# **Topology Proceedings**



Web:	http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/
Mail:	Topology Proceedings
	Department of Mathematics & Statistics
	Auburn University, Alabama 36849, USA
E-mail:	topolog@auburn.edu
ISSN:	0146-4124

COPYRIGHT © by Topology Proceedings. All rights reserved.



# TIGHTNESS OF FREE ABELIAN TOPOLOGICAL GROUPS AND OF FINITE PRODUCTS OF SEQUENTIAL FANS

Kohzo Yamada

#### Abstract

Let A(X) be the free abelian topological group on a Tychonoff space X, and  $S(\kappa)$  the sequential fan with  $\kappa$ -many spines, which is the quotient space obtained from  $C(\kappa)$  which is the disjoint union of  $\kappa$ -many convergent sequences by identifying all the limit points to a single point. Then we proved that the tightness of  $A_{2n}(C(\kappa))$  is equal to that of  $S(\kappa)^n$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . As a corollary, we get if  $\kappa$  is an infinite cardinal with  $\kappa = \omega$  or  $cf(\kappa) > \omega$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and X is a metrizable space

<sup>1991</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: 22A05, 54A25.

Keywords and phrases: Free abelian topological group, sequential fan, tightness, maximal uniformity, pseudometric, weight of a space.

such that the weight of the set X' of all non-isolated points in X is  $\kappa$ , then  $t(S(\kappa)^n) = t(A_{2n}(C(\kappa))) \leq$  $t(A_{2n}(X)) \leq t(A(X)) \leq w(X') = \kappa$ . This result partially answers the question raised by Arhangel'skiĭ, Okunev and Pestov in [2]. Furthermore, we get that for a metrizable space X such that the weight of X' is  $\omega_1$  or  $\omega$ ,  $t(A_4(X)) = t(A(X)) = w(X')$ , and always  $t(A_3(X)) \leq \omega$  for any metrizable space X.

#### 0 Introduction

This paper discusses the relations between the tightness of free abelian topological groups A(X) on metrizable spaces X, the tightness of the subspaces  $A_n(X)$  of A(X) formed by reduced words whose lengths are less than or equal to n, n = 1, 2, ...,and the tightness of finite products of sequential fans  $S(\kappa)$ .

The tightness of a space X is denoted by t(X) and the weight of X is denoted by w(X). The set of all natural numbers is denoted by N. For an infinite cardinal  $\kappa$ , let  $C(\kappa)$  be the topological sum of  $\kappa$ -many convergent sequences with their limits points. Then we prove that  $t(A_{2n}(C(\kappa))) = t(S(\kappa)^n)$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . In [2], Arhangel'skiĭ, Okunev and Pestov proved that for a metrizable space X,  $t(A(X)) \leq w(X')$ , where X' is the set of all non-isolated points in X, and asked whether the converse inequality is true. In this paper, applying the above result, we give the following partial answer to the question.

Let  $\kappa$  be an infinite cardinal such that its cofinality  $cf(\kappa)$  is larger than  $\omega$  and X a metrizable space such that  $w(X') = \kappa$ . If  $t(S(\kappa)^n) = \kappa$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $t(A_{2n}(X)) = t(A(X)) = w(X') = \kappa$ .

On the other hand, in the same paper Arhangel'skii, Okunev and Pestov proved that if  $t(A(X)) = \omega$ , the converse inequality is true, i.e. t(A(X)) = w(X'). Since Gruenhage and Tanaka [6] proved that  $t(S(\omega_1)^2) = \omega_1$ , applying our result, we can improve their result as follows; if  $t(A_4(X)) = \omega_1$  or  $\omega, t(A_4(X)) = t(A(X)) = w(X')$ . Furthermore we show that  $t(A_3(X)) \leq \omega$  for any metrizable space X.

#### **1** Notations and fundamental results

All topological spaces in this paper are assumed to be nondiscrete and Tychonoff. Our terminologies and notations follow [4].

Let A(X) be the free abelian topological groups on a space X in the sense of Markov [8]. For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $A_n(X)$  formed by reduced words whose lengths are less than or equal to n (by definition  $A_0(X) = \{0\}$ , where 0 is the unit element of A(X)). Define the mapping  $i_n$  from  $(X \oplus -X \oplus \{0\})^n$  onto  $A_n(X)$  by  $i_n((x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)) = x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_n$  for each  $x_i \in X \oplus -X \oplus \{0\}$ . The following fundamental properties related to A(X) are used often in this paper (see [1],[7] and [10]).

**Lemma 1.1** Let X be a space. Then the following properties hold:

- (1) Let  $\mathcal{T}_1$  be a group topology for A(X) which induces the original topology for X; then  $\mathcal{T}_1 \leq \mathcal{T}$ .
- (2) X and  $A_n(X)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , are closed subspaces of A(X).
- (3)  $A_0 = \{g = x_1 y_1 + x_2 y_2 + \dots + x_n y_n : x_i, y_i \in X, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a clopen subgroup of A(X), and hence it is a clopen neighborhood of 0. Furthermore, A(X)can be represented as a disjoint union of the clopen sets  $\bigcup \{A_{2n}(X) \setminus A_{2n-1}(X) : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{0\}$  and  $\bigcup \{A_{2n-1}(X) \setminus A_{2n-2}(X) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$
- (4) The mapping  $i_n$  is continuous for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

365

#### Kohzo Yamada

- (5) For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the restriction mapping of  $i_n$  to  $i_n^{-1}(A_n(X) \setminus A_{n-1}(X))$  is an n!-to-1, open and closed mapping.
- (6) Let Y be a closed P-embedded subspace of a space X; then A(Y) is embedded into A(X) as a closed topological subgroup. In fact, the homomorphic extension of the inclusion mapping from Y to X over A(Y) is a closed embedding. Thus,  $A_n(Y)$  is also embedded into  $A_n(X)$ as a closed subspace for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

For a space X, Let  $\mathcal{D}(X)$  be the set of all continuous pseudometric on X. For each  $d \in \mathcal{D}(X)$ , we denote the Graev's extension of d over A(X) by  $\overline{d}$  (cf.[5]). Then, Tkačenko [10] showed that  $\{V_d = \{g \in A(X) : \overline{d}(0,g) < 1\} : d \in \mathcal{D}(X)\}$  is a neighborhood base of 0 in A(X). On the other hand, using the universal uniformity  $\mathcal{U}_X$  of X, another representation of a neighborhood base of 0 in A(X) was given in [9] and [11]. Furthermore, in [11], the author constructed a neighborhood base of 0 in  $A_{2n}(X), n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Here, we introduce an improved style of the neighborhood base of 0 in  $A_{2n}(X)$ .

