# TOPOLOGY PROCEEDINGS Volume 3, 1978 Pages 507–520

1 ages 501 520

http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/

## SOME REMARKS ON M-EMBEDDING

by

L. I. Sennott

**Topology Proceedings** 

Web:	http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/
Mail:	Topology Proceedings
	Department of Mathematics & Statistics
	Auburn University, Alabama 36849, USA
E-mail:	topolog@auburn.edu
TOON	0140 4104

**ISSN:** 0146-4124

COPYRIGHT © by Topology Proceedings. All rights reserved.

### SOME REMARKS ON M-EMBEDDING

#### L. I. Sennott

#### Section 1

There are four main results in this paper: (1) a necessary condition for the product of a space with any metric space to be normal, (2) a characterization of compact  $T_2$ spaces, (3) a complete analogue of the Morita-Hoshina Homotopy Extension Theorem (3.7 [13]) for ANR spaces, and (4) a characterization of spaces for which every metric space is an AE. Each of these results involves the notion of M-embedding, which was introduced in [17]. (See also [8], [15])

In what follows,  $\gamma$  will denote an infinite cardinal number, R will denote the reals, p the irrationals, and I the unit interval; all functions and pseudometrics will be assumed continuous. No separation axioms will be assumed unless stated.

We say a subspace S of a topological space X is  $M^{\gamma}$ -embedded ( $P^{\gamma}$ -embedded) in X if every function from S to a  $\gamma$ -separable (complete) metrizable AE extends to X. By an AE or ANR we mean an AE or ANR for metric spaces. By dropping the separability condition, we obtain definitions of P- and M-embedding. P-embedding has been extensively studied, for example, see [1, 2, 13, 14]. For definitions of C\*- and C-embedding see [6].

There are certain results we will frequently use, and we list them here.

(a) S is  $P^{\gamma}$ -embedded (M<sup> $\gamma$ </sup>-embedded) in X iff every function

Sennott

from S to a  $\gamma$ -separable Banach space (normed linear space) extends to X (p. 227 [1], Th. 1 [17]).

(β) X is  $\gamma$ -collectionwise normal iff every closed subset is P $^{\gamma}$ -embedded in X (p. 189 [1]).

( $\delta$ ) S is P<sup>8</sup>O-embedded in X iff S is C-embedded in X (p. 200 [1]).

(n) S is  $M^{\gamma}$ -embedded in X iff S is  $P^{\gamma}$ -embedded in X and given a  $\gamma$ -separable pseudometric d on X, there exists a zero set Z of X such that  $S \subset Z \subset \{x \in X: d(x, x_0) = 0 \text{ for some } x_0 \in S\}$  (Th. 1 [17]).

(0) S is  $M^{\gamma}$ -embedded in X iff S is  $P^{\gamma}$ -embedded in X and given a function f from X to a  $\gamma$ -separable metric space, there exists a zero set Z of X such that S  $\subset$  Z  $\subset$  f<sup>-1</sup>f(S) (Th. 1 [17]).

( $\kappa$ ) S is P<sup>Y</sup>-embedded in X iff S × Y is P<sup>Y</sup>-embedded in X × Y for every compact T<sub>2</sub> space Y with w(Y)  $\leq \gamma$  (p. 234 [1] (X need not be T<sub>3±</sub>)).

( $\lambda$ ) S is P<sup> $\gamma$ </sup>-embedded in X iff S × Y is C\*-embedded in X × Y for every compact T<sub>2</sub> space Y with w(Y)  $\leq \gamma$  (p. 234 [1]-for a sharpened version see [14]).

Removing the cardinality restrictions on each of these (except  $(\delta)$ ) produces characterizations of P- and M-embedding and of collectionwise normality.

