# TOPOLOGY PROCEEDINGS Volume 6, 1981

Pages 115–133

http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/

## PRODUCTS OF SPACES OF COUNTABLE TIGHTNESS

by

Yoshio Tanaka

**Topology Proceedings** 

Web:	http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/
Mail:	Topology Proceedings
	Department of Mathematics & Statistics
	Auburn University, Alabama 36849, USA
E-mail:	topolog@auburn.edu
TOON.	0146 4194

**ISSN:** 0146-4124

COPYRIGHT © by Topology Proceedings. All rights reserved.

### PRODUCTS OF SPACES OF COUNTABLE TIGHTNESS

#### Yoshio Tanaka

#### Introduction

As is well known, the product  $x^2$  of a space X of countable tightness need not have countable tightness. Also if X is a CW-complex,  $x^2$  is not always a CW-complex. In this paper, in the first section, we consider the products of spaces of countable tightness and k-spaces. In the second section, we consider the products and the metrizability of CW-complexes.

#### 1. Products of k-Spaces and Spaces of Countable Tightness

All spaces are assumed to be regular and  $T_1$ . We consider cardinals to be initial ordinals, and let c denote the cardinality of the continuum. Let N be the set of natural numbers.

We need the following well known example. This example will play an important role in the products.

Let  $\alpha$  be an infinite cardinal number. Let  $S_{\alpha}$  be the space obtained from the disjoint union of  $\alpha$  convergent sequences by identifying all the limit points.  $S_{\omega}$  is especially called the *sequential fan*.

We now recall some basic definitions.

Let X be a space, and let  $\mathcal{F} = \{F_{\gamma}: \gamma \in \Gamma\}$  be a closed covering of X. Then X has the weak topology with respect to  $\mathcal{F}$ , if  $F \subseteq X$  is closed whenever  $F \cap F_{\gamma}$  is closed in X for each  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ .

Tanaka

A space X is a k-space (resp. sequential space), if X has the weak topology with respect to the collection of all compact subsets (resp. compact metric subsets) of X.

A space X is a  $k_{\omega}$ -space [11], if it has the weak topology with respect to a countable covering of compact subsets of X.

A space X has countable tightness,  $t(X) \leq \omega$ , if  $x \in \overline{A}$ in X, then  $x \in \overline{C}$  for some countable  $C \subseteq A$ . It is known that every sequential space has countable tightness.

Proposition 1.1. (1) If  $X \times S_c$  is a k-space, then each closed, separable subset of X is locally countably compact.

(2) If  $X \times S_c$  has countable tightness, then each  $k_{\mu}$ -subspace of X is locally compact.

*Proof.* (1) Suppose that there exists a closed, separable subset S of X which is not locally countably compact. Since S is regular and  $T_1$ , as is well known, the weight of S is equal or less than c. Hence some  $x_0 \in S$  has a local base  $\{U_{\alpha}: \alpha < m\}$  in S,  $\omega \leq m \leq c$ , such that each  $\overline{U}_{\alpha}$  is not countably compact.

We now use the idea of E. Michael [10; Theorem 2.1]. For  $\alpha < m$ , since  $\overline{U}_{\alpha}$  is not countably compact, there is a decreasing sequence  $\{F_{\alpha n}; n \in N\}$  of non-empty closed subsets of  $\overline{U}_{\alpha}$  with  $\cap F_{\alpha n} = \emptyset$ . Let  $T_{\alpha} = \cup \{F_{\alpha n} \times n_{\alpha}; n \in N\}$ , where  $n_{\alpha}$  denotes the n-th term of the  $\alpha$ -th sequence in  $S_{m}$ , and let  $T = \bigcup T_{\alpha}$ . Then for each compact subset K of  $S \times S_{m}$ ,  $T \cap K$  is closed in  $S \times S_{m}$ , because K meets only finitely many  $T_{\alpha}$ 's and each K  $\cap T_{\alpha}$  is a finite union of closed subsets of  $S \times S_{m}$ . But T is not closed in  $S \times S_{m}$ . This implies that S × S<sub>m</sub> is not a k-space. Since S × S<sub>m</sub> is a closed subset of X × S<sub>c</sub>, X × S<sub>c</sub> is not a k-space. This is a contradiction.

(2) If a space has countable tightness, so does every subspace. Thus we may assume that X is a k\_-space. Since  $t(X \times S_C) \leq \omega$ ,  $X \times S_C$  has the weak topology with respect to the covering of all closed separable subsets of  $X \times S_C$ . Since each subset S of  $X \times S_C$  is contained in  $X \times \overline{\pi(S)}$ , where  $\pi: X \times S_C \neq S_C$  is the projection,  $X \times S_C$  has the weak topology with respect to a closed covering  $\{X \times F; F \text{ is a closed separable subset of } S_C\}$ . Since we can assume that each F is contained in some  $S_{\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha < \omega_1$ , F is a k\_-space. By [11; (7.5)], each  $X \times F$  is a k-space. Thus  $X \times S_C$  is a k-space. Hence, by (1) each closed, separable subset of X is locally countably compact.

