
TOPOLOGY PROCEEDINGS



Volume 5, 1980

Pages 187–200

<http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/>

IRREDUCIBLE SPACES AND PROPERTY

b_1

by

J. C. SMITH

Topology Proceedings

Web: <http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/>

Mail: Topology Proceedings
Department of Mathematics & Statistics
Auburn University, Alabama 36849, USA

E-mail: topolog@auburn.edu

ISSN: 0146-4124

COPYRIGHT © by Topology Proceedings. All rights reserved.

IRREDUCIBLE SPACES AND PROPERTY b_1

J. C. Smith

1. Introduction

In an unpublished paper [8] J. Chaber introduced a topological property which he called *property b_1* . Chaber showed that this property plays an important role in the study of metacompact and θ -refinable spaces. Since these classes of spaces are irreducible, it is natural to investigate the relationship between property b_1 and irreducibility. A topological space X is *irreducible* if every open cover of X has an open refinement which is a minimal cover of X . Studies of irreducible spaces have been made by R. Arens and J. Dugundji [1], J. Boone [3,4], U. Christian [9,10], the author [17,18,19], and J. Worrell and H. Wicke [21].

In this paper we investigate property b_1 and its natural variations. In particular we show in Section 2 that property b_1 is actually stronger than the notion of weakly $\bar{\theta}$ -refinable but a weaker version of property b_1 is implied by weakly $\bar{\theta}$ -refinable. Also in Section 3 we show that another weaker version of property b_1 always implies irreducibility. Application of these results are given in Section 4 where several unanswered questions are solved. A number of new problems are also included.

The following notions and definitions are included for the benefit of the reader.

Notation. Let $\mathcal{F} = \{F_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$ be a collection of subsets of a space X . We will denote $\bigcup_{\alpha \in A} F_\alpha$ by $\bigcup \mathcal{F}$.

Definition 1.1. A space X is called *weakly $\bar{\theta}$ -refinable* provided every open cover \mathcal{G} of X has a refinement $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{G}_i$ satisfying:

(i) each $\mathcal{G}_i = \{G(\alpha, i) : \alpha \in A_i\}$ is a collection of open subsets of X ,

(ii) for each $x \in X$, there exists an integer $n(x)$ such that $0 < \text{ord}(x, \mathcal{G}_{n(x)}) < \infty$,

(iii) if $x \in X$, then $x \in G_i^*$ for only finitely many i , where $G_i^* = \bigcup \mathcal{G}_i$.

Naturally, a cover $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{G}_i$ satisfying (i)-(iii) above is called a *weak $\bar{\theta}$ -cover*. Spaces satisfying only (i) and (ii) are called *weakly θ -refinable* and were introduced by Bennett and Lutzer [2].

Definition 1.2. A space X is called *θ -refinable* if every open cover \mathcal{G} of X has a refinement $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{G}_i$ where each \mathcal{G}_i is an open cover of X and property (ii) above is satisfied.

The following property was introduced by J. Chaber in an unpublished paper [8]. This property was shown to play an important role in the study of θ -refinable and metacompact spaces as stated in the next theorem.

Definition 1.3. A space X is said to have *property b_1* if each open cover \mathcal{U} of X can be refined by a cover $\mathcal{F} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_i$ such that,

\mathcal{J}_n is a locally finite collection of closed sets
in $X - \bigcup_{k < n} [\bigcup \mathcal{J}_k]$.

Theorem 1.4. (1) A space X is metacompact iff X is almost expandable and has property b_1 .

(2) A space X is θ -refinable iff X is almost θ -expandable and has property b_1 .

Properties of almost expandable and almost θ -expandable spaces are discussed in [8,13,14,16,17,20].

Definition 1.5. A collection $\mathcal{J} = \{F_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$ is called *hereditarily closure-preserving* (HCP) provided for every $B \subseteq A$ and every collection $\{H_\beta : \beta \in B\}$, where $H_\beta \subseteq F_\beta$, we have that $\bigcup_{\beta \in B} \overline{H_\beta} = \overline{\bigcup_{\beta \in B} H_\beta}$.

