 16,29 / 16]$ which is strongly close to $\mathrm{r} \mid \mathrm{Y}$,
(3) $\phi \mid M \times\{5 / 2\} \times\left(G_{2} \cap \mathrm{z}\right) \times[-3 / 2,3 / 2]=$ id.

Proof. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
r^{\prime}= & r \mid x \cap\left(p^{-1}\left(G_{1} \cap z\right) \times(-3,3)\right): X \cap\left(p^{-1}\left(G_{1} \cap z\right)\right. \\
& \times(-3,3)) \rightarrow M \times\{5 / 2\} \times\left(G_{1} \cap z\right) \times(-3,3) .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows from Step $I$ that there is a map $\varepsilon: G_{1} \cap Z \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ which extends to a map $\tilde{\varepsilon}: R(M) U\left(G_{1} \cap Z\right) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ satisfying $\tilde{\varepsilon}(R(M))=0$, and $r^{\prime}$ is a sliced $\varepsilon$-equivalence over $M \times\{5 / 2\}$ $\times\left(G_{1} \cap Z\right) \times[-30 / 16,30 / 16]$. (See Definition 3.5 of [4].) In analogy with Theorem 3.6 of [4] there is a neighborhood $G_{2} \subset G_{1}$ of $R(M)$ and a subset $Y$ of $X \cap\left(p^{-1}\left(G_{2} \cap Z\right) \times(-3,3)\right)$ so that
(1) $Y$ contains $X \cap\left(p^{-1}\left(G_{2} \cap Z\right) \times[-7 / 4,7 / 4]\right)$,
(2) there exists a sliced homeomorphism $\phi^{\prime}: Y \rightarrow M \times$ $\{5 / 2\} \times\left(\mathrm{G}_{2} \cap \mathrm{Z}\right) \times[-29 / 16,29 / 16]$ which is sliced $\delta$-homotopic to r'y,
where $\delta: G_{2} \cap \mathrm{Z} \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ is a map which extends to a map
$\tilde{\delta}: R(M) \cup\left(G_{2} \cap Z\right) \rightarrow\{0, \infty)$ satisfying $\tilde{\delta}(R(M))=\{0\}$.
Note that (1) implies that $M \times\{5 / 2\} \times\left(G_{2} \cap \mathrm{Z}\right) \times$ [ $-3 / 2,3 / 2$ ] is a sliced $z$-set in $Y$. (See $\S 4$ of [4] for an excellent presentation of sliced $Z$-sets.) By (2) we note that $\phi^{\prime} \mid M \times\{5 / 2\} \times\left(G_{2} \cap \mathrm{Z}\right) \times[-3 / 2,3 / 2]$ a sliced $z$-embedding which is sliced $\delta$-homotopic to id. Thus by Theorem 4.4 of [4] we can correct $\phi$ ' to obtain a sliced homeomorphism $\phi: Y \rightarrow M \times\{5 / 2\} \times\left(G_{2} \cap Z\right) \times[-29 / 16,29 / 16]$ which is $\delta$-homotopic to $\phi^{\prime}$ and which satisfies $\phi \mid M \times\{5 / 2\} \times\left(G_{2} \cap z\right) \times$ $[-3 / 2,3 / 2]=$ id. It is easy to check that $\phi$ is strongly close to r|Y.

Step III. There is a sliced homeomorphism $\psi:\left(D_{E}[1 / 2\right.$, 5/2] $\left.\cap \mathrm{p}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{G}_{2} \cap \mathrm{Z}\right)\right) \times(-29 / 16,29 / 16] \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ such that
(1) $\psi=h$ on $M \times\{1 / 2\} \times\left(G_{2} \cap 2\right) \times[-3 / 2,3 / 2]$,
(2) $\psi=$ id on $M \times\{5 / 2\} \times\left(G_{2} \cap \mathrm{Z}\right) \times[-3 / 2,3 / 2]$,
(3) $\psi$ is strongly alose to id.

Proof. Consider a composition of sliced homeomorphisms,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi^{\prime}: & \left(D_{E}[1 / 2,5 / 2] \cap p^{-1}\left(G_{2} \cap z\right)\right) \times[-29 / 16,29 / 16] \rightarrow \\
& M \times\{5 / 2\} \times\left(G_{2} \cap z\right) \times[-29 / 16,29 / 16]{ }_{\rightarrow}^{-1} Y,
\end{aligned}
$$

where the first homeomorphism is obtained from mapping cylinder collapses. By Theorem 4.4 of [4] we can correct $\psi$ ' to obtain our desired $\psi$.

