

---

# TOPOLOGY PROCEEDINGS



Volume 9, 1984

Pages 269–291

---

<http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/>

## ON ULTRA POWERS OF BOOLEAN ALGEBRAS

by

ALAN DOW

---

### Topology Proceedings

**Web:** <http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/>

**Mail:** Topology Proceedings  
Department of Mathematics & Statistics  
Auburn University, Alabama 36849, USA

**E-mail:** [topolog@auburn.edu](mailto:topolog@auburn.edu)

**ISSN:** 0146-4124

COPYRIGHT © by Topology Proceedings. All rights reserved.

## ON ULTRA POWERS OF BOOLEAN ALGEBRAS<sup>1</sup>

Alan Dow

### 0. Introduction

If  $A$  is an algebra with finitely many finitary operations and relations and if  $p$  is an ultrafilter on  $\omega$  then the reduced ultrapower  $A^\omega/p$  is also an algebra with the same operations. Keisler has shown that CH implies  $A^\omega/p$  is isomorphic to  $A^\omega/q$  for any free ultrafilters  $p, q$  on  $\omega$  when  $|A| \leq \underline{c}$ . In this note it is shown that if CH is false then there are two free ultrafilters  $p, q$  on  $\omega$  such that if  $(A, <)$  has arbitrarily long finite chains then  $A^\omega/p$  is not isomorphic to  $A^\omega/q$ . This answers a question in [ACCH] about real-closed  $\eta_1$ -fields. Furthermore we show that, if  $A$  is an atomless boolean algebra of cardinality at most  $\underline{c}$ , then each ultrafilter of  $A^\omega/p$  has a disjoint refinement, partially answering a question in [BV]. We also show that if  $B$  is the countable free boolean algebra then it is consistent that there is an ultrafilter  $p$  on  $\omega$  so that  $P(\omega)/\text{fin}$  will embed into  $B^\omega/p$  but  $B^\omega/p$  will not embed into  $P(\omega)/\text{fin}$ .

### 1. Preliminaries

In this section the notation we use is introduced and we review some facts about ultraproducts which we will require. Our standard reference is the Comfort and Negrepontis text [CN]. Small Greek letters will denote ordinals

---

<sup>1</sup>Research supported by the NSERC of Canada.

and a cardinal is an initial ordinal. If  $S$  is a set and  $\alpha$  is an ordinal, then  $S^\alpha$  is the set of functions from  $\alpha$  to  $S$ ,  $|S|$  is the cardinality of  $S$  and  $[S]^{<\alpha}$  is the set of subsets of  $S$  of cardinality less than  $\alpha$ . We sometimes use  $2^\alpha$  to denote cardinal exponentiation and this shall be clear from the context. If an ultrafilter  $p$  on a cardinal  $\alpha$  has the property that  $|A| = \alpha$  for each  $A \in p$  then  $p$  is called a *uniform* ultrafilter;  $U(\alpha)$  is the set of all uniform ultrafilters on  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta\alpha$  is the set of all ultrafilters on  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha^*$  is all free ultrafilters.

Let  $\alpha$  be an infinite cardinal and let  $p \in \alpha^*$ , for a set  $S$  the ultrapower  $S^\alpha/p$  is the set of equivalence classes on  $S^\alpha$  where for  $s, t \in S^\alpha$ ,  $s \equiv^p t$  if  $\{a \in \alpha: s(a) = t(a)\} \in p$ . We will usually assume that when we choose  $s \in S^\alpha/p$  we have in fact chosen  $s \in S^\alpha$ . If  $L(, )$  is a binary relation on  $S$  then  $L(p, , )$  is a relation on  $S^\alpha/p$  or  $S^\alpha$  defined by  $L(p, s, t)$  if  $\{a \in \alpha: L(s(a), t(a))\} \in p$ . More generally, if  $p$  is any filter on  $\alpha$ , define  $L(p, s, t)$  if  $\{a \in \alpha: L(s(a), t(a))\} \in p$ . If for  $\gamma \in \alpha$ ,  $S_\gamma$  is a set then the ultraproduct  $\prod_{\gamma < \alpha} S_\gamma/p$  is defined similarly, as are any relations and functions. Also let  $L(p, s, t, v)$  abbreviate  $L(p, s, t)$  and  $L(p, t, v)$ . Throughout this paper  $L$  will be an order (the usual order on an ordinal) and  $E$  will be equality.

A function  $V$  from  $[\alpha]^{<\omega}$  to  $P(\alpha)$  is called *multiplicative* if  $V(H) = \{V(\{a\}): a \in H\}$  for each  $H \in [\alpha]^{<\omega}$ . A filter  $p$  on  $\alpha$  is called  $\alpha^+$ -good if for each function  $W$  from  $[\alpha]^{<\omega}$  to  $p$  there is a multiplicative function  $V$  from  $[\alpha]^{<\omega}$  to  $p$  such that  $V(H) \subseteq W(H)$  for each  $H \in [\alpha]^{<\omega}$ . A filter

is  $\omega$ -incomplete if it has countable many members whose intersection is empty.

A structure  $(S,L)$  is  $\alpha$ -saturated if whenever fewer than  $\alpha$  sentences of the form  $\exists x L(s,x)$ ,  $\exists x \neg L(s,x)$ ,  $\exists x L(x,s)$  or  $\exists x \neg L(x,s)$  are given and any finitely many can be satisfied with a single  $x \in S$ , then there is an  $x \in S$  which satisfies them all simultaneously. For example the set of rationals with the usual order is  $\omega$ -saturated but not  $\omega_1$ -saturated. For subsets  $C,D$  of  $S$ , let  $L(C,D)$  abbreviate that  $L(c,d)$  for each  $c \in C$  and  $d \in D$ , in case of  $L(C,\{d\})$  or  $L(\{c\},D)$  we will omit the parentheses. For regular cardinals  $\kappa,\lambda$  we say that  $(C,D)$  forms a  $(\kappa,\lambda)$ -gap in  $(S,L)$  if  $L(C,D)$ ,  $C$  is an increasing chain of order type  $\kappa$ ,  $D$  is a decreasing chain with order type  $\lambda$  under the reverse ordering and there is no  $x \in S$  with  $L(C,x,D)$ .

Keisler introduced the notion of an  $\alpha^+$ -good ultrafilter basically because of the following theorem. Keisler showed that assuming GCH there are  $\omega$ -incomplete  $\alpha^+$ -good ultrafilters in  $U(\alpha)$  and Kunen later removed the GCH assumption (see [Ke], [K], [CN]).

1.1 Theorem (Keisler).  $(S^\alpha/p, L(p))$  is  $\alpha^+$ -saturated if  $(S,L)$  is  $\omega$ -saturated and  $p \in U(\alpha)$  is  $\omega$ -incomplete and  $\alpha^+$ -good.

Another result of Keisler's which we require is the following.

1.2 *Theorem (Keisler).* If  $p \in U(\alpha)$  is  $\alpha^+$ -good and  $\{S_\gamma : \gamma < \alpha\}$  are all finite sets such that  $\{(\gamma : |S_\gamma| > n) : n \in \omega\} \subset p$  then  $|\prod_{\gamma < \alpha} S_\gamma / p| = 2^\alpha$ . (Note that  $p$  is  $\omega$ -incomplete.)

We include a proof of 1.2 because it is probably not as well known as 1.1 and to give the flavor of the use of good filters.

