

---

# TOPOLOGY PROCEEDINGS



Volume 14, 1989

Pages 265–277

---

<http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/>

## $\epsilon$ -MAPPINGS ONTO A TREE AND THE FIXED POINT PROPERTY

by

M. M. MARSH

---

### Topology Proceedings

**Web:** <http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/>

**Mail:** Topology Proceedings  
Department of Mathematics & Statistics  
Auburn University, Alabama 36849, USA

**E-mail:** [topolog@auburn.edu](mailto:topolog@auburn.edu)

**ISSN:** 0146-4124

COPYRIGHT © by Topology Proceedings. All rights reserved.

## $\epsilon$ -MAPPINGS ONTO A TREE AND THE FIXED POINT PROPERTY

M. M. Marsh

In 1979 David Bellamy [1] showed that there exist tree-like continua which admit fixed point free mappings. There has been interest since that time in determining conditions under which a tree-like continuum will have the fixed point property. A few results of this nature can be found in [2], [3], [4], [7], [8], and [9]. However, it is still unknown if a simple triod-like continuum must have the fixed point property. This paper establishes several fixed point related theorems for  $T$ -like continua, where  $T$  is a fixed tree. Corollary 3 gives a necessary condition for a  $T$ -like continuum to admit a fixed point free mapping, and Theorem 2 generalizes the fixed point theorem in [7].

A *continuum* is a nondegenerate compact connected metric space. A continuous function will be referred to as a *map* or *mapping*. A continuum  $X$  has the *fixed point property* provided that whenever  $f$  is a mapping of  $X$  into itself, there is a point  $x$  in  $X$  such that  $f(x) = x$ . A *tree* is a finite connected, simply connected graph. If  $\epsilon$  is a positive number, the mapping  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is an  $\epsilon$ -*mapping* if  $\text{diam}(f^{-1}(y)) < \epsilon$  for each  $y \in Y$ . If  $H$  is a family of continua, we say that the continuum  $X$  is *H-like* provided that, for each positive number  $\epsilon$ , there is an

$\varepsilon$ -mapping of  $X$  onto a member of  $H$ . For example, if  $H$  is the family of all trees, we simply say that  $X$  is *tree-like*; or if  $H$  is a set whose only member is the continuum  $T$ , we say that  $X$  is *T-like*.

Let  $T$  be a tree. The point  $v \in T$  is a *branchpoint* (an *endpoint*) of  $T$  if  $T - \{v\}$  has at least three components (only one component). If  $v$  is either a branchpoint or an endpoint of  $T$ , we say that  $v$  is a *vertex* of  $T$ . If  $v$  and  $w$  are points of  $T$ , let  $[v,w]$  denote the arc in  $T$  with endpoints  $v$  and  $w$ , and let  $T(v,w]$  denote the component of  $T - \{v\}$  that contains  $w$ .

*Lemma.* Let  $F$  be a function from the vertex set of the tree  $T$  into the set of all subsets of  $T$ . If for each vertex  $v$  of  $T$ ,  $F(v)$  is a subset of the closure of some component of  $T - \{v\}$ , then there exist neighboring (adjacent) vertices  $v$  and  $w$  in  $T$  such that  $F(v) \subseteq \overline{T(v,w]}$  and  $F(w) \subseteq \overline{T(w,v]}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $v_1$  be any branchpoint of  $T$  and let  $C_1$  be the component of  $T - \{v_1\}$  such that  $F(v_1)$  is a subset of  $\overline{C_1}$ . Let  $v_2$  be the vertex of  $C_1$  that is adjacent to  $v_1$ . So,  $C_1 = T(v_1, v_2]$ . If  $F(v_2) \subseteq \overline{T(v_2, v_1]}$ , then  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  have the desired properties. Otherwise,  $v_2$  must be a branchpoint of  $T$  and there is a component  $C_2$  of  $T - \{v_2\}$  such that  $C_2 \neq T(v_2, v_1]$  and  $F(v_2) \subseteq \overline{C_2}$ . Now,  $C_2 \subseteq C_1$  and  $C_2$  contains fewer branchpoints than  $C_1$ . Since  $C_1$  has finitely many branchpoints, a repetition of the process above must yield adjacent vertices with the desired properties.

