
TOPOLOGY PROCEEDINGS



Volume 11, 1986

Pages 291–308

<http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/>

κ -METRIC SPACES AND FUNCTION SPACES

by

TAKESI ISIWATA

Topology Proceedings

Web: <http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/>

Mail: Topology Proceedings
Department of Mathematics & Statistics
Auburn University, Alabama 36849, USA

E-mail: topolog@auburn.edu

ISSN: 0146-4124

COPYRIGHT © by Topology Proceedings. All rights reserved.

κ -METRIC SPACES AND FUNCTION SPACES

Takesi Isiwata

Ščepin [13,14] introduced the notions of κ -metrizable and capacity as a generalization of metric spaces and locally compact groups, and proved the κ -metrizable is productive [15]. Bennett, Lewis and Luksic [2] showed that κ -metrizable is equivalent to faithful capacity, and that κ -metrizable is not closed-hereditary. Dranišnikov [6] defined the additivity of κ -metric space and asserted that a compact additive κ -metric space is metrizable. In our previous papers [10,11], we studied metrization problems of additive κ -metric spaces, and compact (or realcompact) κ -metrizable extension of κ -metric spaces respectively. After the previous paper [11] was printed, through G. D. Dimov, the author knew the following result due to Chigogidze [3] (see Th. 3.3); βX is κ -metrizable iff X is pseudocompact and κ -metrizable. Thus, in particular, any of βR , βQ and βN is not κ -metrizable.

In this paper, we prove, in Section 3, that if X is locally compact and $\beta X - X$ is κ -metric space, then X is pseudocompact, and that any of $\beta R - R$, $\beta Q - Q$ and $\beta N - N$ is not κ -metrizable. In Section 4, we introduce the notion of $X(\aleph_0)$ -points as a generalization of strictly \aleph_0 -continuous functions in the sense of Arhangel'skiĭ [1], and show that any dense subspace X of the product of realcompact κ -metric space Y_α , $\alpha \in \Gamma$, UX precisely consists of $X(\aleph_0)$ -points if any point of Y_α is G_δ for each $\alpha \in \Gamma$ (see Th. 4.3). As

corollaries, there follows Corson's result [4; Th. 2] as well as Uspenškii's one [18, Th. 1]. In Section 5, we give a characterization of a space X such that R^X precisely consists of strictly \aleph_0 -continuous (or \aleph_0 -continuous) functions, and related examples. The author wishes to thank Y. Tanaka for his helpful advice.

1. Definitions and Preliminaries

All spaces in this paper are Tychonoff. We denote by N the set of positive integers, by R the set of real numbers, by Q the set of rational numbers, by $Rc(X)$ the family of regular closed subsets of X , by $C(X)$ the set of continuous real-valued functions on X , and by $\beta X(UX)$ the Stone-Čech compactification (the Hewitt realcompactification) of X . We assume familiarity with [7], whose terminology will be used throughout, and use the following abbreviations: pc = pseudocompact, clopen = closed and open, rc = realcompact, $X^* = \beta X - X$ and c- κ extension = compact κ -metrizable extension.

(X, d) is a κ -metric space if d is a mapping X from $X \times Rc(X)$ to $[0, \infty)$ satisfying the following conditions: (K1) $d(x, C) = 0 \Leftrightarrow x \in C$. (K2) if $C \subset D$, then $d(x, C) \geq d(x, D)$ for every $x \in X$. (K3) $d(x, C)$ is continuous in x for every C . (K4) $d(x, cl(\cup C_\alpha)) = \inf d(x, C_\alpha)$ for every increasing transfinite sequence $\{C_\alpha\}$. d is called a κ -metric on X . We can assume that any κ -metric d satisfies $d(x, \emptyset) \leq 1$ for every $x \in X$ [14; p. 179]. Let us put $\mathcal{U}(\Gamma) = \{U_\alpha; \alpha \in \Gamma\}$ where U_α is a subset of X , and $\cup \mathcal{U}(\Gamma) = \cup \{U_\alpha; \alpha \in \Gamma\}$. By $\{N_1, N_2; \Gamma\}$ we mean the fact that N_1 and N_2 are disjoint

countable subsets of Γ and $|\Gamma| \geq \aleph_0$. The following Štěpín's results will be useful.