*Proof.* Let  $W$  be the map from  $[\alpha]^{<\omega}$  to  $p$  defined by  $W(H) = \{\gamma : |S_\gamma| > k\}$  where  $k = |H^H|$ . Suppose that  $V : [\alpha]^{<\omega} \rightarrow p$  is a multiplicative function refining  $W$ . For each  $\gamma < \alpha$ , let  $H_\gamma = \{\delta \in \alpha : \gamma \in V(\{\delta\})\}$ . Now define  $n_\gamma = |H_\gamma|$  and note that we may assume that  $S_\gamma \supset T_\gamma = n_\gamma^{H_\gamma}$  since  $V(H_\gamma) = \{V(\{\delta\}) : \delta \in H_\gamma\} \subset W(H_\gamma)$ . Let  $X = \prod_{\gamma < \alpha} n_\gamma / p$ . Define a function  $e$  from  $X^\alpha$  to  $\prod_{\gamma < \alpha} T_\gamma / p$  as follows: for  $y \in X^\alpha$  let  $e(y) \in \prod_{\gamma < \alpha} T_\gamma / p$  where  $e(y)(\gamma) \in T_\gamma$  and is such that  $e(y)(\gamma)(\delta) = y(\delta)(\gamma)$  for each  $\delta \in H_\gamma$ . Now if  $y \neq z$  are both in  $X^\alpha$ , then for some  $\delta \in \alpha \neg E(p, y(\delta), z(\delta))$ . It follows that  $\{\gamma \in \alpha : e(y)(\gamma) \neq e(z)(\gamma)\} \supset \{\gamma \in \alpha : \delta \in H_\gamma \text{ and } y(\delta) \neq z(\delta)\} = V(\{\delta\}) \cap \{\gamma : y(\delta)(\gamma) \neq z(\delta)(\gamma)\} \in p$  and so  $e(y) \neq e(z)$ . Therefore  $|\prod_{\gamma < \alpha} S_\gamma / p| \geq |\prod_{\gamma < \alpha} T_\gamma / p| \geq |X^\alpha| = 2^\alpha$ . The reverse inequality is trivial.

1.3 *Definition.* For a cardinal  $\alpha$ , let  $\underline{\gamma} \in \alpha^\alpha$  where  $\underline{\gamma}(\delta) = \gamma$  for  $\delta \in \alpha$ . For  $p \in U(\alpha)$ , define  $\kappa(i, p) = \min\{\kappa : (\alpha^\alpha, L(p)) \text{ has an } (\omega_i, \kappa)\text{-gap of the form } (\{\underline{\gamma} : \gamma < \omega_i\}, \{f_\delta : \delta < \kappa\})\}$  for each regular  $\omega_i \leq \alpha$ . Similarly, let  $b(p) = \min\{\kappa : (\alpha^\alpha, L(p)) \text{ has } (\kappa, \emptyset)\text{-gap}\}$ . If  $\alpha = \omega$ , let  $\kappa(\emptyset, p) = \kappa(p)$ .

1.4 Proposition. Let  $p \in U(\alpha)$  be  $\omega$ -incomplete  $\alpha^+$ -good. If  $(S, L)$  has increasing chains of any finite length then, for each regular  $\omega_1 \leq \alpha$ ,  $\kappa(i, p)$  is the unique regular cardinal such that  $(S^\alpha, L(p))$  has an  $(\omega_1, \kappa)$ -gap. Hence  $\kappa(i, p) > \alpha$ .

*Proof.* Let us first show that  $(S^\alpha, L(p))$  has an increasing chain of order type  $\alpha$ . Fix  $\{A_n : n \in \omega\} \subset p$  so that  $\cap A_n = \emptyset$  and let  $V$  be a multiplicative map of  $[\alpha]^{<\omega}$  into  $p$  with  $V(H) \subset A_{|H|}$  for  $H \in [\alpha]^{<\omega}$ . For each  $\delta \in \alpha$ , let  $H_\delta = \{\gamma \in \alpha : \delta \in V(\{\gamma\})\}$  and let  $C_\delta = \{c(\delta, \gamma) : \gamma \in H_\delta\} \subset S$  be a chain. Define, for  $\gamma \in \alpha$ ,  $g_\gamma \in S^\alpha$  so that if  $\gamma \in H_\delta$  then  $g_\gamma(\delta) = c(\delta, \gamma)$ . Now if  $\beta < \gamma < \alpha$ , then  $\{\delta \in \alpha : L(g_\beta(\delta), g_\gamma(\delta)) \supset V(\{\beta, \gamma\}) \in p$ . It is now clear that if  $\omega_1 \leq \alpha$  is regular and  $\{g_\gamma : \gamma < \omega_1\} \subset S^\alpha$  is a chain then we may assume that  $V, \{g_\gamma : \gamma < \omega_1\}, \{H_\delta : \delta \in \alpha\}$  and  $\{C_\delta : \delta \in \alpha\}$  are as above. Furthermore if  $h \in S^\alpha$  is such that  $L(p, g_\gamma, h)$  for  $\gamma < \omega_1$  then there is an  $h' \in \prod_{\delta < \alpha} C_\delta$  so that  $L(p, g, h', h)$  for  $\gamma < \omega_1$ . Indeed, define  $h'(\delta) = \max\{g_\gamma(\delta) : \gamma \in H_\delta \text{ and } L(g_\gamma(\delta), h(\delta))\}$ . Therefore, for any regular cardinal  $\kappa$ , if  $\{h_\gamma : \gamma < \kappa\} \subset S^\alpha$  is such that  $(\{g_\gamma : \gamma < \omega_1\}, \{h_\gamma : \gamma < \kappa\})$  form a gap, then we may assume  $\{h_\gamma : \gamma < \kappa\} \subset \prod_{\delta < \alpha} C_\delta$ . Similarly in the structure  $(\alpha^\alpha, L(p))$ , if  $\{f_\gamma : \gamma < \kappa\} \subset \alpha^\alpha$  is such that  $(\{\underline{\gamma} : \gamma < \omega_1\}, \{f_\gamma : \gamma < \kappa\})$  form a gap, we may assume  $f_\gamma \in \prod_{\delta < \alpha} H_\delta$ . The result now follows from the fact that  $(\prod_{\delta < \alpha} C_\delta / p, L(p))$  is isomorphic to  $(\prod_{\delta < \alpha} H_\delta / p, L(p))$ .

If  $B$  is a boolean algebra, then the Stone space of  $B$ ,  $S(B)$ , is the space of ultrafilters of  $B$  in which a set is closed and open (=clopen) precisely when it is of the form

$b^* = \{p \in S(B) : b \in p\}$ . Conversely if  $X$  is a compact space with a base for the topology consisting of clopen sets (= 0-dimensional) then  $CO(X)$  is the boolean algebra of clopen subsets of  $X$ . It is clear that  $B$  is isomorphic to  $CO(S(B))$  and that  $X$  is homeomorphic to  $S(CO(X))$ . Also  $B$  embeds into  $CO(X)$  if and only if  $X$  maps continuously onto  $S(B)$ . The set  $\beta\alpha$  is topologized as  $S(P(\alpha))$  and both  $U(\alpha)$  and  $\alpha^*$  have the subspace topology. Recall that the unique countable atomless boolean algebra is equal to  $CO(2^\omega)$  where  $2^\omega$  is the Cantor set (i.e.  $2^\omega$  has the product topology).

There is an alternate construction of an ultrapower of a boolean algebra  $B$ . The topological space  $\alpha \times S(B)$  (where  $\alpha$  has the discrete topology) has a Stone-Cech compactification  $\beta(\alpha \times S(B))$ . In fact,  $\beta(\alpha \times S(B))$  is just the Stone space of  $B^\alpha$ . The map  $f: \alpha \times S(B) \rightarrow \alpha$  defined by  $f[\{\gamma\} \times S(B)] = \{\gamma\}$  extends to an open map  $f$  from  $\beta(\alpha \times S(B))$  to  $\beta\alpha$ . If we let  $K^P = f^+(p)$  for  $p \in U(\alpha)$  then  $CO(K^P) \cong B^\alpha/p$ . If  $p$  is  $\omega$ -incomplete  $\alpha^+$ -good then  $K^P$  is an  $F_{\alpha^+}$ -space in which any non-empty intersection of at most  $\alpha$  many clopen sets has infinite interior (see [CN]). This is clearly not a useful way of constructing the ultrapower but the space  $K^P$  is an interesting topological space and an analogous construction can be made from spaces of the form  $\alpha \times Y$  where  $Y$  is, for example, connected.

## 2. The Main Constructions

Let  $(S, L)$  be an  $\omega$ -saturated structure with  $|S| = \alpha$  and let  $p, q \in U(\alpha)$  be  $\omega$ -incomplete  $\alpha^+$ -good. If  $2^\alpha = \alpha^+$  then it is easily seen by 1.1 that  $S^\alpha/p \cong S^\alpha/q$  because they each

have cardinality  $2^\alpha$ . However if  $2^\alpha > \alpha^+$  it may not be the case that these ultrapowers are isomorphic. The easiest way to distinguish them would be if  $\kappa(i,p) \neq \kappa(i,q)$  for some  $\omega_i \leq \alpha$ . In this section we show that there is always  $p \in U(\alpha)$  so that  $\kappa(i,p) = \text{cf}(2^\alpha)$  (the cofinality of  $2^\alpha$ ) for each  $\omega_i \leq \alpha$ . Furthermore in the case of  $\alpha = \omega$  we show that  $\kappa(p)$  can be anything reasonable. In fact we prove the following two theorems.

