# TOPOLOGY PROCEEDINGS Volume 4, 1979

Pages 453-461

http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/

## A DIFFERENTIABLE, PERFECTLY NORMAL, NONMETRIZABLE MANIFOLD

by

G. Kozlowski and P. Zenor

**Topology Proceedings** 

Web:	http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/
Mail:	Topology Proceedings
	Department of Mathematics & Statistics
	Auburn University, Alabama 36849, USA
E-mail:	topolog@auburn.edu
TOONT	0140 4104

ISSN: 0146-4124

COPYRIGHT © by Topology Proceedings. All rights reserved.

### A DIFFERENTIABLE, PERFECTLY NORMAL, NONMETRIZABLE MANIFOLD

#### G. Kozlowski and P. Zenor\*

In answer to a question originally raised by Alexandroff in [A], Rudin and Zenor, using the continuum hypothesis, displayed an example of a perfectly normal, hereditarily separable, non-metrizable topological manifold [R,Z]. In this paper, we show that the Rudin-Zenor manifold can be constructed so that it is analytic. A key step in our construction is a modification of a theorem of Brown [B] which is interesting in its own light; namely, we show that if a differentiable manifold M has an atlas  $\{(V_i, \phi_i) | i \in \omega_0\}$  such that  $V_{i+1} \supset V_i$  and  $\phi_i(V_i) = \mathbf{R}^n$  for all  $i \in \omega_0$ , then M is diffeomorphic to  $\mathbf{R}^n$ .

The construction of the manifold follows very closely that of [R,Z] and we recommend that the reader be familiar with that paper before proceeding.

Let X be a set, and let n be a fixed positive integer.

A *chart* is a pair  $(U,\phi)$  where  $\phi: U \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$  is an injective function of a subset U of X onto an open subset  $\phi U$  of  $\mathbf{R}^n$ .

Two charts  $(U,\phi)$ ,  $(V,\phi)$  are *compatible*, if  $\phi(U \cap V)$  and  $\psi(U \cap V)$  are open subsets of **R**<sup>n</sup> and  $\psi\phi^{-1}|\phi(U \cap V): \phi(U \cap V)$ +  $\psi(U \cap V)$  is a diffeomorphism.

An *atlas* on the set X is a collection  $\{(U_j, \phi_j) | j \in J\}$ 

<sup>\*</sup>This author's research was partially supported by NSF Grant #MSC 7813270.

454

of charts such that  $X = \bigcup \{ U_j | j \in J \}$  and any two charts are compatible.

A differential structure  $\hat{D}$  on a set X is a maximal atlas. It is clear that any atlas is contained in a unique differential structure which is said to generate.

If A is an atlas on the set X, it is also clear that there is a unique topology on X with the property that  $\phi: U \rightarrow \phi U$  is a homeomorphism of the open set U onto  $\phi U$  for every chart  $(U, \phi)$ .

A smooth manifold is a set X together with a differential structure  $\hat{D}$  or X; notation:  $(X, \hat{D})$ . When there is no danger of confusion, one simply refers to the smooth manifold X.

Let  $D(r) = \{u \in \mathbf{R}^n | |u| \leq r\}$ , and let M be a smooth n-manifold. A subset D of M is said to be an n-disk, provided there is a chart  $(U,\phi)$  of M such that  $\phi D = D(r)$  for some psoitive number r. (This definition allows us to avoid some technicalities regarding differentiability on sets which are not open.)

If D is an n-disk in M, then a map f:  $M \rightarrow M$  is said to be a *radial diffeomorphism* in D, if there exist a chart  $(U,\phi)$  of M, a positive number  $\varepsilon$ , and a diffeomorphism  $\lambda: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  such that  $\phi D = D(1)$ ,  $\lambda(t) = t$  for all  $t < \varepsilon$  and all  $t > 1 - \varepsilon$ , f(x) = x for all  $x \in M - D$ , and  $f(x) = \phi^{-1}\Lambda\phi(x)$ for  $x \in D$ , where  $\Lambda: \mathbf{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n}$  if defined by  $\Lambda(u) = \lambda(|u|)u/|u|$ if  $u \neq 0$  and  $\Lambda(0) = 0$ . Because f is the identity on M - Dand a diffeomorphism of Int D, f:  $M \rightarrow M$  is in fact a diffeomorphism.

