# TOPOLOGY PROCEEDINGS Volume 10, 1985 Pages 385–397

http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/

## ON NON-METRIC PSEUDO-ARCS

by

MICHEL SMITH

**Topology Proceedings** 

Web:	http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/
Mail:	Topology Proceedings
	Department of Mathematics & Statistics
	Auburn University, Alabama 36849, USA
E-mail:	topolog@auburn.edu
ISSN:	0146-4124

COPYRIGHT © by Topology Proceedings. All rights reserved.

### **ON NON-METRIC PSEUDO-ARCS**

#### **Michel Smith**

We construct an example of non-metric hereditarily indecomposable continuum that has many of the properties of the pseudo-arc. In particular, we construct a non-metric hereditarily indecomposable homogeneous hereditarily equivalent continuum.

Definitions. A continuum is defined to be a compact connected Hausdorff space. Suppose  $\lambda$  is an ordinal,  $X_{\alpha}$  is a topological space for each  $\alpha < \lambda$ , and if  $\alpha < \beta$  then  $h_{\alpha}^{\beta}$ is a mapping from  $X_{\beta}$  to  $X_{\alpha}$ . Then the space  $X = \lim_{\alpha < \beta < \lambda} \{X_{\alpha}, h_{\alpha}^{\beta}\}$ denotes the space which is the inverse limit of the inverse system  $\{X_{\alpha}, h_{\alpha}^{\beta}\}_{\alpha < \beta < \lambda}$ . Each point of X is a function P:  $\lambda + \bigcup_{\alpha < \lambda} X_{\alpha}$  such that for all  $\alpha < \beta < \lambda$ :  $P(\alpha) = P_{\alpha} \in X_{\alpha}$ and  $P_{\alpha} = h_{\alpha}^{\beta}(P_{\beta})$ . A basis for the topology is the collection to which the set U belongs if and only if there exists a  $\beta < \lambda$  and an open set  $O_{\beta}$  of  $X_{\beta}$  so that  $U = \{P | P_{\beta} \in O_{\beta}\}$ . Let  $\pi_{\alpha}$ :  $X + X_{\alpha}$  be defined by  $\pi_{\alpha}(P) = P_{\alpha}$ .

Suppose that M is a continuum and  $P \in M$ . Then C is the composant of M at P means that C is the point set to which x belongs if and only if there is a proper subcontinuum of M containing x and P. The set C is a composant of M means that C is a composant of M at some point of M. A pseudo-arc is a nondegenerate hereditarily indecomposable metric chainable continuum. The pseudo-arc is homogeneous [Bi] and hereditarily equivalent [Ms]. We use the following results due to Wayne Lewis [L2].

Theorem A. Suppose that M is a one-dimensional continuum. Then there exists a one dimensional continuum  $\hat{M}$ and a continuous decomposition G of  $\hat{M}$  into pseudo-arcs so that the decomposition space  $\hat{M}/G$  is homeomorphic to M. Furthermore, if  $\pi: \hat{M} \rightarrow \hat{M}/G$  is the mapping so that  $\pi(x)$  is the element of G containing x then if h:  $\hat{M}/G \rightarrow \hat{M}/G$  is a homeomorphism then there exists a homeomorphism  $\hat{h}: \hat{M} \rightarrow \hat{M}$  so that  $\pi \circ \hat{h} = h \circ \pi$ .

Theorem B. Under the hypothesis of theorem A if x and y are elements of the same pseudo-arc in G then there exists a homeomorphism  $\hat{h}: \hat{M} \rightarrow \hat{M}$  so that  $\hat{h}(x) = y$  and  $\pi \circ \hat{h} = \pi$ .

From the fact that the pseudo-arc of pseudo-arcs is unique [L1], we have the following:

Corollary B. Suppose that X is a pseudo-arc and G is a continuous collection of pseudo-arcs filling X, so that for each  $x \in X$ ,  $\pi(x)$  is the element of G that contains x, and Y = X/G. Then Y is a pseudo-arc and if h:  $Y \rightarrow Y$  is a homeomorphism then there exists a homeomorphism  $\hat{h}: X \rightarrow X$  so that  $\pi \circ \hat{h} = h \circ \pi$ .