Definition 1.6. A space X is said to have property $B(D(\text{resp. LF, HCP}), \alpha)$ if each open cover \mathcal{U} of X has a refinement $\bigcup_{s < \alpha} \mathcal{J}_s$, such that for each $s < \alpha$

(1) \mathcal{J}_s is a discrete (resp. locally finite, HCP) collection of closed sets in $X - \bigcup_{s' < s} [\bigcup \mathcal{J}_{s'}]$.

(2) $\bigcup_{s' < s} [\bigcup \mathcal{J}_{s'}]$ is closed in X .

Remark. Note that property $B(LF, \omega_0) \equiv$ property b_1 according to Chaber [8]. It should be clear that property $B(D, \alpha) \Rightarrow$ property $B(LF, \alpha) \Rightarrow$ property $B(HCP, \alpha)$ for each α .

Definition 1.7. A collection \mathcal{V} is a "partial" refinement of a collection \mathcal{U} provided each member of \mathcal{V} is contained in some member of \mathcal{U} . (It need not be the case that $\bigcup \mathcal{V} = \bigcup \mathcal{U}$.)

2. Property $B(D, \omega_0)$ and Weakly $\bar{\theta}$ -Refinable Spaces

In order to begin our study it is interesting to note that property $B(D, \omega_0)$ is stronger than the property of weak $\bar{\theta}$ -refinability.

Theorem 2.1. If a space X has property $B(D, \omega_0)$ then X is weakly $\bar{\theta}$ -refinable.

Proof. Let \mathcal{U} be an open cover of X . Then \mathcal{U} has a refinement $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{J}_i$ satisfying (1) and (2) in Definition 1.6 above. We now construct the sequence $\{\mathcal{G}_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ satisfying properties (i)-(iii) of Definition 1.1 above.

Now for each $\alpha \in A$ and each $n < \omega_0$, choose $U(\alpha, n) \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $F(\alpha, n) \subseteq U(\alpha, n)$ where $F(\alpha, n) \in \mathcal{J}_n$.

Define $G(\alpha, n) = U(\alpha, n) - \bigcup_{\beta \neq \alpha} F(\beta, n) = \bigcup_{k < n} [U \mathcal{J}_k]$ for each $\alpha \in A$ and $n < \omega_0$ and let

$$\mathcal{G}_n = \{G(\alpha, n) : \alpha \in A\}.$$

It is clear that each \mathcal{G}_n is a collection of open subsets of X . Furthermore if $x \in X$ choose $n(x)$ to be the first integer for which x belongs to some member $F(\alpha, n(x))$ of $\mathcal{J}_{n(x)}$. Then x belongs to only $G(\alpha, n(x)) \in \mathcal{G}_{n(x)}$ and x belongs to no member of \mathcal{G}_k for $k > n(x)$. Therefore $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{G}_i$ satisfies properties (i)-(iii) in Definition 1.1 above so that X is weakly $\bar{\theta}$ -refinable.

Remark. The author conjectures that property $B(D, \omega_0)$ and weakly $\bar{\theta}$ -refinability are not equivalent. In fact, the author conjectures that there is a space X which is weakly $\bar{\theta}$ -refinable and has property $B(D, \omega_0+1)$ but does not

have property $B(D, \omega_0)$. Such examples however appear to be somewhat complicated.

Theorem 2.2. Every weakly $\bar{\theta}$ -refinable space has property $B(D, (\omega_0)^2)$.

Proof. Let $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{G}_i$ be a weak $\bar{\theta}$ -cover of X where $\mathcal{G}_i = \{G(\alpha, i) : \alpha \in A\}$. Let $G_k^* = \bigcup \mathcal{G}_k$ for each k and $\mathcal{G}^* = \{G_k^*\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$. Define for each $i \geq 1$ and $j \geq 1$,

$$P(i, j) = \{x \in X : \text{ord}(x, \mathcal{G}^*) < i \text{ or } \text{ord}(x, \mathcal{G}^*) = i \text{ and } 0 < \text{ord}(x, \mathcal{G}_k) \leq j \text{ for some } k\}$$

We show that for each (i, j) there exists a sequence of collections $\{\mathcal{J}_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ such that \mathcal{J}_k is a discrete closed collection in $X - P(i, j)$. Since $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} P(i, j)$ and $P(i, j+1) = P(i, j) \cup \{\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} [\bigcup \mathcal{J}_k]\}$ the proof will be complete. Let i and j be fixed.

