

---

# TOPOLOGY PROCEEDINGS



Volume 14, 1989

Pages 41–45

---

<http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/>

## THE SET OF NONUNIFORM ULTRAFILTERS IS $C^*$ -EMBEDDED FOR SINGULAR CARDINALS

by

WILLIAM G. FLEISSNER AND JACK PORTER

---

### Topology Proceedings

**Web:** <http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/>

**Mail:** Topology Proceedings  
Department of Mathematics & Statistics  
Auburn University, Alabama 36849, USA

**E-mail:** [topolog@auburn.edu](mailto:topolog@auburn.edu)

**ISSN:** 0146-4124

COPYRIGHT © by Topology Proceedings. All rights reserved.

## THE SET OF NONUNIFORM ULTRAFILTERS IS C\*-EMBEDDED FOR SINGULAR CARDINALS

William G. Fleissner<sup>1</sup> and Jack Porter

Our notation follows [CN] except that we use  $\kappa$ , rather than  $\alpha$ , as the typical cardinal, and we use fewer parentheses. We consider  $\kappa$  to have the discrete topology, and  $\beta\kappa$  to be the set of ultrafilters on  $\kappa$ .  $\kappa^*$  is the set of free ultrafilters; for  $A \subset \kappa$ , set  $A^* = \{p \in \kappa^* : A \in p\}$ .  $\{A^* : A \subset \kappa\}$  is a base for a compact,  $T_2$ , topology on  $\beta\kappa$ . For  $p \in \beta\kappa$ , set  $\|p\| = \min \{|A| : A \in p\}$ . Set  $U_\kappa = \{p \in \kappa^* : \|p\| = \kappa\}$  and  $N_\kappa = \{p \in \kappa^* : \omega \leq \|p\| < \kappa\}$ . We say that a subspace  $A$  is C\*-embedded in a space  $X$ , if every continuous function  $f: A \rightarrow [0,1]$  can be extended to a continuous  $g: X \rightarrow [0,1]$ . We say that  $N_\kappa$  is C\*-embedded if it is C\*-embedded in  $\beta\kappa$  (equivalently, in  $\kappa^*$ ).

Fine and Gillman [FG] asked whether  $N_{\omega_1}$  is C\*-embedded; Warren [W] answered, "No". Dow and Merrill [DM] showed (i) if  $\kappa$  is weakly compact, then  $N_\kappa$  is C\*-embedded, (ii) assuming  $V = L$ , if  $\kappa$  is uncountable, regular, and not weakly compact then  $N_\kappa$  is not C\*-embedded, (iii) it is consistent, modulo large cardinals, that for uncountable regular  $\kappa$ ,  $N_\kappa$  is C\*-embedded. In this paper we consider whether  $N_\kappa$  is C\*-embedded for singular  $\kappa$ . We wish to thank Fred Galvin for calling this question to our attention.

---

<sup>1</sup>Research supported by NSF grant DMS 8802814

It is a tempting trap to assert the equation  $\cup \{\alpha^*: \delta < \alpha < \kappa\} = N\kappa$ ; it holds only when  $\kappa$  is regular. If it were true for  $\kappa > \text{cf}(\kappa) = \omega$ , then  $N\kappa$  would be an open  $F_\sigma$ , hence  $C^*$ -embedded. However, for all  $p \in \kappa^*$  and for all  $\{A_n: n \in \omega\} \subset p$ , there exists  $B \in [\kappa]^\omega$  with  $B \setminus A_n$  finite for all  $n$ , and thus there exists a  $q \in \kappa^* \cap \bigcap \{A_n^*: n \in \omega\}$  with  $\|q\| = \omega$ . Hence for  $\kappa > \omega$ ,  $N\kappa$  is not an  $F_\sigma$  in  $\kappa^*$ .

Let us fix, for the rest of this paper, a singular cardinal  $\kappa$ . Write  $\kappa$  as the disjoint union of small sets:  $\kappa = \cup \{L_i: i < \text{cf}(\kappa)\}$ , where  $|L_i| < \kappa$ . We make the following definitions.

$$Bd = \cup \{L_i^*: i < \text{cf}(\kappa)\}$$

$$T = \{T \subset \kappa: |T \cap L_i| < \omega \text{ for all } i\}$$

$$Th = \cup \{T^*: T \in T\}.$$

Note that if  $T \in T$ , then  $|T| \leq \text{cf}(\kappa)$ .

