

# Topology Proceedings



**Web:** <http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/>  
**Mail:** Topology Proceedings  
Department of Mathematics & Statistics  
Auburn University, Alabama 36849, USA  
**E-mail:** [topolog@auburn.edu](mailto:topolog@auburn.edu)  
**ISSN:** 0146-4124

---

COPYRIGHT © by Topology Proceedings. All rights reserved.



PROPERTIES OF COMPACTA THAT ARE  
PRESERVED BY FACTORIZATION AND  
GENERALIZATIONS OF THEOREMS OF A.  
DELEANU<sup>1</sup>

J. S. CHOI

ABSTRACT. Under the condition that  $\Pi$  is a class of compacta and a compactum  $X$  has the property that for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there exist a space  $Y \in \Pi$ , a surjective map  $f_\epsilon: X \rightarrow Y$ , and a map  $g_\epsilon: Y \rightarrow X$  such that  $d(g_\epsilon f_\epsilon, id_X) < \epsilon$ , we show that (1) if for every  $Y \in \Pi$ ,  $H^q(Y)$  is finitely generated and the number of generators of  $H^q(Y)$  is less than a fixed number  $n$ , then  $H^q(X)$  is finitely generated and the number of generators of  $H^q(X)$  is less than  $n$ . (2) if  $\Pi$  is the class of orientable  $n - cm$  compacta and  $X$  is in addition  $n$ -dimensional connected and locally connected then  $X$  has the property that if  $U$  is a connected open subset of  $X$  then  $\tau_{U,X}: H^n(U) \rightarrow H^n(X)$  is an isomorphism. The latter generalizes a theorem of A. Deleanu.

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 55M10, 55M15, 55N05, 55N30, and 57P99.

*Key words and phrases*. compactum,  $n - cm$  compactum, ANR, factorization through a class of spaces, manifold.

<sup>1</sup>Parts of this paper consist of parts of author's doctoral dissertation under the supervision of G. Kozłowski.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

By a *compactum* we mean a compact metric space. The various metrics and distances will be designated by the letter  $d$ . If  $X$  is a space and  $A \subset X$ , then  $\text{int}(A)$  will denote the interior of the set  $A$ . By a *map* or *mapping* we mean a continuous function. If  $f$  is a map then  $\text{Ker } f$  will denote the kernel of  $f$  and  $\text{Im } f$  the image of  $f$ . A compactum  $X$  is said to have a factorization through a class  $\Pi$  of spaces if for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there exist a space  $Y \in \Pi$ , a surjective map  $f_\epsilon: X \rightarrow Y$ , and a map  $g_\epsilon: Y \rightarrow X$  such that  $d(g_\epsilon f_\epsilon, \text{id}_X) < \epsilon$ .

For cohomology we will use the Alexander-Spanier cohomology groups with compact supports and the notation of Massey's book [8]. The  $q$ -dimensional cohomology group with compact supports of a locally compact Hausdorff space  $X$  with integer coefficients will be denoted by  $H^q(X)$ . The homomorphism associated with the open subset  $U$  of  $X$  will be denoted by  $\tau_{U,X}$  or simply  $\tau$  when no confusion could occur. For a compact Hausdorff space Alexander-Spanier cohomology with compact supports is naturally isomorphic to Čech cohomology [10], and for any locally compact Hausdorff space  $X$  and for any integer  $q > 0$ ,  $H^q(X) \cong H^q(X^+)$ , where  $X^+$  is the one point compactification of  $X$ . Thus one can interpret the results of this paper in terms of Čech cohomology.

By a *compact ANR* we mean a compact absolute neighborhood retract [2]. If  $f$  is a map from  $X$  to  $Y$ ,  $f \simeq 0$  means that  $f$  is homotopic to a constant map, and  $f^*: H^q(Y) \rightarrow H^q(X)$  will denote the induced homomorphism of  $f$ . The group of integers will be denoted by  $\mathbf{Z}$ . If  $A$  and  $B$  are groups then  $A \leq B$  will mean that  $A$  is a subgroup of  $B$ . By the *dimension of  $X$*  we mean the covering dimension of  $X$ , and it will be denoted by  $\dim X$ . The following definition is essentially stated in Borel [1].