**Lemma 1.2** Fix an  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . For each  $U \in \mathcal{U}_X$ , put  $V_n(U) = \{x_1 - y_1 + x_2 - y_2 + \cdots + x_k - y_k : (x_i, y_i) \in U, k \leq n\}$ . Then,  $\{V_n(U) : U \in \mathcal{U}_X\}$  is a neighborhood base of 0 in  $A_{2n}(X)$ .

Furthermore, as a corollary of the above Lemma, we have the following, which is often used in our main results.

For a space X and each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we define a mapping  $j_n$  from  $X^{2n}$  to  $A_{2n}(X)$  as follows;

$$j_n(((x_1,x_2,\ldots,x_n),(y_1,y_2,\ldots,y_n))) =$$

 $x_1-y_1+x_2-y_2+\cdots+x_n-y_n$ 

for each  $(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$  and  $(y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n)$  in  $X^n$ .

**Corollary 1.3 ([11])** Let X be a space,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and E a subset of  $A_{2n}(X)$ . Then,  $0 \in \overline{E}$  if and only if  $j_n^{-1}(E) \cap U^n \neq \emptyset$  for each  $U \in \mathcal{U}_X$ , where  $U^n = \{((x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)) \in X^{2n} : (x_i, y_i) \in U, i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ .

**Corollary 1.4 ([11])** Let X be a space such that every open neighborhood of the diagonal  $\Delta_X$  of  $X^2$  is contained in  $\mathcal{U}_X$  (in particular, X is paracompact), and E a subset of  $A_2(X)$ . Then,  $0 \in \overline{E}$  if and only if  $\overline{j_1^{-1}(E)} \cap \Delta_X \neq \emptyset$ .

Let X be a space. The tightness t(X) of X is the smallest cardinal number  $\kappa$  such that for every point  $x \in X$  and  $A \subset X$ , if  $x \in \overline{A}$ , then  $x \in \overline{B}$  for some  $B \subset A$  with  $|B| \leq \kappa$ . For a infinite cardinal  $\kappa$ , let  $C(\kappa)$  be the topological sum of  $\kappa$ many convergent sequences with their limit points. The sequential fan  $S(\kappa)$  with  $\kappa$ -many spines is the quotient space obtained from  $C(\kappa)$  by identifying all the limit points to a single point. Though  $S(\kappa)$  is a concrete and simple space, it is difficult to investigate the cardinality of  $t(S(\kappa)^n)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . In fact Dow and Todorčević asked whether ZFC implies that  $t(S(\omega_2)^2) = \omega_2$ . On the other hand, there were some results for the tightness. For example, Gruenhage and Tanaka [6] proved that  $t(S(\omega_1)^2) = \omega_1$ . And recently, Eda, Gruenhage, Koszmider, Tamano and Todorčević [3] obtained some (set theoretic) equivalent statements to  $t(S(\kappa)^2) = \kappa$ .

### **2** Tightness of $A_2(X)$

For a space X, since  $A_1(X) = X \oplus -X \oplus \{0\}$ , it easy to see that  $t(A_1(X)) = t(X)$ . For the tightness of  $A_2(X)$ , we can show the following.

**Theorem 2.1** Let X be a space such that every open neighborhood of the diagonal  $\Delta_X$  of  $X^2$  is contained in the universal uniformity  $\mathcal{U}_X$  of X. Then  $t(A_2(X)) = t(X^2)$ .

**Proof:** First, we suppose that  $t(X^2) \leq \kappa$ . Let  $E \subset A_2(X)$ and  $g \in \overline{E}$ . If  $g \in (X \oplus -X) \cup (A_2(X) \setminus A_1(X))$ , by Lemma 1.1, we have that  $g \in \overline{E} \cap (X \oplus -X) \cup \overline{E} \cap (A_2(X) \setminus A_1(X))$ . Since  $t(X \oplus -X) \leq \kappa$  and by the property (5) in Lemma 1.1, we can take a subset C of E such that  $g \in \overline{C}$  and  $|C| \leq \kappa$ . Thus, let g = 0. Since  $A_0$  is a clopen neighborhood of 0 in  $A(X), g \in \overline{F}$ , where  $F = E \cap A_0$  and  $F \subset A_2(X) \setminus A_1(X)$ . Therefore, by Corollary 1.4,  $\overline{f_1^{-1}(F)} \cap \Delta_X \neq \emptyset$ . Take a point  $(x,x) \in \overline{f_1^{-1}(F)} \cap \Delta_X$ . Since  $t(X^2) \leq \kappa$ , there is a subset C of  $j_1^{-1}(F)$  such that  $(x,x) \in \overline{C}$  and  $|C| \leq \kappa$ . By Corollary 1.4, we have that  $0 \in \overline{j_1(C)}$ , and also  $j_1(C)$  is a subset of  $j_1j_1^{-1}(F) = F$ and  $|f_1(C)| \leq \kappa$ . Consequently, we get  $t(A_2(X)) \leq \kappa$ .

Next, suppose that  $t(A_2(X)) \leq \kappa$ . Let  $E \subset X^2$  and  $\mathbf{x} \in \overline{E}$ . The proof is in two cases.

Case 1:  $\boldsymbol{x} \notin \Delta_{\boldsymbol{x}}$ .

In this case, it is easy to see that  $i_2(\mathbf{x}) \in A_2(X) \setminus A_1(X)$ . Then we can take an open set U in  $X^2$  and an open set V in  $A_2(X)$  such that

$$\boldsymbol{x} \in U ext{ and } i_2(\boldsymbol{x}) \in i_2(U) \subset V \subset \overline{V} \subset A_2(X) \setminus A_1(X).$$

Let  $F = U \cap E$ ; then  $\boldsymbol{x} \in \overline{F}$  and  $i_2(\overline{F}) \subset i_2(\overline{U}) \subset A_2(X) \setminus A_1(X)$ . By the property (5) in Lemma 1.1, we have that  $t(\overline{U}) \leq \kappa$ , and hence we can take a subset C of F such that  $\boldsymbol{x} \in \overline{C}$  and  $|C| \leq \kappa$ .