We introduce the following terminology. Given a sequence  $\{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ , to say that

$\{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  frequently has some property means that for each positive integer  $N$ , there is an integer  $n \geq N$  such that  $F_n$  has the property,

and to say that

$\{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  eventually has some property means that there is a positive integer  $N$  such that if  $n \geq N$ , then  $F_n$  has the property.

We are now ready for our main theorems.

*Theorem 1.* Suppose that  $T$  is a tree,  $X$  is  $T$ -like, and for each  $n \geq 1$ ,  $g_n: X \rightarrow T$  is a  $\delta_n$ -mapping onto  $T$ , where  $\{\delta_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  converges to zero. If  $f: X \rightarrow X$  is a mapping,  $\{n_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  is an increasing sequence of positive integers, and there are adjacent vertices  $v$  and  $w$  of  $T$  such that  $\{g_{n_i} f g_{n_i}^{-1}(v)\}_{i=1}^\infty$  is eventually a subset of  $\overline{T(v,w]}$  and  $\{g_{n_i} f g_{n_i}^{-1}(w)\}_{i=1}^\infty$  is eventually a subset of  $\overline{T(w,v]}$ , then  $f$  has a fixed point.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $f$  is fixed point free. Let  $d$  denote the metric on  $X$ . Assume that each edge of  $T$  has length one and let  $p$  denote the "arc length" metric on  $T$ . Let  $\epsilon$  be a positive number such that  $d(x, f(x)) \geq \epsilon$  for each  $x \in X$ .

Fix  $n$  large enough so that  $g_n$  is an  $\frac{\epsilon}{2}$ -mapping,  $g_n f g_n^{-1}(v) \subseteq \overline{T(v,w]}$ , and  $g_n f g_n^{-1}(w) \subseteq \overline{T(w,v]}$ . Since  $g_n$  is

an  $\frac{\epsilon}{2}$ -mapping, it follows that  $t \notin g_n f g_n^{-1}(t)$  for any  $t \in T$ . So, we have that  $g_n f g_n^{-1}(v) \subseteq T(v, w]$  and  $g_n f g_n^{-1}(w) \subseteq T(w, v]$ .

Let  $0 < \delta < 1$  such that if  $d(x, y) \geq \epsilon$ , then  $p(g_n(x), g_n(y)) \geq \delta$ . That such a  $\delta$  exists is easily seen (argument by contradiction).

Let  $V$  be an open set in  $X$  such that  $g_n^{-1}(v) \subseteq V$ ,  $\text{diam} \bar{V} < \epsilon$ , and if  $x \in V$ , then  $g_n f(x) \in T(v, w]$  and  $p(g_n(x), v) < \frac{\delta}{2}$ . Similarly, let  $W$  be an open set in  $X$  such that  $g_n^{-1}(w) \subseteq W$ ,  $\text{diam} \bar{W} < \epsilon$ , and if  $x \in W$ , then  $g_n f(x) \in T(w, v]$  and  $p(g_n(x), w) < \frac{\delta}{2}$ .

Pick any point  $q$  in  $g_n^{-1}(w)$  and let  $L$  be the component of  $X - V$  that contains  $q$ . Now,  $L$  must intersect the boundary of  $V$  at some point  $y$ . We point out that  $g_n(L) \subseteq T(v, w]$ . For if not, there is a point  $x \in L$  such that  $g_n(x) \in T(w, v] - (v, w]$ . Also,  $q \in L$  and  $g_n(q) = w$ . Since  $L$  is connected and  $g_n$  is continuous, it follows that there is a point of  $L$  that is also in  $g_n^{-1}(v) \subseteq V$ , a contradiction.

Let  $K$  be the component of  $L - W$  that contains  $y$ . Let  $z$  be a point of the boundary of  $W$  that is also in  $K$ . As above,  $g_n(K) \subseteq T(w, v]$ . For if not, there is a point  $x \in K$  such that  $g_n(x) \in T(v, w] - [v, w)$ . Since  $y \in \bar{V}$ ,  $g_n(y) \in \overline{T(w, v]}$ . Now,  $y$  is also in  $K$ ; hence, there is a point of  $K$  that is also in  $g_n^{-1}(w) \subseteq W$ , a contradiction.