#### Section 2

Since  $M^{\aleph_O}$ -embedding ( $P^{\aleph_O}$ -embedding) is equivalent to the extendability of every function into a separable (complete) metrizable AE and since  $P^{\aleph_O}$ -embedding is equivalent to C-embedding (fact ( $\delta$ ) of Section 1), one might wonder whether S

is  $M^{\aleph_O}$ -embedded in X iff (\*): every function from S into an AE embedded in R extends to X. Note that a subset of R is an AE iff it is an interval. 2.1 will show that the above conjecture is false as (\*) is equivalent to C-embedding. Example 2.4 of [8] (identical with the example on p. 224 of [17]) shows that C-embedding is strictly weaker than  $M^{\aleph_O}$ -embedding. (2.1 was first shown by R. Arens for closed subsets of normal spaces [2].)

2.1 Proposition. If S is C-embedded in X, every function from S to an interval K of R extends to X with values in K.

*Proof.* There is an extension g of f with  $g(X) \subset \overline{K}$ . Assuming that K is not closed,  $\overline{K}$  - K consists of 1 or 2 points and hence is a zero set of R. Hence  $g^{-1}(\overline{K} - K)$  is a zero set of X disjoint from S. Hence there exists h: X  $\rightarrow$  [0,1] such that h(S)  $\equiv$  1 and h( $g^{-1}(\overline{K} - K)$ )  $\equiv$  0 (p. 19 [6]). Fix  $r \in K$ and define f\* = hg + (1 - h)r.

This same idea will work if S is  $P^{\gamma}$ -embedded in X and f is a function from S to a convex subset K of a  $\gamma$ -separable Banach space B such that  $\overline{K}$  - K is a zero set in B. (See 4.1 [2])

Fact (0) of Section 1 with  $\gamma = \aleph_0$  tells us that S is  $M^{\aleph_0}$ -embedded in X iff it is  $P^{\aleph_0}$ -embedded and given a function f from X to a separable metric space, there exists a zero set Z of X such that  $S \subseteq Z \subseteq f^{-1}f(S)$ . One might ask whether  $M^{\aleph_0}$ -embedding is equivalent to C-embedding plus (\*\*): Given f: X  $\rightarrow$  R, there exists a zero set Z of X such that  $S \subseteq Z \subseteq$  $f^{-1}f(S)$ . The answer is again no.

To see this, let X be the unit disc in the plane (as a

set) and S = { (x,y):  $x^2 + y^2 < 1$ , or  $x^2 + y^2 = 1$  and x is rational}. Let X have the topology that makes the points of X - S discrete. Hence open sets of X are of the form  $U \cup V$ , where U is an open neighborhood in the ordinary metric topology and V is a subset of X - S. Any space formed in this way is hereditarily paracompact (see [10]). Hence S is a closed C-embedded subset of X. Since S is an AR that is not an absolute  $G_{\chi}$  (see p. 382 [7]), we can show that S is not a zero set of X. Since X is submetrizable (i.e. its topology contains a metric topology), it is clear from (n) in Section 1 that S is not  $M^{\aleph_O}$ -embedded in X. (To see this, let d be the metric topology on X.) However, let  $f: X \rightarrow R$  and observe that since S is connected, f(S) is an interval and hence is a  $G_{\xi}$ . Therefore  $f^{-1}f(S)$  is a  $G_{\xi}$  set of X containing S; since X is normal, there exists a zero set Z such that  $S \subset Z \subset f^{-1}f(S)$ .

#### Section 3

There is considerable interest in spaces whose product with every metric space is normal. A characterization of this class was given by Morita [11, 12]. A theorem due to Morita, Rudin, and Starbird states that if Y is metric and X normal and countably paracompact, then  $X \times Y$  is normal iff  $X \times Y$  is countably paracompact [16].