Step IV. There is a sliced embedding

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{1}: & \left(D_{E}[1 / 2,5 / 2] \cap p^{-1}\left(G_{2} \cap z\right)\right) \times[-3 / 2,3 / 2] \rightarrow \\
& \times \cap\left(p^{-1}\left(G_{2} \cap z\right) \times(-3,3)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

such that
(1) $\psi_{1}=\mathrm{h}$ on $\mathrm{M} \times\{1 / 2\} \times\left(\mathrm{G}_{2} \cap \mathrm{Z}\right) \times[-3 / 2,3 / 2]$,
(2) $\psi_{1}=$ id on $M \times\{5 / 2\} \times\left(G_{2} \cap Z\right) \times[-3 / 2,3 / 2]$,
(3) $\psi_{1}$ is strongly close to id,
(4) the image of $\psi_{1}$ contains $\mathrm{X} \cap\left(\mathrm{p}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{G}_{2} \cap \mathrm{Z}\right) \times[-4 / 3\right.$, 4/31),
(5) $\psi_{1}^{-1}\left(M \times\{5 / 2\} \times\left(G_{2} \cap Z\right) \times(-3,3)\right)=M \times\{5 / 2\} \times$ $\left(G_{2} \cap Z\right) \times[-3 / 2,3 / 2]$,
(6) $\psi_{1}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{~h}\left(\mathrm{M} \times\{1 / 2\} \times\left(\mathrm{G}_{2} \cap \mathrm{Z}\right) \times(-2,2)\right)\right)=M \times\{1 / 2\} \times$ $\left(G_{2} \cap Z\right) \times[-3 / 2,3 / 2]$.
Proof. Let $\psi_{i}^{\prime}=\psi \mid D_{E}[1 / 2,5 / 2] \cap p^{-1}\left(G_{2} \cap Z\right) \times[-3 / 2,3 / 2]$. Then $\psi_{i}^{\prime}$ satisfies (1)-(4). To get an embedding $\psi_{1}$ which satisfies (5) and (6) we just push the image of $\psi_{i}^{i}$ away from the ends.

Step V. There is a sliced embedding

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{2}: & \left(D_{E}[-5 / 2,-1 / 2] \cap p^{-1}\left(G_{2} \cap Z\right)\right) \times[-3 / 2,3 / 2] \rightarrow \\
& X \cap\left(p^{-1}\left(G_{2} \cap z\right) \times(-3,3)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
x^{\prime}= & h\left(\left(D_{E}(-\infty,-1 / 2] \cap p^{-1}\left(U_{1} \cap z\right)\right) \times(-2,2)\right) \cap \\
& \left(\left(D_{E}[-5 / 2, \infty) \cap p^{-1}\left(U_{1} \cap Z\right)\right) \times(-3,3)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

such that
(1) $\psi_{2}=$ id on $M \times\{-5 / 2\} \times\left(G_{2} \cap Z\right) \times[-3 / 2,3 / 2]$,
(2) $\psi_{2}=\mathrm{h}$ on $\mathrm{M} \times\{-1 / 2\} \times\left(\mathrm{G}_{2} \cap \mathrm{Z}\right) \times[-3 / 2,3 / 2]$,
(3) $\psi_{2}$ is strongly close to id,
(4) the image of $\psi_{2}$ contains $X^{\prime} \cap\left(\mathrm{p}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{G}_{2} \cap \mathrm{Z}\right) \times\right.$ [-4/3, 4/3]),
(5) $\psi_{2}^{-1}\left(M \times\{-5 / 2\} \times\left(G_{2} \cap \mathrm{Z}\right) \times(-3,3)\right)=M \times\{-5 / 2\} \times$ $\left(G_{2} \cap Z\right) \times[-3 / 2,3 / 2]$,
(6) $\psi_{2}^{-1}\left(h\left(M \times\{-1 / 2\} \times\left(G_{2} \cap \mathrm{Z}\right) \times(-2,2)\right)=M \times\{-1 / 2\}\right.$
$\times\left(G_{2} \cap Z\right) \times[-3 / 2,3 / 2]$.
Proof. Similar to Step IV.