Define, $H_i = \{x \in X : \text{ord}(x, \mathcal{G}^*) \leq i\}$.

$$\beta_k = \{B \subseteq A_k : |B| = j + 1\}.$$

$$S_k = \{x \in X : 0 < \text{ord}(x, \mathcal{G}_k) \leq j + 1\}.$$

Now for each k and each $B \in \beta_k$ let $F(B, k) = [\bigcap_{\alpha \in B} G(\alpha, k)] \cap$

$$[G_k^* \cap H_i \cap S_k] \text{ and } \mathcal{J}_k = \{F(B, k) : B \in \beta_k\}.$$

We assert that \mathcal{J}_k is a discrete closed collection in $X - P(i, j)$. Let k be fixed and $x \in X - P(i, j)$. Then $\text{ord}(x, \mathcal{G}^*) \geq i$.

(1) If $\text{ord}(x, \mathcal{G}^*) > i$, then $X - H_i$ is a neighborhood of x which intersects no member of \mathcal{J}_k .

(2) Suppose $\text{ord}(x, \mathcal{G}^*) = i$.

Case I. If $x \notin G_k^*$, then x belongs to exactly i other members $\{G_{\alpha_\ell}^* : \ell = 1, 2, \dots, i\}$ of \mathcal{G}^* . Hence $\bigcap_{\ell=1}^i G_{\alpha_\ell}^*$ is a

neighborhood of x which misses $G_k^* \cap H_i$ and hence intersects no member of \mathcal{J}_k .

Case II. Suppose $x \in G_k^*$. If $\text{ord}(x, \mathcal{G}_k) > j + 1$ then x belongs to at least $j + 2$ members of \mathcal{G}_k , say $G(\alpha_\ell, k)$ for $\ell = 1, \dots, j+2$. But $\bigcap_{\ell=1}^{j+2} G(\alpha_\ell, k) \cap S_k = \phi$, so $\bigcap_{\ell=1}^{j+2} G(\alpha_\ell, k)$ intersects no member of \mathcal{J}_k .

Finally if $\text{ord}(x, \mathcal{G}_k) = j + 1$ then x belongs to exactly $j + 1$ members of \mathcal{G}_k , $G(\alpha_\ell, k)$ for $\ell = 1, 2, \dots, j+1$. Then $\bigcap_{\ell=1}^{j+1} G(\alpha_\ell, k)$ intersects only $F(B, k)$ where $B = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{j+1}\}$.

It is easy to see that $P(i, j+1) = P(i, j) \cup [\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} [\bigcup \mathcal{J}_k]]$ so that the proof is complete. Hence X has property $B(D, (\omega_0)^2)$.

Remark. It is important to note that in the construction above, the families \mathcal{J}_k cover all points which have finite positive order with respect to some \mathcal{G}_k .

Lemma. If \mathcal{U} be an open cover of a space X and C a closed subset of X . Suppose that $\mathcal{J} = \{F_\alpha: \alpha \in A\}$ is a partial refinement of \mathcal{U} such that

- (1) each member of \mathcal{J} is closed in $X - C$ and
- (2) \mathcal{J} is locally finite on $X - C$.

Then there exists a sequence of open collections $\{\mathcal{G}_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ which partially refined \mathcal{U} , such that each $x \in [U\mathcal{J}] - C$ has finite positive order with respect to some \mathcal{G}_k . (In fact, $\text{ord}(x, \mathcal{G}_k) = 1$ for some k .)