This section will produce a necessary condition for the product of a normal space X with every  $\gamma$ -separable metric space to be normal. If S is a subspace of X, we say that (X,S) has the  $\gamma$ -Zero-Set Interpolation Property ( $\gamma$ -ZIP) if whenever d is a  $\gamma$ -separable pseudometric on X, there exists

a zero set Z of X such that:

 $S \subset Z \subset \{x \in X: d(x,x_0) = 0 \text{ for some } x_0 \in S\}.$ By (n) in Section 1, we see that S is  $M^{\gamma}$ -embedded in X iff S is  $P^{\gamma}$ -embedded and (X,S) has the  $\gamma$ -ZIP. Hence the  $\gamma$ -ZIP is what needs to be added to  $P^{\gamma}$ -embedding to produce  $M^{\gamma}$ -embedding. By dropping the separability condition on d, we obtain a definition of the Zero-Set Interpolation Property (ZIP), and observe that S is M-embedded in X iff S is P-embedded in X and (X,S) has the ZIP. The following proposition is a slight generalization of an example communicated to the author by E. Michael (the example is written up in Section 3 of [18]).

3.1 Proposition. Let S be a closed subset of a normal space X such that S  $\times$  Y is C-embedded in X  $\times$  Y for every  $\gamma$ -separable metric space Y. Then (X,S) has the  $\gamma$ -ZIP.

*Proof.* Let d be a  $\gamma$ -separable pseudometric on X and let A = {x  $\in$  X: d(x,x<sub>0</sub>) = 0 for some x<sub>0</sub>  $\in$  S}. Let (Y,d) be the  $\gamma$ -separable metric space associated with the pseudometric space (X - A,d). For notational ease we will identify points of Y with those of X - A. Define f: S  $\times$  Y  $\rightarrow$  R by f(x,y) = 1/d(x,y). The map f is well-defined and continuous hence extends to g: X  $\times$  Y  $\rightarrow$  R.

Let  $H_n = \{x \in X - A: d(x,y) < 1/n \Rightarrow g(x,y) < n\}$ . We claim  $X - A = \bigcup_n H_n$ . Let  $x_o \in X - A$  and choose m such that  $g(x_o, x_o) < m$ . Since g is continuous there exists an open set U of X containing  $x_o$  and an  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that if  $x \in U$  and  $d(x_o, y) < \varepsilon$ , then g(x, y) < m. Choose n such that  $n \ge m$  and  $1/n \le \varepsilon$ . Then  $x_o \in H_n$ .

Hence we have  $H = \bigcap_{n} (X - \overline{H}_{n}) \subset A$ . We claim that  $S \subset H$ .

511

Sennott

This will finish the proof, for since S is closed, X is normal, and H is a  $G_{\delta}$ , we will be able to find a zero set Z such that  $S \subset Z \subset A$ . To show that  $S \subset H$ , argue by contradiction. Assume there exists  $x_{0} \in S \cap \overline{H}_{n}$  for some n. Choose  $y_{0} \in X \sim A$  such that  $d(x_{0}, y_{0}) < 1/2n$ . (We can do this since the topology generated by d is contained in the topology on X and  $x_{0} \in \overline{H}_{n}$ .) Then  $g(x_{0}, y_{0}) > 2n$ . Since g is continuous, there exists an open U containing  $x_{0}$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $x \in U$  and  $y \in Y$  with  $d(y, y_{0}) < \varepsilon$  implies g(x, y) > n.

Choose  $x \in U \cap H_n$  such that  $d(x, x_0) < 1/2n$ . Then  $d(x, y_0) \leq d(x, x_0) + d(x_0, y_0) < 1/n$ , hence  $g(x, y_0) < n$  (since  $x \in H_n$ ). However,  $x \in U$  and hence  $g(x, y_0) > n$ , which is the desired contradiction.

There are a number of corollaries of this result. For example:

3.2 Corollary. If  $X \times Y$  is normal for every metric Y, then every closed subset of X has the ZIP with respect to X.

3.3 Corollary. If  $X \times Y$  is normal for every separable metric Y, then every closed subset of X is  $M^{\aleph_O}$ -embedded in X. Proof. Use ( $\delta$ ) and ( $\eta$ ) of Section 1.

