

# Topology Proceedings



**Web:** <http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/>  
**Mail:** Topology Proceedings  
Department of Mathematics & Statistics  
Auburn University, Alabama 36849, USA  
**E-mail:** [topolog@auburn.edu](mailto:topolog@auburn.edu)  
**ISSN:** 0146-4124

---

COPYRIGHT © by Topology Proceedings. All rights reserved.



# NORMALITY AND COLLECTIONWISE NORMALITY OF PRODUCT SPACES

Kaori Yamazaki

## Abstract

We prove that for a collectionwise normal  $P$ -space  $X$  and a paracompact  $\Sigma$ -space  $Y$ , the product  $X \times Y$  is collectionwise normal if and only if  $X \times Y$  is normal; this extends K. Nagami's theorem [8] with  $Y$  being a paracompact  $\sigma$ -space as well as affirmatively answers to L. Yang's problem in [14].

## 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper we assume all spaces to be Hausdorff. For two collectionwise normal spaces  $X$  and  $Y$ , results asserting that normality of  $X \times Y$  implies its collectionwise nor-

malities have been proven by several authors. The cases  $Y$  being metrizable, Lasnev, a paracompact  $M$ -space and  $\sigma$ -locally compact paracompact were proved by Okuyama [10], Hoshina [3], Rudin-Starbird [13] and Chiba [2], respectively. In [8], Nagami proved another case: for a collectionwise normal  $P$ -space  $X$  and a paracompact  $\sigma$ -space  $Y$ ,  $X \times Y$  is collectionwise normal if and only if  $X \times Y$  is normal. As is known, Nagami [9] proved that for a paracompact  $P$ -space  $X$  and a paracompact  $\Sigma$ -space  $Y$ ,  $X \times Y$  is paracompact; this extends his result in [8] where  $Y$  is assumed to be paracompact  $\sigma$ . Thus, it is natural to ask if it is true that for a collectionwise normal  $P$ -space  $X$  and a paracompact  $\Sigma$ -space  $Y$ , normality of  $X \times Y$  implies its collectionwise normality. Indeed, this was posed as a problem by Yang [14]. He showed there that the answer is affirmative if  $X$  is normal countably compact, and recently Hoshina and the author [5] generalized it to the case where  $X$  is collectionwise normal  $\Sigma$ . It should be noted that the answer seems to be unknown even in the case of  $X$  being perfectly normal. In this paper, we solve this problem affirmatively without any such condition on  $X$ . That is, we show that for a collectionwise normal  $P$ -space  $X$  and a paracompact  $\Sigma$ -space  $Y$ ,  $X \times Y$  is collectionwise normal if and only if  $X \times Y$  is normal.

**Acknowledgement.** The author wishes to express her thanks to Prof. T. Hoshina for his encouragement.

## 2. Preliminaries and the proof of the theorem

First let us recall  $P$ -spaces and  $\Sigma$ -spaces. Let  $N$  denote the set of all positive integers.

A space  $X$  is a  $P$ -space [7] if for any index set  $\Omega$  and for any collection  $\{G(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \mid \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \Omega; n \in N\}$  of open

subsets of  $X$  such that

$$G(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \subset G(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \alpha_{n+1}) \text{ for } \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \alpha_{n+1} \in \Omega,$$

there exists a collection  $\{F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \mid \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \Omega; n \in N\}$  of closed subsets of  $X$  such that the conditions (a), (b) below are satisfied :

- (a)  $F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \subset G(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$  for  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \Omega$ ,
- (b)  $X = \cup\{G(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \mid n \in N\}$   
 $\implies X = \cup\{F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \mid n \in N\}.$

A  $\Sigma$ -space [9] is a space  $Y$  having a sequence, called a  $\Sigma$ -net,  $\{\mathcal{E}_n \mid n \in N\}$  of locally finite closed covers of  $Y$  which satisfies the following conditions:

- (c)  $\mathcal{E}_n$  is written as  $\{E(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \mid \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \Omega\}$  with an index set  $\Omega$ ,
- (d)  $E(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) = \cup\{E(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \alpha_{n+1}) \mid \alpha_{n+1} \in \Omega\}$  for  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \Omega$ ,
- (e) For every  $y \in Y$ ,  $C(y)$  is countably compact, and there exists a sequence  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots \in \Omega$  such that  $C(y) \subset V$  with  $V$  open implies  $C(y) \subset E(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \subset V$  for some  $n$ , where  $C(y) = \cap\{E \mid y \in E \in \mathcal{E}_n, n \in N\}$ . We call  $\{E(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \mid n \in N\}$  a *local net* of  $C(y)$ .

It is well-known that every perfect space (= a space each of whose open subset is  $F_\sigma$ ) and every  $\Sigma$ -space are  $P$ -spaces, and for other related facts the reader is referred to [12] or [4].