1.1. *Proposition* ([14], pp. 179-180). *Let (X, d) be a κ -metric space.*

(1) *A subspace Y of X is κ -metrizable if Y satisfies one of the following cases (i) Y is dense, (ii) Y is open, and (iii) $Y \in Rc(X)$.*

(2) *Let $\mathcal{U}(\Gamma) = \{U_\alpha \in Rc(X)\}$. Then for any $\epsilon > 0$ and any $x \in X$, there exists a finite subset Γ_1 of Γ such that $d(x, \mathcal{U}(\Gamma_1)) < d(x, \text{cl}(\mathcal{U}(\Gamma))) + \epsilon$.*

1.2. *Lemma*. *Let (X, d) be a κ -metric space and $\mathcal{U}(\Gamma) = \{U_\alpha \in Rc(X)\}$, $|\Gamma| \geq \aleph_0$. Then we have*

(1) *If $x \in \text{cl}(\mathcal{U}(\Gamma)) - \mathcal{U}(\Gamma)$, then there exists $\{N_1, N_2, \Gamma\}$ with $x \in \text{cl}(\mathcal{U}(N_i))$, $i = 1, 2$.*

(2) *If $\text{cl}(\mathcal{U}(N_1)) \cap \text{cl}(\mathcal{U}(N_2)) = \emptyset$ for any $\{N_1, N_2, \Gamma\}$, then $\mathcal{U}(\Gamma)$ is discrete.*

(3) *If $|\Gamma| > \aleph_0$ and $\mathcal{U}(\Gamma) = \{U_\alpha \in Rc(X)\}$ is pairwise disjoint, then there exist a subset $\Gamma_1 \subset \Gamma$ with $|\Gamma| = |\Gamma_1|$ and a discrete family $\mathcal{V}(\Gamma_1) = \{V_\alpha \in Rc(X)\}$ with $V_\alpha \subset U_\alpha$ for each $\alpha \in \Gamma_1$.*

Proof. (1) For $\epsilon = 1$, put $A(1) = \Gamma_1$ in 1.1(2). Since $x \in \text{cl}(\mathcal{U}(\Gamma - A(1)))$, again for $\epsilon = 1/2$, apply 1.1(2) to $\mathcal{U}(\Gamma - A(1))$, and take a finite subset $A(2)$ of $\Gamma - A(1)$ with $d(x, \mathcal{U}(A(2))) < 1/2$. Repeating this process, we have a finite subset $A(n)$ of $\Gamma - \cup\{A(i); 1 \leq i < n\}$ with $d(x, \mathcal{U}(A(n))) < d(x, \text{cl}(\mathcal{U}(\Gamma - \cup\{A(i); 1 \leq i < n\}))) + 1/n$. It is easily seen, by (K1) and (K2), that $N_1 = \cup\{A(2n-1); n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and $N_2 = \cup\{A(2n); n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ are desired subsets of Γ .

(2) follows from (1).

(3) To prove (3), we use Ščepin's method used in the proof of [14, Th. 12]. For any $\alpha \in \Gamma$, we fix a point $x_\alpha \in U_\alpha$. Then for any given $\varepsilon > 0$, and $C_\alpha = \text{cl}(X - U_\alpha) \in \text{Rc}(X)$, $D(\varepsilon) = \{x_\alpha; d(x, C_\alpha) \geq \varepsilon\}$ is a discrete closed subspace of X (cf. [14, Th. 12]). Let us put $A(\varepsilon) = \{\alpha; x_\alpha \in D(\varepsilon)\}$ and $W_\alpha = \{x; d(x, C_\alpha) > \varepsilon/2\}$ for $\alpha \in A(\varepsilon)$. Then $V_\alpha = \text{cl}W_\alpha \subset U_\alpha$. We claim that $\bigvee(A(\varepsilon)) = \{V_\alpha\}$ is discrete. Suppose that $p \in \text{cl}(\bigcup(A(\varepsilon)) - \bigvee(A(\varepsilon)))$. By 1.1(1), there exists a finite subset A of $A(\varepsilon)$ with $d(p, \bigcup(A)) < \varepsilon/3$. Since d is continuous in x , there exists an open set $0 \ni p$ such that $d(y, \bigcup(A)) < \varepsilon/3$ for each $y \in 0$. If $0 \cap W_\alpha \neq \emptyset$ for $\alpha \notin A$, then $y \in W_\alpha$ implies $d(y, C_\alpha) > \varepsilon/2$, and $\bigcup(A) \subset X - U_\alpha$ implies $d(y, C_\alpha) \leq d(y, \bigcup(A))$. These inequalities imply $\varepsilon/2 < \varepsilon/3$, a contradiction. Thus $\bigvee(A(\varepsilon))$ is discrete. Let $\varepsilon = 1/n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\Gamma = \bigcup A(n)$. Since $|\Gamma| > \aleph_0$, we have $|A(m)| = |\Gamma|$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