**Definition 1.** *The cohomological dimension  $\dim_{\mathbf{Z}} X$  of a space  $X$  with respect to the group  $\mathbf{Z}$  is defined to be the least integer*

$n$  (or  $\infty$ ) such that  $H^q(U) = 0$  for every open subset  $U$  of  $X$  and  $q > n$ .

In 1962 A. Deleanu [4] published the following:

*Let  $X$  be an  $n$ -dimensional connected compact ANR such that for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists an  $\epsilon$ -map of  $X$  onto a closed  $n$ -dimensional orientable manifold (depending on  $\epsilon$ ). Let  $U$  be a non-empty connected open subset of  $X$ . Then the homomorphism*

$$\tau_{U,X}: H^n(U) \rightarrow H^n(X)$$

*is an isomorphism.*

In this paper we weaken the condition on  $X$  replacing compact ANR by locally connected compactum and the existence of  $\epsilon$ -map onto a closed  $n$ -dimensional orientable manifold by the existence of  $\epsilon$ -map onto an orientable  $n - cm$  compactum. As an application of this generalization we could generalize the second theorem of A. Deleanu [4] in the same way.

We also show a sufficient condition for a compactum  $X$  to have finitely generated  $q$ -cohomology as a property that is preserved by factorization through a class of spaces.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section we will discuss the definition of  $n - cm$  compactum and an equivalent condition.

The following definitions are given by Bredon ([3] pp 281, 349, and 374).

**Definition 2.** *A precosheaf  $\mathcal{A}$  on  $X$  is a covariant functor from the category of open subsets of  $X$  to the category of abelian groups and homomorphisms. If  $V$  and  $U$  are open subsets of  $X$  with  $V \subset U$ , the homomorphism corresponding to the inclusion is  $i_{U,V}: \mathcal{A}(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(U)$ . We call the homomorphism  $i_{U,V}$  the structure maps of the precosheaf  $\mathcal{A}$ . A homomorphism  $h: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  of precosheaves is the family of homomorphisms  $h_V: \mathcal{A}(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(V)$  commuting with the structure maps of  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$ ; that is,  $h$  is a natural transformation of functors.*

The constant precosheaf  $L$  is the precosheaf taking the value  $L$  on each  $U$  with the identity structure map for every pair of open subsets.

**Definition 3.** A precosheaf  $\mathcal{A}$  on  $X$  is said to be “locally zero” if for any open set  $U \subset X$  and  $y \in U$  there is a neighborhood  $V \subset U$  of  $y$  with  $i_{U,V}: \mathcal{A}(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(U)$  trivial. A homomorphism  $h: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  of precosheaves is said to be a “local isomorphism” if the precosheaves  $\text{Ker } h$  and  $\mathcal{B} / \text{Im } h$  are both locally zero.

**Lemma 1.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be precosheaves on a first countable space  $X$  and  $h: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  be a homomorphism. Then  $h$  is a local isomorphism if and only if for each  $x \in X$  there is a decreasing sequence  $\{V_k\}$  of open neighborhoods of  $x$  such that  $\{V_k\}$  is a fundamental system of open neighborhoods of  $x$  and in the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \mathcal{A}(V_{k-1}) & \xleftarrow{i_{k-1}} & \mathcal{A}(V_k) & \xleftarrow{\quad} & \mathcal{A}(V_{k+1}) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow h_k & & \downarrow \\
 \mathcal{B}(V_{k-1}) & \xleftarrow{\quad} & \mathcal{B}(V_k) & \xleftarrow{j_k} & \mathcal{B}(V_{k+1})
 \end{array}$$

$\text{Ker } h_k \subset \text{Ker } i_{k-1}$  and  $\text{Im } j_k \subset \text{Im } h_k$ .

The proof of the above lemma is straightforward so we omit it.

**Remark:** If  $\{V_k\}$  satisfies the condition of the Lemma 1 then every subsequence of  $\{V_k\}$  also satisfies the same condition.