Proof. Now if $\Gamma_n = \{B: B \subseteq A, |B| = n\}$, define $H(B) = \bigcap_{\beta \in B} F_\beta$, for each $B \in \Gamma_n$. Note that $H(B) \subseteq U(B)$ for some $U(B) \in \mathcal{U}$. Let $\mathcal{G}_n = \{G(B): B \in \Gamma_n\}$, where

$G(B) = [U(B) - C] - \cup\{H(B') : B' \in \Gamma \text{ and } B' \neq B\}$. Clearly \mathcal{G}_n is a collection of open sets for each n . Furthermore if $x \in [U\mathcal{J}] - C$, then $\text{ord}(x, \mathcal{J}) = k$ for some k ; so x belongs to exactly $F_{\alpha_1}, F_{\alpha_2}, \dots, F_{\alpha_k}$. Therefore $x \in G(B)$ only when $B = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k\}$. Hence $\text{ord}(x, \mathcal{G}_k) = 1$.

Theorem 2.3. If a space X has property $B(LF, (\omega_0)^2)$, then X is weakly θ -refinable.

Proof. Suppose X has property $B(LF, (\omega_0)^2)$ and \mathcal{U} is an open cover of X . Then there exists a collection of families $\{\mathcal{J}_s : s < (\omega_0)^2\}$ such that

- (i) each member of \mathcal{J}_s is closed in $X - \bigcup_{s' < s} [U\mathcal{J}_{s'},]$,
- (ii) $\bigcup_{s' < s} [U\mathcal{J}_{s'},]$ is closed in X for each s ,
- (iii) \mathcal{J}_s is locally finite in $X - \bigcup_{s' < s} [U\mathcal{J}_{s'},]$.

By the previous lemma, there exists for each s , a sequence $\{\mathcal{G}_i^s\}_{i=1}^\infty$ of open collections such that each point $x \in [U\mathcal{J}_s]$ - $\bigcup_{s' < s} [U\mathcal{J}_{s'},]$ has finite positive order with respect to \mathcal{G}_k^s , for some k . Without loss of generality we may assume that each \mathcal{G}_k^s is a partial refinement of \mathcal{U} . It is easy to see that $\{\bigcup_{i < \omega_0} \bigcup_{s < (\omega_0)^2} \mathcal{G}_i^s\}$ is a weak θ -refinement of \mathcal{U} , and hence X is weakly θ -refinable.

Remark. It should be noted that Theorem 2.3 above remains true for any countable ordinal β . The proof is similar.

Summary. Property $B(D, \omega_0) \Rightarrow$ weakly $\bar{\theta}$ -refinable \Rightarrow property $B(D, \omega_0)^2 \Rightarrow$ property $B(LF, (\omega_0)^2) \Rightarrow$ weakly θ -refinable.

3. Property B (HCP, α) and Irreducibility

In [17] the author obtained the following result.

Theorem 3.1. Every weak $\bar{\theta}$ -refinable space is irreducible.

Since property $B(D, \omega_0) \Rightarrow$ weakly $\bar{\theta}$ -refinable, every space with property $B(D, \omega_0)$ is irreducible. Here we can obtain the stronger result, that every space with property $B(\text{HCP}, \alpha)$ is irreducible.

The following lemmas are straightforward, and hence their proofs are omitted.

Lemma 3.2. Let $H \subseteq X$ and let \mathcal{U} be a collection of open sets in X which covers H . If $\mathcal{U}|_H$ has a minimal open (in H) refinement then there exists an open (in X) collection \mathcal{V} which partially refines \mathcal{U} and covers H , such that \mathcal{V} is a minimal open cover of $\mathcal{U}\mathcal{V}$.

Lemma 3.3. Let X be a topological space and $H = \bigcup_{s < \alpha} H_s$ where $\bigcup_{s' < s} H_{s'}$ is a closed subset of X for each $s < \alpha$. Let \mathcal{U} be a collection of open subsets of X which covers H . If for each $s < \alpha$, \mathcal{W}_s is a collection of open subsets of X which partially refines \mathcal{U} and covers $H_s - \bigcup_{s' < s} [\mathcal{U}\mathcal{W}_{s'}]$ minimally, then there exists a collection \mathcal{V} of open subsets of X which partially refines \mathcal{U} , covers H , and is a minimal open cover of $\mathcal{U}\mathcal{V}$.