2. Properties of κ -Metric Spaces

We recall that X satisfies the *countable chain condition* (=CCC) if every family of pairwise disjoint non-empty open subsets of X has cardinality $\leq \aleph_0$, and that X is an *SL-space* if every open cover of X contains a countable subfamily whose union is dense in X [12]. If X is either Lindelöf or satisfies (CCC), then X is SL [15]. A point x of X is a *P-point* if every G_δ -set containing x is a neighborhood of x . A space X is a *P-space* if every point of X is a P-point, equivalently every cozero set is C-embedded [7]. X is an *F-space* if every cozero set is C^* -embedded,

equivalently for any $f \in C(X)$, cozero sets $\{x; f(x) > 0\}$ and $\{x; f(x) < 0\}$ are completely separated [5]. A P-space is an F-space. A compact space Y containing a κ -metric space (X, d) as a dense subspace is said to be a *compact κ -metrizable* (= $c\text{-}\kappa$) *extension* of (X, d) if there exists a κ -metric d^* on Y such that $d^*(x, C) = d(x, C \cap X)$ for $C \in Rc(Y)$ and $x \in X$ [11]. We note that $cl_Y(C \cap X) = C$ for $C \in Rc(Y)$.

2.1. *Proposition.* *Let (X, d) be a κ -metric space.*

Then we have

- (1) *Any P-point is isolated.*
- (2) *If X is rc, then the cardinal of every family of pairwise disjoint open subsets is nonmeasurable.*
- (3) *If X is pc, then X is SL.*
- (4) *If X is SL, then X satisfies (CCC).*
- (5) *If there exists a $c\text{-}\kappa$ extension Y of X , then X satisfies (CCC).*
- (6) *If any two disjoint cozero sets of X have disjoint closures, especially X is an F-space, then X is discrete.*

Proof. (1) Let p be a non-isolated P-point. Let $\mathcal{U} = \{C_\alpha \in Rc(X); p \notin C_\alpha\}$. Since $p \in cl(\mathcal{U})$, there exists a subfamily $\mathcal{U}(N) = \{C_n\}$ with $p \in cl(\mathcal{U}(N))$ by 1.2(1), but $p \in int(\cap(X - C_n))$, a contradiction.

(2) From 1.2(3) and the fact that every closed discrete subset of a rc space has a nonmeasurable cardinal.

(3) If X is not SL, there exists a discrete family of open subsets of X by 1.2(3). This is impossible because X is pc.

(4) Let $W(\Gamma) = \{W_\alpha\}$ be a disjoint family of open subsets with $|\Gamma| > \aleph_0$. For each α , take $U_\alpha \in \text{Rc}(X)$ with $U_\alpha \subset W_\alpha$. Apply 1.2(3) to $\mathcal{U}(\Gamma) = \{U_\alpha\}$. Then an open covering $\{W_\alpha, X - \text{cl}(U \vee (\Gamma_1))\}; \alpha \in \Gamma_1\}$ has no countable subfamily whose union is dense in X .

(5) From [14, Th. 12] or 1.2(3), Y satisfies (CCC), so does X .

(6) Let x be a non-isolated point. As in the proof of [14, Th. 11], there exists a decreasing sequence $\{V_n\}$ of regular open neighborhoods of x such that $U_1 = \bigcup \{V_{2k} - \text{cl}V_{2k+1}; k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and $U_2 = \bigcup \{V_{2k-1} - \text{cl}V_{2k}; k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ are disjoint, but $x \in \text{cl}U_1 \cap \text{cl}U_2$. Since $V_{2k} - \text{cl}V_{2k+1}$ and $V_{2k-1} - \text{cl}V_{2k}$ are regular open sets of a κ -metric space X , these are cozero sets, so are U_1 and U_2 , a contradiction. (2.1(6) (in case X is an F -space) is due to [17].)

3. κ -Metrizability of $\beta X, \nu X$ and X^*

3.1. *Lemma.* Let $\mathcal{U}(N) = \{F_n \in \text{Rc}(X)\}$ be a discrete family of compact subsets of a space X . Then there exists a disjoint family $\mathcal{V}(\Gamma) = \{L_\alpha \in \text{Rc}(X^*)\}$ with $|\Gamma| > \aleph_0$.

Proof. Let Γ be an index set with $|\Gamma| > \aleph_0$ such that for each $\alpha \in \Gamma$, there exists $N_\alpha \subset \mathbb{N}$ with $|N_\alpha| = \aleph_0$, $N = \bigcup \{N_\alpha; \alpha \in \Gamma\}$ and $|N_\alpha \cap N_\beta| < \aleph_0$ for $\alpha \neq \beta \in \Gamma$. Let us put $E_\alpha = \bigcup \{F_i; i \in N_\alpha\}$ and $K_\alpha = \text{cl}_{\beta X} E_\alpha - E_\alpha$. Then $E_\alpha \cap E_\beta$ is a compact subset of X . We claim that $\text{int}_{X^*} K_\alpha \neq \emptyset$. We fix a point $x_n \in \text{int} F_n$. Let $f_i \in C(X)$, $i \in N_\alpha$ such that $f_i(x_i) = 1$, $f_i = 0$ on $X - F_i$ and $0 \leq f_i \leq 1$. Since $\mathcal{U}(N_\alpha)$ is discrete, $f_\alpha = \sum f_i \in C(X)$. We take $g_\alpha \in C(\beta X)$ with