**Definition 4.** [3] Precosheaves  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  on  $X$  are said to be “equivalent” if  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  are equivalent under the smallest equivalence relation containing the relation of local isomorphism.

The following can be found in Bredon ([3], pp. 411 and 422)

**Lemma 2.** 1. *Precosheaves  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  on  $X$  are equivalent if and only if there exists a precosheaf  $\mathcal{C}$  and local isomorphisms  $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ .*

2. *Composites of local isomorphisms are local isomorphisms.*

The following are also given by Bredon ([3] pp. 374)

**Definition 5.** *If  $\mathcal{A}$  is a precosheaf on  $X$  and  $U$  is an open subset of  $X$ , then  $\mathcal{A}|_U$  is the precosheaf on  $U$  defined by using the group  $\mathcal{A}(V)$  for  $V \subset U$  and structure maps  $i_{V,W}: \mathcal{A}(W) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(V)$  for open sets  $W \subset V \subset U$ . A precosheaf  $\mathcal{A}$  will be said to be “locally constant” if each point  $x \in X$  has a neighborhood  $U$  such that the precosheaf  $\mathcal{A}|_U$  on  $U$  is equivalent to a constant precosheaf. If this is the constant precosheaf  $M$ , where  $M$  is an abelian group, then  $\mathcal{A}$  is said to be “locally equivalent to  $M$ .” The space  $X$  will be said to possess “locally constant cohomology groups over  $\mathbf{Z}$  locally equivalent to  $M^*$ ,” where  $M^*$  is a graded abelian group, if the precosheaf  $\mathcal{H}^q: U \rightarrow H^q(U)$  is locally equivalent to  $M^q$  for all  $q$ .*

Bredon used the notation  $\mathcal{H}^q(X)$  for the precosheaf ( $U \rightarrow H^q(U)$ ) but we omit  $X$  on the notation since we use this precosheaf for only one space  $X$ .

**Definition 6.** *The space  $X$  is called an “ $n$ -dimensional cohomology manifold over  $\mathbf{Z}$ ” (denoted  $n - cm$ ) if  $X$  has locally constant cohomology groups, locally equivalent to  $\mathbf{Z}$  in degree  $n$ , and to zero in degrees other than  $n$ , and if  $\dim_{\mathbf{Z}} X < \infty$ .*

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $X$  be a compactum with  $\dim_{\mathbf{Z}} X < \infty$ . Then  $X$  is an  $n - cm$  iff for each  $x \in X$  there exists a decreasing sequence  $\{V_k\}$  of open neighborhoods of  $x$  and homomorphisms  $g_k: \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow H^n(V_k)$  and  $f_k: H^n(V_k) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$  such that  $\{V_k\}$  is a fundamental system of open neighborhoods of  $x$ ,  $\tau_k: H^q(V_{k+1}) \rightarrow H^q(V_k)$  is 0 for  $q \neq n$  and for each  $k$ , in the following diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 H^n(V_k) & \xleftarrow{\tau_k} & H^n(V_{k+1}) \\
 & \searrow f_k & \nearrow f_{k+1} \\
 & g_k & g_{k+1} \\
 & \searrow & \nearrow \\
 & \mathbf{Z} &
 \end{array}$$