We now show that X is locally compact. Let X have the weak topology with respect to a countable covering of compact subsets  $X_i$  with  $X_i \subseteq X_{i+1}$ . For some  $x_o \in X$ , suppose  $x_o \in \overline{X - X_i}$  for each i. Since  $t(x) \leq \omega$ , there are countable subsets  $C_i \subseteq X - X_i$  with  $x_o \in \overline{C_i}$ . Let  $C = \overline{\cup_{i=1}^{\infty} C_i}$ . Then  $x_o \in \overline{C \cap (X - X_i)}$  for each i. Since the closed separable subset C of X is locally countably compact, there exists a countably compact subset K of C such that  $x_o \in \overline{K \cap (X - X_i)}$  for each i. Since that  $x_i \in \overline{K \cap (X - X_i)}$  for each i. Since K is countably compact in X, it is easy to see that K is contained in some  $X_i$ . But  $x_o \in \overline{K \cap (X - X_i)} = \emptyset$ . This is a contradiction. Thus each point of X is contained in some int  $X_i$ . Hence X is

locally compact.

Tanaka

A space X is strongly Fréchet [14], i.e. countably bi-sequential due to E. Michael [12], if  $x \in \overline{A_n}$  with  $A_{n+1} \subseteq A_n$  then there exist  $x_n \in A_n$  such that  $x_n \rightarrow x$ . If the  $A_n$  are all the same set, then such a space X is Fréchet.

Lemma 1.2. (cf. [15; 16(b) and p. 35]). Every Fréchet space which is not strongly Fréchet contains a copy of  $S_{\omega}$ .

Recall that a space X is symmetric if there is a real valued, non-negative function d defined on  $X \times X$  satisfying the conditions:

(1) d(x,y) = 0 whenever x = y; (2) d(x,y) = d(y,x); and (3)  $A \subseteq X$  is closed in X whenever d(x,A) > 0 for any  $x \in X - A$ . If we replace the condition (3) by the following: For  $A \subseteq X$ ,  $x \in \overline{A}$  if and only if d(x,A) = 0, then such a space is called *semi-metric*.

Corollary 1.3. Suppose  $X\,\times\,S_{_{\mathbf{C}}}$  has countable tightness.

(1) If X is Fréchet, then X is strongly Fréchet.

(2) [CH]. If X is symmetric, then X is semi-metric.When X is paracompact, [CH] can be omitted.

*Proof.* (1) This follows from Proposition 1.1(2) and Lemma 1.2.

(2) Let X be a symmetric space. Every Fréchet and symmetric space is first-countable ([1; p. 129]), hence is semi-metric. So, we prove that X is Fréchet. To prove this, since  $t(X) \leq \omega$ , it is sufficient to show that every closed, separable subset S of X is first countable. Since S is regular and  $T_1$ , each point of S has a local base of cardinality  $\leq$  c in S. Then, under CH each point of S is a  $G_{\delta}$ -set in S by [16; Theorem 10]. When X is a paracompact space, without [CH], the separable space S is Lindelöf. Thus, by [13; Theorem 2] S is hereditarily Lindelöf. Then each point of S is a  $G_{\delta}$ -set in S. Hence, then in any case each point of S is a  $G_{\delta}$ -set in S. Thus, by Proposition 1.1(2) and [8; Lemma 6.11], S is first countable.

A *bi*-k-*space*, according to E. Michael [12], is characterized as a bi-quotient image of a paracompact M-space. For the intrinsic definition of a bi-k-space, see [12; Definition 3.E.1].

Corollary 1.4. Suppose  $f: X \to Y$  is a closed map with  $t(Y) \leq \omega$ . Let X be a paracompact bi-k-space (resp. paracompact locally compact space). Then  $Y \times S_c$  is a k-space (resp.  $t(Y \times S_c) \leq \omega$ ) if and only if Y is locally compact.

*Proof.* Let Y be locally compact. Then Y × S<sub>c</sub> is a k-space (resp.  $t(Y \times S_c) \leq \omega$ ) by [3; 3.2] (resp. [9; Theorem 4]. So we prove the "only if" part. Suppose Y × S<sub>c</sub> is a k-space. Then, by Proposition 1.1(1), Y has property (P): Every closed separable subset is locally countably compact. Then, since  $t(Y) \leq \omega$ , it is easy to see that Y satisfies Lemma 9.1(b) in [12]. Indeed, if  $\{F_n: n \in N\}$  is a decreasing sequence with  $y \in \cap(\overline{F_n - \{y\}})$ , then there exist  $y_n \in F_n$  such that  $\{y_n: n \in N\}$  is not closed in Y. Then, by [12; Theorem 9.9], each  $\partial f^{-1}(y)$  is compact. Thus, by [12; Proposition 3.E.4], Y is a bi-k-space.