$g_\alpha|_X = f_\alpha$ and $p_\alpha \in \text{cl}_{\beta X} B_\alpha - B_\alpha$ where $B_\alpha = \{x_i; i \in N_\alpha\}$.
 Then $g_\alpha(p_\alpha) = 1$ and $g_\alpha = 0$ on $\beta X - \text{cl}_{\beta X} E_\alpha$, so $p_\alpha \in \text{int}_{X^*} K_\alpha$.
 We take $L_\alpha \in \text{Rc}(X^*)$ such that $p_\alpha \in \text{int}_{X^*} L_\alpha \subset L_\alpha \subset K_\alpha \cap W_\alpha$
 where $W_\alpha = \{y \in X^*; g_\alpha(y) > 1/2\}$. For $\alpha \neq \beta$, $f_\alpha = 0$ on
 $E_\beta - (E_\alpha \cap E_\beta)$ and hence $g_\alpha = 0$ on K_β , so $L_\alpha \cap L_\beta = \emptyset$.
 Thus $V(\Gamma) = \{L_\alpha\}$ is pairwise disjoint in X^* .

3.2. Lemma. Let $U(\Gamma) = \{U_\alpha \in \text{Rc}(X)\}$, $|\Gamma| \geq \aleph_0$ be a family of pairwise disjoint subsets of a space X . Then we have

(1) If $U(\Gamma)$ satisfies the following (a) and (b), then X is not κ -metrizable.

(a) For any $\{N_1, N_2; \Gamma\}$, $\text{cl}(U \cup (N_1)) \cap \text{cl}(U \cup (N_2)) = \emptyset$.

(b) $U \cup (\Gamma)$ is not closed.

(2) If $U(\Gamma)$ satisfies the following (c), then βX is not κ -metrizable.

(c) For any $\{N_1, N_2; \Gamma\}$, $U \cup (N_1)$ and $U \cup (N_2)$ are completely separated.

(3) If $U(\Gamma)$ satisfies (c) and the following (d), then UX is not κ -metrizable.

(d) $U\{\text{cl}_{UX} U; \alpha \in \Gamma\}$ is not closed in UX .

(4) If each U_α is non-compact, clopen and $U(\Gamma)$ is discrete, then X^* is not κ -metrizable.

Proof. (1) If X is κ -metrizable, then 1.2(1) holds, a contradiction.

(2) $V(\Gamma) = \{\text{cl}_{\beta X} U_\alpha\}$ is a family of pairwise disjoint regular closed subsets of βX . Replacing X by βX in (1), it is easy to see that $V(\Gamma)$ satisfies (a) and (b) in βX , so βX is not κ -metrizable.

(3) Replacing βX by UX in (2) and apply the method used in the proof of (2).

(4) $\text{Cl}_{\beta X} U_\alpha$ being clopen in βX , $F_\alpha = \text{cl}_{\beta X} U_\alpha - U_\alpha \in \text{Rc}(X^*)$ and $E_\alpha = \text{cl}_{\beta X} F_\alpha \subset \text{cl}_{\beta X} U_\alpha$. Thus $\mathcal{V}(\Gamma) = \{E_\alpha\}$ is pairwise disjoint in βX , and hence there exists a point $p \in \text{cl}_{\beta X}(U\mathcal{V}(\Gamma)) - U\mathcal{V}(\Gamma)$. The discreteness of $\mathcal{U}(\Gamma)$ implies $p \in X^*$. Since UF_α is dense in UE_α , we have $p \in \text{cl}_{\beta X}(U\mathcal{W}(\Gamma))$ where $\mathcal{W}(\Gamma) = \{F_\alpha\}$. $\mathcal{W}(\Gamma)$ is pairwise disjoint in X^* , and satisfies (a) and (b) in (1) replacing X by X^* . Thus X^* is not κ -metrizable.

The following theorem 3.3 is due to Chigogidze and Volov. The proof of this theorem is not given in [3,5], however, for example, we can give the proofs of the "only if" part of (1), (2), (3) and (4) of theorem 3.3 by the method used in this paper, that is, by 3.4(1), 2.1(3,4), 4.5(2) and 3.4(1.5), respectively.

3.3. *Theorem.* (1) βX is κ -metrizable iff X is pc and κ -metrizable [3, Th. 2].