Since  $K \subseteq L$ , we get that  $g_n(K) \subseteq (v, w)$ . Let

$$R = \{x \in K \mid g_n(x) \text{ separates } g_n f(x) \text{ from } v \text{ in } T\}$$

and

$$S = \{x \in K \mid g_n(x) \text{ separates } g_n f(x) \text{ from } w \text{ in } T\}.$$

Clearly,  $R \cup S = K$ , and  $R$  and  $S$  are disjoint open sets in  $K$ . We will show that  $y \in R$  and  $z \in S$ .

Suppose that  $y \notin R$ . Then  $y \in S$  and  $g_n(y)$  must separate  $g_n f(y)$  from  $w$  in  $T$ . Since  $y \in \bar{V}$ ,  $p(g_n(y), v) \leq \frac{\delta}{2}$  and  $g_n f(y) \in \overline{T(v, w)}$ . Hence, we must have that  $g_n f(y) \in [v, w]$  and that  $p(g_n f(y), g_n(y)) \leq \frac{\delta}{2} < \delta$ . But, by choice of  $\delta$ ,  $d(y, f(y)) \geq \epsilon$  implies that  $p(g_n(y), g_n f(y)) \geq \delta$ , a contradiction.

A symmetric argument gives us that  $z \in S$ . But then  $K$  is not connected, which is a contradiction.

Since an arc is a tree with exactly two vertices, namely its endpoints, we get Hamilton's [5] fixed point theorem as an immediate corollary.

*Corollary 1. If  $X$  is an arc-like continuum, then  $X$  has the fixed point property.*

*Corollary 2. Suppose that  $T$  is a simple  $k$ -od with branchpoint  $v$ ,  $X$  is  $T$ -like, and for each  $n \geq 1$ ,  $g_n: X \rightarrow T$  is a  $\delta_n$ -mapping onto  $T$ , where  $\{\delta_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  converges to zero. If  $f: X \rightarrow X$  is a fixed point free mapping, then  $\{g_n f g_n^{-1}(v)\}_{n=1}^\infty$  eventually intersects two components of  $T - \{v\}$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\{g_n f g_n^{-1}(v)\}_{n=1}^\infty$  does not eventually intersect two components of  $T - \{v\}$ . Then there is a component  $L$  of  $T - \{v\}$  such that  $\{g_n f g_n^{-1}(v)\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is

frequently a subset of  $L$ . Let  $e$  be the endpoint of  $T$  that belongs to  $L$ . Then  $v$  and  $e$  are adjacent vertices of  $T$ . Also,  $\{g_n f g_n^{-1}(e)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a subset of  $\overline{T(e,v]}$  for all  $n \geq 1$  since  $\overline{T(e,v]} = \overline{T - \{e\}} = T$ . It follows from Theorem 1 that  $f$  has a fixed point, which is a contradiction.

*Corollary 3.* Suppose that  $T$  is a tree,  $X$  is  $T$ -like, and for each  $n \geq 1$ ,  $g_n: X \rightarrow T$  is a  $\delta_n$ -mapping onto  $T$ , where  $\{\delta_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  converges to zero. If  $f: X \rightarrow X$  is a fixed point free mapping, then there is a branchpoint  $v$  of  $T$  such that  $\{g_n f g_n^{-1}(v)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  frequently intersects two components of  $T - \{v\}$ .

*Proof.* By way of contradiction, we assume that for each branchpoint  $v$  of  $T$ , there is a positive integer  $N_v$  such that if  $n \geq N_v$ , then  $g_n f g_n^{-1}(v)$  is a subset of the closure of some component of  $T - \{v\}$ .