3.4 Corollary. Let X be a collectionwise normal space whose product with every metric space is normal. Then every closed subset of X is M-embedded in X.

*Proof.* Use  $(\beta)$  and  $(\eta)$  of Section 1.

3.5 Corollary (Michael). The following are equivalent for a submetrizable space X:

(a) X is perfectly normal.

(b)  $X \times Y$  is perfectly normal for every metric Y.

(c)  $X \times Y$  is normal for every metric Y.

*Proof.* (b)  $\Rightarrow$  (c) is clear and (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b) is known [9]. Hence we need only show (c)  $\Rightarrow$  (a). Assume (c) but suppose (a) fails. This implies that X is normal and submetrizable, but not perfectly normal. From the definition of the ZIP, it is clear that a subset of a submetrizable space X has the ZIP with respect to X iff it is a zero set. (One may see this by letting d be the metric whose topology is contained in that of X.) Hence X contains a closed subset S such that (X,S) fails to have the ZIP, so by 3.1 there exists a metric space Y such that X  $\times$  Y is not normal, giving a contradiction.

In fact, it is clear from the above that if X contains a  $\gamma$ -separable metric topology and fails to be perfectly normal, then there exists a  $\gamma$ -separable metric Y such that X × Y is not normal. More specifically, if m is a continuous metric on X and S is a closed non-G<sub> $\delta$ </sub> subset of X, then S × Y fails to be C-embedded in X × Y, where Y is the metric space (X - S,m). This shows immediately that X × P fails to be normal, where X is the Michael line and P the irrationals with their usual topology. A different proof was originally given in [10].

It is an open question whether the converse of 3.1 is true. 4.5 of Section 4 will shed some light on this question.

#### Section 4

Morita and Hoshina (Theorem 3.7 [13]) proved the

Sennott

#### following generalization of the Homotopy Extension Theorem:

4.1 Theorem. For a subspace S of a topological spaceX the following are equivalent:

(1) S is  $P^{\gamma}$ -embedded in X.

- (2)  $(S \times Y) \cup (X \times B)$  is  $P^{\gamma}$ -embedded in  $X \times Y$  for every compact  $T_2$  space Y with  $w(Y) \leq \gamma$  and its closed subset B.
- (3)  $(S \times I) \cup (X \times \{0\})$  is  $P^{\gamma}$ -embedded in  $X \times I$ .
- (4) (X,S) has the HEP with respect to every complete ANR space of weight <  $\gamma$ .

The analogue of 4.1 for  $M^{\gamma}$ -embedding is the following:

- 4.2 Theorem. The following are equivalent:
- (1) S is  $M^{\gamma}$ -embedded in X.
- (2) (S × Y) U (X × B) is  $M^{\gamma}$ -embedded in X × Y for every compact  $T_2$  space Y with  $w(Y) \leq \gamma$  and its closed subset B.
- (3) (S × I) U (X × {0}) is  $M^{\gamma}$ -embedded in X × I.
- (4) (X,S) has the HEP with respect to every ANR space of weight <  $\gamma$ .

*Proof.* The equivalence of (1), (3), and (4) is Theorem 2 of [17]. To complete the proof it remains to show (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). We state and prove the next theorem, then use it to show (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2).

4.3 Theorem (L. Sennott, R. Levy, M. D. Rice). The following are equivalent for a  $T_2$  space Y:

(1) The space Y is compact.

514

- (2) If Y is embedded in a  $T_{3\frac{1}{2}}$  space Z and X is any space, then X × Y is M-embedded in X × Z.
- (3) If Y is embedded in a  $T_{3\frac{1}{2}}$  space Z and X is any space, then X × Y is C\*-embedded in X × Z.

