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# ON HOMEOMORPHISMS ON THE CANTOR SET THAT HAVE FIXED POINTS 

## Andrzej Gutek

In [3] Knaster and Reichbach proved that any homeomorphism defined on a closed subset $P$ of the Cantor set $C$ can be extended to a homeomorphism of the Cantor set onto itself. It was proven in [1] and [2] that if $P$ is closed ana nowhere dense, then the extended homeomorphism has the following property: an orbit of a point in $C-P$ is dense in $C$. In fact, there is a dense $G_{\sigma}$ of such points. We prove here that in a special case, when the given homeomorphism is an identity on $P$, an orbit of any point in $C-P$ is dense in $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}$.

By $C$ we denote the Cantor set in the closed unit interval $[0,1]$ done by the usual ternary construction.

Let $B_{n}$ denote the family of all sets $\left[\frac{k-1}{3^{n}}, \frac{k}{3^{n}}\right] n c$ that consist of more than two points, where $k=1,2, \ldots, 3^{n}$. Hence $B_{n}$ is a family of $2^{n}$ closed-open and disjoint subsets of $C$ and $B=U\left\{B_{n}: n=1,2,3, \cdots\right\}$ is a basis of $C$.

If $f$ is a homeomorphism on $C$ then, for any integer $n$, $f^{n}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f^{o}(x)=x \\
& f^{n+1}(x)=f\left(f^{n}(x)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $x \in C$.

Theorem. If D is a closed subset of the Cantor set $C$ then there exists a homeomorphism from $C$ onto itself such
that $\left.f\right|_{D}=\left.i d\right|_{D}$ and for every point $c \in(C-D)$ the set $\left\{\mathrm{f}^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{c}): \mathrm{n}\right.$ is an integer\} is dense in $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{D}$.

Proof. If $D=\varnothing$ or $D=C$ then the theorem is true.
Suppose then that $D$ is a proper non-empty subset of $C$.
Let $U$ be a family of pairwise disjoint elements of $B$ such that $\cup U=C-D$.

For every $B \in B$ let $B(1)$ and $B(2)$ denote elements of $B$ such that $\operatorname{diamB}(1)=\operatorname{diamB}(2)=3^{-1} \cdot \operatorname{diamB}, B(1) \cup B(2)=B$, and if $x_{1} \in B(1)$ and $x_{2} \in B(2)$ then $x_{1}<x_{2}$.

For every positive integer $n$ we define a function $f_{n}$ and a family $A_{n}$ such that the following conditions are fulfilled:
(i) If $A \in A_{n}$ then $\operatorname{diam} A \leq 3^{-n}$.
(ii) $\cup A_{n-1} \subseteq \cup A_{n}$.
(iii) If $U \in U$ and diamU $\geq 3^{-n}$ then $U \subseteq U A_{n}$.
(iv) Let $B \in B_{n}$. If $B \cap D=\varnothing$ then $B \subseteq \cup A_{n}$. If
$B-\left(\cup A_{n-1} U D\right) \neq \varnothing$ then there is $U \in U$ such that $U \subseteq B-\left(U A_{n-1} U D\right)$ and $U(1), U(2) \in A_{n}$.
(v) If $A \in A_{n}$ then $A_{n}=\left\{f_{n}^{i}(A): i=1,2, \cdots, m(n)\right\}$, where $m(n)$ is a number of elements in $A_{n}$.
(vi) For any $A \in A_{n}$ a restriction $\left.f_{n}\right|_{A}$ is an increasing and linear function from $A$ onto $f_{n}(A)$.
(vii) $f_{n}\left|c-\cup A_{n}=i d\right| c-\cup A_{n}$.
(viii) $f_{n}(A)=f_{n-1}(A)$ for $A \in A_{n-2}$.
(ix) For every $x \in C$ we have $\left|f_{n}(x)-f_{n-1}(x)\right| \leq 3^{1-n}$.
(x) If $\mathrm{B} \in B_{\mathrm{n}}$ and $\mathrm{B} \cap\left(\cup A_{\mathrm{n}}-\cup A_{\mathrm{n}-1}\right) \neq \varnothing$ then there are $A_{1}, A_{2} \in A_{n}$ such that $A_{1}, A_{2} \subseteq B \cap\left(U A_{n}-U A_{n-1}\right)$ and $f_{n}\left(A_{1}\right)=A_{2}$.