Case 2:  $\boldsymbol{x} \in \Delta_X$ .

If  $\boldsymbol{x} \in \overline{E \cap \Delta_X}$ , the proof is finished. For, the diagonal  $\Delta_X$  is homeomorphic to X and X is a subset of  $A_2(X)$ . It follows that  $t(\Delta_X) \leq \kappa$ . Then, we may assume that  $\boldsymbol{x} \in \overline{F}$ , where  $F = E \setminus \Delta_X$ . We define a subset A of  $\Delta_X$ , as follows;

 $A = \{ \boldsymbol{y} \in \Delta_X : \text{ there is } C \boldsymbol{y} \subset F \text{ such that } \boldsymbol{y} \in \overline{C \boldsymbol{y}} \text{ and } |C \boldsymbol{y}| \leq \kappa \}.$ 

Since  $\boldsymbol{x} \in \Delta_X$ ,  $\overline{F} \cap \Delta_X \neq \emptyset$ , and hence  $0 \in \overline{j_1(F)}$ . By the assumption, there is a subset D of  $j_1(F)$  such that  $0 \in \overline{D}$  and

 $|D| \leq \kappa$ . Note that the mapping  $j_1|_{X^2 \setminus \Delta_X}$  is one-to-one. Then we have  $j_1^{-1}(D) \subset F$  and  $\Delta_X \cap \overline{j_1^{-1}(D)} \neq \emptyset$ . This implies that the set A is not empty. Next we show that  $\boldsymbol{x} \in \overline{A}$ . On the contrary, there is an open set U of  $X^2$  such that  $\boldsymbol{x} \in U$  and  $\overline{U} \cap A = \emptyset$ . Since  $\boldsymbol{x} \in \overline{F \cap U}$ ,  $0 \in \overline{j_1(F \cap U)}$ . In the same way, we can show that there is a subset C of  $F \cap U$  such that  $\overline{C} \cap \Delta_X \neq \emptyset$  and  $|C| \leq \kappa$ . Take a point  $\boldsymbol{y} \in \overline{C} \cap \Delta_X$ ; then  $\boldsymbol{y} \in A$ . But this contradicts to  $\boldsymbol{y} \in \overline{U}$ . Therefore, we have that  $\boldsymbol{x} \in \overline{A}$ . Since  $t(\Delta_X) \leq \kappa$ , we can take a subset B of A such that  $\boldsymbol{x} \in \overline{B}$  and  $|B| \leq \kappa$ . Thus,  $\boldsymbol{x} \in \bigcup \{C\boldsymbol{y} : \boldsymbol{y} \in B\}$ , and also  $\bigcup \{C\boldsymbol{y} : \boldsymbol{y} \in B\}$  is a subset of F such that  $|\bigcup \{C\boldsymbol{y} : \boldsymbol{y} \in B\}| \leq \kappa$ .

Consequently, we have that  $t(X^2) \leq \kappa$ .  $\Box$ 

**Corollary 2.2** Let X be a paracompact space. Then  $t(A_2(X)) = t(X^2)$ .

The following example shows that the hypothesis of a space X in Theorem 2.1 cannot be omitted. It was proved by Ohta and is presented here with his kind permission.

**Example 2.3** There exists a space X such that  $\omega = t(X^2) < t(A_2(X)) = \omega_1$ .

**Proof:** Let  $X = \{(\alpha, \beta) \in \omega_1 \times \omega_1 : \alpha < \beta\}$ , where  $\omega_1$  is the space of countable ordinal numbers with the order topology. Then  $t(X^2) = \omega$ , because X satisfies the first axiom of countability. To show that  $t(A_2(X)) = \omega_1$ , let  $f : \omega_1 \to \omega_1$  be a map such that  $f(\alpha) > \alpha$  for each  $\alpha \in \omega_1$  and  $f(\alpha) < f(\alpha')$  if  $\alpha < \alpha'$ , and put  $C = \{\alpha \in \omega_1 : f(\beta) \le \alpha$  for each  $\beta < \alpha\}$ . Since C is closed unbounded in  $\omega_1$ , C can be decomposed into two disjoint stationary sets  $C_1 = \{\alpha_{\gamma} : \gamma \in \omega_1\}$  and  $C_2 = \{\beta_{\gamma} : \gamma \in \omega_1\}$ , where  $\alpha_{\gamma} < \alpha_{\gamma'}$  and  $\beta_{\gamma} < \beta_{\gamma'}$  if  $\gamma < \gamma'$ . Put  $x_{\gamma} = (\alpha_{\gamma}, f(\alpha_{\gamma}))$  and  $y_{\gamma} = (\beta_{\gamma}, f(\beta_{\gamma}))$  for each  $\gamma \in \omega_1$ , and define  $H = \{x_{\gamma} - y_{\gamma} : \gamma \in \omega_1\} \subset A_2(X)$ . Suppose that  $0 \notin \overline{H}$  in  $A_2(X)$ . Then there is a continuous pseudometric d on X

such that  $d(x_{\gamma}, y_{\gamma}) > 1$  for each  $\gamma \in \omega_1$ . For each  $\gamma \in \omega_1$ , there are  $\lambda_{\gamma} < \alpha_{\gamma}$  and  $\mu_{\gamma} < \beta_{\gamma}$  such that  $d((\alpha, f(\alpha_{\gamma})), x_{\gamma}) < 1/3$ for each  $\lambda_{\gamma} < \alpha \leq f(\alpha_{\gamma})$  and  $d((\beta, f(\beta_{\gamma})), y_{\gamma}) < 1/3$  for each  $\mu_{\gamma} < \beta \leq f(\beta_{\gamma})$ . Since  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are stationary, we can find an increasing sequence  $\{\gamma(n) : n \in \omega\} \subset \omega_1$  and  $\nu < \alpha_{\gamma(0)}$ such that  $\alpha_{\gamma(n)} < \beta_{\gamma(n)} < \alpha_{\gamma(n+1)}, \lambda_{\gamma(n)} < \nu$  and  $\mu_{\gamma(n)} < \nu$  for each  $n \in \omega$ . Since  $\{f(\alpha_{\gamma(n)})\}_{n \in \omega}$  and  $\{f(\beta_{\gamma(n)})\}_{n \in \omega}$  have the same limit, there is  $m \in \omega$  such that d(p,q) < 1/3, where p = $(\nu, f(\alpha_{\gamma(m)}))$  and  $q = (\nu, f(\beta_{\gamma(m)}))$ . Then,  $d(x_{\gamma(m)}, y_{\gamma(m)}) \leq 1$  $d(x_{\gamma(m)}, p) + d(p, q) + d(q, y_{\gamma(m)}) < 1/3 + 1/3 + 1/3 = 1$ , which is a contradiction. Hence,  $0 \in \overline{H}$  in  $A_2(X)$ . On the other hand, the set  $D = \{(\alpha, f(\alpha)) : \alpha \in C\} (= \{x_{\gamma}, y_{\gamma} : \gamma \in \omega_1\})$ is discrete and closed in X. For, if  $(\alpha, \beta) \in X$  and  $\alpha \in C$ , then  $[0, \alpha] \times (\alpha, \beta]$  contains at most one element of D. For each  $\gamma \in \omega_1$ , since  $\{(\alpha, \beta) \in X : \alpha < \beta \leq \gamma\}$  is metrizable, we can find a continuous pseudometric  $d_{\gamma}$  on X such that  $d_{\gamma}(x_{\delta}, y_{\delta}) > 1$  for each  $\delta \leq \gamma$ . This means that  $0 \notin \overline{H'}$  in  $A_2(X)$  for each countable set  $H' \subset H$ . Hence,  $t(A_2(X)) = \omega_1$ . 