*2.1 Theorem. There is an  $\omega$ -incomplete  $\alpha^+$ -good ultrafilter  $p$  on  $\alpha$  so that  $\kappa(i,p) = \text{cf}(2^\alpha)$  for each regular  $\omega_i \leq \alpha$ .*

*2.2 Theorem. For each regular  $\kappa$  with  $\omega_1 \leq \kappa \leq 2^\omega$  there is a  $p \in U(\omega)$  so that  $\kappa(p) = \kappa$ .*

The reason that we are able to prove more for  $\alpha = \omega$  is that every free ultrafilter on  $\omega$  is  $\omega^+$ -good which is not the case for  $\alpha > \omega$ . If  $(R, <, +, \times)$  is the field of real numbers then  $(R^\omega/p, L(p), +, \times)$  with the obvious meanings is an example of a real-closed  $\eta_1$ -field or an H-field (see [ACCH]), for each  $p$  in  $U(\omega)$ . From 2.2, we obtain the following answer to a question in [ACCH].

*2.3 Corollary. If  $2^\omega > \omega_1$  then there are non-isomorphic H-fields of cardinality  $2^\omega$ . These fields may all have the form  $R^\omega/p$  for  $p \in U(\omega)$ .*

This was shown to be consistent by Roitman [R] and the first sentence was shown to be consistent in [ACCH].



Recall that if  $p$  is a filter on  $\omega$ , not necessarily maximal, and  $f, g \in S^\omega$  then  $L(p, f, g)$  denotes the condition  $\{\delta < \alpha: L(f(\delta), g(\delta))\} \in p$ . If  $p$  is the cofinite filter on  $\omega$  then we use  $f <^* g$  rather than  $L(p, f, g)$ . Recall that  $\underline{b} = \min\{|F|: F \subset \omega^\omega \text{ and there is no } g \in \omega^\omega \text{ such that } f <^* g \text{ for all } f \in F\}$  and  $\underline{d} = \min\{|F|: F \subset \omega^\omega \text{ and for each } g \in \omega^\omega \text{ there is an } f \in F \text{ with } g <^* f\}$ . It is easily seen that, for any  $p \in U(\omega)$ ,  $\underline{b} \leq b(p) \leq \underline{d}$  and since it is consistent that  $\underline{b} = \underline{d} = \kappa$  for any regular  $\kappa$  with  $\omega_1 \leq \kappa \leq 2^\omega$ ,  $b(p)$  cannot take the place of  $\kappa(p)$  in 2.2. On the other hand it is a result of Rothberger that  $\underline{b} = \min\{\kappa: P(\omega)/\text{fin has an } (\omega, \kappa)\text{-gap}\}$  and it is easily shown that  $\underline{b} = \min\{\kappa: (\omega^\omega, <^*) \text{ has an } (\omega, \kappa)\text{-gap}\}$  hence it is somewhat surprising that  $\kappa(p)$  need not equal  $\underline{b}$  or  $b(p)$ . However for  $P$ -points in  $U(\omega)$   $\kappa(p) \geq \underline{b}$  (I do not know if  $\kappa(p) = b(p)$ ). A point  $p \in S(B)$ , for a boolean algebra  $B$ , is a  $P_\alpha$ -point if  $p$  is an  $\alpha$ -complete filter, a  $P$ -point is a  $P_{\omega_1}$ -point (i.e. if  $A \in [p]^\omega$  then there is a  $b \in p$  with  $b < a$  for each  $a \in A$ ).

**2.4 Proposition.** *If  $p \in U(\omega)$  is a  $P$ -point then  $\underline{b} \leq \kappa(p) \leq \underline{d}$ .*

*Proof.* If  $g \in \omega^\omega$  and  $L(p, \underline{n}, g)$  for each  $n \in \omega$ , then there is an  $f \in \omega^\omega$  such that  $E(p, g, f)$  while  $f^\leftarrow(n)$  is finite for each  $n \in \omega$ . Now let  $\{g_\alpha: \alpha < \kappa(p)\} \subset \omega^\omega$  be chosen so that  $|g_\alpha^\leftarrow(n)| < \omega$  for each  $n \in \omega$  and  $(\{\underline{n}: n \in \omega\}, \{g_\alpha: \alpha < \kappa(p)\})$  forms a gap in  $(\omega^\omega, L(p))$ . For each  $\alpha < \kappa(p)$  and  $n \in \omega$  define  $f_\alpha(n) = \min\{k: g_\alpha(j) > n \text{ for } j \geq k\}$ . We show that  $\{f_\alpha: \alpha < \kappa(p)\}$  is unbounded in  $(\omega^\omega, <^*)$ . Indeed suppose that  $f \in \omega^\omega$  is strictly increasing and  $f_\alpha <^* f$  for

$\alpha < \kappa(p)$ . Define  $g(k) = \max\{n: f(n) \leq k\}$  for  $k \in \omega$ . Let  $\alpha < \kappa(p)$  and choose  $m \in \omega$  so that  $f(n) > f_\alpha(n)$  for  $n > m$ . Now let  $j > f(m)$  and let  $g(j) = n$ , hence  $f_\alpha(n) < f(n) < j$  which means that  $g_\alpha(j) > n$ . Therefore  $g <^* g_\alpha$  for all  $\alpha < \kappa(p)$ , which is a contradiction; and so  $\kappa(p) \geq \underline{b}$ . Now let  $H \subset \omega^\omega$  be increasing functions with  $|H| = \underline{d}$  so that for each  $f \in \omega^\omega$  there is an  $h \in H$  with  $f <^* h$ . For each  $f \in H$ ,  $|\{\alpha < \kappa(p): f_\alpha <^* f\}| < \kappa(p)$  since otherwise we could define  $g$  as above and have  $g <^* g_\alpha$  for  $\alpha < \kappa(p)$ . Therefore, since, for each  $\alpha < \kappa(p)$ , there is an  $h \in H$  with  $f_\alpha <^* h$ ,  $\kappa(p) \leq |H|$ .

Before we can give the proofs of 2.1 and 2.2 we need some preliminary results.

2.5 *Definition.* Let  $F \subset \alpha^\alpha$  and let  $p$  be a filter on  $\alpha$ .  $F$  is of *large oscillation mod p* if for any  $n < \omega$ ,  $\{f_1, \dots, f_n\} \subset F$ ,  $(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n) \in \alpha^n$  and  $A \in p$  the set  $A \cap \{f_i^+(\gamma_n): 1 \leq i \leq n\}$  is not empty.

The above definition and the following result are in [EK].

2.6 *Theorem.* There is a set  $F \subset \alpha^\alpha$  of cardinality  $2^\alpha$  such that  $F$  is of large oscillation mod  $p$  where  $p = \{A \subset \alpha: |\alpha \setminus A| < \alpha\}$ .

Kunen constructed  $\alpha^+$ -good ultrafilters on  $\alpha$  using the following idea.

2.7 *Lemma.* Suppose that  $p$  is a filter on  $\alpha$ ,  $F \subset \alpha^\alpha$  is of large oscillation mod  $p$ ,  $W$  is a function from  $[\alpha]^{<\omega}$  into

$p$  and  $A$  is a subset of  $\alpha$ . There is a filter  $p' \supset p$ , and  $F' \subset F$  and a multiplicative function  $V$  from  $[\alpha]^{<\omega}$  into  $p'$  so that  $V$  refines  $W$ ,  $|F \setminus F'| < \omega$ , either  $A$  or  $\alpha \setminus A$  is in  $p'$  and  $F'$  is of large oscillation mod  $p'$ .

