
TOPOLOGY PROCEEDINGS



Volume 15, 1990

Pages 113–115

<http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/>

TOTALLY BOUNDED QUIET QUASI-UNIFORMITIES

by

H.P. A. KÜNZI

Topology Proceedings

Web: <http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/>

Mail: Topology Proceedings
Department of Mathematics & Statistics
Auburn University, Alabama 36849, USA

E-mail: topolog@auburn.edu

ISSN: 0146-4124

COPYRIGHT © by Topology Proceedings. All rights reserved.

TOTALLY BOUNDED QUIET QUASI-UNIFORMITIES

H.P. A. KÜNZI

ABSTRACT. We present a short proof of the following result due to P. Fletcher and W. Hunsaker: Each totally bounded quiet quasi-uniformity is a uniformity.

Among other things, in [4] P. Fletcher and W. Hunsaker establish the surprising result that each totally bounded quiet quasi-uniformity is a uniformity. Their argument relies heavily on the theory of completing quiet quasi-uniformities in the sense of D. Doitchinov [1,2]. In the present note we give a short proof of the aforementioned result, which does not rely upon results of [1,2].

We recall the following notation and definitions. Let (X, \mathcal{U}) be a quasi-uniform space and let \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} be filters on X . We write $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) \rightarrow 0$ (see [1]) provided that for each $U \in \mathcal{U}$ there are $F \in \mathcal{F}$ and $G \in \mathcal{G}$ such that $F \times G \subseteq U$. A quasi-uniformity \mathcal{U} on a set X is said to be *totally bounded* [3, p.12] provided that the coarsest uniformity finer than \mathcal{U} on X is totally bounded and it is said to be *quiet* [1,2] provided that for each $U \in \mathcal{U}$ there exists an entourage $V \in \mathcal{U}$ such that for any filters \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} on X such that $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) \rightarrow 0$ and for any points x and y of X such that $V^{-1}(y) \in \mathcal{F}$ and $V(x) \in \mathcal{G}$, we have that $(x, y) \in U$. If V fulfills the above condition, one says that V is *quiet for* U .

Proposition 1. [4] *Each totally bounded quiet quasi-uniformity is a uniformity.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{V} be a totally bounded quiet quasi-uniformity on a set X and let α be the quasi-proximity induced by \mathcal{V} on X

[3, p.12]. We suppose that α is not a proximity and choose $A, B \subseteq X$ such that $A\alpha B$, but $B\bar{\alpha}A$. Then $(X \times X) \setminus (B \times A) \in \mathcal{V}$ [3, Theorem 1.33]. Since $A\alpha B$, we have that $A \neq \emptyset$ and $B \neq \emptyset$. Let $\mathcal{M} = \{(\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2): \mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2 \text{ are filters on } X \text{ such that } A \in \mathcal{F}_1, B \in \mathcal{F}_2 \text{ and such that } C \in \mathcal{F}_1, D \in \mathcal{F}_2 \text{ imply that } C\alpha D\}$. Let us define a partial order on \mathcal{M} . Given any $(\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2)$ and $(\mathcal{G}_1, \mathcal{G}_2)$ belonging to \mathcal{M} , we set $(\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2) \leq (\mathcal{G}_1, \mathcal{G}_2)$ provided that $\mathcal{F}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{G}_1$ and $\mathcal{F}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{G}_2$. It is easy to check that whenever \mathcal{K} is a nonempty linearly ordered subset of the nonempty partially ordered set (\mathcal{M}, \leq) , then $(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_1, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_2)$ (where $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_1 = \cup\{\mathcal{F}_1 : (\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2) \in \mathcal{K}\}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_2 = \cup\{\mathcal{F}_2 : (\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2) \in \mathcal{K}\}$) is an upper bound of \mathcal{K} in \mathcal{M} . By Zorn's Lemma we conclude that (\mathcal{M}, \leq) has a maximal element $(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2)$. Let us show that \mathcal{H}_1 and \mathcal{H}_2 are ultrafilters on X .