(2) If X is pc κ -metrizable, X satisfies (CCC) [3, Corollary 2].

(3) The product of κ -metrizable pc spaces is pc [3, Corollary 3].

(4) If X is pc and Y is a c - κ extension of X , $\beta X = Y$ (see [5, p. 1259]).

3.4. *Theorem.* (1) If βX is κ -metrizable, X is pc.

(2) If X is locally compact and X^* is κ -metrizable, X is pc.

(3) Let X be a topological sum ΣX_α of spaces X_α , $\alpha \in \Gamma$. If Γ is measurable, UX is not κ -metrizable.

(4) If X has a c - κ extension Y , UX is κ -metrizable and $UX \subset Y$.

(5) If X is pc and Y is a c - κ extension of X , $\beta X = Y$.

(6) If a locally compact space X is topologically complete and X^* is κ -metrizable, then $\beta X = X$.

(7) Any of $\beta R - R$, $\beta Q - Q$ and $\beta N - N$ is not κ -metrizable.

Proof. (1) If X is not pc , there exists a discrete family $\mathcal{U}(N) = \{U_n \in Rc(X)\}$. The discreteness of $\mathcal{U}(N)$ implies $U\mathcal{U}(M) \in Rc(X)$ for any $M \subset N$. On the other hand, X is κ -metrizable by 1.1(1), and hence $U\mathcal{U}(M)$ is a zero set. Thus $U\mathcal{U}(N_1)$ and $U\mathcal{U}(N_2)$ are completely separated for any $\{N_1, N_2; N\}$. Thus βX is not κ -metrizable by 3.2(2), a contradiction.

(2) If X is not pc , then there exists a discrete family $\mathcal{U}(N) = \{F_n \in Rc(X)\}$ of compact subsets of X . But X^* is compact κ -metrizable. Thus, by 3.1 and 2.1(4), X^* is not κ -metrizable, a contradiction.

(3) X is not rc [7], and $UX - X \subset cl_{UX}(U\mathcal{U}(\Gamma))$ where $\mathcal{U}(\Gamma) = \{X_\alpha\}$. Thus UX is not κ -metrizable by 3.2(3) (3.4(3) (in case each X_α consists of a single point) is due to [17]).

(4) Follows from [11, Lemma 2.3].

(5) X being pc , we have $\beta X = UX$, and hence $\beta X = Y$ by (4).

(6) By (2) X is pc . Since a topologically complete pc space is compact, we have $X = \beta X$ (3.4(6) (in case X is locally compact and rc) is due to [17]).

(7) Any of R^* and N^* are not κ -metrizable by (6). Q^* is not κ -metrizable by 3.2(4).

We recall that (X, d) is an *additive κ -metric space* if d satisfies the following (SK4) instead of (K4).

$$(SK4) \quad \text{For } \mathcal{U}(\Gamma) = \{U_\alpha \in \mathcal{Rc}(X)\} \text{ and any } x \in X, \\ d(x, \text{cl}(U\mathcal{U}(\Gamma))) = \inf\{d(x, U_\alpha); \alpha \in \Gamma\}.$$

We note that any metric space is an additive κ -metric space, but the converse does not hold; indeed, the Sorgenfrey line is a desired space [16]. In [10], we proved that a pc additive κ -metric space is metrizable. From this and 3.4(1,2) we have

3.5. *Theorem.* For an additive κ -metric space (X, d) , we have

- (1) If βX is κ -metrizable, then X is compact and metrizable.
- (2) If X is locally compact and X^* is κ -metrizable, then X is compact and metrizable.

3.6. *Example.* There exists a κ -metric space X which has no c - κ extensions. Indeed, let X be a topological sum of \aleph_1 many copies of R . The X is a desired space by 2.1(5).

4. Function Spaces

We recall terminologies used in [1] in which we restrict cardinality to \aleph_0 . Let $C_p(X)$ be the space of real-valued continuous functions defined on X with the topology of pointwise convergence. Note that $C_p(X)$ is

considered as a dense subspace of R^X . A function $f \in R^X$ is called \aleph_0 -continuous if $f|A$ is continuous on A for each $A \subset X$ with $|A| = \aleph_0$. We recall that a function $f \in R^X$ is strictly \aleph_0 -continuous if for each $A \subset X$ with $|A| = \aleph_0$, there exists $g \in C_p(X)$ with $f|A = g|A$. A subset A of X is \aleph_0 -embedded in X if for every $x \in X - A$, there exists a G_δ -set G of X with $x \in G \subset X - A$. A space X is called a moscow space if every regular closed subset is a union of G_δ -subsets of X . A κ -metric space is moscow. We denote by $q(X) = \aleph_0$ the fact that X is \aleph_0 -embedded in βX , equivalently, X is rc.

