
TOPOLOGY PROCEEDINGS



Volume 10, 1985

Pages 95–102

<http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/>

COMPACT AND REALCOMPACT κ -METRIZABLE EXTENSIONS

by

TAKESI ISIWATA

Topology Proceedings

Web: <http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/>

Mail: Topology Proceedings
Department of Mathematics & Statistics
Auburn University, Alabama 36849, USA

E-mail: topolog@auburn.edu

ISSN: 0146-4124

COPYRIGHT © by Topology Proceedings. All rights reserved.

COMPACT AND REALCOMPACT κ -METRIZABLE EXTENSIONS

Takesi Isiwata

Shchepin [4,5] introduced the notions of κ -metrizable and capacity as a generalization of metric spaces and locally compact groups, and proved that the κ -metrizable is productive [6]. Bennett, Lewis and Luksic [1] showed that κ -metrizable is equivalent to faithful capacity and, that κ -metrizable is not closed-hereditary. In §2, we give a characterization of a κ -metric space with a compact κ -metrizable extension and, a characterization of when βX is a κ -metrizable extension of a κ -metric space X . Next we give a characterization for a space Y (especially, $\cup X$) containing a κ -metric space X as a dense subset to be κ -metrizable extension of X , and prove that if a subspace X of the product L of realcompact κ -metric spaces is either dense or open, then $\cup X \subset L$.

In the following, we mean by a space a Tychonoff space and by a κ -metric space a normed κ -metric space (see 1.1 below) and assume familiarity with [2], whose terminology will be used throughout. We denote by $C(X)$ ($B(X)$) the set of (bounded) real-valued continuous functions defined on X , by \mathbb{R} the set of real numbers, by $RC(X)$ the set of regular closed subsets of X , by \mathcal{U} (or \mathcal{V}) a free ultrafilter in $RC(X)$, by $\beta X(\cup X)$ the Stone-Čech compactification (the realcompactification) of X , by $\beta f(\cup f)$ the Stone-extension (the Hewitt-extension) of $f \in B(X)$ ($f \in C(X)$) and by $f_a \downarrow f$ that

$\{f_a; f_a \in C(X)\}$ is a decreasing sequence converging to f pointwise.

1. Definitions and Preliminaries

Definition 1.1. A κ -metric $d(x,C)$ on X is a mapping $d: X \times RC(X) \rightarrow R$ satisfying the following (K1) ~ (K4):

$$(K1) \quad d(x,C) = 0 \iff x \in C.$$

$$(K2) \quad C \subset D \Rightarrow d(x,C) \geq d(x,D) \text{ for every } x \in X.$$

$$(K3) \quad d(x,C) \text{ is continuous in } x \text{ for every } C.$$

$$(K4) \quad f_a \downarrow f \text{ for every increasing transfinite sequence } \{C_a\} \text{ where } f_a(x) = d(x,C_a), f(x) = d(x,D) \text{ and } D = \text{cl}(\cup C_a).$$

Now we consider the following condition such that in (K4) $\{f_a\}$ converges to f uniformly, briefly

$$(UK4) \quad f_a \downarrow f(\text{unif.}).$$

A κ -metric d is said to be *normed* if $d(x,\emptyset) \leq 1$ for every $x \in X$. If d is a κ -metric, then $d(x,C)/(1 + d(x,C))$ is always normed ([5], p. 179), and hence, in the following, we mean by a κ -metric space a normed κ -metric space, and by $k(X)$ the set $\{f_C(x); f_C(x) = d(x,C), C \in RC(X)\}$. C and D are *f-separated* [3] if $\text{cl}_R f(C) \cap \text{cl}_R f(D) = \emptyset$ where $C, D \in RC(X)$ and $f \in C(X)$. D is said to be *f_C-separated* (*f_C-unseparated*) if $\inf f_C(D) > 0$ ($= 0$) where $f_C \in k(X)$ and $D \in RC(X)$. The following lemma are well known or easily verified.

Lemma 1.2. (1) If L is a κ -metric space with a κ -metric d and $X \subset L$, then X is κ -metrizable in each of the following cases [5]: (i) X is dense (put $d_X(x,C) = d(x, \text{cl}_L C)$). (ii) X is regular closed (put $d_X(x,C) = d(x,C)$). (iii) X is open (by (i) and (ii)).

