
TOPOLOGY PROCEEDINGS



Volume 5, 1980

Pages 185–186

<http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/>

ON AN EXAMPLE OF SUNDARESAN

by

BRIAN M. SCOTT

Topology Proceedings

Web: <http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/>

Mail: Topology Proceedings
Department of Mathematics & Statistics
Auburn University, Alabama 36849, USA

E-mail: topolog@auburn.edu

ISSN: 0146-4124

COPYRIGHT © by Topology Proceedings. All rights reserved.

ON AN EXAMPLE OF SUNDARESAN

Brian M. Scott

In [Su] Sundaresan constructed a compact T_2 -space X such that if Y and Z are the results of adding one and two isolated points, respectively, to X , then $X \cong Z \not\cong Y$. (' \cong ' denotes homeomorphism.) Thus, since each of X and Y embeds in the other, there is no Schroeder-Bernstein theorem for compact T_2 -spaces and embeddings. Also, $X + X \cong X + Z \cong Y + Y$, where '+' denotes discrete union, and it follows from the well-known Banach-Stone theorem [Da] that $C(X + X, \mathbb{R})$ and $C(Y + Y, \mathbb{R})$ are isometric (denoted by ' \cong '). This was the focus of interest in [Su]; for if R_∞^2 is \mathbb{R}^2 with the sup norm, then $C(X, R_\infty^2) \cong C(X + X, \mathbb{R}) \cong C(Y + Y, \mathbb{R}) \cong C(Y, R_\infty^2)$, showing that the Banach-Stone theorem cannot be extended to arbitrary real Banach spaces.

At any rate, X has a number of interesting features, all but one of which (given X) are easy to verify. More difficult is that $X \not\cong Y$; nevertheless, the proof in [Su] is unnecessarily long and indirect, as I now show.

X is obtained by pasting together the remainders of two copies of $\beta\omega$. More precisely, let $X = \omega^* \cup (\omega \times 2)$, where $\omega^* = \beta\omega \setminus \omega$, and let $\pi: X \rightarrow \beta\omega$ be the obvious projection; the topology on X is the coarsest making π continuous and each point of $N = \omega \times 2$ isolated. Let $N_i = \omega \times \{i\}$, $i \in 2$. Intuitively, $X \not\cong Y$ because the extra point in Y must be added to one of the N_i 's, and this 'skews' the

pasting-together: the two copies of ω^* no longer line up right. (In Z , of course, we can think of one new point as extending N_0 , the other N_1 , so that the two copies of ω^* , being similarly 'shifted,' still line up.)

To express this idea rigorously, let $P_n = \{n\} \times 2$ for $n \in \omega$, and let $\mathcal{P} = \{P_n : n \in \omega\}$. A function $f: X \rightarrow X$ preserves pairs iff $f[P] \in \mathcal{P}$ for all but finitely many $P \in \mathcal{P}$, and the idea is that any embedding $h: X \rightarrow X$ must preserve pairs. Otherwise, since h is 1-1, an easy recursion suffices to produce an infinite $M \subseteq \omega$ such that $\pi \circ h$ is 1-1 on $\cup\{P_n : n \in M\}$. Let $H_i = M \times \{i\}$ for $i \in 2$. Then $(\text{cl}_X H_i) \setminus N = (\text{cl}_{\beta\omega} M) \setminus \omega \neq \emptyset$ for $i \in 2$, so $(\text{cl}_X h[H_0]) \setminus N = (\text{cl}_X h[H_1]) \setminus N \neq \emptyset$. But $(\text{cl}_X h[H_i]) \setminus N = (\text{cl}_{\beta\omega} \pi[h[H_i]]) \setminus \omega$ for $i \in 2$, $\pi[h[H_0]] \cap \pi[h[H_1]] = \emptyset$, and disjoint subsets of ω have disjoint closures in $\beta\omega$, so the sets $\text{cl}_X h[H_i]$ ($i \in 2$) must be disjoint; this is the desired contradiction.

If, now, $h: Y \leftrightarrow X$ is a homeomorphism, then $h \upharpoonright X$ preserves pairs. Let $A = \cup\{P_n \in \mathcal{P} : h[P_n] \in \mathcal{P}\} \cup \omega^*$. Then clearly $|X \setminus h[A]|$ is finite and even, $|Y \setminus A|$ is finite and odd, and $h \upharpoonright (Y \setminus A)$ is a bijection between these two sets, which is absurd. Hence $X \not\cong Y$.

References

- [Da] M. M. Day, *Normed linear spaces*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1962.
- [Su] K. Sundaresan, *Banach spaces with Banach-Stone property*, *Studies in Topology* (N. M. Stavrakas and K. R. Allen, eds.), Academic Press, New York, 1975, pp. 573-580.

The Cleveland State University
Cleveland, Ohio 44115