
TOPOLOGY PROCEEDINGS



Volume 8, 1983

Pages 195–212

<http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/>

WEAK CONFLUENCE AND MAPPINGS TO ONE-DIMENSIONAL POLYHEDRA

by

PAMELA D. ROBERSON

Topology Proceedings

Web: <http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/>

Mail: Topology Proceedings
Department of Mathematics & Statistics
Auburn University, Alabama 36849, USA

E-mail: topolog@auburn.edu

ISSN: 0146-4124

COPYRIGHT © by Topology Proceedings. All rights reserved.

WEAK CONFLUENCE AND MAPPINGS TO ONE-DIMENSIONAL POLYHEDRA

Pamela D. Roberson

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper the term mapping will mean a continuous function and a continuum will be a compact, connected metric space. Suppose X is a continuum, K is a subcontinuum of X , and f is mapping of a continuum onto X . The statement that f is weakly confluent with respect to K means some component of $f^{-1}(K)$ is thrown by f onto K . The statement that f is weakly confluent means f is weakly confluent with respect to each subcontinuum of X .

Any mapping of a continuum onto a tree is weakly confluent with respect to each arc which does not contain a junction point in its interior. Many people, such as Read [7, Lemma p. 236], Ingram [3, Lemma 1], and Marsh [5, Lemma 4.7] have given a proof of some version of this, using the fact that the interior of such an arc separates the tree. Feurerbacher [2, Lemma 9] showed that if K is an arc in a circle S then any mapping of a continuum onto S must be weakly confluent with respect to K or $\overline{S-K}$.

In Theorem 4 of this paper we show that if K_1, \dots, K_n is a collection of subcontinua of a one-dimensional polyhedron X whose interiors are mutually exclusive and contain no junction points, then the following are equivalent.

(1) Any mapping of a continuum onto X is weakly confluent with respect to one of K_1, \dots, K_n ,
and

(2) The union of the interiors of K_1, \dots, K_n separate X . In Theorem 5 we give conditions on the polyhedron which insure the separation in (2) above. We then use inverse limit representations of one-dimensional polyhedra to give conditions under which any mapping of a continuum onto a one-dimensional polyhedron X must be weakly confluent with respect to one of a given collection of subcontinua of X .

The theorems in this paper can be used to show that certain one-dimensional continua are in $\text{Class}(W)$, where $\text{Class}(W)$ is the class of continua which are images of weakly confluent mappings only. We give an example of how these theorems may be used.

2. Weak Confluence and Separation of One-Dimensional Polyhedra

In this section we establish the main theorems of the paper.

Theorem 1. Suppose X is a one-dimensional connected polyhedron and K_1, K_2, \dots, K_n are mutually exclusive non-degenerate subcontinua of X , no one of which contains a junction point or an endpoint of X . Then the following are equivalent:

(1) *If f is a mapping of a continuum onto X then f is weakly confluent with respect to one of K_1, K_2, \dots, K_n , and*

(2) *$X - \bigcup_{i=1}^n K_i$ is not connected.*

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2): Suppose $X - \bigcup_{i=1}^n K_i$ is connected. Let A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n be a mutually exclusive collection of arcs in X such that $A_i \subseteq \text{Int } K_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Then

$X - \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i$ is connected and we denote by M the continuum

$$\overline{X - \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i}.$$

We define a mapping f of M onto X which is not weakly confluent with respect to any K_i . For $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, $\overline{K_i - A_i}$ is the union of two mutually exclusive arcs α_i and β_i each of which has one endpoint which is an endpoint of K_i and one endpoint which is an endpoint of A_i . We define $f|_{\alpha_i}$ and $f|_{\beta_i}$ so that $f|_{\alpha_i}$ is a homeomorphism which maps α_i onto $\alpha_i \cup A_i$ and $f|_{\beta_i}$ is a homeomorphism which maps β_i onto $\beta_i \cup A_i$, and so that the endpoint of K_i belonging to α_i is a fixed point of $f|_{\alpha_i}$ and the endpoint of K_i belonging to β_i is a fixed point of $f|_{\beta_i}$. We define $f|(X - \bigcup_{i=1}^n K_i)$ to be the identity mapping on $X - \bigcup_{i=1}^n K_i$.

For $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ $f^{-1}(K_i)$ has two components, α_i and β_i . Neither $f(\alpha_i)$ nor $f(\beta_i)$ is K_i , hence f is not weakly confluent with respect to K_i .