We now show how to finish off the proof. Let $U_{1}=G_{2}$; define $\theta$ by piecing together $\psi_{2}$ on ( $D_{E}[-5 / 2,-1 / 2] \cap p^{-1}$ (Ui $\cap \mathrm{Z})$ ) $\times[-3 / 2,3 / 2], \psi_{1}$ on ( $\left.D_{E}[1 / 2,5 / 2] \cap p^{-1}\left(U_{i} \cap z\right)\right) x$ $[-3 / 2,3 / 2]$, and $h$ on ( $\left.D_{E}[-1 / 2,1 / 2] \cap p^{-1}\left(U_{i} \cap z\right)\right) \times[-3 / 2$, 3/2]. By construction, $\theta$ fulfills our requirements.

Lemma 4.3. There is a neighborhood $\mathrm{U}_{1} \subset \mathrm{U}_{1}$ of $\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{M})$ and a sliced homeomorphism $\mathrm{g}_{1}: \mathrm{p}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{U}_{1}^{\prime \prime} \cap \mathrm{Z}\right) \times(-3,3) \rightarrow \mathrm{p}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{U}_{1}^{\prime \prime} \cap \mathrm{Z}\right)$ $\times(-3,3)$ such that
(1) $\mathrm{g}_{1}$ is supported on $\mathrm{p}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{U}_{1}^{\prime \prime} \cap \mathrm{z}\right) \times[-2,2]$
(2) $\mathrm{g}_{1}$ is strongly close to id,
(3) $\mathrm{g}_{1}=\mathrm{h}$ on $\left(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{E}}[7 \mathrm{n}-1 / 2,7 \mathrm{n}+1 / 2] \cap \mathrm{p}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{U}_{1}^{\prime \prime} \cap \mathrm{Z}\right)\right) \times[-1,1]$, for n any integer.

Proof. We will construct a sliced homeomorphism $\alpha$ of $\left(D_{E}[-7 / 2,7 / 2] \cap p^{-1}\left(U_{1}^{\prime \prime} \cap Z\right)\right) \times(-3,3)$ onto itself such that
(1) $\alpha=$ id on $M \times\left(U_{1}^{\prime \prime} \cap z\right) \times\{-7 / 2,7 / 2\} \times(-3,3)$,
(2) $\alpha$ is supported on ( $\left.D_{E}[-7 / 2,7 / 2] \cap p^{-1}\left(U_{1}^{\prime \prime} \cap Z\right)\right) x$ $[-2,2]$,
(3) $\alpha=h$ on $\left(D_{E}[-1 / 2,1 / 2] \cap p^{-1}\left(U_{1}^{\prime \prime} \cap z\right)\right) \times[-1,1]$,
(4) $\alpha$ is strongly close to id.

By patching together an infinite number of such homeomorphisms we can easily obtain our desired $g_{1}$. So all we have to do is construct $\alpha$.

Let $\theta$ be the sliced embedding of Lemma 4.2. Extend $\theta$ via the identity to a sliced embedding

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\theta}: & \left(D_{E}[-7 / 2,7 / 2] \cap p^{-1}\left(U_{i} \cap z\right)\right) \times[-3 / 2,3 / 2] \rightarrow \\
& \left(D_{E}[-7 / 2,7 / 2] \cap p^{-1}\left(U_{i} \cap z\right)\right) \times(-3,3) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\phi:\left(D_{E}[-7 / 2,7 / 2] \cap p^{-1}\left(U_{i}^{\prime} \cap z\right)\right) \times(-3,3) \rightarrow M \times\{7 / 2\} \times$ ( $U_{1}^{\prime} \cap \mathrm{Z}$ ) $\times(-3,3)$ be a sliced homeomorphism obtained from
mapping cylinder collapses, and consider the embedding