Let  $N = \max\{N_v \mid v \text{ is a branchpoint of } T\}$  and fix  $n \geq N$ . We recall that if  $e$  is an endpoint of  $T$  and  $v$  is the vertex of  $T$  adjacent to  $e$ , then  $g_n f g_n^{-1}(e) \subseteq \overline{T(e,v]}$ . Hence, by the lemma, there exist adjacent vertices  $v$  and  $w$  in  $T$  such that  $g_n f g_n^{-1}(v) \subseteq \overline{T(v,w]}$  and  $g_n f g_n^{-1}(w) \subseteq \overline{T(w,v]}$ . So, if  $n \geq N$ , we may associate with  $n$  a pair of adjacent vertices in  $T$  that have the properties above. Since there are only finitely many pairs of adjacent vertices in  $T$ , it follows that there is an increasing sequence  $\{n_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ , each term of which is associated with the same pair of adjacent vertices. By Theorem 1,  $f$  has a fixed point, which is a contradiction.

Our next theorem generalizes, in the case of finite fans, the fixed point result in [7].

*Theorem 2.* Let  $T$  be a tree, and for each branchpoint  $v$  of  $T$ , let  $\{L_i(v)\}_{i=1}^{k_v}$  be a labeling of the components of  $T - \{v\}$ . If  $X = \varprojlim \{T, g_n^{n+1}\}$ , where for each  $n \geq 1$  and each branchpoint  $v$  of  $T$ ,  $g_n^{n+1}(L_i(v)) = L_i(v)$  for  $2 \leq i \leq k_v$ , then  $X$  has the fixed point property.

*Proof.* Let  $d$  denote the metric on  $X$  and, for each  $n \geq 1$ , let  $g_n$  be the projection mapping of  $X$  onto  $T$ . Now,  $X$  is  $T$ -like and for  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $n$  can be chosen so that  $g_n$  is an  $\epsilon$ -mapping (see [6]).

By way of contradiction, we assume that  $f$  is a fixed point free mapping on  $X$  and that  $\epsilon$  is a positive number such that  $d(x, f(x)) \geq \epsilon$  for each  $x \in X$ .

Let  $v$  be any branchpoint of  $T$ . We notice that  $g_n^{n+1}(v) = v$  for each  $n \geq 1$ . So, let  $p_v$  be the point of  $X$  such that  $g_n(p_v) = v$  for each  $n \geq 1$ . Also, let  $M_v = \bigcup_{i=2}^{k_v} \overline{L_i(v)}$ . We further observe that

(\*) if  $x \in X$  and there is an integer  $N$  such that  $g_N(x)$  is not in  $M_v$ , then for  $n \geq N$ ,  $g_n(x) \notin M_v$ .

Suppose that (\*) is not the case. Then there is a point  $x \in X$  and positive integers  $N$  and  $n$  with  $n \geq N$  such that  $g_N(x) \notin M_v$  but  $g_n(x) \in M_v$ . However, this implies that  $g_N(x) = g_N^n g_n(x) \in M_v$ , which is a contradiction.



Hence, by (\*) and the fact that  $g_n^{n+1}(M_v) \subseteq M_v$  for each  $n \geq 1$ , we may choose a positive integer  $m$  such that  $g_m$  is an  $\varepsilon$ -mapping and so that either

- i)  $g_n(f(p_v)) \in L_1(v)$  for  $n \geq m$  or
- ii)  $g_n(f(p_v)) \in M_v$  for  $n \geq m$ .

Note that  $g_n(f(p_v)) \neq v$ , for  $n \geq m$ , since  $g_m$  is an  $\varepsilon$ -mapping and  $v = g_n(p_v)$ . Since  $g_n^{n+1}(L_i(v)) = L_i(v)$  for  $n \geq 1$  and  $2 \leq i \leq k_v$ , it follows that if  $g_n(f(p_v)) \in M_v$  for  $n \geq m$ , then there is an integer  $2 \leq j \leq k_v$  such that  $g_n(f(p_v)) \in L_j(v)$  for  $n \geq m$ . So, in fact, we have that there is an integer  $1 \leq i \leq k_v$  such that  $g_n(f(p_v)) \in L_i(v)$  for  $n \geq m$ .