*Lemma 1.*  $Bd$  is a closed subspace of the normal space  $BD \cup \kappa$ ; hence  $Bd$  is  $C^*$ -embedded in  $\kappa^*$ .

*Proof.* Note that  $Bd \cup \kappa$  is homeomorphic to the discrete union of the compact  $T_2$  spaces  $\beta L_i$ ,  $i < \text{cf}(\kappa)$ , and that  $\kappa$  consists of isolated points. The second sentence follows from Tietze's Extension Theorem.

$$\text{Lemma 2. } \text{cl}_{\kappa^*} Bd = \kappa^* \setminus Th.$$

*Proof.* Suppose that  $A \in p \in \kappa^* \setminus Th$ . For some  $i$ ,  $B = A \cap L_i$  is infinite. Then  $\emptyset \neq B^* \subset A^* \cap Bd$ . The reverse inclusion follows since  $Th$  is open.

*Lemma 3.* Suppose that  $G\epsilon \subset Th$  is open in  $\kappa^*$ . If there is a  $p' \in cl_{\kappa^*}G\epsilon \cap U\kappa$ , then there is a  $p \in cl_{\kappa^*}G\epsilon \cap cl_{\kappa^*}Bd \cap N\kappa$ .

*Proof.* Set  $\nu = cf(\kappa)^+$ . By induction on  $\alpha < \nu$ , define  $A_\alpha \in p'$  and countable  $T_\alpha \in \mathcal{T}$ . Set  $A_0 = \kappa$ ; and in general set  $A_\alpha = \kappa \setminus \bigcup \{T_\gamma : \gamma < \alpha\}$ .  $A_\alpha \in p'$  because  $p'$  is uniform. Having defined  $A_\alpha$ , we can choose  $T_\alpha \subset A_\alpha$ ,  $T_\alpha \in \mathcal{T}$ , with  $T_\alpha^* \subset A_\alpha^* \cap G\epsilon$  because  $p' \in cl_{\kappa^*}G\epsilon$ . Note that the family  $\{T_\alpha : \alpha < \nu\}$  is pairwise disjoint.

Let  $r$  be a uniform ultrafilter on  $\nu$ ; for each  $\alpha < \nu$ , let  $q_\alpha \in T_\alpha^*$ . We define

$$p = \{D \subset \kappa : \{\alpha \in \nu : D \in q_\alpha\} \in r\}.$$

(Loosely speaking,  $p = \int q \, dr$ ). It is routine to verify that for all  $D \subset \kappa$ , either  $D \in p$  or  $\kappa \setminus D \in p$ , and that  $p$  is closed under intersection because  $r$  and the  $q_\alpha$ 's are. Because  $\bigcup \{T_\alpha : \alpha < \nu\} \in p$ ,  $\|p\| \leq \nu$ . The family  $\{T_\alpha : \alpha < \nu\}$  is pairwise disjoint and  $r$  is uniform; hence  $\|p\| \geq \nu$ . Thus  $p \in cl_{\kappa^*}Bd \cap N\kappa$ . If  $D \in p$ , then for some  $\alpha$  (in fact for many  $\alpha$ 's)  $(D \cap T_\alpha)^* \subset G\epsilon$ ; hence  $p \in cl_{\kappa^*}G\epsilon$ .

*Theorem 4.* If  $\kappa$  is a singular cardinal, then  $N\kappa$  is  $C^*$ -embedded.

*Proof.* We show  $C^*$ -embedded. Let a continuous function  $f: N\kappa \rightarrow [0,1]$  be given. Set  $f_1 = f|_{Bd}$ ; by Lemma 1, we can extend  $f_1$  to a continuous  $f_2: (Bd \cup \kappa) \rightarrow [0,1]$ . By the unique extension of properties of  $\beta\kappa$ , we can extend  $f_2$  to  $f_3: \beta\kappa \rightarrow [0,1]$ . Now  $f$  and  $f_3$  agree on  $cl_{\kappa^*}Bd$ ; however  $f$  and  $f_3$  may disagree on  $Th$ .