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda: & M \times\{7 / 2\} \times\left(U_{i}^{\prime} \cap Z\right) \times[-3 / 2,3 / 2] \\
& \phi_{\rightarrow}^{-1}\left(D_{E}[-7 / 2,7 / 2] \cap p^{-1}\left(U_{i}^{\prime} \cap Z\right)\right) \times[-3 / 2,3 / 2] \\
& \stackrel{\tilde{\theta}}{\rightarrow}\left(D_{E}[-7 / 2,7 / 2] \cap p^{-1}\left(U_{1} \cap Z\right)\right) \times(-3,3) \\
& \Phi \\
& M \times\{7 / 2\} \times\left(U_{i}^{\prime} \cap Z\right) \times(-3,3) .
\end{aligned}
$$

(Certainly $\phi$ can be constructed so that the ( $-3,3$ )-coordinates are not affected.) Note that the image of $\lambda$ contains $M \times\{7 / 2\} \times\left(U_{1}^{\prime} \cap Z\right) \times[-4 / 3,4 / 3]$. Thus $\lambda \mid M \times\{7 / 2\} \times$ ( $U_{i}^{\prime} \cap Z$ ) $\times(-5 / 4,5 / 4)$ is an open embedding. By the Deformation Theorem of [5] we can choose a neighborhood $U_{1}^{\prime \prime} \subset U_{i}^{\prime}$ of $R(M)$ and a sliced homeomorphism $\alpha_{1}$ of $M \times\{7 / 2\} \times\left(U_{1}^{\prime \prime} \cap Z\right) \times$ $(-3,3)$ onto itself such that
(1) $\alpha_{1}$ is supported on $M \times\{7 / 2\} \times\left(U_{1} \cap Z\right) \times[-2,2]$,
(2) $\psi_{1}=$ id on $\phi\left(M \times\{-7 / 2,7 / 2\} \times\left(U_{1}^{\prime \prime} \cap z\right) \times(-3,3)\right)$,
(3) $\alpha_{1}=\lambda$ on $M \times\{7 / 2\} \times\left(U_{1}^{\prime \prime} \cap Z\right) \times[-1,1]$,
(4) $\alpha_{1}$ is strongly close to id.

Then $\alpha=\phi^{-1} \alpha_{1} \phi$ fulfills our requirements.
Lemma 4.4. There is a neighborhood $\mathrm{U}_{2} \subset \mathrm{U}_{1}$ of $\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{M})$ and a sliced homeomorphism $\tilde{h}: \mathrm{p}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{U}_{2} \cap \mathrm{Z}\right) \times(-3,3) \rightarrow \mathrm{p}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{U}_{2} \cap \mathrm{Z}\right)$ $\times(-3,3)$ such that
(1) $\tilde{\mathrm{h}}$ is supported on $\mathrm{p}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{U}_{2} \cap \mathrm{Z}\right) \times[-2,2]$,
(2) $\tilde{\mathrm{h}}=\mathrm{h}$ on $\mathrm{p}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{U}_{2} \cap \mathrm{z}\right) \times[-1 / 2,1 / 2]$,
(3) $\tilde{\mathrm{h}}$ is strongly close to id.

Proof. If $g_{1}$ is as in Lemma 4.3, consider the open embedding

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h_{1}= g_{1}^{-1} h: p^{-1}\left(U_{1}^{\prime} \cap z\right) \times(-2,2) \rightarrow p^{-1}\left(U_{1}^{\prime} \cap z\right) \times \\
&(-3,3) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We have $h_{1}=i d$ on $\left(D_{E}[7 n-1 / 2,7 n+1 / 2] \cap p^{-1}\left(U_{1}^{\prime \prime} \cap z\right)\right) \times[-1,1]$
and $h_{1}$ is strongly close to id. If we again use the ideas of Lemma 4.3 we can find a sliced homeomorphism $\tilde{g}_{2}$ of $\left(D_{E}[1 / 2,7+1 / 2] \cap p^{-1}\left(U_{2} \cap Z\right)\right) \times(-3,3)$ onto itself (for some neighborhood $U_{2} \subset U_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ of $\left.R(M)\right)$ such that
(1) $\tilde{g}_{2}$ is supported on ( $\left.D_{E}[1 / 2,7+1 / 2] \cap p^{-1}\left(U_{2} \cap Z\right)\right) \times$ [-2,2],
(2) $\tilde{g}_{2}$ is strongly close to id,
(3) $\tilde{g}_{2}=h_{1}$ on ( $\left.D_{E}[1 / 2,7+1 / 2] \cap p^{-1}\left(U_{2} \cap z\right)\right) \times[-1 / 2$, 1/2],
(4) $\tilde{g}_{2}=i d$ on $M \times\{1 / 2,7+1 / 2\} \times\left(U_{2} \cap \mathrm{z}\right) \times(-3,3)$. Then homeomorphisms of this type piece together to give a homeomorphism $g_{2}$ of $\mathrm{p}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{U}_{2} \cap \mathrm{Z}\right) \times(-3,3)$ onto itself. Putting $\tilde{h}=g_{1} g_{2}$ we are done.