## **3** Tightness of $A_{2n}(C(\kappa))$ and of $S(\kappa)^n$

Let X be a space and fix an  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . When we take a subset H of  $A_n(X)$  and a word  $g \in A_n(X)$  such that  $g \in \overline{H}$  to investigate the tightness of  $A_n(X)$ , by Lemma 1.1, it suffices to consider the following three cases:

- (1)  $g \in A_k(X) \setminus A_{k-1}(X)$  and  $g \in \overline{H \cap (A_k(X) \setminus A_{k-1}(X))}$ for some  $k \leq n$ .
- (2) g = 0 and  $g \in \overline{H \cap (A_{2k}(X) \setminus A_{2k-1}(X))}$  for some k with  $2k \le n$ .
- (3)  $g \in A_k(X) \setminus A_{k-1}(X)$  and  $g \in \overline{H \cap (A_{2m+k}(X) \setminus A_{2m+k-1}(X))}$  for some k and m with  $2m + k \le n$ .

In the case (1), We can apply the property (5) in Lemma 1.1. For example, if X is metrizable, by the property, we can take a countable subset C of  $H \cap (A_k(X) \setminus A_{k-1}(X))$  such that  $g \in \overline{C}$ . And in the case (2), we can apply Corollary 1.3, however it is not easy to know the smallest cardinality of subsets of H whose closure contains g. For the case (3), we prepare the following Lemma.

**Lemma 3.1** Let X be a first-countable space such that every open neighborhood of the diagonal  $\Delta_X$  of  $X^2$  is contained in the universal uniformity  $\mathcal{U}_X$  of X and let  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Take a set  $H \subset A_{2m+n}(X) \setminus A_{2m+n-1}(X)$  and a word  $g \in A_n(X) \setminus A_{n-1}(X)$ such that  $g \in \overline{H}$ . Then, there is subset  $H_0$  of H such that  $g \in \overline{H_0}$  and  $|H_0| \leq t(A_{2m}(X))$ .

**Proof:** Put  $t(A_{2m}(X)) = \tau$ . Since  $g \in A_n(X) \setminus A_{n-1}(X)$ , let  $g = a_1 + \cdots + a_k - a_{k+1} - \cdots - a_n$  be a reduced form such that  $0 \leq k \leq n$  and each  $a_i \in X$ . Put  $H_1 = H - g$ ; then  $0 \in \overline{H_1}$ . By the property (3) of Lemma 1.1, we may assume that  $H_1 \subset A_0$ . It follows that if we take  $h = \sum_{i=1}^{2m+n} z_i^{\epsilon_i} \in H$  and  $h_1 \in H_1$  with  $h_1 = h - g$ , then  $\sum_{i=1}^{2m+n} \varepsilon_i - k + (n-k) = 0$ . Since the operation on A(X) is commutative, we can represent  $h_1$  as follows;

$$h_{1} = (x_{1} + \dots + x_{m}) - (y_{1} + \dots + y_{m}) + (x_{m+1} + \dots + x_{m+k}) - (y_{m+k+1} + \dots + y_{m+n}) - (a_{1} + \dots + a_{k}) + (a_{k+1} + \dots + a_{n}) = x_{1} - y_{1} + \dots + x_{m} - y_{m} + x_{m+1} - a_{1} + \dots + x_{m+k} - a_{k} + a_{k+1} - y_{m+k+1} + \dots + a_{n} - y_{m+n},$$

where each  $x_i, y_i \in X$ . Note that the above form is not necessarily a reduced one, that is, some  $x_i$  may be a member of  $\{a_1, \ldots, a_k\}$  and some  $y_i$  may be a member of  $\{a_{k+1}, \ldots, a_n\}$ . From this argument, we can put the set  $H_1$  as follows;

$$H_1 = \{ \boldsymbol{h}_{\lambda} = x_1^{\lambda} - y_1^{\lambda} + \dots + x_m^{\lambda} - y_m^{\lambda} + x_{m+1}^{\lambda} - a_1 + \dots + x_{m+k}^{\lambda} - a_k + a_{k+1} - y_{m+k+1}^{\lambda} + \dots + a_n - y_{m+n}^{\lambda} \\ : \text{ each } x_i^{\lambda}, y_i^{\lambda} \in X, \ \lambda \in \Lambda \}.$$

By Corollary 1.3, we have that

$$j_{m+n}^{-1}(H_1) \cap U^{m+n} \neq \emptyset$$
 for each  $U \in \mathcal{U}_X$ . (1)

