

---

# TOPOLOGY PROCEEDINGS



Volume 6, 1981

Pages 351–361

---

<http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/>

## RETRACTION OF $M_1$ -SPACES

by

TAKUO MIWA

---

### Topology Proceedings

**Web:** <http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/>

**Mail:** Topology Proceedings  
Department of Mathematics & Statistics  
Auburn University, Alabama 36849, USA

**E-mail:** [topolog@auburn.edu](mailto:topolog@auburn.edu)

**ISSN:** 0146-4124

COPYRIGHT © by Topology Proceedings. All rights reserved.

## RETRACTION OF $M_1$ -SPACES

**Takuo Miwa**

In this paper, we shall prove that an  $M_1$ -space  $X$  can be imbedded in an  $M_1$ -space  $Z(X)$  as a closed subset in such a way that  $X$  is an  $AR(\mathcal{M}_1)$  (resp.  $ANR(\mathcal{M}_1)$ ) if and only if  $X$  is a retract (resp. neighborhood retract) of  $Z(X)$ , where  $\mathcal{M}_1$  is the class of all  $M_1$ -spaces. Moreover, we shall prove that an  $M_1$ -space is an  $AE(\mathcal{M}_1)$  (resp.  $ANE(\mathcal{M}_1)$ ) if and only if it is an  $AR(\mathcal{M}_1)$  (resp.  $ANR(\mathcal{M}_1)$ ).

### 1. Introduction

In metric spaces, the closed imbedding theorem of Eilenberg-Wojdyslawski plays an important role in the development of retract theory. By using this theorem, it was shown that a metric space is an  $AE(\mathcal{M})$  (resp.  $ANE(\mathcal{M})$ ) if and only if it is an  $AR(\mathcal{M})$  (resp.  $ANR(\mathcal{M})$ ), where  $\mathcal{M}$  is the class of all metric spaces. In [3], R. Cauty showed that a stratifiable space  $X$  can be imbedded in a stratifiable space  $Z(X)$  as a closed subset in such a way that  $X$  is an  $AR(\mathcal{S})$  (resp.  $ANR(\mathcal{S})$ ) if and only if  $X$  is a retract (resp. neighborhood retract) of  $Z(X)$ , where  $\mathcal{S}$  is the class of all stratifiable spaces. By using this theorem, R. Cauty extended to stratifiable spaces the results of O. Hanner [6] concerning near maps and small homotopies. In this paper, for a space  $X$  we shall construct  $Z(X)$  by using the method of R. Cauty [3], and prove the results mentioned above. Furthermore, we consider the relationships between

near maps, small homotopies, connectivity and  $AR(\mathcal{M}_1)$  (or  $ANR(\mathcal{M}_1)$ ).

Throughout this paper, all spaces are assumed to be Hausdorff topological spaces and all maps to be continuous.  $N$  and  $I$  denote the set of all natural numbers and the closed unit interval  $[0,1]$ , respectively. For the definitions of  $M_1$ -space and stratifiable space, see [4].  $AR(\mathcal{C})$  (resp.  $ANR(\mathcal{C})$ ) is the abbreviation for absolute (resp. neighborhood) retract for the class  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $AE(\mathcal{C})$  (resp.  $ANE(\mathcal{C})$ ) the abbreviation for absolute (resp. neighborhood) extensor for the class  $\mathcal{C}$ . For these definitions, see [8]. Note that in [8] each class  $\mathcal{C}$  is weakly hereditary; that is to say, if  $\mathcal{C}$  contains  $X$ , then it contains every closed subspace of  $X$ . However, in this paper we consider the class  $\mathcal{M}_1$  of all  $M_1$ -spaces though it is unknown if  $\mathcal{M}_1$  is weakly hereditary.

## 2. Auxiliary Lemma

*Definition 2.1 ([12]).* Let  $X$  be a space and  $F$  a closed subset of  $X$ . An open cover of  $X - F$  is said to be an *anti-cover* of  $F$ . An anti-cover  $\mathcal{V}$  is said to be *uniformly approaching* to  $F$  in  $X$  if for each open subset  $U$  of  $X$ ,  $Cl_X(\mathcal{V}(X - U))$  does not meet  $U \cap F$ , where  $\mathcal{V}(X - U)$  denotes the star of  $X - U$  with respect to  $\mathcal{V}$  and  $Cl_X$  denotes the closure operation in  $X$ . A paracompact  $\sigma$ -space  $X$  is said to be a *D-space* if each closed subset of  $X$  has a uniformly approaching anti-cover.