Suppose that \mathcal{H}_1 is not an ultrafilter on X . Then there is an $E \subseteq X$ such that $E \notin \mathcal{H}_1$ and $X \setminus E \notin \mathcal{H}_1$. Let \mathcal{K}_1 be the filter generated by $\mathcal{H}_1 \cup \{E\}$ on X and let \mathcal{K}_2 be the filter generated by $\mathcal{H}_1 \cup \{X \setminus E\}$ on X . Since $(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2)$ is maximal in (\mathcal{M}, \leq) and \mathcal{K}_1 and \mathcal{K}_2 are strictly finer than \mathcal{H}_1 , there are $H_1, H'_1 \in \mathcal{H}_1$ and $H_2, H'_2 \in \mathcal{H}_2$ such that $H_1 \cap E\bar{\alpha}H_2$ and $H'_1 \cap (X \setminus E)\bar{\alpha}H'_2$.

It follows that $H_1 \cap H'_1 \cap E\bar{\alpha}H_2 \cap H'_2$ and $H_1 \cap H'_1 \cap (X \setminus E)\bar{\alpha}H_2 \cap H'_2$. Thus $H_1 \cap H'_1\bar{\alpha}H_2 \cap H'_2$ - contradiction. Hence \mathcal{H}_1 is an ultrafilter on X . Similarly, one proves that \mathcal{H}_2 is an ultrafilter on X .

Next we show that $(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2) \rightarrow 0$. Assume the contrary. Then by [3, Theorem 1.33] it is clear that there are $C, D \subseteq X$ such that $C\bar{\alpha}D$, but $(H_1 \times H_2) \cap (C \times D) \neq \emptyset$ whenever $H_1 \in \mathcal{H}_1$ and $H_2 \in \mathcal{H}_2$. Hence $C \in \mathcal{H}_1$ and $D \in \mathcal{H}_2$, because \mathcal{H}_1 and \mathcal{H}_2 are ultrafilters - contradicting the fact that $(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2) \in \mathcal{M}$. Consequently $(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2) \rightarrow 0$.

Finally let us choose $V \in \mathcal{V}$ such that V is quiet for the entourage $(X \times X) \setminus (B \times A)$ of \mathcal{V} . Since $A \in \mathcal{H}_1, B \in \mathcal{H}_2$, the quasi-uniformity \mathcal{V} is totally bounded and both \mathcal{H}_1 and \mathcal{H}_2 are ultrafilters, we see that there are $a \in A$ and $b \in B$ such that $V^{-1}(a) \in \mathcal{H}_1$ and $V(b) \in \mathcal{H}_2$. Therefore $(b, a) \in (X \times X) \setminus (B \times A)$ - a contradiction. We conclude that α is a proximity on X . Since \mathcal{V} is totally bounded, it is a uniformity

on X . To see this either use [5, Theorem 1 or 3, Theorem 1.33] or argue as follows: Let $W, V \in \mathcal{V}$ with $W^2 \subseteq V$. There is a finite cover $\{B_i : i \in \{1, \dots, n\}\}$ of X such that $B_i \times B_i \subseteq W$ whenever $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Since α is a proximity, for each $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ there exists $H_i \in \mathcal{V}$ with $H_i^{-1}(B_i) \subseteq W(B_i)$. Let $H = \bigcap_{i=1}^n H_i$ and consider an arbitrary $x \in X$. There is $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $x \in B_j$. Then $H^{-1}(x) \subseteq H_j^{-1}(B_j) \subseteq W(B_j) \subseteq W^2(x) \subseteq V(x)$. We conclude that $H^{-1} \subseteq V$ and that \mathcal{V} is a uniformity.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Doitchinov, *On completeness of quasi-uniform spaces*, C.R. Acad. Bulgare Sci. **41** (1988), 7, 5-8.
- [2] —, *A concept of completeness of quasi-uniform spaces*, Top Appl. **38** (1991), 205-217.
- [3] P. Fletcher and W. F. Lindgren, *Quasi-uniform Spaces*, Lecture Notes Pure Appl. Math. **77**, Marcel Dekker, New York (1982).
- [4] P. Fletcher and W. Hunsaker, *A note on totally bounded quasi-uniformities*, preprint.
- [5] W. Hunsaker and W. Lindgren, *Construction of quasi-uniformities*, Math. Ann. **188** (1970), 39-42.

University of Berne
 Sidlerstrasse 5,
 3012 Berne, Switzerland