Lemma 1. If D<sub>1</sub>, D<sub>2</sub>, D<sub>3</sub>, D<sub>4</sub> are n-disks in a smooth

manifold M such that  $D_i \subset Int D_{i+1}$  for i = 1,2,3, then there is a diffeomorphism f:  $M \rightarrow M$  such that f(x) = x for  $x \in D_1 \cup (M - D_A)$  and  $Int fD_2 \supset D_3$ .

*Proof.* There is a radial diffeomorphism g: M + M in  $D_4$  which is the identity on a nonempty open subset B of Int  $D_1$  and which maps  $D_3$  into  $D_1$ , and there is a radial diffeomorphism h:  $M \neq M$  in  $D_2$  which maps  $D_1$  into V. Put  $f = h^{-1}g^{-1}h$ . If  $x \in D_3$ , then  $h(x) \in D_3$  and  $gh(x) \in D_1$  and consequently  $h^{-1}gh(x) \in Int D_2$ ; hence  $f^{-1}D_3 \in Int D_2$ , and therefore  $D_3 \subset g(Int D_2) = Int fD_2$ .

Theorem 1. If a differentiable manifold M has an atlas  $\{(U_i, \phi_i) | i \in w_0\}$  such that  $U_i \subseteq U_{i+1}$  and  $\phi_i U_i = \mathbf{R}^n$  for all  $i \in w_0$ , then M is diffeomorphic to  $\mathbf{R}^n$ .

*Proof.* Let  $h_i = \phi_i^{-1}$ :  $\mathbb{R}^n \neq U_i \subset M$ . From the hypothesis that  $U_i \subset U_{i+1}$  for  $i \in \omega_0$  it follows that there is a strictly increasing sequence of positive integers  $r_i$ ,  $i \in \omega_0$  such that  $U\{h_i D(r_i) | i \in \omega_0\} = M$  and  $h_i D(r_i) \subset Int h_{i+1} D(r_{i+1})$  for  $i \in \omega_0$ . Put  $Q_i = h_i D(r_i)$ .

We assert that there exist a sequence of diffeomorphisms  $f_i: M \rightarrow M$ ,  $i \in \omega_0$  and a strictly increasing sequence of positive numbers  $s_i$ ,  $i \in \omega_0$  with limit  $r_1$  such that  $A(i): f_i$  is the identity on  $M - Q_{i+1}$  and on  $f_{i-1} \cdots f_1 f_0 h_1 D(s_{i-1})$  and such that  $B(i): f_i \cdots f_1 f_0 h_1 D(s_i) \supset Q_i$ . To verify this assertion assume inductively that  $f_i$  and  $s_i$  for  $i = 0, 1, \cdots, k$  satisfy A(i) and B(i) for  $i = 0, 1, \cdots, k$ . Since  $f_k \cdots f_1 f_0 Q_1 \subset Int Q_{k+1}$ , there is  $s_{k+1} > s_k$  such that  $0 < r_i - s_{k+1} < 1/(k+1)$ , and the lemma applies to  $D_1 = f_k \cdots f_1 f_0 h_1 D(s_k)$ ,  $D_2 = f_k \cdots f_1 f_0 h_1 D(s_{k+1})$ ,  $D_3 = Q_{k+1}$ , and  $D_4 = Q_{k+2}$  to provide

To complete the proof of the Theorem, define F: Int  $Q_1 \neq M$  by  $F(x) = \lim_{k \neq \infty} F_k(x)$  where  $F_k = f_k \cdots f_1 f_0$ :  $M \neq M$ . Since  $F(x) = F_k(x)$  for  $x \in h_1 D(s_k)$ , F is welldefined and clearly a homeomorphism onto M. Since F is a diffeomorphism on each of the open sets Int  $h_1 D(s_k)$ ,  $k \in \omega_0$ , it is a diffeomorphism of Int  $Q_1$  (which is diffeomorphic to  $\mathbf{R}^n$ ) onto M.

Lemma 2. Any closed smooth embedding  $\mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^2$  extends to a diffeomorphism of  $\mathbf{R}^2$  onto itself.

*Proof.* Any closed embedding of **R** into  $\mathbf{R}^2$  extends to a closed embedding f:  $\mathbf{R} \times [-2,2] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^2$  by means of the Collaring Theorem.

Take a rectilinear triangulation T of  $\mathbf{R}^2 \setminus f(\mathbf{R} \times \{0\})$ . The 1-simplices of T which are not contained in  $f(\mathbf{R} \times [-1,1])$  comprise a sequence  $\{A(j) \mid j \in \omega\}$  with the property that for any compact set K in  $\mathbf{R}^2$  there is an index j(K) such that  $A(j) \cap K = \emptyset$  for all  $j \ge j(K)$ .