To prove our theorem, we need the following lemma due to Katětov [6].

**Lemma 2.1** *Let  $X$  be a countably paracompact normal space. Then  $X$  is collectionwise normal if and only if for any locally finite closed collection  $\mathcal{F}$  of  $X$  there exists a locally finite open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $X$  such that each  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  intersects at most finitely many members of  $\mathcal{F}$ .*

Let us now prove our main theorem.

**Theorem 2.2** *Let  $X$  be a collectionwise normal  $P$ -space and  $Y$  a paracompact  $\Sigma$ -space. Then  $X \times Y$  is collectionwise normal if and only if  $X \times Y$  is normal.*

**Proof:** It suffices to show the “if” part. Assume that  $X \times Y$  is normal. From [9, Theorem 4.10], we have that  $X \times Y$  is countably paracompact. Let  $\{D_\lambda | \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  be a locally finite closed collection of  $X \times Y$ . Let  $\{\mathcal{E}_n | n \in N\}$  be a  $\Sigma$ -net of  $Y$ , where we express  $\mathcal{E}_n = \{E(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) | \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \Omega\}$ . Since  $Y$  is paracompact, for each  $n \in N$  there exists a locally finite open cover  $\{L(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) | \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \Omega\}$  of  $Y$  such that

$$E(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \subset L(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$$

for each  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \Omega$ .

Define  $\Delta = \{\Gamma \subset \Lambda | \text{Card } \Gamma \text{ is finite}\}$ . For each  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \Omega$ ,  $n \in N$  and  $\Gamma \in \Delta$ , let us put

$$G_\Gamma(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) = \cup \{O \mid O \text{ is open in } X \text{ and} \\ (O \times E(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)) \cap (\cup \{D_\lambda | \lambda \notin \Gamma\}) = \emptyset\}.$$

Then  $G_\Gamma(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$  is open in  $X$  and we have

$$G_\Gamma(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \subset G_\Gamma(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \alpha_{n+1}).$$

for each  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \alpha_{n+1} \in \Omega$  since  $E(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \supset E(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \alpha_{n+1})$ . Hence if we put

$$G(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) = \cup \{G_\Gamma(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) | \Gamma \in \Delta\},$$

then  $G(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$  is an open subset of  $X$  and we have

$$G(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \subset G(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \alpha_{n+1})$$

for each  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \alpha_{n+1} \in \Omega$ . Since  $X$  is a  $P$ -space, there exists a collection

$$\{F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) | \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \Omega; n \in N\}$$

of closed subsets of  $X$  such that

$$F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \subset G(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \quad (1)$$

for each  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \Omega$ ,  $n \in N$ , and

$$X = \cup\{G(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) | n \in N\} \implies X = \cup\{F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) | n \in N\}. \quad (2)$$

Here we may assume

$$F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \subset F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \alpha_{n+1}) \quad (3)$$

for each  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \alpha_{n+1} \in \Omega$ .

Fix  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \Omega$  and  $n \in N$  arbitrarily. Define a subset  $P_\Gamma(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$  of  $X$  for  $\Gamma \in \Delta$  by

$$P_\Gamma(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) = \{x \in X | (\{x\} \times E(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)) \cap D_\lambda \neq \emptyset \text{ if and only if } \lambda \in \Gamma\}.$$

We show that the collection

$$\{F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \cap P_\Gamma(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) | \Gamma \in \Delta\} \quad (4)$$

is locally finite in  $X$ . To prove this, let  $x \in X$  and we show that this collection is locally finite at  $x$ . Since  $F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$  is closed in  $X$ , we may assume that  $x \in F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ . Then by (1) we have  $x \in G(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ , and hence there exists a  $\Gamma_x \in \Delta$  such that  $x \in G_{\Gamma_x}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ . Suppose

$$G_{\Gamma_x}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \cap P_\Gamma(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \neq \emptyset.$$

Select a point  $z \in G_{\Gamma_x}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \cap P_\Gamma(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ . To prove  $\Gamma \subset \Gamma_x$ , let  $\lambda \in \Gamma$ . Since  $z \in P_\Gamma(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ , we have  $(\{z\} \times E(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)) \cap D_\lambda \neq \emptyset$ . Consequently  $(G_{\Gamma_x}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \times E(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)) \cap D_\lambda \neq \emptyset$ , which implies that  $\lambda \in \Gamma_x$  by the definition of  $G_{\Gamma_x}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ . Thus,  $\Gamma \subset \Gamma_x$  and since  $\text{Card}\Gamma_x$  is finite, we have shown that the collection (4) above is locally finite at  $x$ .