*Proof.* We first find  $V$ . Let  $\{H_\gamma: \gamma < \alpha\}$  be a listing of  $[\alpha]^{<\omega}$  and let  $f_\emptyset \in F$  be arbitrary. For each  $H \in [\alpha]^{<\omega}$ , let  $W'(H) = \cap \{W(J): J \subseteq H\}$ , and define  $V(H) = \cup \{f_\emptyset^+(\gamma) \cap W'(H_\gamma): H \subset H_\gamma\}$ . For each  $\delta \in H$  and  $\gamma$  with  $H \subset H_\gamma$ ,  $V(\{\delta\}) \cap f_\emptyset^+(\gamma) = W'(H_\gamma)$  and for  $\gamma$  with  $H \setminus H_\gamma \neq \emptyset$  there is a  $\delta \in H$  with  $V(\{\delta\}) \cap f_\emptyset^+(\gamma) = \emptyset$ . It follows that  $V$  is multiplicative. Let  $p_\emptyset$  be the filter generated by  $p \cup \{V(\{\delta\}): \delta < \alpha\}$ ;  $p_\emptyset$  is a filter since for  $D \in p$ ,  $\gamma < \alpha$   $D \cap V(H_\gamma) \supset D \cap W'(H_\gamma) \cap f_\emptyset^+(\gamma) \neq \emptyset$ . It is routine to check that  $F \setminus \{f_\emptyset\}$  is of large oscillation mod  $p_\emptyset$ . If  $F \setminus \{f_\emptyset\}$  is of large oscillation mod the filter generated by  $p_\emptyset \cup \{A\}$  then let these be  $F'$  and  $p'$  respectively. Otherwise there are  $f_1, \dots, f_n \in F \setminus \{f_\emptyset\}$ ,  $(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n) \in \alpha^n$  and  $D \in p_\emptyset$  with  $D \cap A \cap \{f_i^+(\gamma_i): i = 1, \dots, n\} = \emptyset$ . In this case we let  $F' = F \setminus \{f_\emptyset, f_1, \dots, f_n\}$  and let  $p'$  be the filter generated by  $p_\emptyset \cup \{f_i^+(\gamma_i): i = 1, \dots, n\}$ .

The construction of an  $\omega$ -incomplete  $\alpha^+$ -good ultrafilter is then just an induction of length  $2^\alpha$  using 2.7 and being sure to introduce enough multiplicative functions and to make sure it is maximal. In order to prove 2.1 we simply add a few steps to the induction according to 2.8.

**2.8 Lemma.** *If  $p$  and  $F$  are as in 2.7,  $\omega_1 \leq \alpha$  and  $H = \{h \in \alpha^\alpha: L(p, \underline{\gamma}, h) \text{ for all } \gamma < \omega_1\}$  then there is a*

filter  $p'$  and a function  $f \in F$  so that  $L(p, \underline{\gamma}, f, h)$  for  $\gamma < \omega_i$ ,  $h \in H$  and  $F \setminus \{f\}$  is of large oscillation mod  $p'$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f \in F$  be arbitrary and let  $p'$  be the filter generated by  $p \cup \{ \cup \{ f^\wedge(\delta) \cap h^\wedge((\delta, \omega_i)) : \gamma < \delta < \omega_i \} : \gamma < \omega_i \text{ and } h \in H \}$ . We show that  $F \setminus \{f\}$  is of large oscillation mod  $p'$ . Indeed suppose that  $f_1, \dots, f_n \in F \setminus \{f\}$ ,  $(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n) \in \alpha^n$ ,  $A \in p$ ,  $\gamma < \omega_i$  and  $h \in H$  (note that  $H$  is closed under finite meets). Let  $\gamma < \delta < \omega_i$ , then  $A \cap h^\wedge((\delta, \omega_i)) = A' \in p$  since  $L(p, \underline{\gamma}, h)$ . Therefore  $A' \cap f^\wedge(\delta) \cap \cap \{ f_j^\wedge(\gamma_j) : j = 1, \dots, n \} \neq \emptyset$ . It is clear that, for  $\gamma < \omega_i$ ,  $L(p, \underline{\gamma}, f)$  and, for  $h \in H$ ,  $\{ j < \alpha : f(j) < h(j) \} \supseteq \cup \{ f^\wedge(\delta) \cap h^\wedge((\delta, \omega_i)) : \delta < \omega_i \} \in p'$ .

*Proof of Theorem 2.1.* Starting with a family  $F$  given in 2.6 perform an induction of length  $2^\alpha$  to construct a chain of filters  $\{p_\delta : \delta < 2^\alpha\}$  using, for instance, 2.8 when  $cf(\delta) = \omega_i$  and 2.7 otherwise. To see that, for  $\omega_i \leq \alpha$  with  $\omega_i$  regular,  $\kappa(i, p) \geq cf(2^\alpha)$  observe that if  $H \subset \alpha^\alpha$ ,  $|H| < cf(2^\alpha)$  and  $L(p, \underline{\gamma}, h)$  for  $\gamma < \omega_i$  and  $h \in H$  then there is some  $\delta < 2^\alpha$  with  $cf(\delta) = \omega_i$  such that  $L(p_\delta, \underline{\gamma}, h)$  for  $\gamma < \omega_i$  and  $h \in H$ . Therefore by 2.8, there is an  $f \in \alpha^\alpha$  with  $L(p_{\delta+1}, \underline{\gamma}, f, h)$  for  $\gamma < \omega_i$ ,  $h \in H$ . Also, if  $D \subset 2^\alpha$  is cofinal with  $cf(\delta) = \omega_i$  for  $\delta \in D$ , then there are  $f_\delta$ ,  $\delta \in D$ , so that if  $L(p, \underline{\gamma}, h)$  then  $L(p_\delta, \underline{\gamma}, h)$  for some  $\delta \in D$  and so  $L(p, \underline{\gamma}, f_\delta, h)$ .

*Proof of Theorem 2.2.* In this case  $\alpha = \omega$  and so we do not have to worry about making the filter  $\alpha^+$ -good. Let  $\kappa$  be any regular cardinal with  $\omega_1 \leq \kappa \leq 2^\omega$  and let  $F \subset \omega^\omega$  be

of large oscillation mod the cofinite filter with  $|F| = \kappa$ . For each  $f \in F$ , let  $g_f$  be the map from  $U(\omega)$  onto the ordinal space  $\omega + 1$  defined by  $g_f^+(n) = [f^+(n)]^*$  and  $g_f^+(\omega) = U(\omega) \setminus U\{g_f^+(n) : n \in \omega\}$ . Now let  $G$  be the map from  $U(\omega)$  onto  $(\omega + 1)^F$  which is just the product of the  $g_f$ 's,  $f \in F$ . Finally, using a Zorn's Lemma argument, we find a closed set  $K \subset U(\omega)$  so that  $G$  maps  $K$  onto  $(\omega + 1)^F$  but no proper closed subset of  $K$  maps onto  $(\omega + 1)^F$ . Let  $p_\emptyset = \{A \subset \omega : K \subset A^*\}$  and note that  $F$  is of large oscillation mod  $p_\emptyset$  since  $K \cap \bigcap \{f_i^+(n_i)^* : i = 1, \dots, n\} \neq \emptyset$  for all  $\{f_1, \dots, f_n\} \subset F$  and  $n_i \in \omega$ . The following Fact is the key to the whole proof. Let  $F = \{f_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$  and let  $X = (\omega + 1)^F$ .