(2) \Rightarrow (1): Suppose $X - (\bigcup_{i=1}^n K_i)$ is not connected. Let f be a mapping of a continuum M onto X .

Case 1. $X - K_1$ is not connected. Let A be an arc in X containing no junction point or endpoint of X such that $K_1 \subseteq \text{Int } A$. Then $X - A$ is not connected and has only two components, C_1 and C_2 . Let $a_1 \in \overline{C_1} \cap A$ and $a_2 \in \overline{C_2} \cap A$. Let g be the mapping of X onto A defined by

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} a_1 & \text{if } x \in \overline{C_1} \\ a_2 & \text{if } x \in \overline{C_2} \\ x & \text{if } x \in A. \end{cases}$$

The composition $g \circ f$ is a mapping of M onto the arc A and so by [7, Lemma p. 236] $g \circ f$ is weakly confluent. Thus,

there is a subcontinuum H of M such that $g \circ f(H) = K_1$. Since $f(H)$ is a continuum in X which is thrown by g onto K , $g|_A$ is a homeomorphism, and $g^{-1}(K_1) = K_1$, then $f(H) = K_1$. Therefore, f is weakly confluent with respect to K_1 .

Case 2. $X - K_1$ is connected. Let m be a positive integer less than n such that $X - \bigcup_{i=1}^m K_i$ is connected and $X - \bigcup_{i=1}^{m+1} K_i$ is not connected. Let A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{m+1} be mutually exclusive arcs in X , no one of which contains a junction point or an endpoint of X , such that $K_i \subseteq \text{Int } A_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, m+1$. Then $X - \bigcup_{i=1}^m A_i$ is connected and $X - \bigcup_{i=1}^{m+1} A_i$ is not connected. Since $X - \bigcup_{i=1}^{m+1} A_i = (X - \bigcup_{i=1}^m A_i) - A_{m+1}$, then $X - \bigcup_{i=1}^{m+1} A_i$ has only two components, C_1 and C_2 .

Let $a_1 \in A_1 \cap \overline{C_1}$ and $a_2 \in A_2 \cap \overline{C_2}$. Let g be the mapping of X onto A_1 defined by

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \in A_1 \\ a_1 & \text{if } x \in \overline{C_1} \\ a_2 & \text{if } x \in \overline{C_2} \end{cases},$$

and for $i = 2, \dots, m+1$, we define $g|_{A_i}$ to be a homeomorphism which throws A_i onto A_1 in such a way that $g(K_i) = K_1$, $g(A_i \cap \overline{C_1}) = \{a_1\}$, and $g(A_i \cap \overline{C_2}) = \{a_2\}$.

The composition $g \circ f$ is a mapping of M onto the arc A , and so by [7, Lemma p. 236] $g \circ f$ is weakly confluent. Thus, there is a subcontinuum H of M such that $g \circ f(H) = K_1$. Since $f(H)$ is a continuum in X which is thrown by g onto K_1 , $g^{-1}(K_1) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{m+1} K_i$, and $g|_{A_i}$ is a homeomorphism for $i = 1, \dots, m+1$, then $f(H)$ is one of K_1, \dots, K_{m+1} . Therefore, f is weakly confluent with respect to one of K_1, \dots, K_{m+1} .

The next theorem gives conditions which insure the separation in (2) of Theorem 1.

To each metric space X there corresponds a non-negative integer $b_1(X)$ (see [4, p. 409]). If X is a polyhedron, $b_1(X)$ is the one-dimensional Betti number of X .

Theorem 2. Suppose X is a one-dimensional connected polyhedron and n is non-negative integer such that $b_1(X) = n$, and K_1, K_2, \dots, K_{n+1} are mutually exclusive subcontinua of X , no one of which contains a junction point or an endpoint of X . Then $X - \bigcup_{i=1}^{n+1} K_i$ is not connected.

Proof. Suppose $X - \bigcup_{i=1}^{n+1} K_i$ is connected. Let A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{n+1} be mutually exclusive arcs in X , no one of which contains a junction point or an endpoint of X , such that $K_i \subseteq \text{Int } A_i$, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n+1$. Then $X - \bigcup_{i=1}^{n+1} A_i$ is connected.