We define the notion of $X(\aleph_0)$ -point as a generalization of strictly \aleph_0 -continuous functions. Let $Y = \prod Y_\alpha$, $\alpha \in \Gamma$ and $X \subset Y$. We say that a point $p = (p_\alpha) \in Y$ is an $X(\aleph_0)$ -point if for any countable subset $\Gamma_1 \subset \Gamma$ there exists a point $x = (x_\alpha) \in X$ such that $x_\alpha = p_\alpha$ for each $\alpha \in \Gamma_1$. Let $S(X(\aleph_0))$ be the set of $X(\aleph_0)$ -points. Obviously $S(X(\aleph_0)) \supset X$. For each $p = (p_\alpha) \in Y$, let us put $\Sigma_p = \{y; |\{\alpha: y_\alpha \neq p_\alpha\}| \leq \aleph_0\}$, which is a Σ -product of Y_α , introduced by Corson [4]. We show that for any dense subspace X of the product or rc κ -metric spaces Y_α , UX precisely consists of $X(\aleph_0)$ -points if any point of Y_α is G_δ . As corollaries, there follows Corson's result [4, Th. 2] as well as Uspenškii's one [18, Th. 1]. It is easily verified that 4.1(2) below holds.

4.1. Proposition. (1) If X is dense in a moscow space Y and $q(X) = \aleph_0$, then X is \aleph_0 -embedded in Y [1].

(2) Let $p \in Y = \prod Y_\alpha$, $\alpha \in \Gamma$. Then Σ_p is dense in Y and every point y of Y is a $\Sigma_p(\aleph_0)$ -point.

4.2. *Theorem.* Let X be dense in $Y = \prod Y_\alpha$, $\alpha \in \Gamma$. Suppose that Y is moscow and any point of Y_α is G_δ for each $\alpha \in \Gamma$. Then we have

(1) If $Y \supset UX$, then $UX = S(X(\aleph_0))$.

(2) If $p \in Y$ and $Y \supset U\Sigma_p$, then $U\Sigma_p = Y$.

Proof. We claim that $S(X(\aleph_0)) \subset UX$. Let $p = (p_\alpha) \in S(X(\aleph_0)) - UX$ and Γ_1 any countable subset of Γ . Since $p \in S(X(\aleph_0))$, there exists some point $x = (x_\alpha) \in X$ with $x_\alpha = p_\alpha$ for each $\alpha \in \Gamma_1$. On the other hand, it is easily verified by 4.1(1) that for p and Γ_1 , there exists a G_δ -set $G(\Gamma_1, \Gamma)$ of Y such that $p \in G(\Gamma_1, \Gamma) = \prod_{\Gamma_1} \{p_\alpha\} \times \prod_{\Gamma - \Gamma_1} Y_\alpha \subset Y - UX$. This implies $x \in Y - UX$, a contradiction. Next we claim that if $p \in UX - X$, then $p \in S(X(\aleph_0))$. For any countable set $\Gamma_1 \subset \Gamma$ and for any G_δ -set $G(\Gamma_1, \Gamma) \ni p$, there exists $f \in C(Y)$ such that $p \in Z(f) \subset G(\Gamma_1, \Gamma)$ and $Z(f) \cap X \neq \emptyset$. Let $x = (x_\alpha) \in Z(f) \cap X$. Since $x \in G(\Gamma_1, \Gamma)$, $x_\alpha = p_\alpha$ for each $\alpha \in \Gamma_1$. Hence $p \in S(X(\aleph_0))$. Thus we have $UX = S(X(\aleph_0))$. (2) follows from (1) and 4.1(2).

4.3. *Theorem.* For each $\alpha \in \Gamma$, let Y_α be a rc κ -metric space, and every point of Y_α be G_δ .

(1) If X is dense in $Y = \prod Y_\alpha$, then $UX = S(X(\aleph_0)) \subset Y$.

(2) For any $p \in Y$, $U\Sigma_p = S(\Sigma_p(\aleph_0)) = Y$.

Proof. Since Y is κ -metrizable by [15, Th. 2], X is κ -metrizable by 1.1. Then, since Y is rc, $UX \subset Y$ by [11, Th. 2.5(1)]. Thus (1) and (2) follow from 4.2(1) and 4.2(2) respectively.

4.4. *Corollary.* Let Y be the product space of Y_α , $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

(1) If $Y_\alpha = R$ for each $\alpha \in \Gamma$, then we have

(i) $UC_p(X)$ precisely consists of strictly \aleph_0 -continuous functions.

(ii) $C_p(X)$ is rc iff every strictly \aleph_0 -continuous function is continuous (Uspenškii [18, Th. 1]).