(2) Let X be dense in L , $f_a = g_a|_X$ and $f = g|_X$ where $g_a, g \in C(L)$. Then we have (i) $C \in RC(L) \Rightarrow X \cap C \in RC(X)$. (ii) $D \in RC(X) \Rightarrow cl_L D \in RC(L)$. (iii) $f_a \downarrow f$ (unif.) iff $g_a \downarrow g$ (unif.). (iv) If L is compact, then $g_a \downarrow g \Rightarrow g_a \downarrow g$ (unif.).

Definition 1.3. Let X be a κ -metric space. We consider the following (RC)- and (SRC)-conditions:

(RC) Let $C \cap D = \emptyset$, $C, D \in RC(X)$. If there exists $\mathcal{U} \ni D$ such that every $E \in \mathcal{U}$ is f_C -unseparated, then there exists $\mathcal{V} \ni C$ such that E and F are f_B -unseparated for $E \in \mathcal{U}$, $F \in \mathcal{V}$ and $f_B \in k(X)$.

(SRC) $C \cap D = \emptyset$, $C, D \in RC(X) \Rightarrow D$ is f_C -separated.

The following is easily verified.

Lemma 1.4. (1) If X is a κ -metric space, (SRC) \Rightarrow (RC).

(2) If X is pseudocompact, then $Z(f) \cap D = \emptyset$ implies $\inf|f(D)| > 0$ where $Z(f) \neq \emptyset$, $f \in C(X)$ and $RC(X) \ni D \neq \emptyset$.

(3) If X is pseudocompact and κ -metrizable, then X satisfies (SRC).

(4) Let X be dense in L , $g \in B(L)$ and $f = g|_X$. Then we have

(i) If $\inf|f(D)| > 0$ for every $D \in RC(X)$ with $Z(f) \cap D = \emptyset$, then $Z(g) = cl_L Z(f)$.

(ii) If X is a κ -metric dense subspace satisfying (SRC) of L and $f \in k(X)$, then $Z(g) = cl_L Z(f)$.

2. Compact and Realcompact κ -Metrizable Extensions

Defintioon 2.1. A space L is said to be a κ -metrizable extension of a κ -metric space X with a κ -metric d if X

is dense in L and there exists a κ -metric d_L on L such that $d_L(x, D) = d(x, X \cap D)$ for $x \in X$, $D \in \mathcal{RC}(L)$ (briefly $d_L|_X = d$), and it is easily verified that $d_L(x, D)|_X = d(x, C)$ for every $x \in X$ implies $D = \text{cl}_L C$.

Theorem 2.2. Let X be a κ -metric space. Then there exists a compact κ -metrizable extension L of X iff X satisfies (UK4) and (RC).

Proof. \Rightarrow . It suffices to show by 1.2(2) that X satisfies (RC). Let $C \cap D = \emptyset$, $D \in \mathcal{U}$ and every $E \in \mathcal{U}$ is f_C -unseparated. L being compact, there exists a point $p \in L - X$ with $\mathcal{U} \rightarrow p$. Now suppose $f_C^*(p) = 2h > 0$ where $f_C^*(z) = d_L(z, \text{cl}_L C)$, $z \in L$, $d_L|_X = d$. Then there exists $E \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $p \in \text{cl}_L E$ and $f_C^*(E) > h$, which is impossible because E is f_C -unseparated, and hence $f_C^*(p) = 0$. Take \mathcal{V} such that $C \in \mathcal{V}$ and $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow p$. For $f_B \in k(X)$, $E \in \mathcal{U}$, $F \in \mathcal{V}$, we have $\text{cl}_R f_B(E) \cap \text{cl}_R f_B(F) \ni f_B^*(p)$, which shows that X satisfies (RC).

\Leftarrow . Let $a(C) = \sup\{f_C(x); x \in X\}$ and put $I_C = [0, a(C)]$. Then $M = \prod_C I_C$ is a compact κ -metric space [6, Th. 2] and $\phi: X \rightarrow M$ is a homeomorphism of X to $\phi(X)$ where $\phi(x) = (f_C(x))$, $x \in X$. We shall show that $L = \text{cl}_M \phi(X)$ is a κ -metrizable extension of X . For $y = (y_C) \in L$, put $f_C^*(y) = y_C$. Obviously f_C^* is continuous. To show $Z(f_C^*) = \text{cl}_L C$, it suffices to prove that $f_C^*(p) = 0$ implies $p \in \text{cl}_L C$. Suppose that $p \notin \text{cl}_L C$. Then there exists $D \in \mathcal{RC}(X)$ such that $p \in \text{int}_L \text{cl}_L D$ and $\text{cl}_L D \cap \text{cl}_L C = \emptyset$. Take \mathcal{U} such that $D \in \mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{U} \rightarrow p$. Since $f_C^*(p) = 0$, it is easy to see that every $E \in \mathcal{U}$ is f_C -unseparated. By (RC), there exists $\mathcal{V} \ni C$ such that

