

---

# TOPOLOGY PROCEEDINGS



Volume 2, 1977

Pages 457–460

---

<http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/>

## A NOTE ON FIXED POINTS IN TREE-LIKE CONTINUA

by

J. B. FUGATE AND L. MOHLER

---

### Topology Proceedings

**Web:** <http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/>

**Mail:** Topology Proceedings  
Department of Mathematics & Statistics  
Auburn University, Alabama 36849, USA

**E-mail:** [topolog@auburn.edu](mailto:topolog@auburn.edu)

**ISSN:** 0146-4124

COPYRIGHT © by Topology Proceedings. All rights reserved.

## A NOTE ON FIXED POINTS IN TREE-LIKE CONTINUA

J. B. Fugate and L. Mohler

### Introduction

A *continuum* is a compact connected metric space; a *tree* is a continuum which is the union of a finite collection of arcs, and contains no simple closed curve. A continuum  $M$  is *tree-like* provided that for each  $\epsilon > 0$  there is a tree  $T$  and a map  $f: M \rightarrow T$  such that the inverse image of each point of  $T$  has diameter less than  $\epsilon$  (such maps are called  $\epsilon$ -maps). It follows from [8] that a continuum is tree-like if, and only if, it is the inverse limit of a sequence of trees with bonding maps which are surjections.

In [1] Bing has asked if tree-like continua have the fixed-point property i.e. does each map of a tree-like continuum into itself have a fixed point? Affirmative answers for special cases of this question may be found in [2], [4], [5], and [6]. This work culminates in [7], where Manka shows that a hereditarily decomposable and hereditarily unicoherent continuum has the fixed point property. (A continuum is *hereditarily unicoherent* provided that the intersection of each pair of subcontinua is a continuum. Tree-like continua are hereditarily unicoherent; if a continuum is hereditarily decomposable, the converse holds [3].)

### Principal Theorem

We proceed to prove our main result. We are indebted to L. Wayne Goodwyn, who suggested this approach.

*Theorem.* If there is a tree-like continuum  $M$  and a fixed-point-free map  $f: M \rightarrow M$ , then there is an indecomposable tree-like continuum  $X$  and a homeomorphism  $h: X \rightarrow X$  such that  $h$  does not send any proper subcontinuum of  $X$  into itself.

*Proof.* Using the Brouwer Reduction Theorem, one can see that there is a subcontinuum  $Y$  of  $M$  which is minimal with respect to being mapped into itself. Clearly,  $f[Y] = Y$  and, as a subcontinuum of  $M$ ,  $Y$  is tree-like. Let  $Z$  be the inverse limit of the sequence  $(Y_i, f_i)$ , where, for each  $i$ ,  $Y_i = Y$  and  $f_i$  is  $f$  restricted to  $Y$ . Then  $Z$  is a continuum. We will show that  $Z$  is tree-like by showing that for each  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is an  $\epsilon$ -map of  $Z$  onto a tree. Suppose then that  $\epsilon > 0$  is fixed, and for each  $i$ , let  $Q_i$  be the projection map of  $Z$  onto  $Y_i$ . There is a positive integer  $j$  so that  $Q_j$  is an  $\epsilon$ -map. Using the compactness of  $Y_j$ , it is easy to see that there is a  $\delta > 0$  so that if  $A$  is a subset of  $Y_j$  of diameter less than  $\delta$ , then  $\text{diam}(Q_j^{-1}(A)) < \epsilon$ . Since  $Y_j$  is tree-like, there is a tree  $T$  and a  $\delta$ -map  $p: Y_j \rightarrow T$ . Then  $p \circ Q_j$  is an  $\epsilon$ -map of  $Z$  into  $T$ , so  $Z$  is tree-like.

We now define a homeomorphism  $h: Z \rightarrow Z$  by  $h(z_1, z_2, z_3, \dots) = (z_2, z_3, \dots)$ . As a function into a product space,  $h$  is clearly continuous. Moreover, if  $h(z) = h(x)$ , then  $(z_2, z_3, z_4, \dots) = (x_2, x_3, x_4, \dots)$  so  $z_i = x_i$  if  $i \geq 2$ . Then  $z_1 = f(z_2) = f(x_2) = x_1$ , so  $z = x$ , and  $h$  is one-to-one. Also,  $h$  is fixed-point free, since if  $h(x) = x$  then  $(x_2, x_3, x_4, \dots) = (x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots)$  and so  $x_2 = x_1 = f(x_2)$  and  $f$  fixes  $x_2$ , which contradicts our assumption about  $f$ .

We can again apply the Brouwer Reduction Theorem, and obtain a (necessarily tree-like) subcontinuum  $X$  of  $Z$  so that

$h[X] = X$  and no proper subcontinuum of  $X$  is carried into itself by  $h$ . To conclude our argument, we will use a technique of Gray to show that  $X$  must be indecomposable. Suppose, to the contrary, that there are proper subcontinua  $A_0$  and  $B_0$  of  $X$  such that  $X = A_0 \cup B_0$ .

For each positive integer  $i$ , let  $A_i = h^{-i}[A_0]$  and  $B_i = h^{-i}[B_0]$ . The two sequences  $A_0, A_1, \dots$  and  $B_0, B_1, \dots$  have the following properties:

- 1) For each  $i$ ,  $A_i$  and  $B_i$  are continua and  $X = A_i \cup B_i$
- 2)  $A_m \cap A_n \neq \phi$  if, and only if,  $A_{m+1} \cap A_{n+1} \neq \phi$
- 3)  $B_m \cap B_n \neq \phi$  if, and only if,  $B_{m+1} \cap B_{n+1} \neq \phi$ .

Applying [5, Lemma 2], we conclude that either  $\cap\{A_n : n \geq 0\} \neq \phi$  or  $\cap\{B_n : n \geq 0\} \neq \phi$ . Let  $L = \cap\{A_n : n \geq 0\}$  and suppose that  $L \neq \phi$ . Since  $X$  is hereditarily unicoherent,  $L$  is a continuum. Also,  $L \subset A_0$ , so  $L$  is a proper subcontinuum of  $X$ . Clearly,  $h[A_i] \subset A_{i-1}$  if  $i \geq 1$ , so  $h[L] \subset L$ , contradicting the fact that no proper subcontinuum of  $X$  is mapped into itself. This concludes the proof.

### References

1. R. H. Bing, *Snake-like continua*, Duke Math J. 18 (1951), 653-663.
2. K. Borsuk, *A theorem on fixed points*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. 2 (1954), 17-20.
3. H. Cook, *Tree likeness of dendroids and  $\lambda$ -dendroids*, Fund. Math. 68 (1970), 19-22.
4. J. B. Fugate and L. Mohler, *The fixed point property for tree-like continua with finitely many arc-components*, Pacific J. Math. 57 No. 2 (1975), 393-402.
5. W. F. Gray, *A fixed point theorem for commuting monotone functions*, Canad. J. Math. 21 (1969), 502-504.
6. O. H. Hamilton, *Fixed points under transformations of*

- continua which are not connected im kleinen*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 44 (1938), 18-24.
7. R. Manka, *End continua and fixed points*, Bull. Acad. Polon. des Sci. ser. Math. Astronom. Phys. (1975), no. 7, 761-766.
  8. S. Mardesic and J. Segal,  *$\epsilon$ -mappings onto polyhedra*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 109 (1963), 146-164.

University of Kentucky

Lexington, Kentucky 40506

and

The University of Alabama at Birmingham

Birmingham, Alabama