$$g_k f_{k+1} = \tau_k \text{ and } f_k g_k = id_{\mathbf{Z}}.$$

**Proof:** ( $\Rightarrow$ ) By the definition of  $n - cm$ , the precosheaf  $\mathcal{H}^n(U \rightarrow H^n(U))$  is locally equivalent to  $\mathbf{Z}$ . Let  $x \in X$ . Then there exists an open neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$  such that there exist a precosheaf  $\mathcal{B}$  and local isomorphisms  $h: \mathcal{H}^n|_U \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  and  $l: \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ . By Lemma 1 we can find a decreasing sequence  $\{V_k\}$  of open neighborhoods of  $x$  in  $U$  such that  $\{V_k\}$  is a fundamental system of open neighborhoods of  $x$  and  $\text{Ker } h_k \subset \text{Ker } \tau_{k-1}$ ,  $\text{Im } i_k \subset \text{Im } h_k \cap \text{Im } l_k$  and  $\text{Ker } l_k \subset \text{Ker } j_{k-1}$  are true in the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 H^n(U) & \leftarrow \cdots & \leftarrow H^n(V_{k-1}) & \xleftarrow{\tau_{k-1}} & H^n(V_k) & \xleftarrow{\tau_k} & H^n(V_{k+1}) \leftarrow \cdots \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow h_{k-1} & & \downarrow h_k & & \downarrow h_{k+1} \\
 B_U & \leftarrow \cdots & \leftarrow B_{V_{k-1}} & \xleftarrow{i_{k-1}} & B_{V_k} & \xleftarrow{i_k} & B_{V_{k+1}} \leftarrow \cdots \\
 \uparrow & & \uparrow l_{k-1} & & \uparrow l_k & & \uparrow l_{k+1} \\
 Z_U & \leftarrow \cdots & \leftarrow Z_{k-1} & \xleftarrow{j_{k-1}} & Z_k & \xleftarrow{j_k} & Z_{k+1} \leftarrow \cdots
 \end{array}$$

where  $B_{V_k} = \mathcal{B}(V_k)$  and  $Z_k = \mathbf{Z}$  for all  $k$  and  $j_k = id_{\mathbf{Z}}$  for all  $k$ .

Since  $\text{Ker } j_{k-1} = 0$  and  $\text{Ker } l_k \subset \text{Ker } j_{k-1}$  for all  $k$ ,  $l_k$  is a monomorphism for all  $k$ . Also, since  $\text{Im } i_{k-1} \subset \text{Im } l_{k-1}$ , for each element  $a \in B_{V_k}$  there is a unique element  $a' \in Z_k$  such that  $i_{k-1}(a) = l_{k-1}j_{k-1}(a')$ . Define  $\varphi_k: B_{V_k} \rightarrow Z_k$  by  $\varphi_k(a) = a'$ . Then  $\varphi_k$  is a homomorphism. Since  $i_{k-1}l_k = l_{k-1}j_{k-1}$  and  $l_k$  is a monomorphism,  $\varphi_k$  is an epimorphism.

We now show that  $\varphi: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow Z$  is a local isomorphism. By Lemma 1 it suffices to show that  $\text{Ker } \varphi_k \subset \text{Ker } i_{k-1}$  and  $\text{Im } j_k \subset \text{Im } \varphi_k$ . Since  $\varphi_k$  is an epimorphism,  $\text{Im } j_k \subset \text{Im } \varphi_k$  holds. Also if  $\varphi_k(a) = 0$  then by the definition of  $\varphi_k$  we have that  $i_{k-1}(a) = l_{k-1}j_{k-1}(0) = 0$ . Thus  $a \in \text{Ker } i_{k-1}$ . Therefore  $\varphi$  is a local isomorphism. Thus, by Lemma 2,  $\varphi \circ h$  is a local isomorphism.

We now show that  $\varphi_k \circ h_k$  is an epimorphism. Let  $a_k \in Z_k$ , then there is  $a_{k+1} \in Z_{k+1}$  such that  $j_k(a_{k+1}) = a_k$ . Since  $\varphi_{k+1}$  is an epimorphism, there is an element  $b_{k+1} \in B_{V_{k+1}}$  such that  $\varphi_{k+1}(b_{k+1}) = a_{k+1}$ . Thus  $i_k(b_{k+1}) \in B_{V_k}$  and  $\varphi_k i_k(b_{k+1}) = j_k \varphi_{k+1}(b_{k+1}) = a_k$ . Since  $\text{Im } i_k \subset \text{Im } h_k$  there is an element  $c_k \in H^n(V_k)$  such that  $h_k(c_k) = i_k(b_{k+1})$ . Therefore  $\varphi_k h_k(c_k) = \varphi_k i_k(b_{k+1}) = a_k$ .