*Proof.* To show (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) let Y be a compact space embedded in a  $T_{3\frac{1}{2}}$  space Z, let X be any space, and let f: X  $\times$  Y  $\rightarrow$  L be a continuous function into a normed linear space L. By (a) of Section 1, it is sufficient to extend f to X × Z. Define g: X  $\rightarrow$  C\*(Y,L) by g(x)(y) = f(x,y). A standard argument shows that g is continuous when C\*(Y,L) has the sup norm topology. We then define h:  $q(X) \times Y \rightarrow L$  by h(q(x), y) = f(x, y) and observe that q(X) is a metric space and h is continuous. Now g(X)  $\times$   $\beta Z$  is the product of a metric space and a compact space and hence is a paracompact M-space. This implies that the closed subset  $g(X) \times Y$  is M-embedded (Proposition 2 of [17]). Hence we can lift h to h\*:  $g(X) \times \beta Z \rightarrow L$ . Defining f\*:  $X \times Z \rightarrow L$  by f\*(x,z) = h\*(q(x),z), one checks that this defines a continuous extension of f. Note: This proof uses an idea contained in the proof of Theorem 2 of [19] and in fact M. Starbird's Theorem 3 [19] is our (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) with C\*-embedding replaced by C-embedding.

Clearly (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3). Now assume (3) holds but Y is not compact. By Problem 6J of [6], the space Y is absolutely C\*-embedded and hence is almost compact. Let  $\beta Y - Y = \{\infty\}$ , and let  $\{U_{\alpha}: \alpha \in D\}$  be a base of open neighborhoods of  $\infty$  in  $\beta Y$ . We will define a space X such that X × Y is not C\*-embedded in X ×  $\beta Y$ . Define an ordering on D:  $\alpha < \beta$  iff  $U_{\beta} \subseteq U_{\alpha}$ . Then D becomes a directed set. Let  $X = D \cup \{q\}$ , where  $q \notin D$ , points of D are isolated and basic open neighborhoods of q are of the form  $\{q\} \cup \{\alpha: \alpha \ge \alpha_0\}$ . Denote this set by  $[\alpha_0, q]$ .

For each  $\alpha$ , choose a function  $f_{\alpha}$  on  $\beta Y$  such that  $f_{\alpha}(\beta Y - U_{\alpha})$  is identically 1 and  $f_{\alpha}(\infty) = 0$ . Define f:  $X \times Y \rightarrow [0,1]$  by  $f(\alpha, y) = f_{\alpha}(y)$  and f(q, y) = 1. Clearly f is continuous at points of the form  $(\alpha, y)$ . Fix  $(q, y_{0})$  and choose  $\alpha_{0}$  such that  $y_{0} \notin \overline{U}_{\alpha_{0}}$ . If  $(x, y) \in [\alpha_{0}, q] \times (Y - \overline{U}_{\alpha_{0}})$ , then f(x, y) = 1.

If there were an extension of f to all of  $X \times \beta Y$ , the extension would be 1 at all points of the form (q,y) with  $y \in Y$  and 0 at all points  $(\alpha, \infty)$ , which implies that the extension is not continuous at  $(q, \infty)$ .

Note: This proof is a generalization of an example given by Comfort and Negrepontis (Example 4.6 of [4]).

To complete the proof of 4.2, let S be  $M^{\gamma}$ -embedded in X, and Y and B be as in (2). By 4.3 (2) it is clear that X × B is  $M^{\gamma}$ -embedded in X × Y. By proposition 5 of [17], we have that S × Y is  $M^{\gamma}$ -embedded in X × Y. By Proposition 6 of [17], to show (2) it is sufficient to show that (S × Y) U (X × B) is  $P^{\gamma}$ -embedded in X × Y. But this is true from (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) of 4.1.

We now use 4.3 to obtain a generalization of  $(\kappa)$  in Section 1, which will throw further light on the results in Section 3.

4.4 Proposition. The following are equivalent:

(1) S is  $P^{\gamma}$ -embedded in X.