E and F are f_B -unseparated for $E \in \mathcal{U}$, $F \in \mathcal{V}$ and $f_B \in k(X)$. Let $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow q$. Let $f_B \in k(X)$ and $F \in \mathcal{V}$. $\mathcal{U} \rightarrow p$ implies $\bigcap \{cl_R f_B(E); E \in \mathcal{U}\} = f_B^*(p) = p_B$. On the other hand, $A(F) = \{cl_R f_B(E) \cap cl_R f_B(F); E \in \mathcal{U}\}$ is a collection of non-empty closed sets in $[0,1]$ with the finite intersection property. Thus $\bigcap A(F) = f_B^*(p)$. Since F is an arbitrary element of \mathcal{U} , we have $\bigcap \{A(F); F \in \mathcal{V}\} = f_B^*(p)$. Since $\bigcap \{cl_R f_B(E); E \in \mathcal{V}\} = f_B^*(q)$, we have $f_B^*(p) = f_B^*(q)$, i.e., $p_B = q_B$ for every $f_B \in k(X)$, and hence $p = q$, a contradiction. Thus we have $Z(f_C^*) = cl_L C$ for $C \in RC(X)$. From this fact and (UK4), it is easily verified that the function d_L defined by $d_L(z, D) = f_C^*(z)$ with $cl_L C = D$ is κ -metric on L and $d_L|_X = d$, and hence L is a κ -metrizable extension of X .

Lemma 2.3. Let X be dense in a κ -metric space L , and Y a space containing X as a dense subset such that every $f_C \in k(X)$ has the continuous extension f_C^ on Y with $cl_Y C = Z(f_C^*)$ where $f_C(x) = d_L(x, cl_L C)$ (see 1.2(1(i))). Then a continuous mapping ϕ from Y onto L that leaves X pointwise fixed is a homeomorphism, so Y is a κ -metrizable extension of X .*

Proof. Let $p, q \in Y - X$, $p \neq q$ and $\phi(p) = \phi(q) = r \in L - X$. Then there exist $C, D \in RC(X)$ such that $cl_Y C \cap cl_Y D = \emptyset$, $p \in int_Y cl_Y C$, $q \in int_Y cl_Y D$, $f_C^*(p) = 0$ and $f_C^*(q) = 2h > 0$. Thus we have $r \in \phi(cl_Y C) \subset cl_L \phi(C) = cl_L C$ and $d_L(r, cl_L C) = 0$. Similarly, $r \in cl_L D$ and we may assume $d_L(r, cl_L D) > h$, a contradiction, i.e., ϕ is 1-1. By the same method, we obtain the closedness of ϕ , so ϕ is homeomorphic.

As a space Y in Lemma 2.3, we can take the following:
 $Y = X \cup (\beta X - \cup\{Z(\beta f_C) - \text{cl}_{\beta X} C; C \in \text{RC}(X)\})$. $Z(\cup f) = \text{cl}_{\cup X} Z(f)$ for $f \in C(X)$ [2] implies $\cup X \subset Y$.

Theorem 2.4. *If X is a κ -metric space, then we have*

(1) βX is a κ -metrizable extension of X iff X satisfies (SRC) and (UK4).

(2) If X is pseudocompact, then βX is a κ -metrizable extension of X iff X satisfies (UK4).

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow) If $Z(f) \cap Z(g) = \emptyset$, $f, g \in B(X)$, then $\text{cl}_{\beta X} Z(f) \cap \text{cl}_{\beta X} Z(g) = \emptyset$ [2], and hence X satisfies (SRC) because βX is a κ -metrizable extension of X . On the other hand, X satisfies (UK4) by 2.2.

\Leftarrow) Since there exists a compact κ -metrizable extension L of X by 2.2, and X satisfies (SRC), it is easily verified that we can take βX as a space Y in 2.3. On the other hand, there exists a continuous mapping from βX onto L that leaves X pointwise fixed, and hence βX is a κ -metrizable extension of X by 2.3.