Theorem 4.5. There is a neighborhood $U_{2} \in \mathrm{U}_{1}$ of $\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{M})$ and a sliced homeomorphism $\hat{\mathrm{h}}: \mathrm{q}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{U}_{2} \cap \mathrm{Z}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{p}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{U}_{2} \cap \mathrm{Z}\right)$ which is strongly close to $\mathrm{f} \mid \mathrm{q}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{U}_{2} \cap \mathrm{Z}\right)$.

Proof. Let $\tilde{h}$ be as in Lemma 4.4. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{h}_{1} \mid q^{-1}\left(\mathrm{U}_{2} \cap \mathrm{Z}\right) \times[-3,1 / 2]: q^{-1}\left(\mathrm{U}_{2} \cap \mathrm{Z}\right) \times[-3,1 / 2] \\
& \quad \rightarrow p^{-1}\left(\mathrm{U}_{2} \cap \mathrm{Z}\right) \times[-3,3], \\
& \tilde{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{~h}_{2} \mid q^{-1}\left(\mathrm{U}_{2} \cap \mathrm{Z}\right) \times[-1 / 2,3]: q^{-1}\left(\mathrm{U}_{2} \cap \mathrm{Z}\right) \times[-1 / 2,3] \\
& \quad \rightarrow p^{-1}\left(\mathrm{U}_{2} \cap \mathrm{Z}\right) \times[-3,3]
\end{aligned}
$$

are sliced embeddings which piece together to give a sliced homeomorphism

$$
h^{\prime}: q^{-1}\left(U_{2} \cap z\right) \times[-3,3] \rightarrow p^{-1}\left(U_{2} \cap z\right) \times[-3,3]
$$

which is strongly close to fxid. Since $q^{-1}\left(U_{2} \cap \mathrm{Z}\right) \xrightarrow{q} U_{2} \cap \mathrm{Z}$ and $p^{-1}\left(U_{2} \cap z\right) \stackrel{p}{+} U_{2} \cap z$ are locally trivial bundles we can push in the [-3,3]-factor to obtain our desired result.

## 5. Construction of a Retraction

The main result of this section is Theorem 5.2 , where we prove that there is a neighborhood $G \subset U$ of $R(M)$ and a retraction of $R(M) U(G \cap Z)$ to $R(M)$.

Let $u: P^{-1}(U) \rightarrow M \times R \times U$ be the map of $\S 2$ and consider the restriction $u: p^{-1}(U \cap Z) \rightarrow M \times R \times(U \cap Z)$. We know that $\mathrm{P}^{-1}(\mathrm{U} \cap \mathrm{Z}) \stackrel{\mathrm{P}}{\rightarrow} \mathrm{U} \cap \mathrm{Z}$ is a locally trivial bundle. It is easy to approximate $u$ by a sliced $z$-embedding $\tilde{u}: p^{-1}(U \cap Z) \rightarrow$ $M \times R \times(U \cap Z)$. This can be done so that $\tilde{u}$ is strongly homotopic to $u$, i.e. there exists a sliced homotopy $u_{t}: \tilde{u} \simeq u$, a $k \geq 0$, and a map $\varepsilon: R(M) \cup(U \cap Z) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ such that
(1) $\varepsilon$ is 0 on $R(M)$ and positive on $U \cap Z$,
(2) the levels $\left(u_{t}\right)_{e}, u_{e}: D_{e} \rightarrow M \times R$ differ by at most $k$ in the $R$-coordinate and at most $\varepsilon(e)$ in the $M-c o-$ ordinate, for all $e$ and $t$.

This should be compared (but not confused) with the definition given in 52 of strongly homotopic maps into $D_{E}$. Here is a result which will be needed in the proof of Theorem 5.2.