(2) If each Y_α is separable and metrizable, then $U\Sigma_p = Y$ for any $p \in Y$ (Corson [4, Th. 2]).

(3) If each Y_α is compact and metrizable, then $\beta X = Y$ for any pc dense subspace X of Y (from 3.4(5)).

4.5. *Theorem.* Let X_α be a κ -metric space for each $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

(1) If UX_α is κ -metrizable for each $\alpha \in \Gamma$, then $U(\Pi X) = \Pi UX_\alpha$.

(2) If βX_α is κ -metrizable for each $\alpha \in \Gamma$, then ΠX_α is pc and $\beta(\Pi X_\alpha) = \Pi \beta X_\alpha$.

Proof. (1) Let us put $UX_\alpha = Y_\alpha$. Since κ -metrizability is productive, $Y = \Pi Y_\alpha$ is κ -metrizable. While $X = \Pi X_\alpha$ is dense in Y . Then, by [11, Th. 2.5(1)]. $UX \subset Y$. Let $p \in L = Y - X$. By 4.1, there exists a G_δ -set G of Y such that $p \in G = \Pi_{\Gamma_1} G(p_\alpha) \times \Pi_{\Gamma - \Gamma_1} Y_\alpha \subset L$ for some countable subset $\Gamma_1 \subset \Gamma$ where $G(p_\alpha)$ is a G_δ -set in Y_α . On the other hand, $G(p_\alpha) \cap X_\alpha \neq \emptyset$. Thus $G \cap \Pi X_\alpha \neq \emptyset$, and hence $G \cap UX \neq \emptyset$, a contradiction, so $UX = Y$.

(2) By 3.3(1), each X_α is pc, so $\beta X_\alpha = UX_\alpha$. Thus $\beta(\Pi X_\alpha) = \Pi \beta X_\alpha$ by (1), and hence ΠX_α is pc by [8, Th. 1].

4.6. *Theorem.* Suppose that X_α has a c - κ extension Y for each $\alpha \in \Gamma$,

(1) $X = \prod_\alpha X_\alpha$ satisfies (CCC).
 (2) Any $f \in C(X)$ depends on countably many coordinates, i.e., f is represented as the composition of a projection onto some countable facet of the product and of a continuous function on this facet.

(3) For any $p \in Y = \prod Y_\alpha$, any $f \in C(\Sigma_p)$ depends on countably many coordinates, and f is continuously extendable over Y .

Proof. (1) $Y = \prod Y_\alpha$ is a c - κ extension of X , and hence (1) follows from 2.1(5).

(2) Follows from (1) and [15, Th. 2].

(3) Since Σ_p is dense in Y , Σ_p satisfies (CCC), and hence it is an SL-space. It is easily verified that by the method used in [15, p. 19], Σ_p is an S-space (for the definition of S-spaces, see [15]). The first part follows from [15, Th. 4]. The latter part follows from the fact that a projection of Y onto a countable facet is onto.

5. Special Function Spaces

In this section, we characterize a space X such that every $f \in R^X$ is \aleph_0 -continuous (strictly \aleph_0 -continuous) and give related examples. X is said to be *well separated* [9] if any countable discrete closed subset of X is C -embedded. An F -space satisfies 5.1(8) below (see 14.25 and 14M(5) in [7]).

5.1. *Theorem.* We have the following implications:

(1) \Rightarrow (2) \Leftrightarrow (3) \Leftrightarrow (4) \Rightarrow (5) \Rightarrow (6) \Leftrightarrow (7) and (5) \Rightarrow (8).

(1) X is a P -space.

(2) Any countable subset of X is C -embedded.

(3) Any countable subset of X is closed and C -embedded.

(4) Any $f \in R^X$ is strictly \aleph_0 -continuous.

(5) Any countable subset of X is closed and C^* -embedded.

(6) Any countable subset of X is closed.

(7) Any $f \in R^X$ is \aleph_0 -continuous.

(8) Any countable subset of X is C^* -embedded.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) and (2) \Rightarrow (3) follow from 3B and 4K(2) in [7] respectively. To prove 5.1, it suffices to show that (3) \Rightarrow (4) and (7) \Rightarrow (6).

(3) \Rightarrow (4) Let $f \in R^X$ and A any countable subset of X . Since any countable subset of X is closed, it is discrete. Thus $f|_A$ is continuous, and hence $f|_A$ is continuously extended over X , so f is strictly \aleph_0 -continuous.

(7) \Rightarrow (6) Let A be a countable subset and $p \in \text{cl}A - A$. Then $A \cup \{p\}$ is countable. Define f as follows: $f(p) = 1$ and $f = 0$ on $X - \{p\}$. Then f is not \aleph_0 -continuous. Thus $\text{cl}A = A$.