- (2)  $S \times Y$  is  $P^{\gamma}$ -embedded in  $X \times Y$  for every locally compact, paracompact  $T_2$  space Y with  $w(Y) \leq \gamma$ .
- (3) S  $\times$  Y is C\*-embedded in X  $\times$  Y for every locally

compact, paracompact  $T_2$  space Y with  $w(Y) \leq \gamma$ . Proof. (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) is clear and (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) is clear from ( $\lambda$ ) of Section 1. It remains to show (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). Let S be  $P^{\gamma}$ -embedded in X and Y as in (2). For each  $y \in Y$ , let U<sub>y</sub> denote an open neighborhood of y whose closure is compact. Let {f<sub> $\alpha$ </sub>:  $\alpha \in A$ } be a locally finite partition of unity subordinate to the cover {U<sub>y</sub>:  $y \in Y$ }, and let K<sub> $\alpha$ </sub> denote the compact set cl(Y - Z(f<sub> $\alpha$ </sub>)).

Let g: S × Y → B be a function into a  $\gamma$ -separable Banach space B. By ( $\alpha$ ) of Section 1, it is sufficient to extend g to X × Y. For each  $\alpha$ , the function  $g_{\alpha} = g | S × K_{\alpha}$  has an extension to  $h_{\alpha}$ : X × K<sub> $\alpha$ </sub> → B by ( $\kappa$ ) of Section 1. By 4.3 (2),  $h_{\alpha}$  extends to  $k_{\alpha}$ : X × Y → B. Then  $g^{*}(x,y) = \sum_{\alpha} f_{\alpha}(y)k_{\alpha}(x,y)$ is the desired extension of g.

4.5 Corollary. If S is C-embedded in X, then  $S \times Y$  is C-embedded in  $X \times Y$  for any locally compact metric space Y.

*Proof.* Let the compact sets  $K_{\alpha}$  be constructed as in the proof of (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) of 4.4. If Y is metric, then  $K_{\alpha}$  is compact metric. Let g:  $S \times Y \rightarrow R$ . By ( $\delta$ ) and ( $\kappa$ ) of Section 1,  $g_{\alpha} = g | S \times K_{\alpha}$  has an extension to  $h_{\alpha} : X \times K_{\alpha} \rightarrow R$ . The proof proceeds as in 4.4.

Comparing 3.1 and 4.5, we see that if S is a closed subset of a normal space X such that (X,S) fails to have ZIP, then there exists a non-locally compact metric space Y such that S  $\times$  Y is not C-embedded in X  $\times$  Y.

4.6 Corollary. If S is  $M^{\gamma}$ -embedded in X, then S  $\times$  Y is  $M^{\gamma}$ -embedded in X  $\times$  Y for any locally compact paracompact T<sub>2</sub> space Y with w(Y) <  $\gamma$ .

*Proof.* The proof of (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) of 4.4 goes through with B replaced by a  $\gamma$ -separable normed linear space. (To lift  $g_{\alpha}$  use 4.2 (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2).)

#### Section 5

As a final application of M-embedding, we generalize two results of E. Chang [3]. (Also see results of Ellis [5].) Although the results deal with ultranormal spaces, they are equivalent to the following:

5.1 Proposition (Chang, p. 38, 40 [3]). Let X be nonempty. The following are equivalent.

(1) X is a 0-dim collectionwise normal (normal) space.

(2) Every complete (separable) metric space is an AE forX.

5.2 Proposition (Chang, p. 43 [3]). Let s be a closed  $G_{\delta}$  subset of a 0-dim collectionwise normal (normal) space x, Y a (separable) metric space and  $f: s \rightarrow Y$ . Then f extends to x.

5.3 Proposition. Let X be nonempty. The following are equivalent.

(1) Every (separable) metric space is an AE for X.

(2) X is a 0-dim space in which every closed subset is  $M-(M^{N_0}-)$  embedded.