Next, we prove that Y is locally compact. Suppose not. Then there is a point  $y_0 \in Y$  such that  $y_0 \in \overline{Y - K}$  for every compact subset K of Y. Let  $\mathcal{F} = \{X - K; K \text{ is compact in } Y\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}$  is a filter base accumulating at the point  $y_0$ . Since Y is bi-k, by [12; Lemma 3.E.2] there is a decreasing closed sequence  $\{A_n : n \in N\}$  satisfying the following:

(a)  $C = \cap A_n$  is compact;

(b) If V is an open subset of Y with  $C \subseteq V,$  then  $C \subseteq A_n \subseteq V \text{ for some } n; \text{ and }$ 

(c)  $y_0 \in \overline{F \cap A_n}$  for all  $n \in N$  and all  $F \in \mathcal{J}$ .

To prove some  $A_n$  is compact, suppose not. Since Y is paracompact, each  $A_n$  is not countably compact. Then there are closed discrete subsets  $D_n$  of  $A_n$  with  $|D_n| = \omega$ .

Let  $Y_0 = C \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n$  be a subspace of Y. Then  $Y_0$  is closed in Y. Let Z be a quotient space obtained from  $Y_0$  by identifying the compact subset C. Then, by (a) and (b), Z is not locally countably compact. Since  $Y_0$  satisfies (P) and the countable space Z is the perfect image of a closed separable subset of  $Y_0$ , so then Z is locally countably compact. This is a contradiction. Hence some  $A_{n_0}$  is compact. But, by (c),  $Y_0 \in \overline{F \cap N_{n_0}} = \emptyset$ . This is a contradiction. Hence Y is locally compact.

Finally we prove the parenthetical part. Let  $t(Y \times S_C) \leq \omega$  and let T be any closed separable subset of Y. Then T is a closed image of a closed separable subset S of X. Since X is paracompact, S is Lindelöf. Since X is locally compact, it is easy to see that S is a  $k_{\omega}$ -space.

Thus, since **T** is a quotient image of S, **T** is also a  $k_{\omega}$ -space. Then, by Proposition 1.1(2), **T** is locally compact. Hence Y has Property (P). Thus, since t(Y)  $\leq \omega$ , Y satisfies Lemma 9.1(b) in [12]. So, by [12; Theorem 9.9] each  $\partial f^{-1}(y)$  is compact. Thus Y is locally compact.

Let  $\alpha$  be an infinite cardinal. Recall that a space X is  $\alpha$ -compact if every subset of X of cardinality  $\alpha$  has an accumulation point in X.

Lemma 1.5. Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a closed map with X collectionwise normal and Y sequential. If Y contains no closed copy of  $S_{\alpha}$ , then each  $\partial f^{-1}(y)$  is  $\alpha$ -compact.

Proof. Suppose some  $\partial f^{-1}(y_0)$  is not  $\alpha$ -compact. Then there exists a closed discrete subset D of  $\partial f^{-1}(y_0)$  with  $|D| = \alpha$ . Hence there is a discrete open collection  $\{v_d; d \in D\}$  of X with  $v_d \ni d$ . For each  $d \in D$ , since  $y_0 \in \overline{f(v_\alpha) - \{y_0\}}$ ,  $y_0$  is not isolated in a sequential space  $\overline{f(v_d)}$ . So then there is a sequence  $C_d = \{y_{dn}; n \in N\}$  such that  $y_{\alpha n} + y_0$  and  $C_d \subseteq \overline{f(v_d)} - \{y_0\}$ . Since  $\{\overline{f(v_d)}; d \in D\}$ is hereditarily closure preserving, so is the collection  $C = \{C_d \cup \{y_0\}; d \in D\}$ . Let  $Y_0$  be the union of C. Then  $Y_0$  is closed in Y. Let Z be the disjoint union of C, and let g: Z +  $Y_0$  be the obvious map. Then Z is metric and g is closed with  $\partial g^{-1}(y_0)$  not  $\alpha$ -compact. Hence, by [7; Lemma 2],  $Y_0$  contains a closed copy of  $S_\alpha$ . Thus Y contains a closed copy of  $S_\alpha$ . This is a contradiction.

From Proposition 1.1(2) and Lemma 1.5, we have

Corollary 1.6. Let  $f: X \to Y$  be a closed map with X paracompact sequential. If  $t(Y \times S_C) \leq \omega$ , then each  $\partial f^{-1}(y)$  is compact.

By Lemma 1.5, we can generalize all results in this section as follows.