Theorem 3.4. Let $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$ be a collection of open subsets of a space X and $\mathcal{H} = \{H_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$ a hereditarily

closure preserving collection such that $H_\alpha \subseteq U_\alpha$ for each $\alpha \in A$. Then \mathcal{U} has an open partial refinement which covers $\cup \mathcal{H}$ and is a minimal open cover of its union.

Proof. Suppose that $\mathcal{H} = \{H_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$ is a hereditarily closure preserving collection with $H_\alpha \subseteq U_\alpha$ for each $\alpha \in A$. We assume that A is well ordered. For each $\alpha \in A$ choose

$$x_\alpha \in H_\alpha - \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} H_\beta \text{ when } H_\alpha - \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} H_\beta \neq \emptyset,$$

and let $A' = \{\alpha \in A : H_\alpha - \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} H_\beta \neq \emptyset\}$. Since X is T_1 and \mathcal{H} is hereditarily closure preserving $\{x_\alpha : \alpha \in A'\}$ is a discrete closed collection in X . Define

$$W_\alpha = U_\alpha - \cup \{x_\beta : \beta \in A' \text{ and } \beta \neq \alpha\} \text{ for each } \alpha \in A.$$

Clearly $\mathcal{W} = \{W_\alpha : \alpha \in A'\}$ is a minimal open cover of $\cup \mathcal{H}$.

We now can obtain the following.

Theorem 3.5. Every space X space with property $B(HCP, \alpha)$ is irreducible, for any ordinal α .

Proof. Let \mathcal{U} be an open cover of X . Then \mathcal{U} has a refinement $\bigcup_{s < \alpha} \mathcal{J}_s$ satisfying properties in Definition 1.6 above. By induction we construct a sequence of $\{\mathcal{V}_s\}_{s < \alpha}$ of open collections such that for each $s < \alpha$,

- (i) \mathcal{V}_s is a partial refinement of \mathcal{U} ,
- (ii) $\bigcup_{s' \leq s} \mathcal{V}_{s'}$ covers $\bigcup_{s' \leq s} [\cup \mathcal{J}_{s'}]$
- (iii) $\bigcup_{s' \leq s} \mathcal{V}_{s'}$ is a minimal open cover of its union.

(1) For $s = 1$, \mathcal{J}_1 is a hereditarily closure preserving collection of closed subsets of X . By Theorem 3.4 above there exists an open partial refinement \mathcal{V}_1 of \mathcal{U} such that \mathcal{V}_1 is a minimal open cover of $\cup \mathcal{J}_1$.

(2) Assume that \mathcal{V}_s has been constructed satisfying (i)-(iii) above for $s' < s$. Define $\mathcal{J}_s^* = \{F - \bigcup_{s' < s} [U\mathcal{V}_{s'}] : F \in \mathcal{J}_s\}$ so that \mathcal{J}_s^* is a hereditarily closure preserving collection in X . By Theorem 3.4 again there exists an open partial refinement \mathcal{W}_s of \mathcal{U} such that \mathcal{W}_s covers $\bigcup \mathcal{J}_s^*$ and is a minimal open cover of its union. Now define $\mathcal{V}_s = \{W - \bigcup_{s' < s} [U\mathcal{J}_{s'}] : W \in \mathcal{W}_s\}$. It is easy to check that \mathcal{V}_s satisfies properties (i)-(iii) above and the induction is complete. As in Lemma 3.3 $\bigcup_{s < \alpha} \mathcal{V}_s$ is a minimal open cover of X and refines \mathcal{U} . Hence X is irreducible.

Corollary 3.6. Every \aleph_1 -compact space with property $B(HCP, \alpha)$ is Lindelöf, where α is any countable ordinal.

Theorem 3.7. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a closed continuous map. If X has property $B(HCP, \alpha)$, then Y has property $B(HCP, \alpha)$ and hence is irreducible.

Proof. The proof follows from the fact that closure preserving collections are preserved under closed maps.

4. Applications and Shrinkability

Definition 4.1. An open cover $\{G_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$ is *shrinkable* if there exists a closed cover $\{F_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$ such that $F_\alpha \subseteq G_\alpha$ for each $\alpha \in A$.