*Example* 1. Let  $X_1$  be a pseudo-arc, let  $X_2$  be a pseudoarc, and let  $G_2$  be a continuous decomposition of  $X_2$  into pseudo-arcs. Then  $X_2/G_2$  is a pseudo-arc and is homeomorphic to  $X_1$ . Let  $f_1^2$  be the open monotone map,  $f_1^2$ :  $X_2 + X_1$  so that  $G_2 = \{f_1^{2-1}(x) \mid x \in X_1\}$ . By induction, construct  $\{X_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha < \omega_1}$  as follows. Suppose  $\gamma < \omega_1$  and  $X_{\alpha}$  and  $f_{\alpha}^{\beta}$  have been constructed for all  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  such that if  $\alpha < \beta < \lambda$  then  $X_{\alpha}$  is a pseudo-arc and  $f_{\alpha}^{\beta} \colon X_{\beta} \to X_{\alpha}$  is an open monotone map. Suppose  $\lambda < \omega_{1}$  is not a limit ordinal. Then  $\lambda$  has a predecessor  $\lambda - 1$ . Then let  $X_{\lambda}$  be a pseudo arc and let  $G_{\lambda}$  be a continuous decomposition of  $X_{\lambda}$  into pseudo-arcs. Then  $X_{\lambda}/G_{\lambda}$  is homeomorphic to  $X_{\lambda-1}$  so there is an open monotone map  $f_{\lambda-1}^{\lambda} \colon X_{\lambda} \to X_{\lambda-1}$  so that  $G_{\lambda} = \{f_{\lambda-1}^{\lambda}^{-1}(x) \mid x \in X_{\lambda-1}\}$ . For  $\alpha < \lambda - 1$  let  $f_{\alpha}^{\lambda} = f_{\alpha}^{\lambda-1} \circ f_{\lambda-1}^{\lambda}$ . Suppose that  $\lambda$  is a limit ordinal. Then  $\{X_{\alpha}, f_{\alpha}^{\beta}\}_{\alpha < \beta < \lambda}$  is an inverse system. Let  $X_{\lambda} = \lim_{\alpha < \beta} \{X_{\alpha}, f_{\alpha}^{\beta}\}$ . If  $\lambda < \omega_{1}$  then some countable set is cofinal in  $\lambda$  so  $X_{\lambda}$  is homeomorphic to an inverse limit of pseudo-arcs and hence must be a metric chainable hereditarily indecomposable continuum. So  $X_{\lambda}$  is a pseudo-arc. If  $\alpha < \lambda$  then let  $f_{\alpha}^{\lambda} \colon X_{\lambda} \to X_{\alpha}$  denote the projection of  $X_{\lambda}$  onto the  $\alpha \stackrel{\text{th}}{=}$  coordinate space  $X_{\alpha}$ .

Let M denote the space  $X_{\omega_1} = \lim_{\alpha < \beta < \omega_1} \{X_{\alpha}, f_{\alpha}^{\beta}\}.$ 

Theorem 1.1. The space M is a non-metric chainable hereditarily indecomposable continuum.

*Proof.* The chainability and hereditary indecomposability of M easily follows from the fact that each  $X_{\alpha}$  is chainable and hereditarily indecomposable. The non-metrizability of M follows from the existence of an  $\omega_1$ -long monotonic sequence of subcontinua of M which is constructed below.

Let  $L_1 = X_1$ ,  $I_1 = X_{\omega_1}$ , and  $P_1 \in L_1$ . Let  $I_2 = \{x \in M | x_1 = P_1\}$ ,  $L_2 = \{x_2 \in X_2 | x \in I_2\} = \pi_2(I_2)$ , and  $P_2 \in L_2$ . By

# the construction of $X_{\alpha}$ , $L_2$ is nondegenerate and in fact $L_2 = f_1^{2^{-1}}(P_1)$ . Let $\lambda < \omega_1$ . Suppose $I_{\alpha}$ , $P_{\alpha}$ , and $L_{\alpha}$ have been constructed for all

 $\alpha < \lambda$ .