Let P be the permutation group of the set  $\{1, 2, \ldots, m+n\}$ . For each  $\pi \in P$ , put  $E_{\pi} = \{\mathbf{x} = ((x_{\pi(1)}, x_{\pi(2)}, \ldots, x_{\pi(m+n)}), (y_{\pi(1)}, y_{\pi(2)}, \ldots, y_{\pi(n+m)})) \in X^{2(m+n)} : j_{m+n}(\mathbf{x}) \in H_1\}$ . Then,  $j_{m+n}^{-1}(H_1) = \bigcup_{\pi \in P} E_{\pi}$ . Since P is a finite set and  $\mathcal{U}_X$  is closed with respect to finite intersections, by (1), we may assume that

$$E \cap U^{m+n} \neq \emptyset$$
 for each  $U \in \mathcal{U}_X$ , (2)

where 
$$E = \{ \boldsymbol{e}_{\lambda} = ((x_1^{\lambda}, \dots, x_m^{\lambda}, x_{m+1}^{\lambda}, \dots, x_{m+k}^{\lambda}, a_{k+1}, \dots, a_n), (y_1^{\lambda}, \dots, y_m^{\lambda}, a_1, \dots, a_k, y_{m+k+1}^{\lambda}, \dots, y_{m+n}^{\lambda})) \in X^{2(m+n)} : \lambda \in \Lambda \}.$$

Put

$$B = \{ \boldsymbol{b}_{\lambda} = ((x_{1}^{\lambda}, \dots, x_{m}^{\lambda}), (y_{1}^{\lambda}, \dots, y_{m}^{\lambda})) \in X^{2m} : \lambda \in \Lambda \},$$
  

$$C = \{ \boldsymbol{c}_{\lambda} = ((x_{m+1}^{\lambda}, \dots, x_{m+k}^{\lambda}, a_{k+1}, \dots, a_{n}),$$
  

$$(a_{1}, \dots, a_{k}, y_{m+k+1}^{\lambda}, \dots, y_{m+n}^{\lambda})) \in X^{2n} : \lambda \in \Lambda \}.$$

Then, by (2), we have that

$$B \cap U^m \neq \emptyset$$
 for each  $U \in \mathcal{U}_X$  and (3)

$$C \cap U^n \neq \emptyset$$
 for each  $U \in \mathcal{U}_X$ . (4)

Put  $D = \{ \boldsymbol{d}_{\lambda} = (x_{m+1}^{\lambda}, \dots, x_{m+k}^{\lambda}, y_{m+k+1}^{\lambda}, y_{m+n}^{\lambda}) \in X^{n} : \lambda \in \Lambda \}.$ 

Claim.  $(a_1,\ldots,a_n)\in\overline{D}.$ 

Let  $V_i$  be an open neighborhood of  $a_i$  in X, i = 1, ..., n, such that  $V_i \cap V_j = \emptyset$  if  $a_i \neq a_j$ . For every  $x \in X \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^n V_i$  let  $V_x$  be an

open neighborhood of x in X such that  $V_x \cap \{a_1, \ldots, a_n\} = \emptyset$ . Then the set  $U = \bigcup_{i=1}^n V_i \times V_i \cup \bigcup \{V_x \times V_x : x \in X \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^n V_i\}$  is an open neighborhood of the diagonal  $\Delta_X$  of  $X^2$ . By our hypothesis,  $U \in \mathcal{U}_X$ , and hence, from the property (4), there is a  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  such that  $\mathbf{c}_{\lambda} \in U^n$ . Then  $(x_{m+i}^{\lambda}, a_i) \in U$  for each  $i = 1, \ldots, k$  and  $(a_i, y_{m+i}^{\lambda}) \in U$  for each  $i = k+1, \ldots, n$ . From our method of the choices of  $V_i$  and  $V_x$ , it follows that  $x_{m+i}^{\lambda} \in V_i$  for each  $i = 1, \ldots, k$  and  $y_{m+i}^{\lambda} \in V_i$  for each  $i = k+1, \ldots, n$ . Consequently,  $\mathbf{d}_{\lambda} = (x_{m+1}^{\lambda}, \ldots, x_{m+k}^{\lambda}, y_{m+k+1}^{\lambda}, \ldots, y_{m+n}^{\lambda}) \in \prod_{i=1}^n V_i$ .

Now, since X satisfies the axiom of first countability and so  $X^n$  does, take a countable neighborhood base  $\{V_s : s \in \mathbb{N}\}$  of  $(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$  in  $X^n$  such that  $V_1 = X^n$  and  $V_{s+1} \subsetneq V_s$  for each  $s \in \mathbb{N}$ . For each  $s \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\Lambda_s = \{\lambda \in \Lambda : d_\lambda \in V_s \setminus V_{s+1}\}$ ,  $E_s = \{e_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda_s\}, B_s = \{b_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda_s\}, C_s = \{c_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda_s\}$  and  $D_s = \{d_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda_s\}$ . Here, our proof is in two cases.

Case 1: There is a subsequence  $\{t_s : s \in \mathbb{N}\}$  of  $\mathbb{N}$  such that  $B_{t_s} \cap U^m \neq \emptyset$  for each  $s \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $U \in \mathcal{U}_X$ .

By Corollary 1.3, for each  $s \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have that  $0 \in \overline{j_m(B_{t_s})}$ in  $A_{2m}(X)$ . Thus we can take a subset  $\Lambda'_s$  of  $\Lambda_{t_s}$  such that  $0 \in \overline{\{j_m(\boldsymbol{b}_{\lambda}) : \lambda \in \Lambda'_s\}}$  and  $|\Lambda'_s| \leq \tau$ . Put

$$E_{\lambda} = \{ ((x_1, \dots, x_m, x_{m+1}^{\lambda}, \dots, x_{m+k}^{\lambda}, a_{k+1}, \dots, a_n), \\ (y_1, \dots, y_m, a_1, \dots, a_k, y_{m+k+1}^{\lambda}, \dots, y_{m+n}^{\lambda})) \in X^{2(m+n)} : \\ ((x_1, \dots, x_m), (y_1, \dots, y_m)) \in j_m^{-1} j_m(\boldsymbol{b}_{\lambda}) \}$$

for each  $\lambda \in \bigcup_{s=1}^{\infty} \Lambda'_s$ , and  $E_1 = \bigcup \{E_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \bigcup_{s=1}^{\infty} \Lambda'_s\}$ . Then we can see that  $|E_1| \leq \omega \cdot \tau = \tau$  and  $E_1 \cap U^{m+n} \neq \emptyset$  for each  $U \in \mathcal{U}_X$ . Let  $H_2 = j_{m+n}(E_1)$ ; then we have that  $0 \in \overline{H_2}$ and  $|H_2| \leq \tau$ . Furthermore it is easy to see that  $H_2 \subset H_1$ . Consequently the set  $H_0 = H_2 - g$  is desired one.

Case 2: There is  $s_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for each  $s \geq s_0 B_s \cap U^m = \emptyset$  for some  $U \in \mathcal{U}_X$ .