*Fact 1. If  $A \subset \omega$  then there is a countable set,  $\text{supp}(A) \subset \kappa$  such that if  $x \in G(A^* \cap K)$  and  $y \in X$  with  $y(f_\alpha) = x(f_\alpha)$  for  $\alpha \in \text{supp}(A)$  then  $y \in G(A^* \cap K)$ , and  $\text{supp}(A)$  is minimal with respect to this property.*

*Proof of Fact 1.* Let  $S = U\{\omega^H : H \in [F]^{<\omega}\}$  and for  $s \in S$  let  $[s]$  be the clopen subset of  $X$  given by  $[s] = \{x \in X : s \subset x\}$ . Recall that each non-empty open subset of  $X$  contains an element of  $S' = \{[s] : s \in S\}$  and that any set of pairwise disjoint members of  $S'$  is countable. Now, for  $A \subset \omega$ , choose  $T \in [S]^{<\omega}$  so that  $T' = \{[t] : t \in T\}$  is a maximal collection of pairwise disjoint clopen subsets of  $G(A^* \cap K)$ . Clearly,  $\bigcup T'$  is dense in  $X \setminus G(K \setminus A^*)$ . Therefore  $G(G^+(\overline{\bigcup T'}) \cap K) \cup G(K \setminus A^*) = X$  and since  $(G^+(\overline{\bigcup T'}) \cap K) \cup K \setminus A^*$  is closed, it follows that  $G(A^* \cap K) = \overline{\bigcup T'}$ . Let  $\text{supp}_{T'}(A) = \{\alpha : f_\alpha \in \bigcup \{t : t \in T\}\}$ . Now since  $x \in G(A^* \cap K)$  if and only if  $x \in \overline{\bigcup T'}$  the proof of Fact 1 is complete if we can find a

minimal  $\text{supp}(A)$ . Indeed  $\text{supp}(A) = \{\alpha: \exists s \in S \text{ and } n < \omega \text{ such that } [s] \notin G(A^* \cap K) \text{ and } [s \cup (f_\alpha, n)] \in G(A^* \cap K)\}$ . By definition  $[t]_{\text{supp}(A)} \in G(A^* \cap K)$  for each  $t \in T$ , so it suffices to show that  $\text{supp}(A) \subset \text{supp}_T(A)$ . Suppose  $\alpha \in \text{supp}(A)$  and  $s, n$  exhibit this fact. Then let  $y \in [s] \setminus G(A^* \cap K)$  and let  $x(f_\beta) = y(f_\beta)$  for  $\beta \neq \alpha$  and  $x(f_\alpha) = n$ . Since  $[s \cup (f_\alpha, n)] \in G(A^* \cap K)$ ,  $x \in G(A^* \cap K)$ . Since  $\text{supp}_T(A)$  has the first property stated in Fact 1 it follows that  $\text{supp}(A) \subset \text{supp}_T(A)$  and we are done.

We define a chain of filters  $\{p_\alpha: \alpha < \kappa\}$  so that if  $\text{supp}(A) \subset \alpha$  then  $A$  or  $\omega \setminus A$  is in  $p_{\alpha+1}$ , if  $A \in p_\alpha$  then  $\text{supp}(A) \subset \alpha$  and  $\{f_\delta: \delta \geq \alpha\}$  is of large oscillation mod  $p_\alpha$ . Suppose  $\alpha < \kappa$  and we have defined  $\{p_\gamma: \gamma < \alpha\}$ . If  $\alpha$  is a limit then let  $p_\alpha = \bigcup \{p_\gamma: \gamma < \alpha\}$ . Now suppose that  $\alpha = \gamma + 1$  and let  $H_\gamma = \{h \in \omega^\omega: L(p_\gamma, \underline{n}, h) \text{ for } n < \omega\}$ . Just as in 2.8, let  $p'_\gamma$  be the filter generated by  $p_\gamma \cup \{U\{f_\gamma^+(n) \cap h^+((n, \omega)): n > m\}: m \in \omega, h \in H_\gamma\}$ . Extend  $p'_\gamma$  to a filter  $p_\alpha$  maximal with respect to the property that  $A \in p_\alpha$  implies  $\text{supp}(A) \subset \alpha$ .

Let us check that  $\{f_\delta: \delta \geq \alpha\}$  is of large oscillation mod  $p_\alpha$ . First of all, by the minimality of  $\text{supp}(A)$  for  $A \subset \omega$ , it is clear that  $\text{supp}(A) \subset \alpha$  for  $A \in p'_\gamma$ . Now if  $A \in p_\alpha$ , then  $\text{supp}(A) \subset \alpha$  and also  $G(K \cap A^*) \neq \emptyset$  because  $p'_\gamma \supset p_\emptyset$ . Choose  $x \in G(K \cap A^*)$  and let  $\{\delta_i: i = 1, \dots, n\} \subset \kappa \setminus \gamma$  and  $n_i \in \omega$   $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Let  $y \in X$  be defined so that  $y(f_{\delta_i}) = n_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and  $y(f_\gamma) = x(f_\gamma)$  for  $\gamma < \alpha$ . By Fact 1,  $y \in G(K \cap A^*)$  and clearly  $y \in G(\bigcap \{f_{\delta_i}^+(n_i)\}^*)$ :

$i = 1, \dots, n\} \cap K)$ . Therefore  $A^* \cap \bigcap \{f_{\delta_i}^+(n_i)^* : i = 1, \dots, n\} \neq \emptyset$  since  $\bigcap \{f_{\delta_i}^+(n_i)^* : i = 1, \dots, n\} \supset G^+(y)$ .

Finally we must show that if  $p = U\{p_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$  then  $\kappa(p) = \kappa$ . Indeed, let  $H \subset \omega^\omega$  with  $|H| < \kappa$  and suppose that  $L(p, \underline{n}, h)$  for each  $n \in \omega$  and  $h \in H$ . Let  $\gamma < \kappa$  be large enough so that for each  $n \in \omega$ ,  $h \in H$ ,  $\text{supp}(h^+(n, \omega)) \subset \gamma$ . Therefore  $H \subset H_\gamma$  and by our construction  $L(p, \underline{n}, f_{\gamma+1}, h)$  for each  $n \in \omega$  and  $h \in H$ . Therefore  $\kappa(p) = \kappa$ .

As mentioned above Roitman proved that 2.2 holds consistently. In fact her techniques can be used to prove much more; it is consistent that  $B^\omega/p$  can be  $\underline{c}$ -saturated providing that  $B = \text{CO}(2^\omega)$ .

*2.9 Theorem [R].* If  $M$  is a model obtained by adding  $\omega_2$  Cohen reals to a model of  $2^\omega = \omega_1$ ,  $2^{\omega_1} = \omega_2$ , then there is a  $p \in U(\omega)$  such that  $[\text{CO}(2^\omega)]^\omega/p$  is  $\omega_2$ -saturated.

This is also a theorem of MA (Martin's Axiom) and even  $P(\underline{c})$ .  $P(\underline{c})$  holds if for each free filter  $p$  on  $\omega$  with  $|p| < \underline{c}$  there is an infinite  $A \subset \omega$  so that  $|A \setminus D| < \omega$  for  $D \in p$ .

*2.10 Theorem.* ( $P(\underline{c})$ ) There is a point  $p \in U(\omega)$  so that  $[\text{CO}(2^\omega)]^\omega/p$  is  $\underline{c}$ -saturated. Furthermore  $p$  can be chosen to be a  $P_{\underline{c}}$ -point.

*Proof.*  $P(\underline{c})$  implies that  $2^\kappa = \underline{c}$  for each  $\kappa < \underline{c}$  and so we choose a listing  $\{(F_\gamma, G_\gamma) : \gamma < \underline{c}\}$  of all pairs of subsets of size less than  $\underline{c}$  of  $[\text{CO}(2^\omega)]^\omega$  so that each pair appears  $\underline{c}$  times. Construct a chain of filters on  $\omega$ ,

$\{p_\gamma : \gamma < \underline{c}\}$ , so that  $|p_\gamma| \leq \omega \cdot |\gamma|$  as follows. We set  $p_\emptyset = \emptyset$ ,  $p_1 = \text{cofinite}$ . At limits we take unions and at successor steps we ensure that if  $F_\gamma \cup G_\gamma$  is a chain under  $L(p)$  and  $L(p, F_\gamma, G_\gamma)$  then there is an  $h \in B^\omega$  with  $L(p_{\gamma+1}, F_\gamma, h, G_\gamma)$  where  $B = \{b_m : m \in \omega\} = \text{CO}(2^\omega) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ . Indeed, for  $A \in p_\gamma$ ,  $f \in F_\gamma$  and  $g \in G_\gamma$ , let  $A_{f,g} = \{(k,m) : k \in A, f(k) < b_m < g(k)\}$ . If  $L(p, F_\gamma, G_\gamma)$ , then  $q_\gamma = \{A_{f,g} : A \in p, f \in F_\gamma, g \in G_\gamma\}$  is a filter base of cardinality less than  $\underline{c}$ . By  $P(\underline{c})$ , we choose  $C \subset \omega \times \omega$  such that  $|C \setminus A_{f,g}| < \omega$  for each  $A_{f,g} \in q_\gamma$ . Now since  $C$  is infinite and  $p_\gamma$  contains the cofinite filter,  $D = \{k : C \cap \{k\} \times \omega \neq \emptyset\}$  is infinite. Define  $h \in B^\omega$  so that, for  $k \in D$ ,  $h(k) = b_m$  implies  $m \in C$ . Now if we let  $p_{\gamma+1}$  be the filter generated by  $p_\gamma \cup \{D\}$  then  $\{k \in D : f(k) \dagger h(k) \text{ or } h(k) \dagger g(k)\} \subset \{k : C \setminus A_{f,g} \cap \{k\} \times \omega \neq \emptyset\}$  and so is finite. Also  $D \setminus A$  is finite for each  $A \in p_\gamma$  hence  $p = \text{up}_\gamma$  is a  $P_{\underline{c}}$ -point. Now  $B^\omega/p$  has no  $(\kappa, \lambda)$ -gaps for  $\kappa, \lambda < \underline{c}$  and by a result in [D] this ensures that it is  $\underline{c}$ -saturated.