Note that  $\mathcal{V}$  is a semi-canonical cover of a pair  $(X, F)$  ([9]) if and only if  $\mathcal{V}$  is uniformly approaching to  $F$  in  $X$ .

The following lemma was essentially proved in the proof of [11, Lemma 3.2]. For extensions of a closure preserving open collection, see [13, Definition 2].

*Lemma 2.2. Let  $X$  be a D-space,  $F$  a closed subset of  $X$  and  $f$  a map from  $F$  into a space  $Y$ . Let  $Y$  also denote the natural imbedding of  $Y$  in  $X \cup_f Y = Z$ . If  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$  is a closure preserving open collection in  $Y$ , then for each  $\alpha \in A$  there is a collection  $\{U'_\beta : \beta \in B_\alpha\}$  of open subsets in  $Z$  satisfying the following three conditions:*

(E1)  $\mathcal{U}' = \{U'_\beta : \beta \in B_\alpha, \alpha \in A\}$  is closure preserving in  $Z$ ,

(E2) for each  $\beta \in B_\alpha$ ,  $U'_\beta \cap Y = U_\alpha$ , and for every open subset  $V$  in  $Z$  with  $V \cap Y = U_\alpha$  there is  $\beta \in B_\alpha$  such that  $U_\alpha \subset U'_\beta \subset V$ , and

(E3) for every open subset  $W$  in  $Y$ , there is an open subset  $W'$  of  $Z$  such that  $W' \cap Y = W$  and  $W' \cap U'_\beta = \emptyset$  whenever  $\beta \in B_\alpha$  and  $W \cap U_\alpha = \emptyset$ .

*Proof.* Let  $p$  be the projection from the free union  $X \cup Y$  to  $Z$ . Since  $X$  is a D-space,  $X$  is an  $M_1$ -space. Therefore  $X$  is monotonically normal. Let  $G$  be a monotone normality operator for  $X$  satisfying the properties in [7, Lemma 2.2]. Since  $X$  is a D-space,  $F$  has a uniformly approaching anti-cover  $\mathcal{V} = \{V_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  in  $X$ . In particular, since  $X$  is hereditarily paracompact, we may assume that  $\mathcal{V}$  is locally finite in  $X - F$ . For each  $U_\alpha \in \mathcal{U}$ , let  $U'_\alpha = \cup \{G(x, F - p^{-1}(U_\alpha)) : x \in p^{-1}(U_\alpha)\}$ . Then  $U'_\alpha$  is obviously open in  $X$ . For each  $\alpha \in A$ , let  $B_\alpha = \{\gamma(\alpha) \subset \Lambda : p^{-1}(U'_{\gamma(\alpha)}) \text{ is open in } U'_\alpha\}$ , where  $U'_{\gamma(\alpha)} = U_\alpha \cup p(\cup \{V_\lambda : \lambda \in \gamma(\alpha)\})$ . Let

$B = \cup \{B_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$ , and  $\mathcal{U}' = \{U'_\beta : \beta \in B\}$ . Then condition (E2) is obviously satisfied by  $\mathcal{U}'$ , because for each open subset  $V$  in  $Z$  with  $V \cap Y = U_\alpha$  there is a set  $U'_\beta = U_\alpha \cup p(\cup \{V_\lambda \in \mathcal{V} : V_\lambda \subset p^{-1}(V) \cap U'_\alpha\})$ , for some  $\beta \in B_\alpha$ , such that  $U_\alpha \subset U'_\beta \subset V$ . To prove (E3), let  $W$  be an open subset in  $Y$ . Then it is easy to see that  $W' = W \cup p(\cup \{G(x, F - p^{-1}(W)) : x \in p^{-1}(W)\})$  is an open subset of  $Z$  satisfying (E3).