Case i:  $\lambda$  is not a limit ordinal and  $\lambda = \lambda' + 1$ . Then let  $I_{\lambda} = \{x | x_{\lambda}, = P_{\lambda}, \}, L_{\lambda} = \{x_{\lambda} \in X_{\lambda} | x \in I_{\lambda}\} = \pi_{\lambda}(I_{\lambda}), \text{ and}$  $P_{\lambda} \in L_{\lambda}.$ 

Case ii:  $\lambda$  is a limit ordinal. Then let  $I_{\lambda} = \bigcap_{\alpha < \lambda} I_{\alpha}$ ,  $L_{\lambda} = \pi_{\lambda}(I_{\lambda})$ , and  $P_{\lambda} \in L_{\lambda}$ .

Note that if  $\alpha \neq \beta$  then  $I_{\alpha} \neq I_{\beta}$  and if  $\alpha < \beta$  then  $I_{\beta} \subset I_{\alpha}$ . So  $\{I_{\lambda}\}_{\lambda < \omega_{1}}$  is the required monotonic collection.

Theorem 1.2. The space M is homogeneous.

Proof. Let x and y be two points of M. Since  $X_1$  is homogeneous there exists a homeomorphism h:  $X_1 \rightarrow X_1$  so that  $h(x_1) = y_1$ . By theorem A there is a homeomorphism g:  $X_2 \rightarrow X_2$ so that  $h \circ f_1^2 = f_1^2 \circ g$ . Note that  $f_1^2 \circ g(x_2) = h \circ f_1^2(x_2) =$  $h(x_1) = y_1$  and  $f_1^2(y_2) = y_1$ . So  $g(x_2)$  and  $y_2$  both belong to the same element of  $G_2$ . So by theorem B there exists a homeomorphism k:  $X_2 \rightarrow X_2$  so that  $k \circ g(x_2) = y_2$  and  $f_1^2 \circ k = f_1^2$ . Thus  $k \circ g$ :  $X_2 \rightarrow X_2$  is a homeomorphism with  $f_1^2 \circ k \circ g = f_1^2 \circ g = h \circ f_1^2$  and  $k \circ g(x_2) = y_2$ . Define  $\theta_1 = h$ , and  $\theta_2 = k \circ g$ . Thus  $\theta_1 \circ f_1^2 = f_1^2 \circ \theta_2$ .

Proceeding by induction, suppose that  $\lambda < \omega_1$  and  $\theta_{\alpha}$  has been defined for all  $\alpha < \lambda$  so that if  $\alpha < \beta < \lambda$  then  $\theta_{\alpha} \circ f_{\alpha}^{\beta} = f_{\alpha}^{\beta} \circ \theta_{\beta}.$ 

Case i:  $\lambda$  is not a limit ordinal and  $\lambda = \lambda' + 1$  for some  $\lambda'$ . Then using the same argument as above there exists  $\theta_{\lambda}: \ \mathbf{X}_{\lambda} \ \Rightarrow \ \mathbf{X}_{\lambda} \ \text{so that} \ \theta_{\lambda}, \ \text{o} \ \mathbf{f}_{\lambda}^{\lambda}, \ = \ \mathbf{f}_{\lambda}^{\lambda}, \ \text{o} \ \theta_{\lambda} \ \text{and} \ \theta_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x}_{\lambda}) \ = \ \mathbf{y}_{\lambda}.$ If  $\alpha < \lambda$ , then  $\theta_{\alpha} \circ f_{\alpha}^{\lambda} = \theta_{\alpha} \circ f_{\alpha}^{\lambda'} \circ f_{\lambda'}^{\lambda} = f_{\alpha}^{\lambda'} \circ \theta_{\lambda} \circ f_{\lambda}^{\lambda} =$  $\mathbf{f}_{\alpha}^{\lambda} \circ \mathbf{f}_{\lambda}^{\lambda}, \circ \theta_{\lambda} = \mathbf{f}_{\alpha}^{\lambda} \circ \theta_{\lambda}.$ 

Case ii:  $\lambda$  is a limit ordinal. Then, since X, is the inverse limit  $\lim_{\alpha \leq \beta \leq \lambda} \{x_{\alpha}, f_{\alpha}^{\beta}\}$ , the collection  $\{\theta_{\lambda} : x_{\lambda} \neq 0\}$  $x_{\lambda}\}_{\alpha < \lambda}$  induces a homeomorphism  $\theta_{\lambda} \colon X_{\lambda} \to X_{\lambda}$  so that  $\theta_{\alpha} \circ f_{\alpha}^{\lambda} = 0$  $f^{\lambda}_{\alpha} \circ \theta_{\lambda}$ .