**3. Applications to Boolean Algebras and Topology**

If  $B$  is an atomless boolean algebra and  $p \in U(\omega)$ , it follows from 1.1 that  $B^\omega/p$  is an  $\omega_1$ -saturated boolean algebra. It is well known that  $P(\omega)/\text{fin}$  is  $\omega_1$ -saturated and so it is natural to be interested in determining which properties  $B^\omega/p$  and  $P(\omega)/\text{fin}$  share and which they need not. In particular Balcar and Vojtas showed that each ultrafilter of  $P(\omega)/\text{fin}$  has a disjoint refinement and asked for which other algebras is this true. Also van Douwen showed that this and some other properties of  $P(\omega)/\text{fin}$  are shared



by those  $\omega_1$ -saturated boolean algebras of cardinality  $\underline{c}$  whose Stone spaces map onto  $U(\omega)$  by an open map.

A point  $x$  in a space  $X$  is called a  $\kappa$ -point for a cardinal  $\kappa$  if there are  $\kappa$  disjoint open subsets of  $X$  such that  $x$  is in the closure of each. If  $X = S(B)$  where  $B$  is an  $\alpha^+$ -saturated boolean algebra and  $\kappa = 2^\alpha$ , then this is equivalent to the corresponding ultrafilter of  $B$  having a *disjoint refinement* (that is, there is a function  $f$  from  $p$   $S(B)$  to  $B \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $f(b) < b$  and  $f(b) \wedge f(c) = 0$  for  $b, c \in p$ ). A subset  $\{b(i, j) : (i, j) \in I \times J\}$  of  $B$  is called an  $I \times J$ -independent matrix if  $b(i, j) \wedge b(i, j') = 0$  and  $\bigwedge \{b(i, f(i)) : i \in I'\} \neq 0$  for any  $i \in I' \in [I]^{<\omega}$ ,  $f \in J^{I'}$  and  $j \neq j' \in J$ .  $B$  has an  $I \times J$ -independent matrix if and only if  $S(B)$  maps onto  $(D(J) + 1)^I$  where  $(D(J) + 1)^I$  has the product topology and  $D(J) + 1$  is the one point compactification of the discrete space  $J$ . Kunen introduced independent matrices in [K2], he showed that  $P(\omega)/fin$  has a  $2^\omega \times 2^\omega$ -independent matrix and used this to construct  $2^\omega$ -OK points. As mentioned above Balcar and Vojtas [BV] showed that every point of  $U(\omega)$  is a  $2^\omega$ -point.

3.1 Theorem [vD]. Let  $B$  be an  $\omega_1$ -saturated boolean algebra with  $|B| = 2^\omega$  such that  $S(B)$  maps onto  $U(\omega)$  by an open map. (For example see the end of section 1).

- (0)  $S(B)$  has P-points if and only if  $U(\omega)$  has P-points.
- (1)  $B$  has a  $2^\omega \times 2^\omega$ -independent matrix.
- (2) Every point of  $S(B)$  is a  $2^\omega$ -point.

(3) If  $P(\omega)/\text{fin}$  has an  $(\omega, \lambda)$ -gap then so does  $B$ .  
 (In particular  $B$  has an  $(\omega, \underline{b})$ -gap and it is consistent that  $\underline{b} < \lambda$ ).

Now let  $\alpha$  be an infinite cardinal and let  $B$  be any atomless boolean algebra with  $|B| \leq 2^\alpha$ . Also let  $p$  be an  $\omega$ -incomplete  $\alpha^+$ -good ultrafilter on  $\alpha$ .

3.2 Theorem. (0)  $S(B^\alpha/p)$  has a dense set of  $P_{\alpha^+}$ -points.

(1)  $B^\alpha/p$  has a  $2^\alpha \times 2^\alpha$ -independent matrix.

(2) Each point of  $S(B^\alpha/p)$  is a  $2^\alpha$ -point.

(3)  $B^\alpha/p$  has an  $(\omega_i, \kappa)$ -gap if and only if  $\kappa = \kappa(i, p)$  for each regular  $\omega_i \leq \alpha$ .

3.2 (0) Proof. Let  $f \in (B \setminus \{0\})^\alpha$  and for each  $\gamma < \alpha$  choose  $y_\gamma \in S(B)$  so that  $f(\gamma) \in y_\gamma$ . We show that  $x = \{g \in B^\alpha/p : g(\gamma) \in y_\gamma \text{ for } \gamma \in \alpha\}$  is a  $P_{\alpha^+}$ -point of  $S(B^\alpha/p)$ . Indeed, let  $\{g_\delta : \delta < \alpha\} \subset x$  and  $\{A_n : n \in \omega\} \subset p$  so that  $\bigcap A_n = \emptyset$ . Define  $W: [\alpha]^{<\omega} \rightarrow p$  by  $W(H) = A_{|H|} \cap \{\gamma < \alpha : g_\delta(\gamma) \in y_\gamma \text{ for } \delta \in H\}$ . Now let  $V: [\alpha]^{<\omega} \rightarrow p$  be a multiplicative function refining  $W$ . As usual, for each  $\gamma \in \alpha$ ,  $H_\gamma = \{\delta \in \alpha : \gamma \in V(\{\delta\})\}$  is finite. Also, since  $V(H_\gamma) \subset W(H_\gamma)$  and  $B$  is atomless we may choose  $g(\gamma) \in y_\gamma$  so that  $g(\gamma) < g_\delta(\gamma)$  for  $\delta \in H_\gamma$ . It follows that  $g \in x$  and that  $L(p, g, g_\delta)$  for each  $\delta < \alpha$ .

3.2 (1) Proof. Since  $B$  is atomless we may choose  $\{b(n, m) : n, m \in \omega\} \subset B$  to be an  $\omega \times \omega$ -independent matrix (i.e.  $S(B)$  maps onto  $(\omega + 1)^\omega$ ). For each  $f, g \in \omega^\alpha/p$  define  $a_{fg} \in B^\alpha$  by  $a_{fg}(\gamma) = b(f(\gamma), g(\gamma))$ . We verify that

$\{a_{fg}: f, g \in \omega^\alpha/p\}$  is an independent matrix. Indeed, if  $f, g, h \in \omega^\alpha$  with  $L(p, g, h)$  then  $\{\gamma \in \alpha: a_{fg}(\gamma) \wedge a_{fh}(\gamma) = 0\} = \{\gamma \in \alpha: b(f(\gamma), g(\gamma)) \wedge b(f(\gamma), h(\gamma)) = 0\} = \{\gamma \in \alpha: g(\gamma) \neq h(\gamma)\} \in p$ . Similarly if  $F$  is a finite subset of  $\omega^\alpha/p$  and  $G$  is a function from  $F$  into  $\omega^\alpha/p$  then  $\{\gamma \in \alpha: \bigwedge \{a_{f, G(f)}(\gamma): f \in F\} \neq 0\} \supset \{\gamma \in \alpha: \bigwedge \{b(f(\gamma), G(f)(\gamma)): f \in F\} \neq 0\} \supset \{\gamma \in \alpha: |\{f(\gamma): f \in F\}| = |F|\} \in p$ .

Before we prove 3.2(2) we prove a result which is proven about  $P(\omega)/\text{fin}$  in [BV] although it is not stated explicitly.