Note that the relationships  $\text{Ker } \varphi_k h_k \subset \text{Ker } \tau_{k-1}$  and  $\text{Im } j_k \subset \text{Im } \varphi_k h_k$  are not necessarily true but we have a subsequence of  $\{V_k\}$  which satisfies the above condition. Abusing notation by using the same notation for this subsequence, we have the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H^n(V_{k-1}) & \xleftarrow{\tau_{k-1}} & H^n(V_k) & \xleftarrow{\tau_k} & H^n(V_{k+1}) \\ \downarrow f_{k-1} & & \downarrow f_k & & \downarrow f_{k+1} \\ Z_{k-1} & \xleftarrow{j_{k-1}} & Z_k & \xleftarrow{j_k} & Z_{k+1} \end{array}$$

with the properties that for each  $k$ ,  $f_k$  is an epimorphism,  $\text{Ker } f_k \subset \text{Ker } \tau_{k-1}$  and  $\text{Im } j_k \subset \text{Im } f_k$ .

Since, for each  $k$ ,  $f_k$  is an epimorphism and  $Z_k = \mathbf{Z}$  is a free abelian group, we have  $H^n(V_k) \cong \text{Ker } f_k \oplus Z_k$ . We also have an isomorphism  $H^n(V_k)/\text{Ker } f_k \cong Z_k$ ; call it  $f'_k$ . Since  $\text{Ker } f_{k+1} \subset \text{Ker } \tau_k$ , we have a homomorphism

$$\tau'_k: H^n(V_{k+1})/\text{Ker } f_{k+1} \rightarrow H^n(V_k)$$

such that  $\tau_k = \tau'_k p_{k+1}$ , where

$$p_{k+1}: H^n(V_{k+1}) \rightarrow H^n(V_{k+1})/\text{Ker } f_{k+1}$$



is the natural homomorphism.

Define  $g_k: Z_k \rightarrow H^n(V_k)$  by  $g_k = \tau'_k \circ f'_{k+1}{}^{-1} \circ j_k^{-1}$ . Then

$$f_k g_k = f_k \tau'_k f'_{k+1}{}^{-1} j_k^{-1} = j_k f'_{k+1} f'_{k+1}{}^{-1} j_k^{-1} = id_{Z_k}$$

and

$$\tau_k = \tau'_k p_{k+1} = \tau'_k f'_{k+1}{}^{-1} f_{k+1} = \tau'_k f'_{k+1}{}^{-1} j_k^{-1} j_k f_{k+1} = g_k j_k f_{k+1}.$$

Therefore if we let  $j_k = id_Z$ , we have the desired diagram.

For  $q \neq n$ , by Lemma 2, there exist a precosheaf  $\mathcal{C}$  and local isomorphisms  $h: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^q|U$  and  $l: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow 0$ . Then we have a subsequence of  $\{V_k\}$  (abusing notation we use the same notation again) such that in the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H^q(V_{k-1}) & \xleftarrow{\tau_{k-1}} & H^q(V_k) & \xleftarrow{\tau_k} & H^q(V_{k+1}) \\ \uparrow h_{k-1} & & \uparrow h_k & & \uparrow h_{k+1} \\ C_{V_{k-1}} & \xleftarrow{i_{k-1}} & C_{V_k} & \xleftarrow{i_k} & C_{V_{k+1}} \\ \downarrow l_{k-1} & & \downarrow l_k & & \downarrow l_{k+1} \\ 0_{k-1} & \xleftarrow{j_{k-1}} & 0_k & \xleftarrow{j_k} & 0_{k+1} \end{array}$$

for each  $k$ ,  $\text{Ker } h_k \cup \text{Ker } l_k \subset \text{Ker } i_{k-1}$ ,  $\text{Im } \tau_k \subset \text{Im } h_k$ , and  $\text{Im } j_k \subset \text{Im } l_k$ . Where  $0_k = 0$  for each  $k$ . Thus  $i_k = 0$  for each  $k$ . If we let  $\varphi_k: 0_k \rightarrow C_{V_k}$  be the zero homomorphism then, since  $i_k = 0$ ,  $\varphi$  is a local isomorphism by Lemma 1. Hence  $h \circ \varphi$  is a local isomorphism. Therefore there is a subsequence of  $\{V_k\}$  (again we use the same notation for this subsequence) which has the property that  $\text{Im } \tau_k \subset \text{Im } (h_k \circ \varphi_k) = 0$ . Hence  $\tau_k = 0$ .