Generalization. Let S be a sequential space which is a closed image of a collectionwise normal space under f such that some  $\partial f^{-1}(s)$  is not c-compact. Then, for all results in this section we can replace "S\_" by "S."

By this generalization, for example we have the following:

Let Y be a Fréchet space. Let X be a collectionwise normal sequential space, and let F be a closed subset of X. Suppose Z is a quotient space obtained from X identifying F. Then Y is strongly Fréchet or  $\partial F$  is c-compact, if  $t(Y \times Z) \leq \omega$ .

#### 2. CW-Complexes

The concept of CW-complexes due to J. H. C. Whitehead [17] is well-known. We recall some basic properties of CW-complexes. Let X be a CW-complex; that is, X is a complex which is closure finite (i.e. each cell of X is contained in a finite subcomplex), and which has the weak topology with respect to the closed covering  $\{L_{\gamma}; \gamma \in \Gamma\}$  of all finite subcomplexes  $L_{\gamma}$  of X. Then for any subset  $\Gamma'$  of  $\Gamma, L' = \bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma'} L_{\gamma}$  is closed in X and L' has the weak topology with respect to a closed covering  $\{L_{\gamma}; \gamma \in \Gamma'\}$ . As a topological complex, C. H. Dowker [4] introduced the concept of the Whitehead complex. A space X is a Whitehead complex, if it is an affine complex (see [4; §1]) having the weak topology with respect to  $\{\overline{e_{\lambda}}; \lambda\}$ . Here  $\{e_{\lambda}; \lambda\}$  is the cells of X. Recall that the *closure*  $\overline{e_{\lambda}}$  of  $e_{\lambda}$  coincides with the topological closure in X of  $e_{\lambda}$  [4; p. 560], and this also holds in CW-complexes. Every Whitehead complex with the cells  $\{e_{\lambda}; \lambda\}$  is a CW-complex with each  $\overline{e_{\lambda}}$  a subcomplex [4; p. 558].

We need the canonical example  $S_2$  due to S. P. Franklin [5; Example 5.1]. That is,  $S_2 = (N \times N) \cup N \cup \{0\}$  with each point of N × N is an isolated point. A basis of neighborhoods of n  $\in$  N consists of all sets of the form  $\{n\} \cup \{(m,n); m \ge m_0\}$ . And U is a neighborhood of 0 if and only if  $0 \in U$  and U is a neighborhood of all but finitely many  $n \in N$ .

Lemma 2.1. Suppose that X has the weak topology with respect to a point-countable closed covering  $\{C_{\alpha}; \alpha\}$  of X.

(1) Let each  $C^{}_{\alpha}$  be Fréchet. Then X is Fréchet if and only if X contains no copy of  $S^{}_2.$ 

(2) Let each  $C^{}_{\alpha}$  be metric. Then X is metric if and only if X is a paracompact, strongly Fréchet space.

*Proof.* (1) Since S<sub>2</sub> is not Fréchet, the "only if" part follows from that every subset of a Fréchet space is Fréchet.

We prove the "if" part. Suppose X is not Fréchet. Since X is sequential, by [5; Proposition 7.3] X contains

123

a subspace M = (N × N) U N U {0} which, with the sequential closure topology, is a copy of S<sub>2</sub>. The countable space M intersects at most countably many C<sub>a</sub>'s, say C<sub>a1</sub>, C<sub>a2</sub> .... Let  $X_n = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \bigcup_{\alpha_i}^{n}$  and let C be a compact subset of M. Then C has the weak topology with respect to a countable closed covering { $X_n \cap C$ ;  $n \in N$ } of C. Hence C is contained in some  $X_n \cap C$ . Thus each convergent sequence in M is contained in some  $X_n$ . We also remark that each  $X_n$  is Fréchet, hence contains no copy of M.

We now use the method of proof of S. P. Franklin and B. V. Smith Thomas [6; Proposition 1]. Since N U {0} is a convergent sequence in M, there is  $X_{n_0}$  with N U {0}  $\subseteq X_{n_0}$ . Let  $C_n = \{n\} \times N \cup \{n\}$  for each n. Since  $C_1$  is a convergent sequence, there is  $X_{n_1}$   $(n_1 > n_0)$  with  $C_1 \subseteq X_{n_1}$ . Since  $X_{n_1}$  is closed and Fréchet, we can choose  $C_{n_2}(n_2 > 1)$ and  $X_{n_3}(n_3 > n_2)$  such that  $C_{n_2} \cap X_{n_1}$  is at most finite and  $C_{n_2} \subseteq X_{n_3}$ . So, we can assume that  $C_{n_2} \subseteq X_{n_3} - X_{n_1}$ . In this way, we can choose  $C_{n_k}$  and  $X_{n_{k+1}} = (n_{k+1} > n_k > n_{k-1})$ with  $C_{n_k} \subseteq X_{n_{k+1}} - X_{n_{k-1}}$ . Let  $M' = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} C_{n_k} \cup \{n_k; k \in N\} \cup \{0\}$ . Then, for each  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ ,  $M' \cap C_{\alpha}$  is closed in X. Thus M' is a closed subset of X. Then M' is sequential, hence M' has the sequential closure topology. Thus M' is a copy of  $S_2$ .