5.2. *Corollary.* (1) $R^X = \text{UC}_p(X)$ iff any $f \in R^X$ is strictly \aleph_0 -continuous iff any $f \in R^X$ is \aleph_0 -continuous and X is well-separated.

(2) If X is countably compact and any $f \in R^X$ is \aleph_0 -continuous, then X is a finite set.

(3) If X is pc and any $f \in R^X$ is strictly \aleph_0 -continuous, then X is a finite set.

5.3. *Examples.* (1) *There exists a non F-space X satisfying 5.1(3).* Let A be the set of isolated point in $W(\omega_1+1)$, $Z = W(\omega_1+1) \times W(\omega_1+1)$, $A_n = A \times \{n\}$, $p = (\omega_1, \omega)$ and $X = (U\{A_n; n \in N\}) \cup \{p\}$. Then the subspace X of Z is a desired space. Indeed, $U\{A_n; n \in N\}$ is a cozero set but not C^* -embedded, i.e., is not an F -space. Since every point x of $X - \{p\}$ is isolated and p is not contained in the closure of any countable subset, X satisfies 5.1(3).

(2) *There exists a space X satisfying 5.1(6) but neither 5.1(4) nor 5.1(8).* Let A be the set of point of $W(\omega_2+1)$ having an uncountable base of neighborhoods, and B the set of isolated points of $W(\omega_2+1)$. Let us put $Z = W(\omega_2+1) \times W(\omega+1)$, $B_n = B \times \{n\}$, $A^* = A \times \{\omega\}$, $D = \{\omega_2\} \times N$ and $X = (U\{B_n; n \in N\}) \cup A^* \cup D$. Then the subspace X of Z is a desired space. Indeed, it is routinely proved that any countable subset is closed, and that D is not C^* -embedded. Thus X does not satisfy 5.1(8), hence, X is not an F -space. A function f defined as follows: $f = (-1)^n$ on $B_n \cup (\omega_2, n)$, $n \in N$, and $f = 0$ on A^* , is \aleph_0 -continuous but not strictly \aleph_0 -continuous. Thus X does not satisfy 5.1(4).

References

1. A. V. Arhangel'skii, *Functional tightness, Q-spaces and τ -embeddings*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolina 24.1 (1983), 105-120.
2. H. R. Bennett, W. Lewis and M. Luksic, *Capacity spaces*, Top. Proc. 8 (1983), 29-36.
3. A. CH. Chigogidze, *On κ -metrizable spaces* (Russian), Uspekhi Mat. Nauk 37 (1982), no. 2 (224), 241-242.

4. H. H. Corson, *Normality in subsets of product spaces*, Amer. J. Math. 81 (1959), 785-796.
5. G. D. Dimov, *On κ -metrizable Hausdorff compactifications of κ -metrizable spaces and a new class of spaces, including all separable metrizable spaces*, Comptes Rendus de l'Academie Bulgare des Sciences 36 (1983), no. 10, 1257-1260.
6. A. N. Dranišnikov, *Simultaneous annihilator of families of closed sets, κ -metrizable and stratifiable spaces*, Soviet Math. Dokl. 19 (1978), 1466-1469.
7. L. Gillman and M. Jerison, *Rings of continuous functions*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, NJ (1960).
8. I. Glicksberg, *Stone-Čech compactifications of products*, Trans. Amer. Math. 90 (1959), 369-382.
9. J. D. Hansard, *Function space topologies*, Pacific J. Math. 35 (1970), 381-388.
10. T. Isiwata, *Metrization of additive κ -metric spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 100 (1987), 164-168.
11. _____, *Compact and realcompact κ -metrizable extensions*, Top. Proc. 10 (1985), 95-102.
12. E. V. Ščepin, *Real functions and canonical sets in the Tikonov products and topological groups*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk 31:6 (1976), 17-27 (Russian Math. Surveys 31:6 (1976), 17-21).
13. _____, *On topological products and a new class of spaces more than metric spaces*, Sov. Math. Dokl. 17 (1976), 152-155.
14. _____, *Topology of limit spaces of uncountable inverse spectra*, Russian Math. Surveys 31 (1976), 155-191.
15. _____, *On κ -metrizable spaces*, Math. USSR Izvestija 14 (1980), 407-440.
16. J. Suzuki, K. Tamano and Y. Tanaka. *κ -metrizable spaces, stratifiable spaces and metrization (to appear)*.
17. Y. Tanaka, *Personal communication*.
18. V. V. Uspenškii, *A characterization of realcompactness in terms of the topology of pointwise convergence*

on the function spaces, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolina 24.1 (1983), 121-125.

Saitama University

(338) 255, Shimo-Okubo

Urawa-Shi, Japan