Then since M = X is the inverse limit  $\lim_{\alpha < \beta < \omega_1} \{X_{\alpha}, f_{\alpha}^{\beta}\}$ . The collection  $\{\theta_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha < \lambda}$  induces a homeomorphism  $\theta: X_{\omega_1} \rightarrow X_{\omega_1}$ so that  $f_{\alpha}^{\omega_{1}} \circ \theta = \theta_{\alpha} \circ f_{\alpha}^{\omega_{1}}$  and since  $\theta_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x}_{\lambda}) = \mathbf{y}_{\lambda}$  we also have  $\theta(x) = y$ .

Definition. The continuum X is said to be hereditarily equivalent if it is homeomorphic to each of its nondegenerate subcontinua.

Theorem 1.3. The space M is hereditarily equivalent.

Proof. Let L be a nondegenerate subcontinuum of M. Let P and Q be two points of L. Then there exists  $\lambda < \omega_1$ so that  $P_{\lambda} \neq Q_{\lambda}$ . Let  $L_{\alpha}$  denote the projection of L into the  $\alpha \frac{th}{t}$  coordinate. Thus  $L_{\alpha} = \{x_{\alpha} | x \in L\} = f_{\alpha}^{\omega_{1}}(L)$ . First we will show that if  $\lambda < \gamma < \omega_1$  then

$$L_{\gamma} = f_{\lambda}^{\gamma-1}(L_{\lambda}).$$

Clearly,  $L_{\gamma} \subset f_{\lambda}^{\gamma}$  ( $L_{\lambda}$ ).

For each  $x \in L_{\lambda}$  the set  $f_{\lambda}^{\gamma-1}(x)$  is a subcontinuum of  ${\tt X}_{\lambda}^{}$  . Since  ${\tt L}_{\lambda}^{}$  is nondegenerate, it follows that  ${\tt L}_{\gamma}^{}$  is not a subset of  $f_{\lambda}^{\gamma^{-1}}(x)$ . But by hereditary indecomposability one of  $L_{\gamma}$  and  $f_{\lambda}^{\gamma-1}(x)$  is a subset of the other. So  $f_{\lambda}^{\gamma-1}(L_{\lambda}) \subset L_{\lambda}$ . Therefore we have  $L_{\gamma} = f_{\lambda}^{\gamma-1}(L_{\lambda})$ . Notice that this argument also verifies that  $f_{\lambda}^{\gamma}|_{L_{\gamma}}: L_{\gamma} \neq L_{\lambda}$  is a monotone map. Thus  $L = \lim_{\lambda < \alpha < \beta < \omega_{1}} \{L_{\alpha}, f_{\alpha}^{\beta}|_{L_{\beta}}\}$ .

The set  $\omega_1$  is order isomorphic to the set  $\{\gamma \mid \lambda < \gamma < \omega_1\}$ . Let  $\psi$  be the isomorphism. Suppose  $\lambda < \omega_1$  and  $\{\theta_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha < \lambda}$  have been defined so that for all  $\alpha < \beta < \lambda$ 

$$\theta_{\alpha} \circ f_{\alpha}^{\beta} = f_{\psi(\alpha)}^{\psi(\beta)} \Big|_{L\psi(\beta)} \circ \theta_{\beta}.$$

If  $\lambda$  is not a limit ordinal and  $\lambda = \gamma + 1$  then using Wayne Lewis's results there exists a homeomorphism  $\theta_{\gamma+1}$ :  $X_{\gamma+1} \rightarrow L_{\psi(\gamma+1)}$  so that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{c} f_{\gamma}^{\gamma+1} & & & \\ x_{\gamma} & \uparrow^{\gamma} & x_{\gamma+1} & & & x_{\beta} \\ \theta_{\gamma} & & & & \\ \theta_{\gamma} & & & & \\ \mu_{\psi}(\gamma) & \leftarrow & L_{\psi}(\gamma+1) & & & \\ f_{\psi}^{\psi}(\gamma) & & & L_{\psi}(\gamma+1) \\ & & & \\ f_{\psi}^{\psi}(\gamma) & & \\ \end{array}$$

If  $\lambda$  is a limit ordinal the maps  $\{\theta_{\gamma}\}_{\gamma < \lambda}$  induce a homeomorphism  $\theta_{\lambda}$  of  $X_{\lambda}$  onto  $X_{\psi(\lambda)}$ . Therefore for all  $\alpha < \beta < \omega_{1}$  $\theta_{\alpha} \circ f_{\alpha}^{\beta} = f_{\psi(\alpha)}^{\psi(\beta)} |_{L_{\psi(\beta)}} \circ \theta_{\beta}$  and the maps  $\{\theta_{\gamma}\}_{\gamma < \omega_{1}}$ 

induce a homeomorphism of M onto L.