(2) We prove only the "if" part. For  $x \in X$  let  $\{c_{\alpha}; c_{\alpha} \ni x\}$  be  $\{c_{\alpha_1}, c_{\alpha_2}, \dots\}$ . Put  $X_n = \bigcup_{i=1}^n c_{\alpha_i}$ . Suppose  $x \in \overline{X - X_n}$  for each n. Since X is strongly Fréchet, there exist  $x_n \notin X_n$  such that  $x_n \neq x$ .

Let  $C = \{x_n; n \in N\} \cup \{x\}$ . Then the compact subset C has the weak topology with respect to a countable covering  $\{C \cap C_{\alpha}; C \cap C_{\alpha} \neq \emptyset\}$  of C. Then C is contained in some finite union of  $C_{\alpha}$ . Thus some  $C_{\alpha}$  must contain infinitely many  $x_n$ 's, hence  $C_{\alpha_0} \ni x$ . Then  $C_{\alpha_0}$  is contained in some  $X_{n_0}$ . But this is a contradiction, for  $X_{n_0} \doteqdot x_n$  for  $n \ge n_0$ . Thus  $x \notin \overline{X - X_n}$  for some n, hence  $x \in int X_n$ . This implies that X is locally metrizable. Hence X is metrizable, for X is paracompact.

Lemma 2.2. Let X be a CW-complex with the cells  $\{e_{\gamma}\}$ . If X contains no closed copy of  $S_{\alpha}$ , then for each  $x \in X$  the cardinality of  $\Gamma_{\mathbf{x}} = \{\gamma; \overline{e}_{\gamma} \ni \mathbf{x}\}$  is less than  $\alpha$ .

Proof. For some  $x_0 \in X$ , suppose  $|\Gamma_{X_0}| \ge \alpha$ . Since  $\overline{e}_{\gamma} \in x_0$  for  $\gamma \in \Gamma_{X_0}$ , there exist  $x_{\gamma n}$  such that  $x_{\gamma n} \neq x_0$  and  $x_{\gamma n} \in e_{\gamma}$ . Let  $C_{\gamma} = \{x_{\gamma n}; n \in N\} \cup \{x_0\}$  and let  $S = \cup \{C_{\gamma}; \gamma \in \Gamma_{X_0}\}$ . Suppose L is any finite subcomplex of X. Then  $S \cap L$  is closed in X. Thus S is closed in X. Moreover S has the weak topology with respect to  $\{C_{\gamma}; \gamma \in \Gamma_{X_0}\}$ . Indeed, for  $F \subseteq S$ , let  $F \cap C_{\gamma}$  be closed in S for each  $\gamma \in \Gamma_{X_0}$ . Then  $F \cap L = \{F \cap C_{\gamma}; e_{\gamma} \subseteq L \text{ and } \gamma \in \Gamma_{X_0}\}$ . Thus  $F \cap L$  is closed in S. Hence, F is closed in S. This implies that X contains a closed copy of  $S_{\alpha}$ . This is a contradiction.

In [6], S. P. Franklin and B. V. Smith Thomas proved that a  $k_{ij}$ -space with metrizable "pieces" is metrizable if

and only if it contains no copy of  ${\rm S}_2$  and no sequential fan  ${\rm S}_\omega.$ 

Analogously to this result, we have

Proposition 2.3. Let X be (a) a CW-complex (resp. Whitehead complex), or (b) a paracompact space having the weak topology with respect to a point-countable closed covering of metric spaces. Then the following are equivalent.

(1) X is metrizable.

(2) X contains no copy of  $S^{}_2$  and no  $S^{}_\omega$  (resp. no copy of  $S^{}_2$  ).

(3)  $t(X \times S_{c}) \leq \omega$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) is easy. We have (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) from Proposition 1.1.(2). (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) follows from [2; Corollary 4].

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). In case of (b), we have this implication from Lemmas 1.2 and 2.1.