Finally, to prove (E1), let  $x \notin \text{Cl}_Z U'_\beta$  for all  $\beta \in B' \subset B$ . Then we shall prove that  $x \notin \text{Cl}_Z (\cup \{U'_\beta : \beta \in B'\})$ . First, assume that  $x \in Y$  and also that  $A' = \{\alpha \in A : B_\alpha \cap B' \neq \emptyset\}$ . Then  $x \notin \text{Cl}_Y U_\alpha$  for  $\alpha \in A'$ . Since  $\mathcal{U}$  is closure preserving in  $Y$ ,  $x$  has a neighborhood  $W$  in  $Y$  such that  $W \cap U_\alpha = \emptyset$  for  $\alpha \in A'$ . By condition (E3), there is a neighborhood  $W'$  of  $x$  in  $Z$  such that  $W' \cap U'_\beta = \emptyset$  for  $\beta \in B'$ . This proves that  $\mathcal{U}'$  is closure preserving at  $x \in Y$ . Next, let  $x \in Z - Y$ . Then since  $\mathcal{V}$  is locally finite in  $X - F$ , it is easily verified that there is a neighborhood  $W$  of  $x$  such that  $W \cap U'_\beta = \emptyset$ , for each  $\beta \in B'$ . This proves that  $\mathcal{U}'$  is closure preserving at  $x \in Z - Y$ . Thus (E1) is satisfied by  $\mathcal{U}'$ . This completes the proof.

### 3. Construction of $Z(X)$

*Construction 3.1.* Let  $X$  be a space.  $M(X)$  denotes the full simplicial complex which has all points of  $X$  as the set of vertices. Then there is a canonical bijection  $i$  from the 0-skeleton  $M^0$  of  $M(X)$  onto  $X$ . Let  $Z' = M(X) \cup_i X$  be the adjunction space and  $p' : M(X) \cup X \rightarrow Z'$  the projection. By the aid of  $p'$ , we identify  $X$  with  $p'(X) \subset Z'$ .

Since the restriction of  $p'$  to  $M(X)$  is a bijection from  $M(X)$  onto  $Z'$ , by the abuse of language, a simplex  $\sigma$  of  $M(X)$  is said to be contained in a subset  $U$  of  $Z'$  if  $p'(\sigma)$  is contained in  $U$ .  $Z(X)$  denotes the space such that  $Z'$  is the underlying set of  $Z(X)$  and the topology of  $Z(X)$  has a base which consists of a collection of sets  $U$ , which is open in  $Z'$ , satisfying the following condition:

(C) If  $\sigma$  is a simplex of  $M(X)$  such that all vertices of  $\sigma$  are contained in  $U \cap X$ , then  $\sigma$  is contained in  $U$ .

Let  $p: M(X) \cup X \rightarrow Z(X)$  be the projection. Then  $p$  is obviously continuous. Let  $M^n$  be the  $n$ -skeleton of  $M(X)$  and  $Z^n = p(M^n \cup X)$ .

*Lemma 3.2.* If  $X$  is an  $M_1$ -space, then  $Z(X)$  is also  $M_1$ .

*Proof.* For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $Y$  be the free union of all  $(n+1)$ -simplexes of  $M(X)$ ,  $F$  the boundary of  $Y$  and  $f: F \rightarrow Z^n$  the map defined by  $f(x) = p(x)$  for  $x \in F$ . Then the set  $Y \cup_f Z^n$  is equal to the set  $Z^{n+1}$ . Let  $\{U_\alpha: \alpha \in A\}$  be a closure preserving open collection in  $Z^n$ . Since  $Y$  is a metric space,  $Y$  is a  $D$ -space. Therefore the technique of proof of Lemma 2.2 yields that, for each  $\alpha \in A$ , there is a collection  $\{U'_\beta: \beta \in B_\alpha\}$  of open subsets in  $Z^{n+1}$  satisfying (E1), (E2) and (E3). (Note that this proof is slightly different from that of Lemma 2.2; i.e. if  $\sigma$  is  $(n+1)$ -simplex and  $U_\alpha$  contains all vertices of  $\sigma$ , then  $\sigma$  is contained in  $U'_\beta$ ,  $\beta \in B_\alpha$ .)