Since  $X$  is collectionwise normal and countably paracompact, applying Lemma 2.1 to the above collection (4), there exist a locally finite open cover  $\{H_\mu | \mu \in M(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)\}$  of  $X$  such that each  $H_\mu$  intersects at most finitely many members of  $\{F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \cap P_\Gamma(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) | \Gamma \in \Delta\}$ . For each  $\mu \in M(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ , let us put

$$\Delta_\mu = \{\Gamma \in \Delta | (F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \cap P_\Gamma(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)) \cap H_\mu \neq \emptyset\}.$$

Then  $\text{Card } \Delta_\mu$  is finite. Define

$$\begin{aligned} C_\mu &= \cup \{D_\lambda | \\ D_\lambda \cap ((F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \cap P_\Gamma(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)) \times E(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)) &= \emptyset \\ &\text{for each } \Gamma \in \Delta_\mu \} \end{aligned}$$

for each  $\mu \in M(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ .

Next we define

$$\mathcal{U} = \{H_\mu \times L(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) - C_\mu | \mu \in M(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n); \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \Omega, n \in N\}.$$

Then it is easy to see that  $\mathcal{U}$  is a  $\sigma$ -locally finite open collection of  $X \times Y$ .

We shall show that each  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  intersects at most finitely many members of  $\{D_\lambda | \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ . Let  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ . So we can express that

$$U = H_\mu \times L(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) - C_\mu$$

for some  $n \in N$ ,  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \Omega$  and  $\mu \in M(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ . Suppose  $U \cap D_\lambda \neq \emptyset$ . Then, by the definition of  $C_\mu$ , we have

$$D_\lambda \cap ((F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \cap P_\Gamma(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)) \times E(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)) \neq \emptyset$$

for some  $\Gamma \in \Delta_\mu$ . Consequently  $D_\lambda \cap (P_\Gamma(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \times E(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)) \neq \emptyset$ . Pick

$$(x, y) \in D_\lambda \cap (P_\Gamma(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \times E(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)).$$

Since  $x \in P_\Gamma(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ , we have  $\lambda \in \Gamma$ . Since  $\Delta_\mu$  is finite, it follows that  $\lambda$  is contained in the finite subset  $\cup\{\Gamma|\Gamma \in \Delta_\mu\}$  of  $\Lambda$ . Hence we have shown that each  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  intersects at most finitely members of  $\{D_\lambda|\lambda \in \Lambda\}$ .

Finally we shall show that  $X \times Y = \cup\mathcal{U}$ . To see this, let  $(x, y) \in X \times Y$  be any point of  $X \times Y$ . Take  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots \in \Omega$  so that

$$\{E(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)|n \in N\} \text{ is a local net of } C(y).$$

Before everything, we show that  $X = \cup\{G(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)|n \in N\}$ . Let  $a \in X$ . We put

$$\Gamma_{ay} = \{\lambda \in \Lambda|(\{a\} \times C(y)) \cap D_\lambda \neq \emptyset\}.$$

Since  $\{a\} \times C(y)$  is compact and  $\{D_\lambda|\lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is locally finite,  $\Gamma_{ay}$  is finite and there exist open sets  $O$  and  $O'$  of  $X$  and  $Y$ , respectively, such that

$$\{a\} \times C(y) \subset O \times O' \subset X \times Y - \cup\{D_\lambda|\lambda \notin \Gamma_{ay}\}.$$

From the property of the local net, there exists an  $n \in N$  such that

$$C(y) \subset E(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \subset O'.$$

Therefore we have

$$(O \times E(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)) \cap (\cup\{D_\lambda|\lambda \notin \Gamma_{ay}\}) = \emptyset.$$

Thus we can verify  $a \in O \subset G_{\Gamma_{ay}}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \subset G(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ . Hence we have  $X = \cup\{G(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)|n \in N\}$ . It follows from (2) that

$$X = \cup\{F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)|n \in N\}. \quad (5)$$

Let

$$\Gamma_{xy} = \{\lambda \in \Lambda|(\{x\} \times C(y)) \cap D_\lambda \neq \emptyset\}.$$



Likewise the matter shown above,  $\Gamma_{xy}$  is a finite set, that is,  $\Gamma_{xy} \in \Delta$ , and there exist open sets  $O_x$  and  $O_y$  of  $X$  and  $Y$ , respectively, such that

$$\{x\} \times C(y) \subset O_x \times O_y \subset X \times Y - \cup\{D_\lambda | \lambda \notin \Gamma_{xy}\}.$$

From the property of the local net, there exists an  $m \in N$  such that

$$\{x\} \times C(y) \subset O_x \times E(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m) \subset O_x \times O_y.$$