Let a be an endpoint of A_1 and g be the mapping of X into X defined by

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} a & \text{if } x \in \overline{X - \bigcup_{i=1}^{n+1} A_i} \\ x & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Since g is a monotone mapping, it follows from [4, Theorem 4, p. 433] that $b_1(X) \geq b_1(g(X))$. But $g[X]$ has only $n+1$ simple closed curves and one junction point; hence, $b_1(g(X)) = n + 1$. This yields a contradiction.

The next theorem follows from Theorem 1 and 2.

Theorem 3. Suppose X is a one-dimensional connected polyhedron, n is a non-negative integer such that $b_1(X) = n$,

and K_1, K_2, \dots, K_{n+1} are mutually exclusive non-degenerate subcontinua of X , no one of which contains a junction point or an endpoint of X . If f is a mapping of a continuum onto X then f is weakly confluent with respect to one of K_1, \dots, K_{n+1} .

In Theorems 4, 5, and 6 we relax the conditions regarding junction points imposed on the collections of subcontinua in the hypotheses of Theorems 1, 2 and 3.

Theorem 4. Suppose X is a one-dimensional polyhedron, K_1, \dots, K_n are non-degenerate subcontinua of X whose interiors are mutually exclusive, and no one of K_1, K_2, \dots, K_n contains a junction point of X in its interior. Then the following are equivalent.

(1) If f is a mapping of a continuum onto X then f is weakly confluent with respect to one of K_1, K_2, \dots, K_n , and

(2) $X - \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{Int } K_i$ is not connected.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2): Suppose $X - \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{Int } K_i$ is not connected. Let f be a mapping of a continuum M onto X . For each $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, let A_1^i, A_2^i, \dots be a sequence of arcs such that $A_j^i \subseteq \text{Int } K_i$, $A_j^i \subseteq A_{j+1}^i$, and $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} A_j^i = K_i$. Then for each positive integer j , $A_j^1, A_j^2, \dots, A_j^n$ are mutually exclusive subcontinua of X , no one of which contains a junction point or an endpoint of X . Since $X - \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{Int } K_i$ is not connected, then $X - \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_j^i$ is not connected. Then, by Theorem 1, f is weakly confluent with respect to one of $A_j^1, A_j^2, \dots, A_j^n$.

There exists a positive integer i such that f is weakly confluent with respect to infinitely many of A_1^i, A_2^i, \dots . Thus, there is a sequence L_1, L_2, \dots of subcontinua of M such that $f(L_1), f(L_2), \dots$ is a subsequence of A_1^i, A_2^i, \dots . We choose a subsequence L_{m_1}, L_{m_2}, \dots of L_1, L_2, \dots which converges to a subcontinuum L of M . Then $f(L) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} f(L_{m_j}) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} A_j^i = K_i$. Therefore, f is weakly confluent with respect to K_i .

(2) \Rightarrow (1): Suppose that $X - \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{Int } K_i$ is connected. Let A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n be n arcs in X such that $A_i \subseteq \text{Int } K_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Then $X - \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i$ is connected, and so, by Theorem 1, there exists a continuum M and a mapping f of M onto X such that f is not weakly confluent with respect to A_i , for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Since, for each i , $K_i - A_i$ is not connected, it follows from Theorem 1 that f is not weakly confluent with respect to K_i , for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Theorem 5. Suppose X is a one-dimensional connected polyhedron and n is a non-negative integer such that $b_1(X) = n$ and K_1, K_2, \dots, K_{n+1} are subcontinua of X whose interiors are mutually exclusive, and no one of K_1, K_2, \dots, K_{n+1} contains a junction point of X in its interior. Then $X - \bigcup_{i=1}^{n+1} \text{Int } K_i$ is not connected.

Proof. Suppose $X - \bigcup_{i=1}^{n+1} \text{Int } K_i$ is connected. Let A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{n+1} be subcontinua of X such that $A_i \subseteq \text{Int } K_i$, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n+1$. Then A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{n+1} are mutually exclusive subcontinua of X , no one of which contains a junction point or an endpoint of X , and $X - \bigcup_{i=1}^{n+1} A_i$ is connected. This contradicts Theorem 2.

The next theorem follows from Theorems 4 and 5.

Theorem 6. Suppose that X is a one-dimensional connected polyhedron, n is a non-negative integer such that $b_1(X) = n$, and K_1, K_2, \dots, K_{n+1} are non-degenerate subcontinua of X whose interiors are mutually exclusive, and no one of K_1, K_2, \dots, K_{n+1} contains a junction point of X in its interior. If f is a mapping of a continuum onto X then f is weakly confluent with respect to one of K_1, K_2, \dots, K_{n+1} .