( $\Leftarrow$ ) By Lemma 1, we have local isomorphisms  $f: \mathcal{H}^n|U \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$  and  $\mathcal{H}^q|U \rightarrow 0$  for  $q \neq n$ . For any  $V \subset U$  let  $\mathcal{B}(V) = H^n(V)/\text{Ker } f_V$ , and let  $h_V: H^n(V) \rightarrow H^n(V)/\text{Ker } f_V$  be the natural homomorphism. Then it is easy to see that  $h: \mathcal{H}^n|U \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  is a local isomorphism. Let  $g_V: \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow H^n(V)/\text{Ker } f_V$  be the isomorphism such that  $g_V^{-1} h_V = f_V$ . Then  $g: \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  is a local

isomorphism. Therefore, the precosheaf  $\mathcal{H}^n: U \rightarrow H^n(U)$  is locally equivalent to  $\mathbf{Z}$ . Hence  $X$  is an  $n - cm$ .

### 3. PROPERTIES OF COMPACTA THAT ARE PRESERVED BY FACTORIZATION THROUGH A CLASS OF SPACES

**Definition 7.** Let  $X$  be a compactum and  $\Pi$  a class of spaces. Then  $X$  is said to "have a factorization through  $\Pi$ " provided for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there exist a space  $Y \in \Pi$ , a surjective map  $f_\epsilon: X \rightarrow Y$ , and a map  $g_\epsilon: Y \rightarrow X$  such that  $d(g_\epsilon f_\epsilon, id_X) < \epsilon$ .  $X$  is said to "have an approximate factorization through  $\Pi$ " provided for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there exist a space  $Y \in \Pi$  and maps  $f_\epsilon: X \rightarrow Y$  and  $g_\epsilon: Y \rightarrow X$  such that  $d(g_\epsilon f_\epsilon, id_X) < \epsilon$ .

Clearly, If  $X$  has a factorization through a class  $\Pi$  of spaces, then  $X$  has an approximate factorization through  $\Pi$ .

**Lemma 3.** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be compacta and  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  a map. Suppose that  $a \in H^q(X)$  and  $b \in H^q(Y)$  such that  $f^*(b) = a$ . Then there exists a positive  $\epsilon$  such that if  $g: X \rightarrow Y$  is a map with  $d(f, g) < \epsilon$ , then  $g^*(b) = a$ .

**Proof:** Consider  $Y$  as a closed subset of Hilbert cube  $Q$ . By the weak continuity of the Alexander-Spanier cohomology with compact supports, there exist a closed neighborhood  $P$  of  $Y$  in  $Q$  and  $c \in H^q(P)$  such that  $i^*(c) = b$ , where  $i^*: H^q(P) \rightarrow H^q(Y)$  is induced by inclusion. Let  $\epsilon = d(Y, Q \setminus \text{int} P)$ .

If  $g: X \rightarrow Y$  is a map with  $d(f, g) < \epsilon$ , then  $d(if, ig) < \epsilon$ . Thus  $if \simeq ig$  in  $P$  and therefore  $(if)^*(c) = (ig)^*(c)$ . Hence  $g^*(b) = g^*i^*(c) = f^*i^*(c) = f^*(b) = a$ .

**Theorem 1.** Suppose that  $X$  is a compactum,  $n$  and  $n'$  are positive integers, and  $\Pi$  is a class of compacta such that for each  $Y \in \Pi$  the  $q$ -th cohomology group  $H^q(Y)$  is finitely generated and the number of generators and torsion elements of  $H^q(Y)$  is less than  $n$  and  $n'$ , respectively. Suppose also that  $X$  has an approximate factorization through  $\Pi$ . Then  $H^q(X)$  is finitely generated and the number of generators and torsion elements of  $H^q(X)$  is less than  $n$  and  $n'$ , respectively.

