# TOPOLOGY PROCEEDINGS Volume 5, 1980 Pages 185–186

http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/

## ON AN EXAMPLE OF SUNDARESAN

by

BRIAN M. SCOTT

### **Topology Proceedings**

Web:	http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/
Mail:	Topology Proceedings
	Department of Mathematics & Statistics
	Auburn University, Alabama 36849, USA
E-mail:	topolog@auburn.edu
ISSN:	0146-4124

COPYRIGHT © by Topology Proceedings. All rights reserved.

#### ON AN EXAMPLE OF SUNDARESAN

#### Brian M. Scott

In [Su] Sundaresan constructed a compact T<sub>2</sub>-space X such that if Y and Z are the results of adding one and two isolated points, respectively, to X, then  $X \cong Z \not\cong Y$ . (' $\tilde{=}$ ' denotes homeomorphism.) Thus, since each of X and Y embeds in the other, there is no Schroeder-Bernstein theorem for compact T2-spaces and embeddings. Also,  $X + X \stackrel{\sim}{=} X + Z \stackrel{\sim}{=} Y + Y$ , where '+' denotes discrete union, and it follows from the well-known Banach-Stone theorem [Da] that C(X + X, R) and C(Y + Y, R) are isometric (denoted by 'E'). This was the focus of interest in [Su]; for if  $R_{m}^{2}$  is  $R^{2}$  with the sup norm, then  $C(X, R_{m}^{2}) \equiv C(X + X, R) \equiv$  $C(Y + Y,R) \equiv C(Y,R_{\infty}^{2})$ , showing that the Banach-Stone theorem cannot be extended to arbitrary real Banach spaces.

At any rate, X has a number of interesting features, all but one of which (given X) are easy to verify. More difficult is that  $X \not\geq Y$ ; nevertheless, the proof in [Su] is unnecessarily long and indirect, as I now show.

X is obtained by pasting together the remainders of two copies of  $\beta \omega$ . More precisely, let  $X = \omega^* \cup (\omega \times 2)$ , where  $\omega^* = \beta \omega \setminus \omega$ , and let  $\pi: X \to \beta \omega$  be the obvious projection; the topology on X is the coarsest making  $\pi$  continuous and each point of N =  $\omega \times 2$  isolated. Let N<sub>i</sub> =  $\omega \times \{i\}$ , i  $\in$  2. Intuitively, X  $\neq$  Y because the extra point in Y must be added to one of the  $N_i$ 's, and this 'skews' the

pasting-together: the two copies of  $\omega^*$  no longer line up right. (In Z, of course, we can think of one new point as extending N<sub>0</sub>, the other N<sub>1</sub>, so that the two copies of  $\omega^*$ , being similarly 'shifted,' still line up.)

To express this idea rigorously, let  $P_n = \{n\} \times 2$  for  $n \in \omega$ , and let  $\mathcal{P} = \{P_n : n \in \omega\}$ . A function f:  $X \to X$ preserves pairs iff f[P]  $\in \mathcal{P}$  for all but finitely many  $P \in \mathcal{P}$ , and the idea is that any embedding h:  $X \to X$  must preserve pairs. Otherwise, since h is 1-1, an easy recursion suffices to produce an infinite  $M \subseteq \omega$  such that  $\pi^{\circ}h$  is 1-1 on  $\cup\{P_n : n \in M\}$ . Let  $H_i = M \times \{i\}$  for  $i \in 2$ . Then  $(cl_XH_i)\setminus N = (cl_{\beta\omega}M)\setminus \omega \neq \emptyset$  for  $i \in 2$ , so  $(cl_Xh[H_0])\setminus N =$   $(cl_Xh[H_1])\setminus N \neq \emptyset$ . But  $(cl_Xh[H_i])\setminus N = (cl_{\beta\omega}\pi[h[H_i]])\setminus \omega$ for  $i \in 2$ ,  $\pi[h[H_0]] \cap \pi[h[H_1]] = \emptyset$ , and disjoint subsets of  $\omega$  have disjoint closures in  $\beta\omega$ , so the sets  $cl_Xh[H_i]$ 

If, now, h:  $Y \leftrightarrow X$  is a homeomorphism, then h+X preserves pairs. Let  $A = \bigcup \{P_n \in \mathcal{P}: h[P_n] \in \mathcal{P}\} \cup \omega^*$ . Then clearly  $|X \setminus h[A]|$  is finite and even,  $|Y \setminus A|$  is finite and odd, and h+(Y \setminus A) is a bijection between these two sets, which is absurd. Hence  $X \neq Y$ .

#### References

- [Da] M. M. Day, Normed linear spaces, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1962.
- [Su] K. Sundaresan, Banach spaces with Banach-Stone property, Studies in Topology (N. M. Stavrakas and K. R. Allen, eds.), Academic Press, New York, 1975, pp. 573-580.

The Cleveland State University Cleveland, Ohio 44115