For each positive real number r define the band B(r) =  $\mathbf{R} \times [-2 + 1/r, 2 - 1/r]$ . We claim there is a sequence of closed embeddings  $F_m: \mathbf{R} \times [-2, 2] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^2$  ( $n \in \omega$ ) such that  $F_0 = F$  and for all  $n \in \omega$ :

(1)  $F_{n+1}(x) = F_n(x)$  for the points x of B(n) and (2)  $F_n(B(n)) \supset A(j)$  for all j < n.

If such a sequence exists, define F:  $\mathbf{R} \times (-2,2) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^2$  by  $F(\mathbf{x}) = \lim_{n \to \infty} F_n(\mathbf{x})$ ; then F extends f|B(1) and is a diffeomorphism onto an open set which contains every 1-simplex of the triangulation T of  $\mathbf{R}^2 - f(\mathbf{R} \times 0)$  and hence by simpleconnectivity every point of  $\mathbf{R}^2$ . It follows easily that there is a diffeomorphism of  $\mathbf{R}^2$  onto itself extending the original closed embedding  $\mathbf{R} \neq \mathbf{R}^2$ .

The claim is proved by induction. Assume  $F_n$  has been obtained satisfying (2).

If  $A(n) \cap F_n(B(n)) = \emptyset$ , it is easy to construct a diffeomorphism f of  $\mathbf{R}^2$  onto itself so that g is the identity on  $F_n(B(n))$  and  $g(A(n)) \subset F_n(B(n+1))$ . In this case, take  $F_{n+1} =$  $g^{-1}F_n$ . If A(n)  $\cap$   $F_n(B(n)) \neq 0$ , there is a finite sequence of closed subintervals  $\{C_1, C_2, \dots, C_r\}$  so that  $A(n) - \bigcup \{C_i \mid i \leq r\}$ is contained in  $F_n(B(n+\frac{1}{2}))$  and so that  $C_i \cap F_n(B(n)) = \emptyset$  for i  $\leq$  r. By a preliminary diffeomorphism, if necessary, we may assume the set of endpoints of  $C_i$  is a subset of  $F_n(B(n+\frac{1}{2}))$ for  $i \leq r$ . For each  $C_i$  let  $C_i^{t}$  be an arc lying in  $F(B(n+\frac{1}{2}))$  -F(B(n)) so that  $C_{i}^{!} \cup C_{i}^{!}$  is a simple closed curve so that  $C_{i}^{!} \cap C_{j} = \emptyset$  for all  $i \neq j$ . Let  $M = \{i \leq r | if j \neq i, C_{j} \}$  is not a subset of the bounded domain of  $C_{\frac{1}{2}} \, \cup \, C_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\, \prime}$  . For each  $i \in M$ , let  $C_i^{"}$  be an arc so that  $C_i \cup C_i^{!} \cup C_i^{"}$  is a  $\theta$ -curve with C, as the cross-arc such that if i  $\neq$  j are in M, then the 2-cells bounded by  $C_i^! \cup C_i^*$  and  $C_j^! \cup C_j^*$  are mutually exclusive and the 2-cells bounded by  $C_i^* \cup C_i^*$  does not intersect  $F_n(B(n))$ . Let  $M = \{i(1), i(2), \dots, i(t)\}$ . For each  $i \in M$ , let  $h_i$  be a diffeomorphism which is the identity on the complementary domain of  $C_i^t \cup C_j^u$  and so that  $h_i$  takes the 2-cells bounded by  $C_i \cup C_i$  into Int  $F_n(B(n+1))$ . Let  $h = h_{i(1)} \circ h_{i(2)} \circ \cdots \circ h_{i(t)}$  and let  $F_{n+1} = h^{-1} \circ F_{n}$ .

Notation. Throughout Lemma 2 and Theorem 3, we let

457

 $H = \{ (0, y) | y \leq 0 \}.$ 

Definition. We will say that the set K is enveloped by the open set U if  $K \subset int \overline{U}$ .

Lemma 3. Suppose that  $\{U(j)\}_{j\in\omega}$  is a sequence of open and connected subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , cl  $U(j+1) \subset U(j)$  and  $\bigcap_{j\in\omega} U(j)$ =  $\phi$ . Suppose further that:

- A.  $\{p(j)\}_{j\in\omega}$  is a sequence of points so that  $p(j) \in U(n)$  with  $\{|p(j)|\}_{j\in\omega}$  increasing and unbounded.
- B.  $\{N(j)\}_{j \in \omega}$  is a family of disjoint, infinite subsets of  $\omega$ .