**Proof:** By Lemma 3 combined with the hypothesis, for each  $a \in H^q(X)$  there exists a positive number  $\epsilon_a$  such that if  $\epsilon \leq \epsilon_a$  then  $(g_\epsilon f_\epsilon)^*(a) = f_\epsilon^*(g_\epsilon^*(a)) = a$ , where  $f_\epsilon: X \rightarrow Y_\epsilon$ , and  $g_\epsilon: Y_\epsilon \rightarrow X$  are maps such that  $d(g_\epsilon f_\epsilon, id_X) < \epsilon$ .

We first show that the number of linearly independent elements in  $H^q(X)$  is less than  $n$ . Suppose that  $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_s\}$  is a linearly independent set in  $H^q(X)$ . If  $\epsilon \leq \min(\epsilon_{a_1}, \dots, \epsilon_{a_s})$ , then we have that  $f_\epsilon^* g_\epsilon^*(a_k) = a_k$  for each  $k$ . It is easy to see that  $\{g_\epsilon^*(a_k)\}_{k=1}^s$  is a linearly independent set in  $H^q(Y_\epsilon)$ . Thus  $s < n$ .

We now show that the number of torsion elements of  $H^q(X)$  is less than  $n'$ . Let  $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_s\}$  be a set of distinct torsion elements of  $H^q(X)$ . If  $\epsilon \leq \min(\epsilon_{a_1}, \dots, \epsilon_{a_s})$ , then for each  $1 \leq k \leq s$   $f_\epsilon^* g_\epsilon^*(a_k) = a_k$  and  $g_\epsilon^*(a_k)$  is a torsion element of  $H^q(Y_\epsilon)$ . Thus  $\{g_\epsilon^*(a_1), \dots, g_\epsilon^*(a_s)\}$  is a set of distinct torsion elements of  $H^q(Y_\epsilon)$ . Thus  $s < n'$ .

Let  $T(X)$  and  $T(Y_\epsilon)$  be torsion subgroups of  $H^q(X)$  and  $H^q(Y_\epsilon)$ , respectively. Then  $f_\epsilon^*$  and  $g_\epsilon^*$  induce homomorphisms

$$\bar{f}_\epsilon^*: H^q(Y_\epsilon)/T(Y_\epsilon) \rightarrow H^q(X)/T(X)$$

and

$$\bar{g}_\epsilon^*: H^q(X)/T(X) \rightarrow H^q(Y_\epsilon)/T(Y_\epsilon).$$

Let  $\bar{H}^q(X)$  and  $\bar{H}^q(Y_\epsilon)$  denote  $H^q(X)/T(X)$  and  $H^q(Y_\epsilon)/T(Y_\epsilon)$ , respectively. For each  $a \in H^q(X)$  let  $\bar{a} = a + T(X)$ . Since  $T(X)$  is finite, in order to prove  $H^q(X)$  is finitely generated, it suffices to show that  $\bar{H}^q(X)$  is a finitely generated free abelian group.

Let  $K$  be a free subgroup of  $\bar{H}^q(X)$  with maximum rank. Then  $K$  is a subgroup generated by  $\bar{a}_1, \dots, \bar{a}_s$  with  $s < n$ . If  $\bar{a} \in \bar{H}^q(X)$  then  $\{\bar{a}, \bar{a}_1, \dots, \bar{a}_s\}$  is not a linearly independent set. Thus there is a positive integer  $m$  such that  $m\bar{a} \in K$ . Therefore  $\bar{H}^q(X)/K$  is a torsion group.