Let  $\delta$  be a positive number such that if  $x \in X$  and  $d(x, p_v) < \delta$ , then  $g_m f(x) \in L_i(v)$ . Let  $n \geq m$  and large enough so that  $g_n$  is a  $\delta$ -mapping. Since  $p_v \in g_n^{-1}(v)$  and  $\text{diam}(g_n^{-1}(v)) < \delta$ , it follows that if  $x \in g_n^{-1}(v)$ , then  $d(x, p_v) < \delta$  and  $g_m f(x) \in L_i(v)$ . Thus,  $g_m f g_n^{-1}(v) \subseteq L_i(v)$ . Now, if  $i = 1$ , then by (\*),  $g_n f g_n^{-1}(v) \subseteq L_1(v)$ . If  $i \neq 1$ , we get that  $g_n f g_n^{-1}(v) \subseteq L_1(v) \cup L_i(v)$ .

We have shown that for each branchpoint  $v$  of  $T$ , there is a positive integer  $m_v$  and an integer  $1 \leq i_v \leq k_v$  such that for  $n \geq m_v$ ,

- (1)  $g_n(f(p_v)) \in L_{i_v}(v)$ , and
- (2)  $g_n f g_n^{-1}(v) \subseteq L_1(v) \cup L_{i_v}(v)$ .

Let  $N = \max\{m_v \mid v \text{ is a branchpoint of } T\}$ . For  $n \geq N$ , and  $v$  a branchpoint of  $T$ , let

$$F_n(v) = \begin{cases} g_n f g_n^{-1}(v) & \text{if } g_n f g_n^{-1}(v) \text{ inter-} \\ & \text{sects only one of} \\ g_n f g_n^{-1}(v) \cap L_{i_v}(v) & L_1(v) \text{ and } L_{i_v}(v), \\ & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For  $n \geq N$  and  $e$  an endpoint of  $T$ , let  $F_n(e) = g_n f g_n^{-1}(e)$ . By our lemma, for each  $n \geq N$ , there are adjacent vertices  $v$  and  $w$  of  $T$  such that  $F_n(v) \subseteq \overline{T(v,w]}$  and  $F_n(w) \subseteq \overline{T(w,v]}$ . By the finiteness of the set of all pairs of adjacent vertices in  $T$ , we can pick an increasing number sequence  $\{n_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  and a pair of adjacent vertices  $v$  and  $w$  such that for each  $i \geq 1$ ,  $F_{n_i}(v) \subseteq \overline{T(v,w]}$  and  $F_{n_i}(w) \subseteq \overline{T(w,v]}$ . Let  $\leq$  be a partial order on  $T$  that is consistent with the metric on  $T$  and such that  $v$  is the least element of  $\overline{T(v,w]}$  and  $w$  is the maximum element of  $\overline{T(w,v]}$ .

The remainder of the proof involves three cases.

*Case 1.*  $\{g_{n_i} f g_{n_i}^{-1}(v)\}_{i=1}^\infty$  eventually intersects only one of  $L_1(v)$  and  $L_{i_v}(v)$ , and  $\{g_{n_i} f g_{n_i}^{-1}(w)\}_{i=1}^\infty$  eventually intersects only one of  $L_1(w)$  and  $L_{i_w}(w)$ .

In this case, by definition,  $F_{n_i}(v) = g_{n_i} f g_{n_i}^{-1}(v)$  and  $F_{n_i}(w) = g_{n_i} f g_{n_i}^{-1}(w)$  for all  $i$  beyond some integer. It follows from Theorem 1 that  $f$  has a fixed point, which is a contradiction.

Case 2.  $\{g_{n_i} f g_{n_i}^{-1}(v)\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  frequently intersects both of  $L_1(v)$  and  $L_{i_v}(v)$  and  $\{g_{n_i} f g_{n_i}^{-1}(w)\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  frequently intersects both of  $L_1(w)$  and  $L_{i_w}(w)$ .