Then there is a diffeomorphism g of  $\mathbf{R}^2$  onto an open subset of  $\mathbf{R}^2$  such that

(1)  $\mathbf{R}^2 - g(\mathbf{R}^2)$  is H.

(2) each point of H is a limit point of  $\{g(p(n)) | n \in N(j)\}$  for each  $j \in \omega$ .

(3)  $g(U_n)$  envelopes H for each  $n \in \omega$ .

Proof. We construct G in several steps:

Step 1. Let  $h_0$  be a diffeomorphism from  $\{(x,0) | x \in \mathbf{R}\}$ into  $\mathbf{R}^2$  so that  $h_0(n,0) = p(n)$  and  $h_0(\{(x,0) | x > n\}) \subset U(n)$ . Let  $h_1$  be the extension of  $h_0$  taking  $\mathbf{R}^2$  onto  $\mathbf{R}^2$  given by Lemma 2. Let  $h = h_1^{-1}$ .

Step 2. Let f be a diffeomorphism from  $\mathbf{R}^2$  onto  $\mathbf{R}^2$  which leaves the set {(x,0) | x > 0} fixed and so that {(x,y) | x > n}  $\subset f(h(U(n)))$ .

Step 3. Let  $S = \{s_i | i \in \omega\}$  be a countable dense subset of **R**. Let  $\phi$  be a diffeomorphism from  $\mathbf{R}^2$  into  $\mathbf{R}^2$  so that

458

- (a) φ(x,y) = (x,y') (i.e., φ is fixed on its first coordinate).
- (b) If  $N(j) = \{j(1), j(2), \dots\}$ , then  $\phi(j(i) + 1, 0) = (j(i) + 1, s_i)$ .

Thus, j(i) is the i<sup>th</sup> number in N(j) and  $\phi \circ f \circ h$  takes p(j(i)) onto  $(j(i) + 1, s_i)$ .

Step 4. Let  $\beta: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$  be defined by  $\beta(x,y) = (e^{-x},y)$ . Step 5. Let  $\gamma: \{(x,y) | x > 0\} \to \mathbb{R}^2$  - H be defined by  $\gamma(x,y) = (\sqrt{x^2 + y^2} \cos (\pi/2 + 2 \arctan (y/x), \sqrt{x^2 + y^2})$ sin  $(\pi/2 + 2 \arctan (y/x))$ . Finally  $g = \gamma \circ \beta \circ \phi \circ f \circ h$ is the desired diffeomorphism.

Theorem 2. Assuming the continuum hypothesis, there is a hereditarily separable, perfectly normal, analytic manifold that is not metrizable.

*Proof.* We will build a  $C^{\infty}$ -manifold; the existence of an analytic manifold will then follow from [K,P]. The construction is simply a "careful" version of the construction developed in [RZ]. Let  $D = D(1) = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^2 | |x| \leq 1\}$  and let  $D^0 = \text{int } D$ . Let  $\{x_{\alpha} | \alpha \in \omega_1\}$  be an indexing of  $D - D^0$  (using CH). Let  $\{H_{\alpha} | \alpha \in \omega_1\}$  be a collection of mutually exclusive copies of H. Let  $x_0 = \mathbf{R}^2$  and let  $x_{\alpha} = x_0 \cup [\cup_{\beta < \alpha} H_{\beta}]$  and using CH, let  $\{A_{\alpha} | \alpha \in \omega_1\}$  be an indexing of the countable subsets of X so that  $A_{\alpha} \subset X_{\alpha}$ . Let  $f_0$  be diffeomorphism from  $\mathbf{R}^2$  onto  $D^0$  and let F be the function defined by

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} f_0(\mathbf{x}) & \text{if } \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{R}^2 \\ \mathbf{x}_{\alpha} & \text{if } \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{H}_{\alpha} \end{cases}$$

and let  $f_{\alpha} = f | x_{\alpha}$ . We will inductively construct a

differentiable structure  $\hat{D}_{\alpha}$  on  $X_{\alpha}$  such that:

1.  $(X_{\alpha}, \hat{\partial}_{\alpha})$  is diffeomorphic to  $\mathbf{R}^2$ : i.e.  $\hat{\partial}_{\alpha}$  contains a chart  $(X_{\alpha}, \phi_{\alpha})$  with  $\phi_{\alpha}(X_{\alpha}) = \mathbf{R}^2$ .