In [19] the author obtained the following result.

Theorem 4.2. A space X is normal iff every weak $\bar{\theta}$ -cover of X is shrinkable.

A generalization of this result can now be proved using the notion of property above.

Theorem 4.3. Let $\mathcal{G} = \{G_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$ be an open cover of a space X . If k is any countable ordinal, and \mathcal{G} has an open refinement $\bigcup_{s < k} \mathcal{V}_s$ where $\mathcal{V}_s = \{V(\alpha, s) : \alpha \in A\}$ satisfies,

$$(1) \overline{V(\alpha, s)} \subseteq G_\alpha \text{ for each } \alpha \in A,$$

$$(2) \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} V(\alpha, s) \text{ is a cozero set in } X \text{ for each } s,$$

then \mathcal{G} is shrinkable.

Proof. Define $V_s^* = \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} V(\alpha, s)$ for each $s < k$ so that

$\{V_s^* : s < k\}$ is a countable cozero cover of X . Then

$\{V_s^* : s < k\}$ has a locally finite open refinement

$\{W_s^* : s < k\}$ such that $W_s^* \subseteq V_s^*$ for each $s < k$. Define

$H(\alpha, s) = W_s^* \cap V(\alpha, s)$ for each $\alpha \in A$ and each $s < k$, and

$H_\alpha = \bigcup_{s < k} H(\alpha, s)$. It should be clear that $\overline{H}_\alpha \subseteq G_\alpha$ for each

$\alpha \in A$ and $\{H_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$ covers X . Hence \mathcal{G} is shrinkable.

Theorem 4.4. Let X be a normal space. For any countable ordinal k , every open cover with property $B(\text{HCP}, k)$ is shrinkable.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{G} = \{G_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$ be an open cover of X with property $B(\text{HCP}, k)$ where k is any countable ordinal. Then

\mathcal{G} has a refinement $\bigcup_{s < k} \mathcal{F}_s$ where,

$$(1) \mathcal{F}_s = \{F(\alpha, s) : \alpha \in A\} \text{ is HCP and closed in}$$

$$X - \bigcup_{s' < s} [\bigcup \mathcal{F}_{s'}, 1].$$

$$(2) F(\alpha, s) \subseteq G_\alpha \text{ for each } \alpha \in A.$$

We show by transfinite induction that there exists for each $s < k$, an open collection $\mathcal{V}_s = \{V(\alpha, s) : \alpha \in A\}$ satisfying

- (1) $V(\alpha, s) \subseteq \overline{V(\alpha, s)} \subseteq G_\alpha$ for each $\alpha \in A$,
- (2) $\bigcup_{\alpha \in A} V(\alpha, s)$ is cozero in X for each s .
- (3) $\bigcup_{s' \leq s} V_{s'}$ covers $\bigcup_{s' \leq s} \mathcal{J}_{s'}$ for each s .

Assume V_s , with the above properties has been constructed for all $s' < s$. Define $H(\alpha, s) = F(\alpha, s) - \bigcup_{s' < s} V_{s'}$ so that $H(\alpha, s) = \overline{H(\alpha, s)} \subseteq G_\alpha$ for each $\alpha \in A$. Since $H = \{H(\alpha, s) : \alpha \in A\}$ is closure preserving and X is normal, there exists an open collection $V_s = \{V(\alpha, s) : \alpha \in A\}$ such that V_s is a partial refinement of \mathcal{G} , and

- (1) $H(\alpha, s) \subseteq V(\alpha, s) \subseteq \overline{V(\alpha, s)} \subseteq G_\alpha$ for each $\alpha \in A$,
- (2) $\bigcup_{\alpha \in A} V(\alpha, s)$ is a cozero set in X .

Clearly $\bigcup_{s' \leq s} V_{s'}$ covers $\bigcup_{s' \leq s} \mathcal{J}_{s'}$ and the construction is complete. By Theorem 4.3 above, \mathcal{G} is shrinkable.

Theorem 4.5. Suppose that $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} H_i$ where each $H_i = \overline{H_i}$ has property $B(D, \omega_0)$. Then X has property $B(D, \omega_0)$.