We observe that if  $i_v \neq 1$  and  $g_r f g_r^{-1}(v)$  intersects  $L_{i_v}(v)$  for any integer  $r$ , then  $g_k f g_k^{-1}(v)$  intersects  $L_{i_v}(v)$  for each integer  $k \leq r$ . To see this, let  $k \leq r$  and first notice that  $g_r^{-1}(v) \subseteq g_k^{-1}(v)$  since  $v$  is fixed by all bonding mappings. Thus,  $g_r f g_r^{-1}(v) \subseteq g_r f g_k^{-1}(v)$ . So, there is a point  $x$  in  $L_{i_v}(v) \cap g_r f g_k^{-1}(v)$ . Since  $i_v \neq 1$ ,  $g_k^r(x) \in L_{i_v}(v)$ . Hence,  $g_k^r(g_r f g_k^{-1}(v)) = g_k f g_k^{-1}(v)$  intersects  $L_{i_v}(v)$ .

By our assumption in this case,  $i_v \neq 1$  and  $i_w \neq 1$ . Hence, since  $\{g_{n_i} f g_{n_i}^{-1}(u)\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  frequently intersects  $L_{i_u}(u)$  for  $u \in \{v, w\}$ , it follows from our observation in the preceding paragraph that  $\{g_n f g_n^{-1}(u)\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  intersects  $L_{i_u}(u)$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . So, by definition,  $F_n(u) \subseteq L_{i_u}(u)$  for all  $n \geq 1$  and  $u \in \{v, w\}$ . It follows that  $L_{i_v}(v) = T(v, w]$  and  $L_{i_w}(w) = T(w, v]$ . Hence, for each  $n \geq 1$ ,  $g_n^{n+1}(T(v, w]) = T(v, w]$  and  $g_n^{n+1}(T(w, v]) = T(w, v]$ . It follows that for  $n \geq 1$ ,  $g_n^{n+1}([v, w]) = [v, w]$ .

Let  $C = \lim_{\leftarrow} \{[v, w], g_n^{n+1}|_{[v, w]}\}$ . Now,  $C$  is an arc-like continuum containing the points  $p_v$  and  $p_w$ . Recall that for each  $n \geq m_v$ ,  $g_n f(p_v) \in L_{i_v}(v) = T(v, w]$  and for  $n \geq m_w$ ,  $g_n f(p_w) \in L_{i_w}(w) = T(w, v]$ . Let  $n$  be large enough

so that  $n \geq \max\{m_v, m_w\}$  and  $g_n$  is an  $\epsilon$ -mapping. Let

$$R = \{x \in C \mid g_n(x) < g_n f(x)\}$$

and

$$S = \{x \in C \mid g_n(x) > g_n f(x)\}.$$

Clearly,  $R \cup S = C$ ,  $R$  and  $S$  are open disjoint sets in  $C$ ,  $p_v \in R$ , and  $p_w \in S$ . But then  $C$  is not connected, which is a contradiction.

*Case 3.*  $\{g_{n_i} f g_{n_i}^{-1}(v)\}_{i=1}^\infty$  eventually intersects only one of  $L_1(v)$  and  $L_{i_v}(v)$ , and  $\{g_{n_i} f g_{n_i}^{-1}(w)\}_{i=1}^\infty$  frequently intersects both of  $L_1(w)$  and  $L_{i_w}(w)$ .

As in Case 2, it follows that  $i_w \neq 1$ ,  $L_{i_w}(w) = T(w, v]$ , and  $F_n(w) \subseteq T(w, v]$  for all  $n \geq 1$ .

Now, if  $i_v \neq 1$  and  $\{g_{n_i} f g_{n_i}^{-1}(v)\}_{i=1}^\infty$  is frequently a subset of  $L_{i_v}(v)$ , then the argument beginning with the second paragraph in Case 2 applies and we are done. So, we may assume that  $\{g_{n_i} f g_{n_i}^{-1}(v)\}_{i=1}^\infty$  is eventually a subset of  $L_1(v)$ . Thus, for all  $i$  beyond some integer,  $F_{n_i}(v) = g_{n_i} f g_{n_i}^{-1}(v)$ , and it follows that  $L_1(v) = T(v, w]$ . We may choose an integer  $n$  large enough so that  $n \geq m_w$ ,  $g_n f g_n^{-1}(v) \subseteq \overline{T(v, w]}$ ,  $g_n f g_n^{-1}(w) \cap T(w, v] \neq \emptyset$ , and  $g_n$  is an  $\frac{\epsilon}{2}$ -mapping. Let  $\delta$  be a positive number such that  $d(x, y) \geq \epsilon$  in  $X$  implies that  $p(g_n(x), g_n(y)) \geq \delta$  in  $T$ .