Let  $B_1 = \bigcup_{s \ge s_0} B_s$ , and assume that there is a  $U_1 \in \mathcal{U}_X$  such that  $B_1 \cap U_1^{\overline{m}} = \emptyset$ . Then, we have that

$$\bigcup_{s \ge s_0} E_s \cap U_1^{m+n} = \emptyset.$$
(5)

On the other hand, since  $(\bigcup_{s < s_0} D_s) \cap V_{s_0} = \emptyset$ , we can select  $U_2 \in \mathcal{U}_X$  such that  $\bigcup_{s < s_0} C_s \cap U_2^n = \emptyset$ . This follows that

$$\bigcup_{s < s_0} E_s \cap U_2^{m+n} = \emptyset.$$
(6)

Thus, by (5) and (6),  $E \cap (U_1 \cap U_2)^{m+n} = \emptyset$ , but this contradicts (2). Therefore, we can see that  $B_1 \cap U^m \neq \emptyset$  for each  $U \in \mathcal{U}_X$ . By Corollary 1.3, this means that  $0 \in \overline{j_m(B_1)}$ , and hence we can take  $\Lambda_1 \subset \bigcup_{s \geq s_0} \Lambda_s$  such that  $0 \in \overline{\{j_m(b_\lambda) : \lambda \in \Lambda_1\}}$  and  $|\Lambda_1| \leq \tau$ . Now, by the hypothesis of Case 2,  $0 \notin \overline{\{j_m(b_\lambda) : \lambda \in \Lambda_s\}}$ for each  $s \geq s_0$ . Then we can see that  $\Lambda_1 \cap \bigcup_{t \geq s} \Lambda_t \neq \emptyset$  for each  $s \geq s_0$ , and this means that  $(a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in \overline{\{d_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda_1\}}$ . Put

$$E_{\lambda} = \{ ((x_1, \dots, x_m, x_{m+1}^{\lambda}, \dots, x_{m+k}^{\lambda}, a_{k+1}, \dots, a_n), \\ (y_1, \dots, y_m, a_1, \dots, a_k, y_{m+k+1}^{\lambda}, \dots, y_{m+n}^{\lambda})) \in X^{2(m+n)} : \\ ((x_1, \dots, x_m), (y_1, \dots, y_m)) \in j_m^{-1} j_m (\mathbf{b}_{\lambda}) \}$$

for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda_1$ , and  $E_1 = \bigcup \{E_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda_1\}$ . Then  $E_1 \cap U^{m+n} \neq \emptyset$  for each  $U \in \mathcal{U}_X$ . Finally, put  $H_2 = j_{m+n}(E_1)$  and  $H_0 = H_2 + g$ . Therefore, we can see that  $g \in \overline{H_0}$ ,  $|H_0| \leq \tau$  and also  $H_0 \subset H$ .  $\Box$ 

Using the above fundamental results, we show the following main result in this paper. Let  $\kappa$  be an infinite cardinal and  $C(\kappa) = \bigoplus_{\alpha < \kappa} C_{\alpha}$ , where each  $C_{\alpha}$  is the set of convergent sequence  $\{a_{n,\alpha} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  with its limit  $\{a_{\alpha}\}$ . Then, the sequential fan  $S(\kappa)$  is the quotient image of  $C(\kappa)$  identifying the set  $\{a_{\alpha} : \alpha < \kappa\}$  to a point **0**. Let  $\Phi$  be the set of all mappings from  $\kappa$  to  $\mathbb{N}$ , and for each  $\varphi \in \Phi$  and  $\alpha < \kappa$ , put

$$egin{array}{rcl} O(arphi,lpha) &=& \{a_{n,lpha}:n>arphi(lpha)\}\cup\{a_{lpha}\}\ W(arphi) &=& \{a_{n,lpha}:n>arphi(lpha), lpha<\kappa\}\cup\{m{0}\}. \end{array}$$

Then,  $\bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} O(\varphi, \alpha)$  is a canonical open neighborhood of the set  $\{a_{\alpha} : \alpha < \kappa\}$  in  $C(\kappa)$ , and  $W(\varphi)$  is a canonical open neighborhood of **0** in  $S(\kappa)$ .

**Theorem 3.2** Let  $\kappa$  be an infinite cardinal and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $t(A_{2n}(C(\kappa))) = t(S(\kappa)^n)$ .

**Proof:** We prove the Theorem by induction with respect to n. It is clear that  $t(S(\kappa)) = \omega$  and, by Theorem 2.1, we have that  $t(A_2(C(\kappa))) = t(C(\kappa)^2) = \omega$ . Then, let  $n \ge 2$  and suppose that for each k < n,  $t(A_{2k}(C(\kappa))) = t(S(\kappa)^k)$ .

Claim 1.  $t(A_{2n}(C(\kappa))) \leq t(S(\kappa)^n).$ 

Let  $t(S(\kappa)^n) = \tau, (\omega \leq \tau \leq \kappa)$ , and take a subset H of  $A_{2n}(C(\kappa))$  and a word  $g \in A_{2n}(C(\kappa))$  such that  $g \in \overline{H}$ . By our inductive assumption, we may assume that  $H \subset A_{2n}(C(\kappa)) \setminus A_{2n-2}(C(\kappa))$ . Furthermore, if  $g \in A_{2n}(C(\kappa)) \setminus A_{2n-2}(C(\kappa))$ , by the properties (3) and (5) in Lemma 1.1, we can take a countable subset  $H_0$  of H such that  $g \in \overline{H_0}$ . Thus, it suffices to show in the following two cases.

Case 1:  $g \in A_k(C(\kappa)) \setminus A_{k-1}(C(\kappa))$  for some k such that  $1 \le k \le 2n-1$ .