Theorem 5 shows that in a one-dimensional connected polyhedron X , any collection of at least $b_1(X) + 1$ subcontinua of X which satisfy certain conditions must separate X . The following theorem shows that it is necessary to require this many subcontinua to assure separation.

Theorem 7. Suppose X is a one-dimensional connected polyhedron and n is a positive integer such that $b_1(X) = n$. Then there exist n mutually exclusive subcontinua K_1, K_2, \dots, K_n of X , no one of which contains a junction point or an end-point of X , such that $X - \bigcup_{i=1}^n K_i$ is connected.

Proof. Since $b_1(X) \geq 1$ then X contains a simple closed curve. Let K_1 be an arc in this simple closed curve which contains no junction point of X . Then $X - K_1$ is connected, so by the Euler-Poincaré formula [6, Theorem 9, p. 32] $b_1(\overline{X - K_1}) = b_1(X) - 1$. (One can see this by noting that $\overline{X - K_1}$ has one more 1-simplex and two more 0-simplexes than X .)

We define, inductively, arcs K_2, \dots, K_n in X such that for $j = 2, \dots, n$ K_j is in a simple closed curve in $X - \overline{\bigcup_{i=1}^{j-1} K_i}$,

K_j contains no junction point of X , and $\overline{X - \bigcup_{i=1}^{j-1} K_i}$ is connected. By the Euler-Poincaré formula,

$$b_1(\overline{X - \bigcup_{i=1}^j K_i}) = b_1(\overline{X - \bigcup_{i=1}^{j-1} K_i}) - 1 = b_1(X) - \sum_{i=1}^j i.$$

Therefore, $\overline{X - \bigcup_{i=1}^n K_i}$ is connected.

In the next theorem, we show that the conditions regarding junction points imposed on the collection of subcontinua in Theorem 4 may not be weakened.

Theorem 8. Suppose X is a one-dimensional connected polyhedron and K_1, K_2, \dots, K_n are mutually exclusive proper subcontinua of X such that each of K_1, K_2, \dots, K_n contains a junction point of X in its interior. Then there exists a continuum M and a mapping f of M onto X such that f is not weakly confluent with respect to K_i for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Proof. We show there is a continuum M and a mapping f of M onto X which is not weakly confluent with respect to K_1 . There is a point x in $X - \bigcup_{i=1}^n K_i$ and an arc $\alpha = [x, a]$ such that $a \in K_1$, $[x, a) \cap (\bigcup_{i=1}^n K_i) = \emptyset$, and $[x, a)$ contains no junction point of X . Let J be a junction point of X in $\text{Int } K_1$ and let $\beta = [a, J]$ be an arc in K_1 joining a and J .

Case 1. There is an arc $[t, J]$ such that $[t, J) \cap (\bigcup_{i=1}^n K_i) = \emptyset$ and $[t, J)$ contains no junction point of X . Let $[k, J]$ be an arc in β such that $[k, J)$ contains no junction point of X . Let M be the union of the following three subsets of $X \times [0, 1]$:

$$\begin{aligned}
& [X - (k,J)] \times \{0\}, \\
& (\alpha \cup \beta \cup [t,J]) \times \{1\}, \text{ and} \\
& \{X,t\} \times [0,1].
\end{aligned}$$

Let f be the projection mapping of the continuum M onto X .

We show that f is not weakly confluent with respect to K_1 . Suppose there is a subcontinuum H of M such that $f(H) = K_1$. Since J is in the interior of K_1 there is a point y in K_1 such that $y \notin \alpha \cup \beta \cup [k,J]$. Now, $f^{-1}(y) = \{(y,0)\}$, $f^{-1}[(k,J)] = (k,J) \times \{1\}$, and $f|[(k,J) \times \{1\}]$ is one to one. Thus, H must contain the point $(y,0)$ and a point of $(k,J) \times \{1\}$. But, any subcontinuum of M which contains $(y,0)$ and a point of $(k,J) \times \{1\}$ must intersect one of $\{t\} \times [0,1]$ and $\{x\} \times [0,1]$. Therefore, the image of such a continuum under f must contain a point not in K_1 , and so f is not weakly confluent with respect to K_1 .

Case 2. Case 1 does not hold. Then there exist two arcs $[r,J]$ and $[s,J]$ such that $[r,J] \cup [s,J] \subseteq K_1 - \beta$ and neither $[r,J]$ nor $[s,J]$ contains a junction point of X .