Now, let  $\{U(\alpha_1): \alpha_1 \in A\}$  be a closure preserving open collection in  $X (= Z^0)$ . From the preceding paragraph we get that every  $U(\alpha_1)$  can be extended to open subsets

$\{U(\alpha_1, \alpha_2): \alpha_2 \in A(\alpha_1)\}$  in  $Z^1$  in such a way that the collection  $\{U(\alpha_1, \alpha_2): \alpha_1 \in A, \alpha_2 \in A(\alpha_1)\}$  satisfies (E1), (E2) and (E3). Similarly, every  $U(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$  can be extended to open subsets  $\{U(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3): \alpha_3 \in A(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)\}$  in  $Z^2$  in such a way that the collection  $\{U(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3): \alpha_1 \in A, \alpha_2 \in A(\alpha_1), \alpha_3 \in A(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)\}$  satisfies (E1), (E2) and (E3). Repeating this process, we get for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  a closure preserving open collection  $\{U(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n+1}): \alpha_1 \in A, \alpha_2 \in A(\alpha_1), \dots, \alpha_{n+1} \in A(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)\}$  in  $Z^n$ . Let  $\Sigma = \{(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \dots): \alpha_1 \in A, \alpha_2 \in A(\alpha_1), \alpha_3 \in A(\alpha_1, \alpha_2), \dots\}$ . For each  $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots) \in \Sigma$ , let  $U(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots) = \bigcup \{U(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n): n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Then  $U(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots)$  is open in  $Z(X)$ , because, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $U(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots) \cap Z^n = U(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n+1})$  is open in  $Z^n$  and  $U(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots)$  satisfies (C) by the construction of  $U(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ . Next, we claim that  $\mathcal{U} = \{U(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots): (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots) \in \Sigma\}$  is closure preserving in  $Z(X)$ . Let  $x \in Z^0 (= X)$  and  $x \notin \text{Cl}_{Z(X)} U(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots)$  for all  $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots) \in \Sigma' \subset \Sigma$ . Then  $x \notin \text{Cl}_X U(\alpha_1)$  for all  $\alpha_1 \in A' = \{\alpha_1: (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots) \in \Sigma'\}$ . Since  $\{U(\alpha_1): \alpha_1 \in A'\}$  is closure preserving in  $X$ ,  $x$  has an open neighborhood  $W_1$  in  $X$  such that  $W_1 \cap U(\alpha_1) = \emptyset$  for each  $\alpha_1 \in A'$ . Let  $W_2$  be an open extension of  $W_1$  to  $Z^1$  which satisfies (E3). Namely,  $W_2 \cap U(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) = \emptyset$  for all  $\alpha_1 \in A'$  and  $\alpha_2 \in A(\alpha_1)$ . Repeating this process, we have for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  an open subset  $W_{n+1}$  in  $Z^n$ . Let  $W = \bigcup \{W_n: n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Then  $W$  is an open neighborhood of  $x$  in  $Z(X)$  such that  $W \cap U(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots) = \emptyset$  for all  $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots) \in \Sigma'$ . Thus  $\mathcal{U}$  is closure preserving at  $x \in Z^0$ . This remains valid for  $x \in Z^n$  with  $n > 0$ .

Finally, let  $\{\mathcal{U}_n\}$  is a  $\sigma$ -closure preserving base for  $X$ . Then it is easily verified that the extensions  $\{\mathcal{U}'_n\}$  of  $\{\mathcal{U}_n\}$  to  $Z(X)$ , by the same method above, is a  $\sigma$ -closure preserving base at each point of  $X$ . Furthermore, since  $M(X)$  is an  $M_1$ -space by [4, Theorem 8.3] and the open subspace  $Z(X) - X$  is homeomorphic to an open subspace of  $M(X)$ , there exists a  $\sigma$ -closure preserving base  $\{\mathcal{V}_n\}$  at each point of  $Z(X) - X$ . Thus  $\{\mathcal{U}'_n\} \cup \{\mathcal{V}_n\}$  is a  $\sigma$ -closure preserving base for  $Z(X)$ . This completes the proof.

*Remark 3.3.* It was shown in [3] that, if  $X$  is stratifiable,  $Z(X)$  is also stratifiable. If  $X$  is normal (resp. paracompact),  $Z'$  in Construction 3.1 is normal (resp. paracompact). By using this fact, it is easy to see that  $Z(X)$  is normal (resp. paracompact).

The following lemma was proved in [3, Lemma 1.2].