We note that

$$x \in P_{\Gamma_{xy}}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i) \text{ for every } i \geq m \quad (6)$$

since  $C(y) \subset E(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i) \subset E(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m)$ . By (5), there exists an  $\ell \in N$  such that

$$x \in F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\ell).$$

Put  $k = \max\{m, \ell\}$ . Then it follows from (1) and (3) that

$$x \in F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\ell) \subset F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k) \subset G(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k). \quad (7)$$

Because  $\{H_\mu | \mu \in M(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k)\}$  covers  $X$ , there exists a

$$\mu \in M(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k) \text{ such that } x \in H_\mu. \quad (8)$$

Note that  $(x, y) \in H_\mu \times L(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k)$ . To show  $(x, y) \notin C_\mu$ , we assume the contrary, that is,  $(x, y) \in C_\mu$ . Hence there exists a  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  such that

$$(x, y) \in D_\lambda, \quad (9)$$

and

$$D_\lambda \cap (\cup\{F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k) \cap P_\Gamma(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k) | \Gamma \in \Delta_\mu\} \times E(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k)) = \emptyset. \quad (10)$$

Because of (6) and (7), we have

$$x \in F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k) \cap P_{\Gamma_{xy}}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k). \quad (11)$$

Since  $y \in E(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k)$ , (9), (10) and (11) imply

$$\Gamma_{xy} \notin \Delta_\mu.$$

But we have

$$x \in F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k) \cap P_{\Gamma_{xy}}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k) \cap H_\mu$$

by (11) and (8), which implies

$$\Gamma_{xy} \in \Delta_\mu,$$

a contradiction. Thus

$$(x, y) \in H_\mu \times L(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k) - C_\mu \in \mathcal{U},$$

showing that  $X \times Y = \bigcup \mathcal{U}$ .

The above shows that  $\mathcal{U}$  is a  $\sigma$ -locally finite open cover of  $X \times Y$  such that each member of  $\mathcal{U}$  intersects at most finitely many members of  $\{D_\lambda | \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ . Since  $X \times Y$  is countably paracompact, there exists a locally finite open cover  $\mathcal{U}'$  of  $X \times Y$  which refines  $\mathcal{U}$ . Clearly  $\mathcal{U}'$  has the same property. Hence it follows from Lemma 2.1 that  $X \times Y$  is collectionwise normal.

□

**Corollary 2.3.** *Let  $X$  be a collectionwise normal perfectly normal space and  $Y$  a paracompact  $\Sigma$ -space. Then  $X \times Y$  is collectionwise normal if and only if  $X \times Y$  is normal.*

**Remark** The product  $X \times Y$  of a collectionwise normal perfect space  $X$  and compact space  $Y$  need not to be normal because of [11] (see [2]).

## References

- [1] R. A. Alò and H. L. Shapiro, *Normal Topological Spaces*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1974.

- [2] K. Chiba, *On collectionwise normality of product spaces*, I, II, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **91** (1984), 649-652, 653-657.
- [3] T. Hoshina, *Products of normal spaces with Lasńev spaces*, Fund. Math., **124** (1984), 143-153.
- [4] ———, *Normality of product spaces*, II, in: Topics in Gen. Top., K. Morita and J. Nagata, eds., North-Holland (1989), 121-160.
- [5] ——— and K. Yamazaki, *Extensions of functions on product spaces*, Top. Appl., **82** (1998), 195-204.
- [6] M. Katětov, *On expansion of locally finite covers*, Colloq. Math., **6** (1958), 145-151 (in Russian).
- [7] K. Morita, *Products of normal spaces with metric spaces*, Math. Ann., **154** (1964), 365-382.
- [8] K. Nagami,  *$\sigma$ -spaces and product spaces*, Math. Ann., **181** (1969), 109-118.
- [9] ———,  *$\Sigma$ -spaces*, Fund. Math., **65** (1969), 169-192.
- [10] A. Okuyama, *Some generalizations of metric spaces, their metrization theorems and product spaces*, Sci. Rep. Tokyo Kyōiku Daigaku Sect. A, **8** (1967), 236-254.
- [11] R. Pol, *A perfectly normal locally metrizable non-paracompact space*, Fund. Math., **97** (1977), 37-42.
- [12] T. C. Przymusiński, *Products of normal spaces*, in: Handbook of Set-Theoretic Topology, ed. by K. Kunen and J. E. Vaughan, North Holland, (1984), 781-826.
- [13] M. E. Rudin and M. Starbird, *Products with a metric factor*, Gen. Top. Appl., **5** (1975), 235-248.
- [14] L. Yang, *Normality in product spaces and covering properties*, Ph.D. Thesis, Univ. Tsukuba, (1995).

Institute of Mathematics

University of Tsukuba

Tsukuba-shi, Ibaraki 305-8571

Japan

e-mail: kaori@math.tsukuba.ac.jp