Let  $V$  be an open set in  $X$  such that  $g_n^{-1}(v) \subseteq V$ ,  $\text{diam } \bar{V} < \epsilon$ , and if  $x \in V$ , then  $g_n f(x) \in T(v, w]$  and

$p(g_n(x), v) < \frac{\delta}{2}$ . Let  $M = \lim_{\leftarrow} \{\overline{T(w, v)}, g_i^{i+1} | \overline{T(w, v)}\}$ , and let  $C$  be the component of  $M - V$  that contains  $p_w$ . Recall that  $g_n f(p_w) \in L_{i_w}(w) = T(w, v]$  since  $n \geq m_w$ . Now,  $C$  must intersect the boundary of  $V$  at some point  $y$ . We point out that  $g_n(C) \subseteq \overline{T(v, w]}$ . For if not, there is a point  $x \in C$  such that  $g_n(x) \in T(w, v] - [v, w]$ . Also,  $p_w \in C$  and  $g_n(p_w) = w$ . Since  $C$  is connected and  $g_n$  is continuous, it follows that there is a point of  $C$  that is also in  $g_n^{-1}(v) \subseteq V$ , a contradiction.

Furthermore,  $g_n(C) \subseteq \overline{T(w, v]}$  simply because  $C \subseteq M$ .

It follows that  $g_n(C) \subseteq [v, w]$ . Let

$$R = \{x \in C \mid g_n(x) < g_n f(x)\}$$

and

$$S = \{x \in C \mid g_n(x) > g_n f(x)\}.$$

Clearly,  $R \cup S = C$ , and  $R$  and  $S$  are disjoint open sets in  $C$ . We will show that  $y \in R$  and  $p_w \in S$ .

Now,  $p_w \in S$  since  $g_n(p_w) = w$  and  $g_n f(p_w) \in T(w, v]$ .

Suppose  $y \notin R$ . Then  $y \in S$  and  $g_n(y) > g_n f(y)$ .

Since  $y \in \bar{V}$ ,  $p(g_n(y), v) \leq \frac{\delta}{2}$ , and  $g_n f(y) \in \overline{T(v, w]}$ . Hence, we must have that  $g_n f(y) \in [v, w]$  and that  $p(g_n f(y), g_n(y)) \leq \frac{\delta}{2} < \delta$ . But by choice of  $\delta$ ,  $d(y, f(y)) \geq \epsilon$  implies that  $p(g_n(y), g_n f(y)) \geq \delta$ , a contradiction.

But now we have that  $C$  is not connected, which is a contradiction.

**References**

- [1] D. Bellamy, *A tree-like continuum without the fixed point property*, Houston J. Math. 6(1979), 1-14.
- [2] C. A. Eberhart and J. B. Fugate, *Weakly confluent maps on trees*, General Topology and Modern Analysis, Academic Press, New York (1981), 209-215.
- [3] C. L. Hagopian, *Fixed points of arc-component preserving maps*, Trans. AMS 306(1988), 411-420.
- [4] \_\_\_\_\_, *Fixed points of tree-like continua*, Contemporary Math. 72(1988), 131-137.
- [5] O. H. Hamilton, *A fixed point theorem for pseudo arcs and certain other metric continua*, Proc. AMS 2(1951), 173-174.
- [6] S. Mardesic and J. Segal,  *$\epsilon$ -mappings onto polyhedra*, Trans. AMS 109(1963), 146-164.
- [7] M. M. Marsh, *A fixed point theorem for inverse limits of fans*, Proc. AMS 91(1984), #1, 139-142.
- [8] \_\_\_\_\_, *A fixed point theorem for inverse limits of simple  $n$ -ods*, Topology and Its Applications 24(1986), 213-216.
- [9] \_\_\_\_\_,  *$u$ -mappings on trees*, Pacific Journal of Math 127(1987), #2, 373-387.

California State University

Sacramento, California 95819-6051