*Lemma 3.4.* Let  $X$  be a space. If  $Y$  is a stratifiable space,  $A$  a closed subset of  $Y$  and  $f: A \rightarrow X$  a map, then there is a map  $F: Y \rightarrow Z(X)$  with  $F|A = f$ .

The following theorem is an immediate consequence of Lemma 3.2 and 3.4.

*Theorem 3.5.* An  $M_1$ -space  $X$  is an  $AR(\mathcal{M}_1)$  (resp.  $ANR(\mathcal{M}_1)$ ) if and only if  $X$  is a retract (resp. neighborhood retract) of  $Z(X)$ .

The following theorem is a direct consequence of Theorem 3.5 and Lemma 3.4. Note that whether the class  $\mathcal{M}_1$



is weakly hereditary is a long-standing unsolved question first posed by Ceder [4].

*Theorem 3.6.* An  $M_1$ -space is an  $AE(M_1)$  (resp.  $ANE(M_1)$ ) if and only if it is an  $AR(M_1)$  (resp.  $ANR(M_1)$ ).

#### 4. Near Maps, Small Homotopies and Connectivity

*Definition 4.1* ([5]). A space  $Y$  is *equiconnected* if there is a map  $F: Y \times Y \times I \rightarrow Y$  such that  $F(x, y, 0) = x$ ,  $F(x, y, 1) = y$  and  $F(x, x, t) = x$  for all  $(x, y) \in Y \times Y$  and  $t \in I$ . The space  $Y$  is said to be *locally equiconnected* if  $F$  is defined only on  $U \times I$ , for some neighborhood  $U$  of the diagonal of  $Y \times Y$ .

*Definition 4.2* ([6]). Let  $f, g: Y \rightarrow X$  be two maps. If  $X$  is covered by  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha\}$ ,  $f$  and  $g$  are called  $\mathcal{U}$ -near if for each  $y \in Y$  there is a  $U_\alpha \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $f(y) \in U_\alpha$ ,  $g(y) \in U_\alpha$ .

*Definition 4.3* ([6]). Let  $h_t: Y \rightarrow X$  be a homotopy. If  $X$  is covered by  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha\}$ ,  $h_t$  is called a  $\mathcal{U}$ -homotopy if for each  $y \in Y$  there is a  $U_\alpha \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $h_t(y) \in U_\alpha$  for all  $t \in I$ . The space  $Y$  is said to *dominate* the space  $X$  if there are two maps  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  and  $g: Y \rightarrow X$  such that  $g \circ f$  is homotopic to the identity map of  $X$ . If the homotopy is a  $\mathcal{U}$ -homotopy for a covering  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $X$ ,  $Y$  is said to  $\mathcal{U}$ -dominate  $X$ .

*Proposition 4.4.* If an  $M_1$ -space  $Y$  is an  $ANR(M_1)$ , then  $Y$  is locally equiconnected.

*Proof.* Let  $A = Y \times Y \times \{0, 1\} \cup \Delta \times I$ , where  $\Delta$  is the diagonal of  $Y \times Y$ . We define a function  $f: A \rightarrow Y$  as

follows:  $f(x,y,0) = x$ ,  $f(x,y,1) = y$  and  $f(x,x,t) = x$  for  $t \in I$ . Then  $f$  is continuous. Since  $Y$  is an  $\text{ANR}(\mathcal{M}_1)$ , by Theorem 3.6 there is a neighborhood  $U$  of  $\Delta$  in  $Y \times Y$  and a map  $F: U \times I \rightarrow Y$  such that  $F|_A = f$ . Therefore  $Y$  is locally equiconnected.

*Proposition 4.5.* Let an  $M_1$ -space  $Y$  be an  $\text{ANR}(\mathcal{M}_1)$ . For any open covering  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $Y$ , there is an open covering  $\mathcal{V}$  of  $Y$ , which is a refinement of  $\mathcal{U}$ , such that for any space  $X$  any two  $\mathcal{V}$ -near maps  $f, g: X \rightarrow Y$  are  $\mathcal{U}$ -homotopic by a homotopy which is constant on the set  $\{x \in X: f(x) = g(x)\}$ .