So, we suppose X is a CW-complex. First we prove that X is Fréchet. To see this, since  $t(X) \leq \omega$ , it is sufficient to show that every closed separable subset  $S = \overline{D}$  with D countable, is Fréchet. Clearly, D is contained in some countable union L of finite subcomplexes  $L_n$ . Since L is closed in X, S is a closed subset of L. Thus S has the weak topology with respect to a countable covering of compact metric subsets  $L_n \cap S$  of S. Since S contains no copy of  $S_2$ , by Lemma 2.1(1), S is Fréchet. Then X is Fréchet. Second we prove that X is metrizable. Since X contains no copy of  $S_{\omega}$ , by Lemma 2.2, X has the cells  $\{e_1\}$  such that

 $\{\overline{e}_{\lambda}\}, \overline{e}_{\lambda} = cl e_{\lambda}, \text{ is point finite. For } x \in X, let$  $\{\overline{\mathbf{e}}_{\lambda}; \overline{\mathbf{e}}_{\lambda} \ni \mathbf{x}\}$  be  $\{\overline{\mathbf{e}}_{\lambda_1}, \overline{\mathbf{e}}_{\lambda_2}, \cdots, \overline{\mathbf{e}}_{\lambda_n}\}$ . Put  $\mathbf{E} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} \overline{\mathbf{e}}_{i}$ . Suppose  $x \in \overline{X - E}$ . Since X is Fréchet, there is a convergent sequence  $\{x_n; n \in N\}$  such that  $x_n \neq x$  and  $x_n \notin E$ . Since the convergent sequence is contained in a finite union of cells  $\overline{e}_{\lambda}$ , some  $\overline{e}_{\lambda}$  must contain an infinitely many  $x_n$ 's. Hence  $x \in \overline{e}_{\lambda}$ . Thus  $\overline{e}_{\lambda} = \overline{e}_{\lambda}$  for some  $i_0 \leq \ell$ . But this is a contradiction, because  $x_n \notin \overline{e}_{\lambda}$  for all n. Then  $x \notin \overline{X - E}$ , which implies  $x \in int E$ . Since E is compact metric, X is locally metrizable. Then X is metrizable, for X is paracompact. Since a point-finite Whitehead complex is locally finite, the parenthetic part is proved similarly.

Let I be the space obtained from disjoint union of  $\alpha$  closed unit intervals [0,1] by identifying all zero points. Then each  $I_{\alpha}$  is a Whitehead complex. C. H. Dowker [4] showed that I  $_{\omega}$   $\times$  I is not a Whitehead complex.

From Proposition 2.3 and Lemma 2.2, we have the following generalization of the Dowker's example.

Corollary 2.4. Let  $X \times Y$  be a CW-complex and  $\{e_{\lambda}; \lambda\}$ be the cells of Y. Then X is metrizable, or each cardinality of  $\{\lambda; \overline{\mathbf{e}}_{\lambda} \ni \mathbf{y}\}$  is less than c.

Proposition 2.5. Suppose that  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are CW-complexes (resp. Whitehead complexes). Then the following are equivalent.

- (1)  $t(X_1 \times X_2) \leq \omega$ .
- (2)  $X_1 \times X_2$  is a k-space.

(3)  $X_1 \times X_2$  is a CW-complex (resp. Whitehead complex). *Proof.* (1)  $\rightarrow$  (2). Since  $t(X_1 \times X_2) \leq \omega$ ,  $X_1 \times X_2$  has the weak topology with respect to the closed covering of all closed, separable subsets of  $X_1 \times X_2$ . Each subset S of  $X_1 \times X_2$  is clearly contained in  $\Pi_1(S) \times \Pi_2(S)$ , where  $\Pi_i: X_1 \times X_2 \rightarrow X_i$  (i = 1,2) are projections. Thus  $X_1 \times X_2$ has the weak topology with respect to a covering  $\{F_1 \times F_2;$   $F_i$  is a closed separable subset of  $X_i\}$ . As is seen in the proof of Proposition 2.3, (2)  $\rightarrow$  (1), each  $F_i$  is a  $k_{\omega}$ -space. Hence, by [11; (7.5)] each  $F_1 \times F_2$  is a k-space. This implies  $X_1 \times X_2$  is a k-space.

(2)  $\rightarrow$  (3). Let  $\{e_{\gamma}\}$ ;  $\{e_{\delta}\}$  be the cells of  $X_1$ ;  $X_2$ respectively. Since  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are complexes; affine complexes,  $X_1 \times X_2$  is a complex; affine complex with cells  $\{e_{\gamma} \times e_{\delta}\}$  respectively. Moreover, if  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are CW-complexes, then  $X_1 \times X_2$  is closure finite. Thus, to prove that  $X_1 \times X_2$  is a CW-complex (also, a Whitehead complex), we only show that  $X_1 \times X_2$  has the weak topology with respect to a collection  $\{\overline{e}_{\gamma} \times \overline{e}_{\delta}\}$ . Each compact subset of  $X_1 \times X_2$  is contained in a compact subset of  $X_1 \times X_2$  with type A  $\times$  B. Then, each compact subset of  $X_1 \times X_2$  is contained in a finite union of  $\overline{e}_{\gamma} \times \overline{e}_{\delta}$ . Since  $X_1 \times X_2$  is a k-space, this implies that  $X_1 \times X_2$  has the weak topology with respect to the collection  $\{\overline{e}_{\gamma} \times \overline{e}_{\delta}\}$ .