Proof. Suppose each H_i has property $B(D, \omega_0)$ and \mathcal{U} is an open cover of X . Then \mathcal{U}/H_i has a refinement $\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{J}_j^i$ such that \mathcal{J}_j^i is a discrete closed collection in $H_i = \bigcup_{k < j} \mathcal{J}_k^i$. Since \mathcal{J}_1^i is a discrete closed collection in X for each i , the natural diagonalization of the families $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{J}_j^i$ yields the desired collections satisfying property $F(D, \omega_0)$.

Theorem 4.6. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a perfect map.

- (1) If X has property $B(LF, \alpha)$, then so does Y and hence Y is irreducible.
- (2) If X is weakly $\bar{\theta}$ -refinable, then Y has property $B(LF, (\omega_0)^2)$ and hence is weak θ -refinable.

Open Questions.

(1) Is weak $\bar{\theta}$ -refinability or weak θ -refinability preserved under perfect or closed maps?

(2) Is metacompactness equivalent to weak θ -refinable, almost expandable and orthocompactness?

(3) When are weakly θ -refinable spaces irreducible? For example, is countably metacompactness enough?

(4) When does property $B(D, (\omega_0)^2)$ imply weak $\bar{\theta}$ -refinability?

(5) Is there a simple example of a space which has property $B(D, \omega_0 + 1)$ but does not have property $B(D, \omega_0)$?

The author would like to thank the referee for his comments concerning this paper.

References

1. R. Arens and J. Dugundji, *Remark on the concept of compactness*, Portugal. Math. 9 (1950), 141-143.
2. H. R. Bennett and D. J. Lutzer, *A note on weak θ -refinability*, General Topology and Appl. 2 (1972), 49-54.
3. J. Boone, *On irreducible spaces*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 12 (1975), 143-148.
4. _____, *On irreducible spaces II*, Pacific J. Math. 62 (1976), 351-358.
5. D. K. Burke, *A note on R. H. Bing's example G*, (Proc. Va. Polytechnic Inst. and State Univ. Top. Conf.) Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 375, Springer-Verlag, New York and Berlin, 1973, pp. 47-52.
6. _____, R. Engelking, and D. Lutzer, *Hereditarily closure preserving collections and metrization*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 51 (1975), 483-488.
7. _____, *Preservation of certain base axioms under a perfect mapping*, Surveys in Topology, Academic Press, 1980.

8. J. Chaber, *On θ -refinability of strict p-spaces*, (1st version) (unpublished).
9. U. Christian, *A note on the relation between Lindelöf and \aleph_1 -compact spaces*, *Comment. Math. Proc.* 16 (1972), 215-217.
10. _____, *Concerning certain minimal cover refinable spaces*, *Fund. Math.* 76 (1972), 213-222.
11. P. de Caux, *A collectionwise normal, weakly θ -refinable Dowker space which is neither irreducible nor real-compact*, *Top. Proc.* 1 (1976), 67-77.
12. H. Junnila, *On submetacompactness* (to appear).
13. Y. Katuta, *On expandability*, *Proc. Japan Acad.* 49 (1973), 452-455.
14. _____, *Expandability and its generalizations*, *Fund. Math.* 87 (1975), 231-250.
15. M. E. Rudin, *A normal space X for which $X \times I$ is not normal*, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 77 (1971), 246.
16. J. C. Smith and L. Krajewski, *Expandability and collectionwise normality*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 160 (1971), 437-451.
17. J. C. Smith, *Properties of weak $\bar{\theta}$ -refinable spaces*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 53 (1975), 511-517.
18. _____, *A remark on irreducible spaces*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 57 (1976), 133-139.
19. _____, *Applications of shrinkable covers*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 73 (3) (1979), 379-387.
20. _____, *On θ -expandable spaces*, *Glasnik Mat. Ser.* III 11 (31) (1976), 335-346.
21. J. M. Worrell, Jr. and H. H. Wicke, *Characterizations of developable topological spaces*, *Canad. J. Math.* 17 (1965), 820-830.

Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University
Blacksburg, Virginia 24060