Theorem 1.4. The continuum M is irreducible from the point x to the point y if and only if  $X_1$  is irreducible from the point  $x_1$  to the point  $y_1$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $X_1$  is not irreducible from  $x_1$  to  $y_1$ . Then there is a proper subcontinuum  $L_1$  of  $X_1$  containing  $x_1$  and  $y_1$ . Let  $L_2 = f_1^{2^{-1}}(L_1)$ ; then, since  $f_1^2$  is monotone,  $L_2$  is a subcontinuum of  $X_2$  and it must be a proper subcontinuum of  $X_2$  because  $L_1$  is proper in  $X_1$ . Let us construct a collection  $\{L_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha < \omega_{1}}$  by induction so that  $L_{\alpha}$  is a proper subcontinuum of X containing x and y . Suppose that L has been defined for all  $\alpha \in \lambda$ . If  $\lambda$  is not a limit ordinal then let  $L_{\lambda} = f_{\lambda-1}^{\lambda-1}(L_{\lambda-1})$ . Since  $f_{\lambda-1}^{\lambda}$  is monotone and  $L_{\lambda-1}$ is a proper subcontinuum of  $X_{\lambda-1}$  then  $L_{\lambda}$  is a proper subcontinuum of  $X_{\lambda}$ , and  $L_{\lambda}$  contains  $x_{\lambda}$  and  $y_{\lambda}$ . If  $\lambda$  is a limit ordinal then let  $L_{\lambda} = \lim_{\alpha < \beta < \lambda} \{X_{\alpha}, f_{\alpha}^{\beta} | L_{\beta}\}$ . Since  $L_{1}$  is a proper subcontinuum of  $X_1$  then  $L_{\lambda}$  is a proper subcontinuum of  $X_{\lambda}$ . Since  $x_{\alpha}$  and  $y_{\alpha}$  lie in  $L_{\alpha}$  for  $\alpha < \lambda$ , and for  $\alpha < \beta < \lambda$  $f^{\beta}_{\alpha}(x_{\beta}) = x_{\alpha} \text{ and } f^{\beta}_{\alpha}(y_{\beta}) = y_{\alpha}, \text{ then } x_{\lambda} \text{ and } y_{\lambda} \text{ lie in } L_{\lambda}.$ Therefore L =  $\lim_{\alpha < \beta < \omega} \{L_{\alpha}, f_{\alpha}^{\beta} | L_{\beta}\}$  is a proper subcontinuum of Furthermore by construction for each  $\alpha < \omega_1$  the points м.  $\mathbf{x}_{\alpha}$  and  $\mathbf{y}_{\alpha}$  both lie in  $\mathbf{L}_{\alpha}$ . So L contains x and y hence M

a 'a a' is not irreducible from x to y.

Suppose that M is not irreducible from the point x to the point y. Let L be a proper subcontinuum of M containing x and y. Then for some  $\lambda < \omega_1$ ,  $f_{\lambda}^{\omega_1}(L) \neq X_{\lambda}$ . Let  $L_{\lambda} = f_{\lambda}^{\omega_1}(L)$ . Then  $x_{\lambda}$  and  $y_{\lambda}$  both lie in  $L_{\lambda}$ . Since  $L_{\lambda}$  is a proper subcontinuum of  $X_{\lambda}$  there is a point  $z_{\gamma} \in X_{\lambda} - L_{\lambda}$ . Let  $z_1 = f_1^{\lambda}(z_{\lambda})$ . Then  $f_1^{\lambda^{-1}}(z_1)$  is a subcontinuum of  $X_{\lambda}$ . But  $z_{\lambda} \in f_1^{\lambda^{-1}}(z_1)$  and  $z_{\lambda} \notin L_{\lambda}$  also  $x_{\lambda} \in L_{\lambda}$  so  $x_1 \neq z_1$  and