(2) From (1) and 1.4(3).

Theorem 2.5. *If a subspace X of the product L of realcompact κ -metric spaces $\{X_a; a \in A\}$ is either dense or open, then we have*

(1) $\cup X$ is a κ -metrizable extension of X and $\cup X \subset L$.

(2) If X is pseudocompact, then X is C^* -embedded in L and $\beta X \subset L$, especially, if X is dense, $\beta X = L$.

Proof. (1) (i) X is dense. Since L is κ -metrizable [6] and realcompact [2], X is a κ -metric space by 1.2(1),

and hence there is a mapping ϕ of $\cup X$ to L that leaves X pointwise fixed [2]. $X \subset \phi(\cup X) \subset L$, so $\phi(\cup X)$ is κ -metrizable by 1.2(1), and hence $\cup X$ is homeomorphic to $\phi(\cup X)$ by 2.3.

(ii) X is open. Apply a similar argument above. (2) follows from the fact that the pseudocompactness of X implies $\beta X = \cup X$.

Lemma 2.6. Let X be dense in L , $g_a, g \in C(L)$ and $f_a \downarrow f$ where $f_a = g_a|X$ and $f = g|X$. Then $g_a \downarrow g$ iff X satisfies the following:

(L-K4) for each $p \in L - X$ and each $f_a \in C(X)$ with $f_a \downarrow f \in C(X)$, there exists $U \in RC(X)$ such that $p \in \text{int}_L \text{cl}_L U$ and $f_a \downarrow f$ (unif. on U).

Proof. Since the implication \Leftarrow follows from 1.2, we shall show \Rightarrow . Let $p \in L - X$ and take $V \in RC(X)$ with $p \in \text{int}_L \text{cl}_L V$. Let us put $F_a = \{x \in V; f_a(x) - f(x) \geq \varepsilon\}$ for every a . Obviously $a > b \Rightarrow F_b \supset F_a$.

Case 1). $F_a = \emptyset$ for some a . Put $U = V$. Thus we have $F_a \neq \emptyset$ for every a .

Case 2). $p \notin \text{cl}_L F_a$ for some a . Then there exists $W \in RC(X)$ such that $p \in \text{int}_L \text{cl}_L W$ and $\text{cl}_L W \cap \text{cl}_L F_a = \emptyset$. Put $U = V \cap W$.

Case 3). $p \in \text{cl}_L F_a$ for every a . Since $g_a(x) - g(x) = f_a(x) - f(x) \geq \varepsilon$ for every $x \in F_a$, and $p \in \text{cl}_L F_a$, we have $g_a(p) - g(p) \geq \varepsilon$, a contradiction.

Using 2.6, the following two theorems are easily verified.

Theorem 2.7. A space L is a κ -metrizable extension of a κ -metric space X iff X satisfies (L-K4) and every $f_C \in k(X)$ has the continuous extension g over L with $Z(g) = \text{cl}_L C$.

Theorem 2.8. Let X be a κ -metrizable space. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) X satisfies ($\cup X$ -K4).
- (2) $\cup X$ is a κ -metrizable extension of X .
- (3) There exists a realcompact κ -metrizable extension of X .

Quite recently, we proved the following: (1) If βX is κ -metrizable, then X is pseudocompact. (2) If X is locally compact and $\beta X - X$ is κ -metrizable, then X is pseudocompact. (3) If X is a pseudocompact κ -metric space, and Y is a compact κ -metrizable extension of X , then $\beta X = Y$.

References

- [1] H. R. Bennett, W. Lewis and M. Luksic, *Capacity spaces*, Top. Proc. 8 (1983), 29-36.
- [2] L. Gillman and M. Jerison, *Rings of continuous functions*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, NJ (1960).
- [3] E. V. Shchepin, *Real functions and near-normal spaces*, Siberian Math. J. 13 (1972), 820-830.
- [4] _____, *On topological products, groups and a new class of spaces more general than metric spaces*, Sov. Math. Dokl. 17 (1976), 152-155.
- [5] _____, *Topology of limit spaces of uncountable inverse spectra*, Russian Math. Surveys 31 (1976), 155-191.
- [6] _____, *On κ -metrizable spaces*, Math. USSR Izvestija 14 (1980), 407-440.

Tokyo Gakugei University

(184) 4-1-1 Nukuikita-machi, Koganei-shi, Tokyo, Japan