**3.3 Lemma.** *If  $\lambda \leq \alpha$  and  $\{a_\eta: \eta < \lambda\} \subset B^\alpha/p$  with  $a_\eta \wedge a_\xi = 0$  for  $\eta < \xi < \lambda$  then the set  $C = \{b \in B^\alpha/p: \{\eta: b \wedge a_\eta \neq 0\}$  is infinite has a disjoint refinement.*

*Proof.* Let  $\{A_m: m \in \omega\} \subset p$  with  $\bigcap A_m = \emptyset$  and for  $H \in [\lambda]^{<\omega}$  define  $W(H) = \{\gamma \in \alpha: a_\eta(\gamma) \neq 0 \text{ and } a_\eta(\gamma) \wedge a_\xi(\gamma) = 0 \text{ for } \eta \neq \xi \text{ and } \eta, \xi \in H\} \cap A_{|H|}$ . Let  $V$  be a multiplicative map from  $[\lambda]^{<\omega}$  to  $p$  which refines  $W$ . Let  $C = \{c_\delta: \delta \in 2^\alpha\}$  and define  $I_\delta = \{\eta \in \lambda: L(p, 0, c_\delta \wedge a_\eta)\}$ . Also let  $H_\gamma = \{\eta \in \lambda: \gamma \in V(\{\eta\})\}$  and define  $S_\gamma^\delta = \{a_\eta(\gamma): \eta \in H_\gamma \cap I_\delta \text{ and } a_\eta(\gamma) \wedge c_\delta(\gamma) \neq 0\}$  (and  $S_\gamma^\delta = \{\emptyset\}$  if this is empty) for each  $\gamma < \alpha$  and  $\delta < 2^\alpha$ . Now if  $H \in [I_\delta]^{<\omega}$ ,  $\{\gamma \in \alpha: |S_\gamma^\delta| > |H|\} \supset V(H) \cap \{\gamma \in \alpha: c_\delta(\gamma) \wedge a_\eta(\gamma) \neq 0 \text{ for } \gamma \in H\} \in p$ . Therefore, by 1.2,  $|\prod_{\gamma < \alpha} S_\gamma^\delta/p| = 2^\alpha$  for each  $\delta \in 2^\alpha$ . It follows, therefore, that for  $\delta \in 2^\alpha$ , we may choose  $d_\delta \in \prod_{\gamma < \alpha} S_\gamma^\delta/p$  so that  $E(p, 0, d_\delta \wedge a_\eta)$  for  $\eta < \lambda$  and  $\neg E(p, d_\delta, d_\beta)$  for  $\beta < \delta < 2^\alpha$ . Now let  $\beta < \delta < 2^\alpha$ , we show that  $E(p, 0, d_\delta \wedge d_\beta)$ . Indeed, let  $\eta_0 \in I_\beta$  and  $\eta_1 \in I_\delta$  be

arbitrary and let  $\gamma \in V(\{\eta_0\}) \cap V(\{\eta_1\}) \cap \{\gamma \in \alpha: d_\beta(\gamma) \neq d_\delta(\gamma)\} \in p$ . Now, by choice of  $\gamma$ , if  $d_\delta(\gamma) = a_\eta(\gamma)$  and  $d_\beta(\gamma) = a_\xi(\gamma)$  then  $\{\eta, \xi\} \subset H_\gamma$  and so  $\gamma \in V(\{\eta, \xi\}) \subset W(\{\eta, \xi\})$  which implies  $a_\eta(\gamma) \wedge a_\xi(\gamma) = 0$ . Therefore, for  $\delta < 2^\alpha$  and  $\gamma < \alpha$ , let  $e_\delta(\gamma) = d_\delta(\gamma) \wedge c_\delta(\gamma)$  and we have our disjoint refinement.

Similarly one can prove that if  $\{a_\eta: \eta < \lambda\} \subset B^\alpha/p$  is an increasing chain (with  $\lambda$  a limit) then  $C = \{b \in B^\alpha/p: \{\eta: b \wedge a_\eta - a_\xi \neq 0 \text{ for } \xi < \eta\} \text{ is cofinal in } \lambda\}$  has a disjoint refinement.

3.2 (2) *Proof.* Let  $x \in S(B^\alpha/p)$  and suppose that  $\{a_\eta: \eta < \lambda\} \subset B^\alpha/p$  is chosen with  $\lambda$  minimal such that  $\{a_\eta: \eta < \lambda\}$  is an increasing chain,  $x \notin \{a_\eta^*: \eta < \lambda\}$  (i.e.  $a_\eta \not\leq x$  for  $\eta < \lambda$ ) and for  $a \in x$  there is an  $\eta < \lambda$  with  $a \wedge a_\eta \neq 0$  (i.e.  $x \in \text{cl } \cup a_\eta^*$ ). Let  $a_\lambda = 1$  and for each  $\gamma \leq \lambda$  with  $\text{cf}(\gamma) = \omega$  let  $C_\gamma = \{b \in B^\alpha/p: b \leq a_\gamma \text{ and } \{\eta \leq \gamma: b \wedge a_\eta - a_\xi \neq 0 \text{ for } \xi < \eta\} \text{ is cofinal in } \gamma\}$ . By Lemma 3.3 (with  $\lambda = \omega$ ), the set  $C_\gamma$  has a disjoint refinement  $C'_\gamma$  so that for  $c \in C'_\gamma$ ,  $c \leq a_\gamma - a_\eta$  for  $\eta < \gamma$ . Therefore  $\cup\{C'_\gamma: \gamma \leq \lambda \text{ with } \text{cf}(\gamma) = \omega\}$  is a disjoint refinement of  $\cup\{C_\gamma: \gamma \leq \lambda, \text{cf}(\gamma) = \omega\}$ . To complete the proof it suffices to show that for  $a \in x$  there is a  $\gamma \leq \lambda$  with  $\text{cf}(\gamma) = \omega$  and  $a \wedge a_\gamma \in C_\gamma$ . Indeed choose  $\gamma_0 < \lambda$  so that  $a \wedge a_{\gamma_0} \neq 0$ , if we have chosen  $\gamma_n < \lambda$  choose  $\gamma_{n+1} < \lambda$  so that  $a - a_{\gamma_n} \wedge a_{\gamma_{n+1}} \neq 0$ . Now if  $\gamma = \sup\{\gamma_n: n \in \omega\}$  we have that  $a \wedge a_\gamma \in C_\gamma$ .

3.2 (3) *Proof.* This is just 1.4.

3.4 *Corollary.*  $2^\omega > \omega_1$  implies there are  $p, q \in U(\omega)$  so that  $[\text{CO}(2^\omega)]^\omega/p \not\cong [\text{CO}(2^\omega)]^\omega/q$  and  $S([\text{CO}(2^\omega)]^\omega/p)$  does not map onto  $U(\omega)$  by an open map.

*Proof.* This follows from 2.2, 3.1(3) and 3.2(3).

Let  $B = \text{CO}(2^\omega)$  and let  $M$  be the model of set theory described in 2.9. Kunen has shown that in this model  $P(\omega)/\text{fin}$  has no chains of order type  $\omega_2$ . However if we let  $p \in U(\omega)$  be chosen so that  $B^\omega/p$  is  $\omega_2$ -saturated as in 2.9 we have the following result.

3.5 *Proposition.* It is consistent that there is a  $p \in U(\omega)$  such that  $P(\omega)/\text{fin}$  embeds into  $B^\omega/p$  but  $B^\omega/p$  does not embed into  $P(\omega)/\text{fin}$ . Equivalently  $S(B^\omega/p)$  maps onto  $U(\omega)$  but  $U(\omega)$  does not map onto  $S(B^\omega/p)$ .

In [BFM], the authors introduce a condition which they call  $(*)$  where  $(*)$  is the statement "each closed subset of  $U(\omega)$  is homeomorphic to a nowhere dense  $P_{\underline{c}}$ -set of  $U(\omega)$ ." They show that CH implies  $(*)$  and that  $\text{MA} + \underline{c} = \omega_3$  implies  $(*)$  is false. We verify their conjecture that  $(*)$  implies CH. A subset  $K$  of a space  $X$  is a  $P_\alpha$ -set if the filter of neighborhoods of  $K$  is  $\alpha$ -complete ( $K$  is a  $P$ -set if it is a  $P_{\omega_1}$ -set).