If k is odd,  $g \in \overline{A_{2n-1}(C(\kappa)) \setminus A_{2n-2}(C(\kappa))}$ . Thus, by Lemma 3.1, we can take a subset  $H_0$  of H such that  $g \in \overline{H_0}$  and  $|H_0| \leq t(A_{2n-1-k}(C(\kappa)))$ . Similarly, if k is even, we can take a subset  $H_0$  of H such that  $g \in \overline{H_0}$  and  $|H_0| \leq t(A_{2n-k}(C(\kappa)))$ . In any case, by our inductive assumption, we have that  $|H_0| \leq t(A_{2n-2}(C(\kappa))) = t(S(\kappa)^{n-1}) \leq t(S(\kappa)^n) = \tau$ . Case 2: g = 0. In this case, we may assume that

$$H \subset A_{2n}(C(\kappa)) \setminus A_{2n-1}(C(\kappa)).$$
(1)

Let d be a natural metric on  $C(\kappa)$  such that d(x, y) = 1 if  $x \in C_{\alpha}$ ,  $y \in C_{\beta}$  and  $\alpha \neq \beta$ ,  $d(a_{\alpha}, a_{n,\alpha}) = 1/n$ , and  $d(a_{m,\alpha}, a_{n,\alpha}) = |1/m - 1/n|$ . Since  $0 \in \overline{H}$ , we have that  $0 \in \overline{H_1}$ , where  $H_1 = H \cap V_d$  and  $V_d = \{g \in A(C(\kappa)) : \overline{d}(0,g) < 1\}$ . On the other hand, we can put  $H_1 = \{h = x_1^{\lambda} - y_1^{\lambda} + \cdots + x_n^{\lambda} - y_n^{\lambda} : x_i^{\lambda}, y_i^{\lambda} \in C(\kappa), \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  such that

for each 
$$\lambda \in \Lambda$$
,  
there are  $\alpha_1^{\lambda}, \ldots, \alpha_n^{\lambda} < \kappa$  such that  $x_i^{\lambda}, y_i^{\lambda} \in C_{\alpha^{\lambda}}$ . (2)

For each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  and i = 1, ..., n, choose a point  $z_i^{\lambda} \in \{x_i^{\lambda}, y_i^{\lambda}\}$ such that the distance between  $z_i^{\lambda}$  and  $a_{\alpha_i^{\lambda}}$  is larger than the one between another point and  $a_{\alpha_i^{\lambda}}$ . Now, we show that  $\Theta =$  $(\mathbf{0}, ..., \mathbf{0}) \in \overline{E_1}$ , where  $E_1 = \{\mathbf{e}_{\lambda} = (z_1^{\lambda}, ..., z_n^{\lambda}) \in S(\kappa)^n : \lambda \in$  $\Lambda\}$ . Take an arbitrary  $\varphi \in \Phi$ , and put  $U_{\varphi} = \bigcup \{O(\varphi, \alpha) \times O(\varphi, \alpha) : \alpha < \kappa\} \cup \Delta_{C(\kappa)}$ . Then  $U_{\varphi} \in \mathcal{U}_{C(\kappa)}$ , and by Corollary 1.3, we can see that  $\{((x_1^{\lambda}, ..., x_n^{\lambda}), (y_1^{\lambda}, ..., y_n^{\lambda})) : \lambda \in$  $\Lambda\} \cap U_{\varphi}^n \neq \emptyset$ . Thus, there is a  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  such that  $((x_1^{\lambda}, ..., x_n^{\lambda}), (y_1^{\lambda}, ..., y_n^{\lambda})) \in U_{\varphi}^n$ , and so that each  $(x_i^{\lambda}, y_i^{\lambda}) \in U_{\varphi}$ . By (1) and (2), we have that  $x_i^{\lambda}, y_i^{\lambda} \in O(\varphi, \alpha_i^{\lambda})$  for each i = 1, ..., n, and this means that each  $z_i^{\lambda} \in O(\varphi, \alpha_i^{\lambda})$ . Therefore,  $\mathbf{e}_{\lambda} \in W(\varphi)$  and hence we can see that  $E_1 \cap W(\varphi) \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $\lambda$  is arbitrary, this follows that  $\Theta \in \overline{E_1}$ .

Now, since  $t(S(\kappa)^n) = \tau$ , let  $\Lambda_0$  be a subset of  $\Lambda$  such that  $\Theta \in \{e_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda_0\}$  and  $|\Lambda_0| \leq \tau$ . On the other hand, from the definition of  $z_i^{\lambda}$ , we can see that for each  $\varphi \in \Phi$ ,  $\{((x_1^{\lambda}, \ldots, x_n^{\lambda}), (y_1^{\lambda}, \ldots, y_n^{\lambda})) : \lambda \in \Lambda_0\} \cap U_{\varphi}^n \neq \emptyset$ . Hence, by Corollary 1.3, this means that  $0 \in \overline{H_0}$ , where  $H_0 = \{h_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda_0\}$ .

Consequently, in any case, we can take a subset  $H_0$  of H such that  $g \in \overline{H_0}$  and  $|H_0| \leq \tau$ , and hence we get Claim 1.

#### Claim 2. $t(S(\kappa)^n) \leq t(A_{2n}(C(\kappa))).$

Let  $t(A_{2n}(C(\kappa))) = \tau, (\omega \leq \tau \leq \kappa)$ , and take a subset E of  $S(\kappa)^n$  and a point  $\mathbf{x} \in S(\kappa)^n$  such that  $\mathbf{x} \in \overline{E}$ . By our inductive assumption, we may assume that  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{\Theta} (= (\mathbf{0}, \dots, \mathbf{0}))$ . Put  $E = \{\mathbf{e}_{\lambda} = (x_1^{\lambda}, \dots, x_n^{\lambda}) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ . Then for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  and  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , there is  $\alpha_i^{\lambda} < \kappa$  such that  $x_i^{\lambda} \in C_{\alpha_i^{\lambda}}$  (if  $x_i^{\lambda} = \mathbf{0}$  we regard  $x_i^{\lambda}$  as  $a_{\alpha_i^{\lambda}}$ ). Now, put  $H = \{\mathbf{h}_{\lambda} = x_1^{\lambda} - a_{\alpha_1^{\lambda}} + \dots + x_n^{\lambda} - a_{\alpha_n^{\lambda}} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ . Then, with the similar argument of the proof of Claim 1, it is easy to see that  $0 \in \overline{H}$ . Since  $t(A_{2n}(C(\kappa))) = \tau$ , take a subset  $\Lambda_0$  of  $\Lambda$  such that  $0 \in \overline{H}$ . Since  $t(A_{2n}(C(\kappa))) = \tau$ . Then, by the definition of H and Corollary 1.3, we can see that  $\mathbf{\Theta} \in \overline{E_0}$ , where  $E_0 = \{\mathbf{e}_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda_0\}$ . Therefore we have that  $t(S(\kappa)^n) \leq \tau$ .