We have (3)  $\rightarrow$  (1) from that every CW-complex is sequential, hence t(X1  $\times$  X2)  $\leqq$   $\omega$ .

Let X be a CW-complex with the cells  $\{e_{\gamma}\}$ . Then we shall call X point-finite; point-countable; locally

countable, if the covering  $\{\overline{e}_{v}\}$  of X is so respectively.

Lemma 2.6. Let X be a Fréchet CW-complex or a Whitehead complex. If X is a point-countable, then it is locally countable.

*Proof.* Since every point-countable Whitehead complex is locally countable, then we suppose that X is a Fréchet CW-complex. Let  $\{e_{\gamma}\}$  be the cells of X such that  $\{\overline{e}_{\gamma}\}$  is point-countable. For  $x \in X$ , let  $\{\overline{e}_{\gamma}; e_{\gamma} \ni x\}$  be  $\{\overline{e}_{\gamma_{1}}, \overline{e}_{\gamma_{2}}, \cdots\}$ . Put  $E = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \overline{e}_{\gamma_{i}}$ . Since X is Fréchet, by the proof of Proposition 2.3, (2)  $\rightarrow$  (1), we have  $x \notin \overline{X - E}$ . This implies  $x \in \text{int } E$ . Since each  $\overline{e}_{\gamma_{1}}$  is compact, by the proof of [17; (D)], each  $\overline{e}_{\gamma_{1}}$  meets at most finitely many  $e_{\gamma}$ 's, so that int E meets at most countably many  $\overline{e}_{\gamma}$ 's. This implies that X is locally countable. The parenthetic part is proved similarly.

Proposition 2.7. Let X be a Fréchet CW-complex (resp. a Whitehead complex). Then the following are equivalent.

(1) X is point-countable.

(2) X is locally countable.

(3)  $x^2$  is a CW-complex (resp. Whitehead complex). *Proof.* (1)  $\rightarrow$  (2) follows from Lemma 2.6.

(2)  $\rightarrow$  (3). Every locally countable CW-complex is a  $k_{\omega}$ -space, and every product of two locally  $k_{\omega}$ -spaces is a k-space. Thus (2)  $\rightarrow$  (3) follows from Proposition 2.5.

(3)  $\rightarrow$  (1). Suppose that X is not point-countable. Then, by Lemma 2.2, X contains a closed copy of S Thus  $X^2$  is a k-space which contains a closed copy of  $S_{\omega_1}^2$ . Hence  $S_{\omega_1}^2$  is a k-space. However, by [7; Lemma 5],  $S_{\omega_1}^2$  is not a k-space. This is a contradiction.

In terms of a set-theoretic axiom BF( $\omega_2$ ) below, we shall consider the product X × Y of CW-complexes X and Y.

 $BF(\omega_2)$ : If  $F \subseteq \{f; f: N \rightarrow N \text{ is a function}\}$  has cardinality less than  $\omega_2$ , then there is a function g:  $N \rightarrow N$ such that  $\{n \in N; f(n) > g(n)\}$  is finite for all  $f \in F$ . Hence CH implies  $BF(\omega_2)$  is false.

In [7], Gary Gruenhage proved the following result (\*): (\*)  $S_{\omega} \times S_{\omega_1}$  is a k-space if and only if  $BF(\omega_2)$  holds. From this result (\*), if the assertion of Proposition 1.1 by replacing " $S_c$ " by " $S_{\omega_1}$ " holds, then  $BF(\omega_2)$  is false.

Lemma 2.8.  $I_{\omega} \times I_{\omega}$  is a Whitehead complex if and only if BF( $\omega_2$ ) holds.

*Proof.* "If." Since  $BF(\omega_2)$  holds, by the proof of [7; Lemma 1] it turns out that  $I_{\omega} \times I_{\omega_1}$  is sequential. Hence  $I_{\omega} \times I_{\omega_1}$  is a Whitehead complex by Proposition 2.5. "Only if."  $I_{\omega} \times I_{\omega_1}$  is a k-space and it contains a closed copy of  $S_{\omega} \times S_{\omega_1}$ , so that  $S_{\omega} \times S_{\omega_1}$  is a k-space. Thus by the result (\*),  $BF(\omega_2)$  holds.

Proposition 2.9. If X and Y are Fréchet CW-complexes (resp. Whitehead complexes), then the following are equivalent.

(1)  $X \times Y$  is a CW-complex (resp. Whitehead complex)

if and only if X or Y is locally finite, otherwise X and Y are locally countable.

(2) BF( $\omega_2$ ) is false.