*Proof.* We prove the unbracketed equivalence. (1)  $\Rightarrow$ (2) is clear from 5.1 and the definition of M-embedding. To show (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1), let Y be a metric space, S a closed subset of X, and f: S  $\neq$  Y. Let  $\tilde{Y}$  denote the completion of Y with injection map i. Since X is a 0-dim collectionwise normal space, the map i  $\circ$  f: S +  $\tilde{Y}$  has an extension  $\tilde{f}$  to X, by 5.1. By ( $\theta$ ) of Section 1, there exists a zero set Z of X such that S  $\subset$  Z  $\subset \tilde{f}^{-1}f(S)$ . Hence  $\tilde{f}|Z$  maps Z into Y, so by 5.2 it can be lifted to f\*: X + Y, completing the proof.

In [15], Morita remarks that the following generalizations of known results can be proved: If dim X/S  $\leq$  n+1, then S is M<sup>Y</sup>-embedded (P<sup>Y</sup>-embedded) in X iff any map from S into a metric (complete metric) space of weight  $\leq \gamma$  which is LC<sup>n</sup> and C<sup>n</sup> can be extended to X. If dim X/S  $\leq$  n, then S is M<sup>Y</sup>-embedded (P<sup>Y</sup>-embedded) in X iff (X,S) has the homotopy extension property with respect to every metric (complete metric) space of weight  $\leq \gamma$  which is LC<sup>n</sup>.

#### References

- R. A. Alo and H. L. Shapiro, Normal topological spaces, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1974.
- R. Arens, Extension of coverings, of pseudometrics, and of linear-space-valued mappings, Can. J. Math. 5 (1953), 211-215.
- 3. Elizabeth B. Chang, Extending and lifting continuous functions on zero-dimensional spaces, Thesis, University of Maryland, 1972.
- 4. W. W. Comfort and S. Negrepontis, Extending continuous functions on X  $\times$  Y to subsets of  $\beta$ X  $\times$   $\beta$ Y, Fund. Math. 59 (1966), 1-12.
- 5. R. L. Ellis, Extending continuous functions on 0-dimensional spaces, Math. Ann. 186 (1970), 114-122.

- L. Gillman and M. Jerison, Rings of continuous functions,
  D. Van Nostrand Co., 1960.
- O. Hanner, Solid spaces and absolute retracts, Arkiv för Mate 1 (1951), 375-382.
- T. Hoshina, Remarks on Sennott's M-embedding, Sc. Rep. Tokyo Kyoiku Daigaku, Sec. A, 13 No. 380, 132-137.
- E. Michael, A note on paracompact spaces, Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 4 (1953), 831-838.
- 10. \_\_\_\_\_, The product of a normal space and a metric space need not be normal, Bull. Am. Math. Soc. 69 (1963), 375-376.
- K. Morita, Products of normal spaces with metric spaces, Math. Ann. 154 (1964), 365-382.
- Products of normal spaces with metric spaces
  II, Sc. Rep. Tokyo Kyoiku Daigaku, Sec. A, 8 (1963), 1-6.
- 13. \_\_\_\_\_ and T. Hoshina, C-embedding and the homotopy extension property, Gen. Top. and Appl. 5 (1975), 69-81.
- 14. \_\_\_\_\_, P-embedding and product spaces, Fund. Math. 93 (1976), 71-80.
- 15. \_\_\_\_, review of reference #8, Zentralblatt 357, #54010.
- M. E. Rudin and M. Starbird, Products with a metric factor, Gen. Top. and Appl. 5 (1975), 235-248.
- L. I. Sennott, On extending continuous functions into a metrizable AE, Gen. Top. and Appl. 8 (1978), 219-228.
- 18. \_\_\_\_, A necessary condition for a Dugundji extension property, Topology Proceedings 2 (1977), 265-280.
- M. Starbird, Extending maps from products, Studies in Topology, Academic Press, 1975, 559-564.

George Mason University

Fairfax, Virginia 22030