We will resolve this case in two parts. First, suppose that $X - (r,J)$ is not connected. Then $X - (r,J)$ has only two components, one containing r and the other containing J , s , and x . Let M be the union of the following three subsets of $X \times [0,1]$:

$$\begin{aligned}
& [X - (r,J)] \times \{0\}, \\
& (\alpha \cup \beta \cup [r,J]) \times \{1\}, \text{ and} \\
& \{x,r\} \times [0,1].
\end{aligned}$$

Let f be the projection mapping of M onto X .

We show that f is not weakly confluent with respect to K_1 . If H is any subset of M such that $K_1 \subseteq f(H)$ then H must contain the point $(s,0)$ and a point of $(r,J) \times \{1\}$. But, any continuum in M containing two such points must intersect $\{x\} \times [0,1]$, and hence $f(H)$ contains points not in K_1 . Thus, f is not weakly confluent with respect to K_1 .

On the other hand, suppose that $X - (r,J)$ is connected. Let M be the union of the following three subsets of $X \times [0,1]$:

$$\begin{aligned} &[X - (r,J)] \times \{0\}, \\ &(\alpha \cup \beta \cup [r,J]) \times \{1\}, \text{ and} \\ &\{x\} \times [0,1]. \end{aligned}$$

Let f be the projection mapping of the continuum M onto X .

We show that f is not weakly confluent with respect to K_1 . If H is any subcontinuum of M such that $K_1 \subseteq f(H)$ then H must contain $(r,J) \times \{1\}$ and the point $(s,0)$. But, any continuum in M containing such points must intersect $\{x\} \times [0,1]$ and hence $f(H)$ contains points not in K_1 . Thus, f is not weakly confluent with respect to K_1 . This concludes Case 2.

In each case, M was constructed by removing an arc from X and building a bridge over it in $X \times [0,1]$. In doing this we were careful to stay away from $\bigcup_{i=2}^n K_i$. This construction can be repeated for each of K_2, \dots, K_n , resulting in a continuum M' in $X \times [0,1]$ such that the projection mapping f' of M' onto X is not weakly confluent with respect to K_i , for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Remark. It is interesting to note that with M' so constructed, one can see that an arc can be mapped onto M' in such a way that the composition of this mapping with f' is not weakly confluent with respect to any K_i . Thus, we may assume the continuum M in the statement of Theorem 8 is an arc.

3. Inverse Limits

In this section we use inverse limit representations of one-dimensional polyhedra to describe conditions under which any mapping of a continuum onto a one-dimensional polyhedron X must be weakly confluent with respect to one member of a given collection of subcontinua of X . These results can be used to show that certain one-dimensional continua are in Class (W).

Suppose X_1, X_2, \dots is a sequence of compact metric spaces each having diameter less than a fixed positive number c , and suppose f_1, f_2, \dots is a sequence of mappings such that f_i maps X_{i+1} onto X_i for $i = 1, 2, \dots$. The *inverse limit* of the inverse limit sequence $\{X_i, f_i\}$ is the subset of the product $\prod_{i>0} X_i$ to which (x_1, x_2, \dots) belongs if and only if $f_n(x_{n+1}) = x_n$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. We consider $\prod_{i>0} X_i$ metrized by

$$d(x, y) = \prod_{i>0} 2^{-i} d_i(x_i, y_i)$$

where d_i denotes the metric on X_i . For each $i = 1, 2, \dots$, π_i will denote the projection mapping of the inverse limit onto X_i .

The following lemma was essentially proved by Read [7, Theorem 4] although not stated in this way. A proof is included here only for the sake of completeness.

Lemma 1. Suppose X is the inverse limit of the inverse limit sequence $\{X_i, f_i\}$ with each X_i a continuum, K is a subcontinuum of X , and g is a mapping of a continuum onto X . If $\pi_i \circ g$ is weakly confluent with respect to $\pi_i(K)$ for infinitely many integers i , then g is weakly confluent with respect to K .

Proof. Let g be a mapping of a continuum M onto X , n_1, n_2, n_3, \dots be a sequence of integers, and H_1, H_2, \dots be a sequence of subcontinua of M such that $\pi_{n_i} \circ g(H_i) = \pi_{n_i}(K_{n_i})$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots$. We can assume that the sequence H_1, H_2, H_3, \dots converges to a continuum H in M .