*Proof.* (1)  $\rightarrow$  (2) follows from Lemma 2.8.

(2)  $\rightarrow$  (1). The "if" part of (1) does not use (2). Suppose that X or Y is a locally finite CW-complex. Then X or Y is locally compact. Thus  $X \times Y$  is a k-space. Suppose that X and Y are locally countable. Then they are locally  $k_{\mu}$ -spaces, hence X  $\times$  Y is a k-space. In any case,  $X \times Y$  is a k-space. Hence  $X \times Y$  is a CW-complex by Proposition 2.5. The parenthetic part is proved similarly. Next we prove the "only if" part. Suppose that Y is not locally countable. Then by Lemma 2.6, Y is not a pointcountable CW-complex. Then by Lemma 2.2, Y contains a closed copy of  ${\rm S}_{\omega_1}$  . To show X is point-finite, suppose not. Then X contains a closed copy of  $S_{(i)}$  by Lemma 2.2. Thus X × Y contains a closed copy of  $S_{\omega} \times S_{\omega_1}$ . Since BF( $\omega_2$ ) is false,  ${\rm S}_{_{\omega}}~\times~{\rm S}_{_{\omega_1}}$  is not a k-space by the result (\*). But, since X  $\times$  Y is a CW-complex, S  $_{\omega}$   $\times$  S  $_{\omega_1}$  is a k-space. This is a contradiction. Thus X is point-finite, hence is locally finite by Lemma 2.6. Similarly, Y is locally finite if X is not locally countable. This finishes the proof.

The following questions (a) and (b) remain, the latter is related to Proposition 2.7.

Questions. (a) For every CW-complexes X and Y, does (1) \* (2) of the previous proposition hold?

(b) Is X locally countable if  $x^2$  is a CW-complex?

131

#### Supplement

Quite recently, through Zhou Hao-xuan, the author learned of the following result due to Liu Ying-ming "A necessary and sufficient condition for the product of CW-complexes," Acta Mathematica Sinica, 21 (1978), 171-175 (Chinese).

[CH] Let X and Y be CW-complexes. Then  $X \times Y$  is a CW-complex if and only if either X or Y is locally finite, or X and Y are locally countable.

Referring to the above paper and G. Gruenhage [7], we can prove that the answers to the questions (a) and (b) are affirmative.

The author wishes to thank Zhou Hao-xuan for his translation of Liu's paper.

#### References

- A. V. Arhangel'skii, Mappings and spaces, Russian Math. Surveys 21 (1966), 115-162.
- [2] \_\_\_\_\_, The frequency spectrum of a topological space and the classification of spaces, Soviet Math. Dokl. 13 (1972), 1185-1189.
- [3] D. E. Cohen, Spaces with weak topology, Quart. J. Math., Oxford Ser. 5 (1954), 77-80.
- [4] C. H. Dowker, Topology of metric complexes, Amer. J. Math. 74 (1952), 557-577.
- [5] S. P. Franklin, Spaces in which sequences suffice II, Fund. Math. LXI (1967), 51-56.
- [6] and B. V. Smith Thomas, On the metrizability of  $k_{\omega}$ -spaces, Pacific J. of Math. 72 (1977), 399-402.
- G. Gruenhage, k-spaces and products of closed images of metric spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 80 (1980), 478-482.

- [8] P. W. Harley III and R. M. Stephenson, Jr., Symmetrizable and related spaces, Trans. of Amer. Math. Soc. 219 (1976), 89-111.
- [9] V. I. Malyhin, On tightness and suslin number in exp X and in a products of spaces, Soviet Math. Dokl. 13 (1972), 496-499.
- [10] E. Michael, Local compactness and cartesian products of quotient maps and k-spaces, Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble 18, 2 (1968), 281-286.
- [11] \_\_\_\_\_, Bi-quotient maps and cartesian products of quotient maps, Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble 18, 2 (1968), 287-302.
- [12] \_\_\_\_\_, A quintuple quotient quest, General Topology and Appl. 2 (1972), 99-138.
- [13] S. Nedev, Symmetrizable spaces and final compactness, Soviet Math. Dokl. 8 (1967), 890-892.
- [14] F. Siwiec, Sequence-covering and countably bi-quotient mappings, General Topology and Appl. 1 (1971), 143-154.
- [15] \_\_\_\_\_, Generalizations of the first axiom of countability, Rocky Mountain J. of Math. 5 (1975), 1-60.
- [16] R. M. Stephenson, Jr., Symmetrizable, J-, and weakly first countable spaces, Can. J. Math. XXIX (1977), 480-488.
- [17] J. H. C. Whitehead, Combinatorial homotopy. I, Bull. of Amer. Math. Soc. 55 (1949), 213-245.

Tokyo Gakugei University

Koganei, Tokyo, Japan