Step 1. The family $B_{1}$ consists of two sets, say $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$. For $i=1,2$ we put $A_{1}(i)=\{U(s): s=1,2$ and $U \in U$ and $\left.U \subseteq B_{i}\right\}$, if $B_{i} \cap D=\varnothing$. If $B_{i} \cap D \neq \varnothing$ and $B_{i}-D \neq \varnothing$ then we put $A_{1}(i)=\{U(1), U(2)\}$ where $U \in U$ and $U \subseteq B_{i}$ - D. We put $A_{1}=A_{1}(1) \cup A_{1}(2)$. Families $A_{1}(1)$ and $A_{1}(2)$ are finite, say $A_{1}(1)=\left\{A_{1}, \cdots, A_{m(1)}\right\}$ and $A_{1}(2)=\left\{A_{1}^{*}, \cdots, A_{m(2)}^{*}\right\}$. We define $f_{1}$ so that
$-f_{l} \mid A_{j}$ is a linear and increasing function from $A_{j}$
onto $A_{j+1}$ for $j=1, \cdots, m(1)-1$

- $f_{l} \mid A_{m}(1)$ is a linear and increasing function from $A_{m(1)}$ onto $A_{1}^{*}$
- $\left.f_{1}\right|_{A_{j}^{*}}$ is a linear and increasing function from $A_{j}^{*}$
onto $A_{j+1}^{\star}$ for $j=1, \cdots, m(2)$
$-\left.f_{1}\right|_{A_{m(2)}^{*}}$ is a linear and increasing function from
$A_{m(2)}^{*}$ onto $A_{1}$
$-\mathrm{f}_{1}{\mid c-\cup A_{1}}=\mathrm{id}{\mid c-\cup A_{1}}$.
It is easy to see that conditions (i)-(x) are fulfilled.

Step $n+1$. Suppose that we have defined families $A_{k}$ and functions $f_{k}$ for $k=1,2, \cdots, n$. Let the elements of $B_{n+1}$ be denoted by $B_{j}, j=1,2, \cdots, 2^{n+1}$, in such a way that $\left(B_{2 i} \cup B_{2 i-1}\right) \in B_{n}$ for $i=1,2, \cdots, 2^{n}$. Let $A_{n+1}=\{A(s):$ $s=1,2$ and $\left.A \in A_{n}\right\}$. Let $A_{n+1}(j)=\{U(s): s=1,2$ and $U \in U$ and $\left.U \subseteq B_{j}-U A_{n}\right\}$ if $B_{j} \cap D=\varnothing$. If $B_{j} \cap D \neq \varnothing$ and $B_{j}-\left(D \cup \cup A_{n}\right) \neq \varnothing$ then we put $A_{n+1}(j)=\{U(1), U(2)\}$ for some $U \in U$ such that $U \subseteq B_{j}-\left(D \cup \cup A_{n}\right)$.

Let $A_{n+1}=U\left\{A_{n+1}(j): j=0,1, \cdots, 2^{n+1}\right\}$. Conditions (i)-(iv) are satisfied by $A_{n+1}$.

Let $A$ be a fixed element of $A_{n}$. Define function $g_{o}$ by
$-g_{o}\left|c-A=f_{n}\right| C-A$

- $g_{0} \mid A(1)$ is an increasing and linear function from $A(1)$ onto $f_{n}(A(2))$
- $\left.g_{o}\right|_{A(2)}$ is an increasing and linear function from $A(2)$ onto $f_{n(A(1))}$.