3.6 *Lemma.* If  $K \subset U(\omega)$  is a closed  $P_\alpha$ -set and for some  $\kappa, \lambda$  with  $\omega \leq \kappa \leq \alpha$  and  $\omega \leq \lambda$ ,  $\text{CO}(K)$  has a  $(\kappa, \lambda)$ -gap then  $\text{CO}(U(\omega))$  has a  $(\kappa, \lambda')$ -gap for some  $\omega \leq \lambda' \leq \lambda$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\{a_\gamma: \gamma < \kappa\} \cup \{b_\beta: \beta < \lambda\} \subset \text{CO}(K)$  so that  $\gamma_1 < \gamma_2 < \kappa$  and  $\beta_1 < \beta_2 < \lambda$  implies  $a_{\gamma_1} < a_{\gamma_2} < b_{\beta_2} < b_{\beta_1}$ . Choose  $\{a'_\gamma: \gamma < \kappa\} \subset \text{CO}(U(\omega))$  so that  $a'_\gamma \cap K = a_\gamma$  for  $\gamma < \kappa$ . For each  $\gamma < \kappa$ , we can find  $U_\gamma \in \text{CO}(U(\omega))$  so that  $K \subset U_\gamma$  and  $U_\gamma \cap a'_\delta = a'_\delta = \emptyset$  for  $\delta < \gamma$ . Also since  $\kappa < \alpha$ , there is a  $U$  in  $\text{CO}(U(\omega))$  with  $K \subset U$  so that  $U \subset U_\gamma$  for  $\gamma < \kappa$ . Therefore we may suppose that  $a'_\delta \subset a'_\gamma$  for  $\delta < \gamma < \kappa$ . Now, choose for as long as possible,  $b'_\beta \in \text{CO}(U(\omega))$  so that  $b'_\beta \cap K = b_\beta$  and  $a'_\gamma \subset b'_\beta \subset b'_\delta$  for  $\delta < \beta$  and  $\gamma < \kappa$ . Therefore, for some  $\lambda' \leq \lambda$ , we cannot choose  $b'_\lambda$ , and we have a gap in  $\text{CO}(U(\omega))$ .

**3.7 Proposition.** *If  $\beta\omega$  embeds into  $U(\omega)$  as a  $P_\alpha$ -set then  $\underline{b} \geq \alpha$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\{p_n: n \in \omega\}$  is a discrete subset of  $U(\omega)$  such that  $K = \text{cl}_{\beta\omega}\{p_n: n \in \omega\}$  is a  $P_\alpha$ -set (it is well known that  $K$  is homeomorphic to  $\beta\omega$ ). Choose pairwise disjoint subsets  $\{A_n: n \in \omega\}$  of  $\omega$  so that  $A_n \in p_n$ , and fix an indexing  $A_n = \{a(n,m): m \in \omega\}$  for each  $n \in \omega$ . Let  $F \subset \omega^\omega$  with  $|F| < \alpha$ ; we show that  $F$  is bounded. For each  $f \in F$ , let  $B_f = \{a(n,m): n \in \omega \text{ and } m > f(n)\}$ . Clearly  $K \subset B_f^*$  for  $f \in F$  and so we may choose  $B \subset \omega$  so that  $K \subset B^*$  and  $|B \setminus B_f| < \omega$  for  $f \in F$ . Let  $g \in \omega^\omega$  be defined by  $g(n) = \min\{m: a(n,m) \in B\}$  and observe that  $f <^* g$  for  $f \in F$ .

**3.8 Theorem.** *(\*) is equivalent to CH.*

*Proof.* Clearly if (\*) is true then  $\beta\omega$  must embed in  $U(\omega)$  as a  $P_{\underline{c}}$ -set. Therefore by 3.7 it suffices to show that  $\underline{b} = \omega_1$ . Now let  $p \in U(\omega)$  be chosen so that  $\kappa(p) = \omega_1$ . Let  $\{a_n: n \in \omega\} \subset \text{CO}(U(\omega))$  be pairwise disjoint and let

$K^P = \cap \{cl_{U(\omega)} \cup \{a_n : n \in A\} : A \in p\}$ . It is well known that  $cl_{U(\omega)} \cup \{a_n : n \in \omega\} \cong \beta(\omega \times U(\omega))$ . Therefore  $CO(K^P) \cong [CO(U(\omega))]^\omega/p$  and by 1.4 has an  $(\omega, \omega_1)$ -gap. By 3.6, if  $K^P$  embeds into  $U(\omega)$  as a  $P_{\underline{c}}$ -set with  $\underline{c} > \omega_1$  then  $\underline{b} = \omega_1$ .

*3.9 Remark.* It is not difficult to show that if  $A$  is a boolean algebra which has an  $(\omega_1, \omega_1)$ -gap then so does  $A^\omega/p$  for each  $p \in U(\omega)$  and is therefore not  $\omega_2$ -saturated. This means that we cannot easily obtain compact subsets  $K$  of  $U(\omega)$  so that  $CO(K)$  is  $\omega_2$ -saturated (such as subsets of the boundary of a cozero set). However  $S(B^\omega/p) = K^P$  as in 3.5 is in some sense a "well-placed" subset of  $\beta(\omega \times 2^\omega)$ . For instance  $K^P$  is a  $2^\omega$ -set in  $(\omega \times 2^\omega)^* = \beta(\omega \times 2^\omega) \setminus (\omega \times 2^\omega)$  (see [BV]). Furthermore we can easily construct  $p$  to be  $2^\omega$ -OK (see [K2]) in which case every ccc subspace of  $(\omega \times 2^\omega)^*$  meets  $K^P$  in a nowhere dense set. Furthermore if we use 2.10 to find  $p$  a  $P_{\underline{c}}$ -point then  $K^P$  is a  $P_{\underline{c}}$ -set in  $(\omega \times 2^\omega)^*$ . I do not know if it is possible to find a  $P_{\omega_2}$ -set  $K$  in  $U(\omega)$  such that  $CO(K)$  is  $\omega_2$ -saturated. Although Shelah has found a model in which  $U(\omega)$  is not homeomorphic to  $(\omega \times 2^\omega)^*$  (see [vM]) it would be interesting if they were not in one of the above models.

After acceptance of this paper, John Merrill brought it to the author's attention that 2.2 and a more general version of 2.3 appear in Shelah's Model Theory book. However as the proofs presented here seem simpler we have chosen to include them.

**References**

- [ACCH] M. Y. Antonovskij, D. V. Chudnovsky, G. V. Chudnovsky and E. Hewitt, *Rings of continuous real-valued functions II*, Math. Zeit. 176 (1981), 151-186.
- [BFM] B. Balcar, R. Frankiewicz and C. F. Mills, *More on nowhere dense closed P-sets*, Bull. l'Acad. Pol. Sci. 28 (1980), 295-299.
- [BV] B. Balcar and P. Vojtas, *Almost disjoint refinements of families of subsets of N*, Proc. AMS 79 (1980), 465-470.
- [CN] W. W. Comfort and S. Negrepontis, *The theory of ultrafilters*, Springer, Berlin, New York (1974).
- [vD] E. K. van Douwen, *Transfer of information about  $\beta\mathbb{N}-\mathbb{N}$  via open remainder maps* (preprint).
- [D] A. Dow, *Saturated Boolean algebras and their Stone spaces* (preprint). to appear in Top. and its Appl.
- [EK] R. Engelking and M. Karłowicz, *Some theorems of set theory and their topological consequences*, Fund. Math. 57 (1965), 275-285.
- [Ke] H. J. Keisler, *Good ideals in fields of sets*, Ann. Math. (2) 89 (1964), 338-359.
- [K1] K. Kunen, *Ultrafilters and independent sets*, Trans. AMS 172 (1972), 299-306.
- [K2] \_\_\_\_\_, *Weak P-points in  $\beta\mathbb{N}-\mathbb{N}$* , Proc. Bolyai Janos Soc., Coll. on Top. Budapest (1978), 741-749.
- [vM] J. van Mill, *An introduction to  $\beta\omega$* , Handbook of set-theoretic topology, Eds. K. Kunen and J. E. Vaughan, North Holland, Amsterdam, (1984).
- [R] J. Roitman, *Non-isomorphic H-fields from non-isomorphic ultrapowers*, (to appear), Math. Zeit.

University of Toronto

Toronto, Ontario, Canada M5S 1A1