Lemma 5.1. There is a neighborhood $G_{1} \subset U$ of $R(M)$ and a sliced retraction $\mathrm{s}: \mathrm{M} \times \mathrm{R} \times\left(\mathrm{G}_{1} \cap \mathrm{Z}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{up}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{G}_{1} \cap \mathrm{Z}\right)$ which is strongly close to the map $\Sigma$ of $M \times R \times\left(G_{1} \cap Z\right)$ to itself which sends ( $x, t, e$ ) to (e(x),t,e).

Proof. Consider the map $s^{\prime}=$ ũd: $M \times R \times(U \cap Z) \rightarrow$ $\tilde{u p}^{-1}(U \cap Z)$ and let $G_{1} \subset U$ be a neighborhood of $R(M)$ so that $d u: p^{-1}\left(G_{1}\right) \rightarrow p^{-1}\left(G_{1}\right)$ is strongly homotopic to id (compare with Lemma 3.3). We will homotop $s^{\prime} \mid M \times R \times\left(G_{1} \cap Z\right)$ to our desired sliced retraction.

Note that $s^{\prime} \mid u_{p}{ }^{-1}\left(G_{1} \cap Z\right)$ is given by ũd, which factors into ũdũu ${ }^{-1}$. Since $\tilde{u}$ is strongly homotopic to $u$ and du is
strongly homotopic to $i d$, we conclude that $s^{\prime} \mid \tilde{u}^{-1}\left(G_{1} \cap \mathrm{Z}\right)$ is strongly homotopic to id with the homotopy taking place in $\tilde{u p}^{-1}\left(G_{1} \cap \mathrm{Z}\right)$. Consider the subset

$$
x=\left(M \times R \times\left(G_{I} \cap Z\right) \times\{0\}\right) U\left(\tilde{u}^{-1}\left(G_{1} \cap Z\right) \times I\right)
$$

of $M \times R \times\left(G_{1} \cap Z\right) \times I$. It is a sliced $Z$-set and therefore it can be fiberwise collared (see Corollary 4.10 of [4]). This means that we can find a neighborhood $W \subset M \times R \times$ $\left(G_{1} \cap z\right) \times I$ of $X$ and a sliced retraction $\theta_{1}: W \rightarrow X$. Define $\theta_{2}: M \times R \times\left(G_{1} \cap Z\right) \times I \rightarrow W$ by $\theta_{2}(\alpha, t)=(\alpha, \phi(\alpha) \cdot t)$, where $\phi: M \times R \times\left(G_{I} \cap Z\right) \rightarrow[0, I]$ is a map which takes upp ${ }^{-1}\left(G_{1} \cap Z\right)$ to $1 .{ }^{*}$ Define $h: x \rightarrow \tilde{u p}^{-1}\left(G_{1} \cap z\right)$ by $h(\alpha, 0)=s^{\prime}(\alpha)$, for $\alpha \in M \times R \times\left(G_{1} \cap Z\right)$, and $h \mid u \tilde{u}^{-1}\left(G_{1} \cap Z\right) \times I$ is given by the strong homotopy $s^{\prime} \mid \tilde{u p}^{-1}\left(G_{1} \cap Z\right) \simeq i d$. Then our desired $s: M \times R \times\left(G_{1} \cap Z\right) \rightarrow \tilde{u}^{-1}\left(G_{1} \cap z\right)$ is defined by $s(\alpha)=$ $h \theta_{1} \theta_{2}(\alpha, 1)$.

Now let $\hat{h}: q^{-1}\left(U_{2} \cap Z\right)+p^{-1}\left(U_{2} \cap Z\right)$ be the sliced homeomorphism of $\S 4$ and let $G=G_{1} \cap U_{2}$. Then $\tilde{u} \hat{h} \mid q^{-1}(G \cap z)$ is strongly close to $u^{\prime}: q^{-1}(G \cap Z) \rightarrow M \times R \times(G \cap Z)$.

Theorem 5.2. There is a retraction of $R(M) \cup(G \cap Z)$ to $R(M)$.