Conditions (v) $(\mathrm{x})$ are satisfied by $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{o}}$ and $A_{\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{l}}(0)$. If $A_{n+1}=A_{n+1}(0)$ then we put $f_{n}=g_{0}$.

Suppose that $A_{n+1} \neq A_{n+1}(0)$. Because $\left(B_{2 i-1} \cup B_{2 i}\right) \in B_{n}$ for $i=1,2, \cdots, 2^{n}$, then diameter of $U\left(A_{n+1}(2 i-1) \cup A_{n+1}(2 i)\right)$ is less than or equal to $3^{-n}$. Consider $A_{n+1}(1) \cup A_{n+1}(2)$. If it is an empty set then we put $g_{1}=g_{0}$. Otherwise it is finite. Suppose that both $A_{n+1}(1)$ and $A_{n+1}(2)$ are not empty and put $A_{n+1}(1)=\left\{A_{1}, \cdots, A_{m(1)}\right\}$ and $A_{n+1}(2)=$ $\left\{A_{1}^{*}, \cdots, A_{m(2)}^{*}\right\}$. Because $B_{1} \cup B_{2}$ is an element of $B_{n}$ and $\left(B_{1} \cup B_{2}\right)-\left(\cup A_{n-1} \cup D\right) \neq \varnothing$ then, by (iv), (x), and the definitions of $A_{n}(0)$ and $g_{0}$ there are $E_{1}, E_{2} \in A_{n}(0)$ such that $E_{1}, E_{2} \subseteq\left(B_{1} \cup B_{2}\right)-\left(U A_{n}-U A_{n-1}\right)$ and $g_{0}\left(E_{1}\right)=E_{2}$. We define $g_{1}$ from $C$ onto itself as follows:
$-\mathrm{g}_{1} \mid \mathrm{C}-\left(\mathrm{E}_{1} \cup \cup A_{\mathrm{n}+1}(1) \cup \cup A_{\mathrm{n}+1}(2)\right)=$
$\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{O}} \mid \mathrm{C}-\left(\mathrm{E}_{1} \cup \cup A_{\mathrm{n}+1}(1) \cup \cup A_{\mathrm{n}+1}(2)\right)$
$-\left.g_{1}\right|_{E_{1}}$ is a linear and increasing function from $E_{1}$ onto $A_{1}$
$-\left.g_{1}\right|_{A_{r}}$ is a linear and increasing function from $A_{r}$ onto $A_{r+1}$ for $r=1,2, \ldots, m(1)-1$
$-\left.g_{1}\right|_{A_{m(1)}}$ is a linear and increasing function from
$A_{m(1)}$ onto $A_{1}^{*}$
$-\left.g_{1}\right|_{A_{r}^{*}}$ is a linear and increasing function from $A_{r}^{*}$
onto $A_{r+1}^{*}$ for $r=1,2, \cdots, m(2)-1$
$-\left.g_{1}\right|_{A_{m}^{*}(2)} ^{*}$ is a linear and increasing function from $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{*}}(2)$ onto $\mathrm{E}_{2}$.

If one of the families $A_{n+1}(1), A_{n+1}(2)$ is empty then some obvious modifications of the preceding process are required. In any case it is easy to see that the family $A_{\mathrm{n}+1}(0) \cup A_{\mathrm{n}+1}(1) \cup A_{\mathrm{n}+1}(2)$ and the function $g_{1}$ satisfy (i)-(x). We repeat this procedure for families $A_{\mathrm{n}+1}(2 \mathrm{i}-1) \cup A_{\mathrm{n}+1}(2 \mathrm{i})$, where $\mathrm{i}=2,3, \cdots, 2^{\mathrm{n}}$. If we put $f_{n+1}=g_{2}$ then $(i)-(x)$ are fulfilled for such a function and the family $A_{\mathrm{n}+1}$.

Conditions (i)-(x) imply that $f=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} f_{n}$ is a homeomorphism on $C$ that is an identity on $D$ and $\left\{f^{k}(c): k\right.$ is an integer\} is dense in $C-D$ for any point $c$ in $C$ - $D$.
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