2. If  $\beta < \alpha$ , then  $(X_{\beta}, \phi_{\beta}) \in \partial_{\alpha}$ .

3. If  $\gamma \leq \beta < \alpha$ ,  $x \in H_{\beta}$  and  $x_{\beta}$  is a limit point of  $f(A_{\alpha})$  in D, then x is a limit point of  $A_{\alpha}$  in  $(X_{\alpha}, T_{\alpha})$ , where  $T_{\alpha}$  is the topology on  $X_{\alpha}$  given by  $\partial_{\alpha}$ .

Let  $\partial_0$  be the usual differential structure on  $x_0 = \mathbf{R}^2$ generated by the atlas consisting of the single chart ( $x_0$ , identity map).

Suppose we have  $\hat{D}_{\alpha}$  satisfying (1)-(3) for all  $\alpha < \lambda < \omega_1$ .

Case I.  $\lambda$  is a limit ordinal: Let  $\partial_{\lambda}$  be the differential structure generated by  $\{(\mathbf{x}_{\theta}, \partial_{\theta}) | \theta < \lambda\}$ . That  $(\mathbf{X}_{\lambda}, \partial_{\lambda})$  is diffeomorphic to  $\mathbf{R}^2$  is given by Theorem 1.

Case II.  $\lambda = \alpha + 1$ : For each  $n \in \omega$ , let  $U_n = f_{\alpha}^{-1}(D_{1/n}(x_{\alpha}))$ , where  $D_{1/n}(x_{\alpha}) = \{x \in D | d(x, x_{\alpha}) < 1/n\}$ .

Then  $\{U_n\}$  is a nested sequence of open sets in  $X_{\alpha}$  such that  $\bigcap_{n \in \omega} \overline{U}_n = \phi$ . Let  $\{N_j\}_{j \leq \omega}$  be a disjoint family of infinite subsets of  $\omega$  and fix a l-l map i:  $\alpha + 1 + \omega$ . For each  $n \in \omega$ , choose  $p_n \in U_n$  so that if  $\beta \leq \alpha$  and  $x_{\alpha}$  is a limit point of  $f(A_{\beta})$  in D, then  $p_n \in A_{\beta} \cap U_n$  for all  $n \in N_{i(\beta)}$ .

Let  $\phi$  be the diffeomorphism from  $(X_{\alpha}, \partial_{\alpha})$  onto  $\mathbf{R}^2$  given by our induction and let g be the diffeomorphism given by Lemma 3 from  $\mathbf{R}^2$  into  $\mathbf{R}^2$  so that (1)  $\mathbf{R}^2 - g(\mathbf{R}^2)$  is H, (2) each part of H is a limit point of  $\{g(\phi(p(k))) | k \in N_j\}$  for each  $j \in \omega$ , and (3)  $g(U_n)$  envelopes H for each  $n \in \omega$ . Let  $\partial_{\alpha+1}$  be the differential structure on  $X_{\alpha+1}$  generated by the atlas  $\partial_{\alpha} \cup \{(X_{\alpha+1}, \phi_{\alpha+1})\}$  where  $\phi_{\alpha+1}|X_{\alpha} = g \circ \phi_{\alpha}, \phi_{\alpha+1}|H_{\alpha}$  is the identification of  $H_{\alpha}$  with H.

As in [RZ], the construction of  $\partial_{\alpha+1}$  is such that  $f_{\alpha+1}$ is continuous and our induction is complete. We will let  $\partial$  be the atlas on X generated by  $\cup_{\alpha < \omega_1} \partial_{\alpha}$  and let T be the topology on X given by  $\partial$ . The argument that (X,T) is hereditarily separable, perfectly normal, but not Lindelöf follows exactly as in [R,Z].

*Note*. As with the Rudin-Zenor manifold, we can, using **\$**, obtain a differentiable, perfectly normal, countably compact, hereditarily separable, non-metrizable manifold. It remains an open question if there is a complex analytic, perfectly normal, non-metrizable manifold.

### References

- [A] P. Alexandroff, On local properties of closed sets, Ann. Math. 36 (1935), 1-35.
- [B] M. Brown, The monotone union of open n-cells is an open n-cell, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 12 (1961), 812-814.
- [K,P] W. Koch and D. Puppe, Differenzierbare Structuren auf Mauigfaltigkeiten ohne abzahlbare Basis, Archiv der Math. 19 (1968), 95-102.
- [R,Z] M. E. Rudin and P. Zenor, A perfectly normal nonmetrizable manifold, Houston J. Math. 2 (1976), 129-134.

Auburn University

Auburn, Alabama 36849