We show that $K \subset g(H)$. Suppose $p \in K$ and $\epsilon > 0$. Let N be a positive integer such that if $k > N$ then $\sum_{i > n_k} 2^{-i} < \epsilon$. Let $k > N$. Since $x \in K$, $\pi_{n_k}(p) \in \pi_{n_k}(K) = \pi_{n_k}(H_k)$. Let x be a point of $g(H_k)$ such that $\pi_{n_k}(x) = \pi_{n_k}(p)$. Then for $i < n_k$, $\pi_i(x) = \pi_i(p)$. Thus, $d(p, x) = \sum_{i > 0} 2^{-i} d_i(\pi_i(x), \pi_i(p)) < \epsilon$, and so $d(p, g(H_k)) < \epsilon$ for $k > N$. Hence, $p \in \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} g(H_k) = g(H)$. This shows that $K \subset g(H)$.

We show $g(H) \subset K$. Suppose $t \in g(H)$ and $\epsilon > 0$. Let N be a positive integer such that if $k > N$ then $\sum_{i > n_k} 2^{-i} < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$. Choose $k > N$ such that $g(H) \subset B(g(H_k), \frac{\epsilon}{2})$ and let y be a point of $f(H_k)$ such that $d(t, y) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$. Since $y \in f(H_k)$ then

$\pi_{n_k}(y) \in \pi_{n_k} \circ g(H_{n_k}) = \pi_{n_k}(H)$. There is a point s in H such that $\pi_{n_k}(y) = \pi_{n_k}(s)$, and so for $i < n_k$, $\pi_i(y) = \pi_i(s)$. Thus, $d(y, s) = \sum_{i>0} 2^{-i} d_i(\pi_i(y), \pi_i(s)) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$, and $d(t, s) \leq d(t, y) + d(y, s) < \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon$. Since for each $\epsilon > 0$ there is a point x in H such that $d(r, s) < \epsilon$, then $s \in \bar{H} = H$. This shows that $g(H) \subset K$.

We have shown that $g(H) = K$, thus g is weakly confluent with respect to K .

In the following lemma, d denotes the Hausdorff metric.

Lemma 2. Suppose X is a continuum, K is a subcontinuum of X , and g is a mapping of a continuum onto X . If for each positive number ϵ there is a subcontinuum L of X such that g is weakly confluent with respect to L and $d(K, L) < \epsilon$ then g is weakly confluent with respect to K .

Proof. The proof of this lemma is straightforward.

The next two theorems follow easily from the lemmas and Theorems 4 and 6 of section 2.

Theorem 9. Suppose X is the inverse limit of the inverse limit sequence $\{X_i, f_i\}$ with each X_i a one-dimensional connected polyhedron, and K_1, \dots, K_n are non-degenerate subcontinua of X such that for infinitely many integers i ,

- (1) the interiors of $\pi_i K_1, \dots, \pi_i K_n$ are mutually exclusive,*
- (2) no one of $\pi_i K_1, \dots, \pi_i K_n$ contains a junction point of X_i in its interior, and (3) $X_i - \bigcup_{j=1}^n \text{Int}(\pi_i K_j)$ is not connected.*

If g is a mapping of a continuum onto X then g is weakly confluent with respect to one of K_1, \dots, K_n .

Theorem 10. Suppose X is the inverse limit of the inverse limit sequence $\{X_i, f_i\}$ with each X_i a one-dimensional connected polyhedron, and n is a positive integer such that $b_1(X_i) \leq n$ for each i . Suppose also that K_1, \dots, K_{n+1} are non-degenerate subcontinua of X such that for infinitely many integers i , (1) the interiors of $\pi_i K_1, \dots, \pi_i K_{n+1}$ are mutually exclusive and (2) no one of $\pi_i K_1, \dots, \pi_i K_{n+1}$ contains a junction point of X_i in its interior. If g is a mapping of a continuum onto X then g is weakly confluent with respect to one of K_1, \dots, K_{n+1} .

A special case of Theorem 9 was proved by Read [7, Theorem 4]. Theorems 9 and 10 may be used to show that certain one-dimensional continua are in $\text{Class}(W)$. The following are continua for which Theorem 9 or 10 can be used to show they are in $\text{Class}(W)$:

- (1) the $\text{Class}(W)$ continua defined by Waraszkiewicz in [9] (not all of the continua he described are in $\text{Class}(W)$),
- (2) the Case-Chamberlin continuum [1],
- (3) Ingram's continua in [3], and
- (4) the continuum defined by Sherling in [8].