Smith

hence  $\mathbf{x}_{\lambda} \notin f_{1}^{\lambda^{-1}}(\mathbf{z}_{1})$ . So by hereditary indecomposability,  $\mathbf{L}_{\lambda}$  and  $f_{1}^{\lambda^{-1}}(\mathbf{z}_{1})$  are disjoint continua. Thus  $\mathbf{z}_{1} \notin f_{1}^{\lambda}(\mathbf{L}_{\lambda})$  but  $\mathbf{x}_{1}$  and  $\mathbf{y}_{1}$  are elements of  $f_{1}^{\lambda}(\mathbf{L}_{\lambda})$ . Therefore,  $f_{1}^{\lambda}(\mathbf{L}_{\lambda})$  is a proper subcontinuum of  $\mathbf{X}_{1}$  containing  $\mathbf{x}_{1}$  and  $\mathbf{y}_{1}$ .

The following corollary follows easily from the construction and theorem 1.4.

Corollary 1.5. The continuum M has c composants.

*Example* 2. In [S3] an example of a hereditarily indecomposable continuum with exactly two composants was constructed. The example was an inverse limit of pseudo-arcs indexed by  $\omega_1$  with special types of retractions as bonding maps.

We will use the following theorems from [S3].

Theorem C. Suppose that X is a pseudo-arc, X is irreducible from the point P to the point Q, Y is a pseudo-arc,  $X \subset Y$ , and Y is the union of two closed sets H and K so that X is a component of H,  $X \cap K = \{Q\}$ , and  $Bd(H) = Bd(K) = K \cap H$ . Then there is a retraction h of Y onto X so that h(K) = Q,  $h^{-1}(P) = P$ , and h(Y-X) lies in the composant of X at Q.

Suppose X is a continuum. Let us use the following notation. If  $H \subset X$ , let  $Bd_X(H)$  denote the boundary of H in X, let  $Int_X(H)$  denote the interior of H with respect to X, and let  $Cl_X(H)$  denote the closure of H in X. If  $Q \in X$ , then let Cmps (X,Q) denote the composant of X at Q. Theorem C was used to construct the example in [S3]. The example which we will denote by N was constructed so that N =  $\lim_{\alpha < \beta < \omega_1} \{X_{\alpha}, h_{\alpha}^{\beta}\}$  and for each  $\alpha < \omega_1$ : 1)  $X_{\alpha}$  is a pseudo-arc with  $X_{\alpha} \subset X_{\alpha+1}$ , 2)  $X_{\alpha}$  is irreducible from the point P to the point  $Q_{\alpha}$ , 3)  $X_{\alpha+1}$  is the union of two closed sets  $H_{\alpha+1}$  and  $K_{\alpha+1}$ so that  $X_{\alpha}$  is a component of  $H_{\alpha+1}$ ,  $X_{\alpha+1} \cap K_{\alpha+1} = \{Q_{\alpha}\}$ ,  $\operatorname{Bd}_{X_{\alpha+1}}(H_{\alpha+1}) = \operatorname{Bd}_{X_{\alpha+1}}(K_{\alpha+1}) = H_{\alpha+1} \cap K_{\alpha+1}$ ,  $Q_{\alpha+1} \in$   $\operatorname{Int}_{X_{\alpha+1}}(K_{\alpha+1})$ , and  $Q_{\alpha+1} \notin \operatorname{Cmps}(X_{\alpha+1}, Q_{\alpha})$ , 4)  $h_{\alpha}^{\alpha+1}$ :  $X_{\alpha+1} \to X_{\alpha}$  is a retraction so that  $h_{\alpha}^{\alpha+1}(K_{\alpha+1}) =$   $Q_{\alpha}$ ,  $h_{\alpha}^{\alpha+1}$  (P) = P, and  $h_{\alpha}^{\alpha+1}(X_{\alpha+1} - X_{\alpha}) \subset \operatorname{Cmps}(X_{\alpha}, Q_{\alpha})$ . Conditions 1-4 were used to obtain the following theorem [S].

Theorem D. The continuum  $N = \lim_{\alpha < \hat{\beta} < \omega_1} \{ X_{\alpha} h_{\alpha}^{\beta} \}$  is a hereditarily indecomposable continuum with exactly two composants.