From Claim 1 and 2, we can prove that  $t(A_{2n}(C(\kappa))) = t(S(\kappa)^n)$ .  $\Box$ 

Arhangel'skiĭ, Okunev and Pestov [2] proved that for a metrizable space X,  $t(A(X)) \leq w(X')$ , where X' is the set of all non-isolated points in X. Thus we obtain the following.

**Corollary 3.3** Let  $\kappa$  be an infinite cardinal and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $t(S(\kappa)^n) = t(A_{2n}(C(\kappa))) \leq t(A(C(\kappa))) \leq w(C(\kappa)') = \kappa$ .

Let X be a metrizable space with  $w(X') = \kappa$ , where  $cf(\kappa) > \omega$ . Then  $C(\kappa)$  can be embedded in X as a closed subset. By this fact, the property (6) of Lemma 1.1 and Corollary 3.3, we obtain the following.

**Corollary 3.4** Let X be a metrizable space with  $w(X') = \kappa$ , where  $cf(\kappa) > \omega$ , and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $t(S(\kappa)^n) = t(A_{2n}(C(\kappa))) \le t(A_{2n}(X)) \le t(A(X)) \le w(X') = \kappa$ .

Consequently, we obtain the following partial answer to the question (in [2]) whether t(A(X)) is equal to w(X') for metrizable spaces,

**Theorem 3.5** Let X be a metrizable space with  $w(X') = \kappa$ , where  $cf(\kappa) > \omega$ . Assume that  $t(S(\kappa)^n) = \kappa$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $t(A_{2n}(X)) = t(A(X)) = w(X') = \kappa$ .

**Corollary 3.6** Let X be a metrizable space with  $w(X') = \omega_1$ . Then  $t(A_4(X)) = t(A(X)) = w(X') = \omega_1$ .

**Proof:** Since Gruenhage and Tanaka [6] proved that  $t(S(\omega_1)^2) = \omega_1$ , Theorem 3.5 follows the result.  $\Box$ 

# 4 Countable tightness of A(X)for metrizable spaces X

In [2], the main results with respect to the free abelian topological groups on metrizable spaces are the following.

**Theorem 4.1 ([2])** Let X be a metrizable space and X' the set of all non-isolated points in X. Then;

- (1) the tightness of A(X) is countable iff X' is separable,
- (2) A(X) is a k-space iff X is locally compact and X' is separable.

With respect to the result (2) in Theorem 4.1, the author [11] showed the following.

**Theorem 4.2** ([11]) Let X be a metrizable space.

- (1) The following are equivalent:
  - (a)  $A_n(X)$  is a k-space for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,
  - (b)  $A_4(X)$  is a k-space,
  - (c) the canonical mapping  $i_n : (X \oplus -X \oplus \{0\})^n \longrightarrow A_n(X)$  is quotient for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

- (d)  $i_4$  is quotient,
- (e) either X is locally compact and X' is separable, or X' is compact.
- (2) The following are equivalent:
  - (a)  $A_3(X)$  is a k-space.
  - (b)  $i_3$  is quotient,
  - (c) X is locally compact or X' is compact.
- (3)  $A_2(X)$  is a k-space and  $i_2$  is quotient.

On the other hand, apply the results in the previous section. Then, with respect to the result (1) in Theorem 4.1, we can show the following.

**Theorem 4.3** Let X be a metrizable space.

- (1) The following are equivalent:
  - (a) the tightness of A(X) is countable.
  - (b) the tightness of  $A_4(X)$  is countable,
  - (c) X' is separable.
- (2) the tightness of  $A_3(X)$  is countable.

**Proof:** To prove the statement 1, we suffice to show the implication (b)  $\Rightarrow$  (c). Suppose that X' is not separable, i.e.  $w(X') \geq \omega_1$ . Then,  $C(\omega_1)$  is embedded in X as a closed subspace. Hence, by Corollary 3.6, we can see that  $\omega_1 =$  $t(A_4(C(\omega_1))) \le t(A_4(X)).$ 

Next, to show that  $t(A_3(X)) \leq \omega$ , take a subset H of  $A_3(X)$ and a word  $q \in A_3(X)$  such that  $q \in \overline{H}$ . By the property (5) in Lemma 1,1 and Theorem 2.1, we may assume that  $g \in X \cup -X$ and  $H \in A_3(X) \setminus A_2(X)$ . Now apply Lemma 3.1 as m = n = 1. Then we can take a subset  $H_0$  of H such that  $g \in H_0$  and  $|H_0| \leq t(A_2(X)) = \omega$ . This follows that  $t(A_3(X)) \leq \omega$ .  $\Box$ 

## References

- A. V. Arhangel'skiĭ, Mapping related to topological groups, Soviet Math. Dokl. 9 (1968), 1011-1015.
- [2] A. V. Arhangel'skiĭ, O. G. Okunev and V. G. Pestov, Free topological groups over metrizable spaces, Topology Appl. 33 (1989), 63-76.
- K. Eda, G. Gruenhage, P. Koszmider, K. Tamano and S. Todorčević, Sequential fans in topology, Topology Appl., 67 (1995), 189-220.
- [4] R. Engelking, General Topology, Heldermann, Berlin, 1989.
- [5] M. I. Graev, Free topological groups, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. 12(3) (1948), 279-324 (in Russian); English transl.: Amer. Math. Soc. transl. 35 (1951); Reprint: Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. 8 (1962), 305-364.
- [6] G. Gruenhage and Y. Tanaka, Products of k-spaces and spaces of countable tightness, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 273 (1982), 299-308.
- [7] C. Joiner, Free topological groups and dimension, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 220 (1976), 401-418.
- [8] A. A. Markov, On free topological groups, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. 9 (1945), 3-64 (in Russian); English transl.: Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. 30 (1950) 11-88; Reprint: Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. 8 (1962), 195-272.
- [9] V. G. Pestov, On neighbourhoods of unity in free topological groups, Vestnik Moscov. Univ. Ser. 1 Mat. Mech. 3 (1985), 8-10 (in Russian).
- [10] M. G. Tkačenko, Completeness of free abelian topological groups, Soviet Math. Dokl. 27 (1983), 341-345.
- [11] K. Yamada, Characterizations of a metrizable space X such that every  $A_n(X)$  is a k-space, Topology Appl. **49** (1993), 75-94.

Faculty of Education Shizuoka University Ohya, Shizuoka 422, Japan e-mail: k-yamada@ed.shizuoka.ac.jp

.

•