As an example, we will use Theorem 9 to show that the Case-Chamberlin continuum is in $\text{Class}(W)$.

The Case-Chamberlin continuum (see [1]) is an inverse limit on figure eights using one bonding map. Let A and B be two circles tangent at a point J . Assign an orientation to each of A and B . Let f be a mapping which throws $A \cup B$ onto $A \cup B$ as follows:

(1) A is thrown onto $A \cup B$ by fixing J, then wrapping around A in the positive direction, then B in the positive direction, and then around each of A and B in the negative direction.

(2) B is thrown onto $A \cup B$ by fixing J, then wrapping around A twice in the positive direction, then B twice in the positive direction, and then around each of A and B twice in the negative direction.

For each i let $X_i = A \cup B$ and $f_i = f$. Let X be the inverse limit of the inverse limit sequence $\{X_i, f_i\}$. One can show that if K is a proper subcontinuum of X then there exists a positive integer n such that (1) for each $i > n$, $J \notin \pi_n K$, or (2) for each $i > n$, $\pi_n K$ is an arc in A having J as an endpoint.

We will show that X is in Class(W). Let g be a mapping of a continuum onto X and let K be a proper subcontinuum of X . We will show that for every positive number ϵ there is a subcontinuum L of X such that g is weakly confluent with respect to L and $d(K, L) < \epsilon$ (where d denotes the Hausdorff metric).

We assume $\prod_{i>0} (X_i, d_i)$ metrized by $d(x, y) = \sum_{i>0} 2^{-i} d(\pi_i x, \pi_i y)$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ and N be a positive integer such that $\sum_{i>N} 2^{-i} \frac{\epsilon}{\text{diam}(A \cup B)}$. There exists an integer $N > J$ such that J is not in the interior of $\pi_n K$.

We can choose mutually exclusive arcs α and β in A such that $f(\alpha) = f(\beta) = \pi_n K$ and J is not in the interior of α or β . There exist subcontinua L_1 and L_2 of X such that

$\pi_{n+1}(L_1) = \alpha$, $\pi_{n+1}(L_2) = \beta$ and for each $i > n+1$, $\pi_i(L_1)$ and $\pi_i(L_2)$ are mutually exclusive arcs in A , neither of which contains J in its interior. Then for $i \geq n$, $X_i - [\pi_i(L_1) \cup \pi_i(L_2)]$ is not connected.

By Theorem 9, g is weakly confluent with respect to L_1 or L_2 . Since $\pi_n(L_1) = \pi_n(L_2) = \pi_n(K)$, then

$$d(K, L_1) < \sum_{i < n} 2^{-i} (\text{diam } A \cup B) < \epsilon, \text{ and}$$

$$d(K, L_2) < \sum_{i < n} 2^{-i} (\text{diam } A \cup B) < \epsilon.$$

Therefore, for each positive number ϵ there is a subcontinuum L of X such that g is weakly confluent with respect to L and $d(K, L) < \epsilon$. By Lemma 2, g is weakly confluent with respect to K . Hence, X is in Class(W).

References

- [1] J. H. Case and R. E. Chamberlin, *Characterizations of tree-like continua*, Pacific J. Math. 10 (1960), 73-84.
- [2] G. A. Feurerbacher, *Weakly chainable circle-like continua*, Doctoral Dissertation, University of Houston, Houston, 1974.
- [3] W. T. Ingram, *Concerning atriodic tree-like continua*, Fund. Math. 101 (1978), 189-193.
- [4] K. Kuratowski, *Topology II*, Academic Press, New York, 1968.
- [5] M. M. Marsh, *Fixed point theorems for certain tree-like continua*, Doctoral Dissertation, University of Houston, Houston, 1981.
- [6] L. S. Pontryagin, *Foundations of combinatorial topology*, Graylock Press, Rochester, New York, 1952.
- [7] D. R. Read, *Confluent and related mappings*, Colloq. Math. 29 (1974), 233-239.
- [8] D. D. Sherling, *Concerning the cone=hyperspace property*, Can. J. Math. (to appear).

- [9] Z. Waraszkiewicz, *Sur un probleme de M. H. Hahn*, Fund. Math. 22 (1934), 180-205.

University of Houston

Houston, Texas 77004

and

Stephen F. Austin State University

Nacogdoches, Texas 75962