*Proof.* Since  $Y$  is locally equiconnected by Proposition 4.4, there are a neighborhood  $U$  of the diagonal of  $Y \times Y$  and a map  $F: U \times I \rightarrow Y$  such that  $F(x,y,0) = x$ ,  $F(x,y,1) = y$  and  $F(x,x,t) = x$  for all  $(x,y) \in U$  and  $t \in I$ . For any  $y \in Y$ , there is a neighborhood  $V_y$  of  $y$  such that  $V_y \times V_y \subset U$  and  $F(V_y \times V_y \times I) \subset U_\alpha$  for some  $U_\alpha \in \mathcal{U}$ . Let  $\mathcal{V} = \{V_y: y \in Y\}$ . Then if two maps  $f, g: X \rightarrow Y$  are  $\mathcal{V}$ -near, we can define a map  $h: X \times I \rightarrow Y$  by  $h(x,t) = F(f(x), g(x), t)$ . By this homotopy, it is easy to see that  $f$  and  $g$  are  $\mathcal{U}$ -homotopic, and if  $f(x) = g(x)$ , then  $h(x,t) = f(x)$  for all  $t \in I$ . This completes the proof.

The following theorem 4.6, 4.7 and 4.8 can be proved by the methods used in the proofs of Theorem 1.5, 1.6 and 1.8 of [3], respectively. For the definition of  $\mathcal{U}$ -fine, see [3] p. 136 "petite d'ordre  $\mathcal{U}$ ." For the definition of (locally) hyperconnected, see [10] or [1].

*Theorem 4.6.* Let an  $M_1$ -space  $X$  be an  $ANR(M_1)$ . For any open covering  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $X$ , there is a simplicial complex with the Whitehead topology which  $\mathcal{U}$ -dominate  $X$ .

*Theorem 4.7.* Let an  $M_1$ -space  $X$  be an  $ANR(M_1)$ . For any open covering  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $X$ , there is an open covering  $\mathcal{V}$  of  $X$  such that, if  $L$  is a subcomplex of a simplicial complex  $K$  and contains all vertices of  $K$ , then every  $\mathcal{V}$ -fine map from  $L$  into  $X$  is extended to a  $\mathcal{U}$ -fine map from  $K$  into  $X$ .

*Theorem 4.8.* An  $M_1$ -space is an  $AR(M_1)$  (resp.  $ANR(M_1)$ ) if and only if it is (resp. locally) hyperconnected.

*Corollary 4.9.* If an  $M_1$ -space  $Y$  is an  $AR(M_1)$ , for any space  $X$  the function space  $X^Y$  with the pointwise convergence topology is an  $AE(S)$ .

This corollary is proved by Theorem 4.8 [2, Theorem 2.2] and [1, Theorem 4.1].

Added in proof. Some results of Section 3 have been announced in *Retraction and extension of mappings of  $M_1$ -spaces*, Proc. Japan Acad. 58 (1982).

## References

- [1] C. J. R. Borges, *A study of absolute extensor space*, Pacific J. Math. 31 (1969), 609-617.
- [2] \_\_\_\_\_, *Connectivity of function spaces*, Canad. J. Math. 23 (1971), 759-763.
- [3] R. Cauty, *Rétractions dans les espaces stratifiables*, Bull. Soc. Math. France 102 (1974), 129-149.
- [4] J. G. Ceder, *Some generalizations of metric spaces*, Pacific J. Math. 11 (1961), 105-126.

- [5] R. H. Fox, *On fibre spaces, II*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 49 (1943), 733-735.
- [6] O. Hanner, *Some theorems on absolute neighborhood retracts*, Ark. Mat. 1 (1951), 389-408.
- [7] R. W. Heath, D. J. Lutzer and P. L. Zenor, *Monotonically normal spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 178 (1973), 481-493.
- [8] S. T. Hu, *Theory of retracts*, Wayne State Univ. Press, Detroit, 1965.
- [9] D. M. Hyman, *A generalization of the Borsuk-Whitehead-Hanner theorem*, Pacific J. Math. 23 (1967), 263-271.
- [10] E. Michael, *Convex structures and continuous selections*, Canad. J. Math. 11 (1959), 556-575.
- [11] T. Miwa, *Extension properties for D-spaces and adjunction spaces* (preprint).
- [12] K. Nagami, *The equality of dimensions*, Fund. Math. 106 (1980), 239-246.
- [13] J. Nagata, *On Hyman's M-spaces*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, No. 375, 198-208, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1974.

Shimane University

Matsue, Shimane

Japan