Define  $g: N\kappa \rightarrow [0,1]$  by  $g(x) = f(x) - f_3(x)$ . Note that  $g(x) = 0$  for all  $x \notin Th$ . Extend  $g$  to  $g_1: \kappa^* \rightarrow [0,1]$  by setting  $g_1(y) = 0$  for  $y \in U\kappa$ . Because  $N\kappa$  is open in  $\kappa^*$ ,  $g_1$  is continuous on  $N\kappa$ ; we must show that  $g_1$  is continuous on  $U\kappa$ . Towards a contradiction, assume that for some  $p' \in U\kappa$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $p'$  is not in the interior of  $\{x \in \kappa^*: g_1(x) \leq \varepsilon\}$ . That is,  $p'$  is in the closure of  $\{x \in \kappa^*: |g_1(x)| > \varepsilon\} = \{x \in N\kappa: |g(x)| > \varepsilon\} \subset Th$ ; let's call this set  $G\varepsilon$ . Now Lemma 2 gives a point  $p \in cl_{\kappa^*} G\varepsilon \cap cl_{\kappa^*} Bd \cap N\kappa$ . We get  $|g(p)| \geq \varepsilon$  and  $g(p) = 0$ . Contradiction!

Finally,  $f_3 + g_1$  is the desired continuous extension of  $f$ .

Dow and Merrill [DM] remark that it is known that  $N\mu$  is strongly zero dimensional whenever  $\mu$  is an infinite cardinal and  $cf(\mu) < \omega_2$ , and they asked for which  $\mu$  is  $N\mu$  strongly zero dimensional. We give a partial answer below.

*Corollary 5.* *If  $\kappa$  is a singular cardinal, then  $N\kappa$  is strongly zero dimensional and 2-embedded in  $\beta\kappa$ .*

*Proof.* We note that  $N\kappa$  is dense and  $C^*$ -embedded in  $\kappa^*$ ; hence  $\beta N\kappa = \kappa^*$ . Since  $\kappa^*$  is zero dimensional, the result follows from [PW], 4.7 (h) and (f).

Let  $\kappa$  be an infinite cardinal and  $\omega < \mu \leq \nu$ . Set

$$M(\nu, \mu) = \cup \{A^*: A \subset \nu \text{ and } |A| < \mu\}.$$

Let us suppose that  $M(\nu, \mu)$  is not  $C^*$ -embedded in  $\nu^*$ ; then  $M(\nu, \mu)$  is not  $C^*$ -embedded in  $\nu \cup M(\nu, \mu)$ . Because  $M(\nu, \mu)$  is closed in  $\nu \cup M(\nu, \mu)$ ,  $\nu \cup M(\nu, \mu)$  is not normal. Theorem 1 of [M] asserts that  $M(\nu, \mu)$  is normal iff  $\nu \cup M(\nu, \mu)$  is normal. Hence,  $M(\nu, \mu)$  is not normal. This suggests the question of whether it is possible for  $M(\nu, \mu)$  to be not normal but still  $C^*$ -embedded in  $\nu^*$ . A positive answer follows by coupling Theorem 4 with Proposition 1 of [M], which asserts that  $M(\kappa, \kappa)$  is not normal whenever  $\kappa$  is singular.

#### References

- [CN] W. Comfort and S. Negrepointis, *The theory of ultrafilters*, Springer, New York 1974.
- [DM] A. Dow and J. Merrill,  $\omega_2^* \setminus U(\omega_2)$  can be  $C^*$ -embedded in  $\beta\omega_2$ , preprint.
- [FG] N. Fine and L. Gillman, *Extensions of continuous functions in  $\beta N$* , Bull. AMS 66 (1960), 376-381.
- [M] V. I. Malyhin, *Nonnormality of some subspaces of  $\beta X$ , where  $X$  is a discrete space*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 211 (1973), 781-783 = Sov. Math. Dokl. 14 (1973), 1112-1115.
- [PW] J. Porter and R. Woods, *Extensions and absolutes of Hausdorff spaces*, Springer, New York, 1987.
- [W] N. Warren, *Properties of the Stone-Cech compactifications of discrete spaces*, Proc. AMS 33 (1972), 599-606.

University of Kansas

Lawrence, Kansas 66045-2142