Proof. We will define a map $\theta: G \cap \mathrm{Z}$ to $\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{M})$ which extends to our desired retraction. To simplify notation we assume that for any $(x, t, e) \in q^{-1}(G \cap z)$ and $\hat{h}(x, t, e)=$ ( $x^{\prime}, t^{\prime}, e$ ), $\left|t-t^{\prime}\right| \leq 1$. With this in mind define

$$
\begin{aligned}
N= & \left(D_{E}[-1, \infty) \cap p^{-1}(G \cap z)\right)-\hat{h}\left(I_{E}(1, \infty) \cap\right. \\
& \left.q^{-1}(G \cap Z)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

[^1]It is not hard to see that $p: N \rightarrow G \cap Z$ is a locally trivial bundle with compact $\ell$-manifold fiber which lies in $D_{E}[-1,2] \cap p^{-1}(G \cap Z)$.

We can construct a sliced retraction $\theta_{1}: D_{E}[-4,5] \quad n$ $\mathrm{p}^{-1}(\mathrm{G} \cap \mathrm{Z}) \rightarrow \mathrm{N}$ which is strongly close to id by
(1) using the mapping cylinder retraction of $D_{E}[-4,-1]$ $\cap \mathrm{p}^{-1}(\mathrm{G} \cap \mathrm{Z})$ to $\mathrm{M} \times \mathrm{R} \times\{-1\} \times(\mathrm{G} \cap \mathrm{Z})$,
(2) use the mapping cylinder retraction of $I_{E}[1, \infty)$ ก $\mathrm{q}^{-1}(\mathrm{G} \cap \mathrm{Z})$ to $\mathrm{M} \times \mathrm{R} \times\{1\} \times(\mathrm{G} \cap \mathrm{Z})$ (conjugated with $\hat{\mathrm{h}}$ ).
Then $\theta_{2}=\tilde{\mathrm{u}} \theta_{1} \tilde{\mathrm{u}}^{-1}$ is a sliced retraction of $\tilde{\mathrm{u}}\left(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{E}}[-4,5]\right.$ ก $\mathrm{P}^{-1}(\mathrm{G} \cap \mathrm{Z})$ ) to $\tilde{\mathrm{u}}(\mathrm{N})$ which is strongly close to id.

Recall the sliced retraction $s: M \times R \times(G \cap z) \rightarrow$ $\tilde{u}^{-1}(G \cap Z)$ of Lemma 5.1 which is strongly close to $\Sigma$. For the sake of simplicity assume that the bound on $s$ in the R-coordinate is 1 . Then we must have

$$
s(M \times[-2,3] \times(G \cap z)) \subset \tilde{u}\left(D_{E}^{\left.[-4,5] \cap p^{-1}(G \cap z)\right) .}\right.
$$

Also we have $\tilde{u}(N) \subset M \times[-2,3] \cap(G \cap Z)$. Thus $\theta_{3}=\theta_{2} s$ defines a retraction of $M \times[-2,3] \times(G \cap Z)$ to $\tilde{u}(N)$ which is strongly close to $\Sigma$.

Let $k: M \times[-2,3] \times(G \cap Z) \rightarrow M \times(G \cap Z)$ be a sliced homeomorphism so that as $e \in G \cap Z$ gets closer to $R(M)$, $k_{e}: M \times[-2,3] \rightarrow M$ gets closer to projection. Then our desired $\theta: G \cap Z \rightarrow R(M)$ is defined by letting $\theta_{e}: M \rightarrow M$ be given by $\theta_{e}=k_{e}\left(\theta_{3}\right) e^{k_{e}^{-l}}$. It is easy to check that $\theta$ fulfills our requirements.

## 6. Proof of the Theorem

By use of Theorem 5.2 the proof is fairly straightforward.

Any map $e: M \rightarrow M$ can be approximated by a $Z$-embedding into $M_{0}$, so it is clear that there is a map $\phi: C(M) \rightarrow R(M) U Z$ which is the identity on $R(M)$. If $\theta: R(M) \cup(G \cap Z) \rightarrow R(M)$ is the retraction of Theorem 5.2 , then $\theta \phi: W \rightarrow R(M)$ is a retraction, where $W \subset C(M)$ is a neighborhood of $R(M)$ which lies in $\phi^{-1}(R(M) \cup(G \cap Z))$.
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[^0]:    Be wary，this is a non－continuous coordinatization．

[^1]:    *We are imitating here the usual proof of the homotopy extension theorem.