By Theorem D it follows that N is a non-metric continuum. By Theorem D and Corollary 1.5 the continua M and N are not homeomorphic. It would be of interest to determine if N is homogeneous or hereditarily equivalent. We will show that N is neither of these, and we will obtain a general theorem about non-metric hereditarily indecomposable continua.

The fact that N is not hereditarily equivalent easily follows from the following observation.

Theorem 2.1. The continuum N contains a pseudo-arc. Proof. The proof easily follows from the construction. From condition 4  $h_{\alpha}^{\alpha+1}$ :  $X_{\alpha+1} \rightarrow X_{\alpha}$  is a retraction and  $h_{\alpha}^{\alpha+1}(X_{\alpha+1} - X_{\alpha}) \subset \operatorname{Cmps}(X_{\alpha}, Q_{\alpha})$ . So if I is a proper subcontinuum of  $X_{\alpha}$  that does not intersect  $\operatorname{Cmps}(X_{\alpha}, Q_{\alpha})$  then  $f_{\alpha}^{\alpha+1}^{-1}(I) = I$ . Therefore, if L is a nondegenerate subcontinuum of  $X_1$  that does not intersect  $\operatorname{Cmps}(X_1, Q_1)$ , then  $f_1^{\alpha^{-1}}(L) = L$ . So  $\hat{L} = \lim_{\alpha < \beta < \omega_1} \{L, f_{\alpha}^{\beta}|_L\}$  is a pseudo-arc since  $f_{\alpha}^{\beta}|_L$  is the identity on L.

Definitions. Suppose X is a space and  $x \in X$ . Then X is first countable at x means that there is a countable collection of open sets that forms a basis at x. The point x is a P-point of X means that if  $\{O_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  is a countable collection of open sets each containing x, then there exists an open set O containing x such that  $O \subset \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} O_i$ .

The fact that N is not homogeneous easily follows from Theorems D and 2.1 as well as from the following theorem.

Theorem 2.2. The continuum N contains both a point at which it is first countable and a P-point.

*Proof.* First we show that the point  $Q = \{Q_{\alpha}\}$  is a P-point of N. Suppose  $\alpha < \omega_1$  and R is an open set in  $X_{\alpha}$ then let  $\hat{R} = \{x \in N | x_{\alpha} \in R\}$ , the set  $\hat{R}$  is open in N. Suppose  $\{O_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  is a countable sequence of open sets in N each containing Q. Then for each i there is an ordinal  $\alpha_i$  and an open set  $R_i$  in  $X_{\alpha_i}$ , so that  $Q_{\alpha_i} \in R_i$  and  $Q \in \hat{R}_i \subset O_i$ . Since  $\{\alpha_{i}\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  is countable there exists  $\lambda < \omega_{1}$  so that  $\alpha_{i} < \lambda$  for all positive integers i and so that  $\lambda$  is not a limit ordinal. Let U be an open set containing  $Q_{\lambda}$  so that  $Cl_{X_{\lambda}}(U) \subset K_{\lambda}$ , this can be done by condition 3. Then by condition 4,  $f_{\lambda-1}^{\lambda}(Cl_{X}U) = Q_{\lambda-1}$  and hence  $\tilde{U} \subset O_{\alpha_{1}}$  for all  $\alpha_{i}$ .

Now we prove that if  $x \in X_1 - Cmps(X_1,Q_1)$  then the point  $z \in N$  so that  $z_{\alpha} = x$  for all  $\alpha < \omega_1$  is a point of first countability of N. Let  $\{U_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  be a countable local basis of open sets of  $X_1$  at x. We claim that  $\{\tilde{U}_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is a local basis for z in N. Suppose on the other hand that  $\{\dot{\tilde{U}}_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  is not a local basis for z. Then there is a point  $y \neq z$  so that  $y \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \dot{D}_i$ . Since  $y \neq z$ there is a first  $\lambda$  so that  $y_{\lambda}$  \neq  $z_{\lambda}$  = x. Clearly  $\lambda$  is not a limit ordinal and  $\lambda \neq 1$  since  $\{U_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  is a local basis for  $x \in X_1$ . Therefore,  $f_{\lambda-1}^{\lambda}(y_{\lambda}) = x$ . But  $x \in X_1 \subset X_{\lambda-1} \subset X_{\lambda}$ and  $f_{\lambda-1}^{\lambda}(X_{\lambda}-X_{\lambda-1}) \subset Cmps(X_{\lambda-1},Q_{\lambda-1})$ . Also,  $x \notin Cmps(X_{\lambda-1},Q_{\lambda-1})$ .  $Q_{\lambda-1}$ ) because for  $\lambda = 2 \times \text{was}$  chosen so that  $x \notin \text{Cmps}(X_1,Q_1)$ and for  $\lambda > 2$ ,  $X_1$  is a proper subcontinuum of  $X_{\lambda-1}$  that contains P and hence cannot intersect  $Cmps(x_{\lambda-1}, Q_{\lambda-1})$ . Therefore, the only point of  $\textbf{X}_{\lambda}$  that is mapped onto x by  $f_{\lambda-1}^{\lambda}$  is x. But this contradicts the fact that  $y_{\lambda} \neq x$ . So N is first countable at x. Similarly it can be shown that if  $\lambda < \omega_1$  and  $x \in X_{\lambda}$  - Cmps(X<sub>1</sub>,Q<sub>1</sub>) then N is first countable at the point z so that  $z_{\alpha} = x$  for all  $\lambda < \alpha < \omega_1$ .

The next theorem shows that, in terms of the existence of points of first countability and P-points in hereditarily indecomposable continua example 2 is as complicated as it can get.

Theorem 3. If X is a hereditarily indecomposable continuum then no proper subcontinuum of X can contain a P-point of X and a point at which X is first countable.

*Proof.* Suppose X is a hereditarily indecomposable continuum, x is a P-point of X, y is a point of X at which X is first countable, and L is a proper subcontinuum of X containing both x and y. Let  $\{R_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  be a countable local basis at y so that  $R_{i+1} \subset R_i$ . Let  $z \in X - L$ .

Let  $I_n$  be the component of  $X - R_n$  containing z. Then  $I_n \cap Bd_X(R_n) \neq \emptyset$ , and since  $y \notin I_n$  by hereditary indecomposability  $I_n \cap L = \emptyset$ . Thus  $x \notin I_n$ . Let K be the limiting set of  $I_1, I_2, \cdots$ . Since x is a P-point then  $x \notin K$ . Since y is the sequential limit of  $\{I_n \cap Bd_X(R_n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  and  $I_n \subset I_{n+1}$ for each n then K is a continuum that contains y. Thus  $y \in L, y \in K, z \in K, z \notin L, x \notin K$ , and  $x \in L$ ; but this contradicts the hereditary indecomposability of X.

The following questions arise naturally from our discussion.

*Question* 1. Are there other non-metric hereditarily equivalent continua?

*Question* 2. Are there other non-metric homogeneous chainable continua? In particular, is there an inverse limit on a larger index set of chainable continua which is homogeneous?

Question 3. How many different inverse limits of pseudo-arcs indexed by  $\omega_1$  are there?

### Bibliography

- [Be] D. P. Bellamy, Indecomposable continua with one and two composants, Fund. Math. 101 (2) (1978), 129-134.
- [Bi] R. H. Bing, A homogeneous indecomposable plane continuum, Duke Math. J. 15 (1951), 43-51.
- [L1] W. Lewis, The pseudo-arc of pseudo-arcs is unique, Houston J. Math. 10 (1984), 227-234.
- [L2] , Continuous curves of pseudo-arcs (preprint).
- [Mor] R. L. Moore, Foundations of point set theory, AMS Colloq. Pub. XIII Revised Edition, Providence, R.I. (1962).
- [Ms] E. E. Moise, An indecomposable plane continuum which is homeomorphic to each of its non-degenerate subcontinua, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 63 (1948), 581-594.
- [S1] M. Smith, Generating large indecomposable continua, Pacific J. Math. 62 (1976), 587-593.
- [S2] \_\_\_\_, Large indecomposable continua with only one composant, Pacific J. Math. 86 (2) (1980), 593-600.
- [S3] \_\_\_\_, A hereditarily indecomposable Hausdorff continuum with exactly two composants, Top. Proc. 9 (1984), 123-143.

Auburn University

Auburn, Alabama 36849