Choose a positive  $\epsilon$  such that  $\epsilon \leq \min(\epsilon_{a_1}, \dots, \epsilon_{a_s})$ . Then  $\bar{H}^q(X) \cong \text{Ker } \bar{g}_\epsilon^* \oplus \text{Im } \bar{g}_\epsilon^*$  and  $\text{Im } \bar{g}_\epsilon^*$  is free. If  $\bar{a} \in K \cap \text{Ker } \bar{g}_\epsilon^*$ ,

then  $\bar{a} = \sum_{k=1}^s m_k \bar{a}_k$  and so

$$0 = \bar{f}_\epsilon^* \bar{g}_\epsilon^*(\bar{a}) = \bar{f}_\epsilon^* \bar{g}_\epsilon^*\left(\sum m_k \bar{a}_k\right) = \sum m_k \bar{f}_\epsilon^* \bar{g}_\epsilon^*(\bar{a}_k) = \sum m_k \bar{a}_k = \bar{a}.$$

Thus  $\text{Ker } \bar{g}_\epsilon^* \cong (\text{Ker } \bar{g}_\epsilon^* + K)/K \leq \bar{H}^q(X)/K$ .

But  $\text{Ker } \bar{g}_\epsilon^*$  is torsion-free and  $\bar{H}^q(X)/K$  is torsion and hence  $\text{Ker } \bar{g}_\epsilon^* = 0$ . Therefore  $\bar{H}^q(X) \cong \text{Im } \bar{g}_\epsilon^*$  which is free.

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $X$  be a locally connected compactum that has a factorization through a class  $\Pi$  of locally connected compacta. Then if  $A$  and  $C$  are proper closed subsets of  $X$  such that  $A \subset \text{int}(C)$ , there exists a positive  $\eta$  such that for any  $\epsilon \leq \eta$  there exist a proper closed subset  $B$  of a locally connected compactum  $Y$  in  $\Pi$  and two maps of pairs*

$$(X, A) \xrightarrow{f_\epsilon} (Y, B) \xrightarrow{g_\epsilon} (X, C)$$

*such that  $d(g_\epsilon f_\epsilon, h) < \epsilon$ , where  $h: (X, A) \hookrightarrow (X, C)$ . Moreover, if  $X \setminus A$  is connected,  $Y \setminus B$  may also be assumed to be connected.*

It is a generalization of a result of Ganea [6], but his argument works here too. So we omit the proof.

We have the following properties of  $n - cm$  [1].

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $X$  be a connected locally compact Hausdorff  $n - cm$ . Then*

- (1)  $H^n(A) = 0$  for every proper closed subset  $A$  of  $X$ .
- (2) If  $X$  is orientable and  $U$  is connected, then  $\tau_{U,X}$  is an isomorphism.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $X$  be a connected and locally connected compactum that has a factorization through the class of orientable  $n - cm$  compacta. If  $U$  is a connected open subset of  $X$ , then*

$$\tau_{U,X}: H^n(U) \rightarrow H^n(X)$$

*is an isomorphism.*

**Proof:** Let  $A = X \setminus U$ . We first show that the map  $i^*: H^{n-1}(X) \rightarrow H^{n-1}(A)$  induced by the inclusion is an epimorphism. Let  $a \in H^{n-1}(A)$ . By the weak continuity of the

Alexander-Spanier cohomology with compact supports, there exists a closed neighborhood  $C$  of  $A$  such that there is  $c \in H^{n-1}(C)$  with  $h_1^*(c) = a$ , where  $h_1^*: H^{n-1}(C) \rightarrow H^{n-1}(A)$  is induced by inclusion. By Lemma 3, there exists a positive  $\epsilon_1$  such that for any map  $f: A \rightarrow C$  with  $d(f, h_1) < \epsilon_1$ , we have  $f^*(c) = a$ . Since  $X$  has a factorization through the class of  $n - cm$  compacta, by Lemma 4, there exist a positive  $\epsilon (< \epsilon_1)$ , a proper closed subset  $B$  of an  $n - cm$  compactum  $Y$  such that  $Y \setminus B$  is connected, and two maps of pairs

$$(X, A) \xrightarrow{f} (Y, B) \xrightarrow{g} (X, C)$$

such that  $d(gf, h) < \epsilon$ , where  $h: (X, A) \hookrightarrow (X, C)$ .

Let  $f_1: X \rightarrow Y$ ,  $f_2: A \rightarrow B$ ,  $g_1: Y \rightarrow X$ , and  $g_2: B \rightarrow C$  be the maps induced by  $f$  and  $g$ , respectively. Then  $f_2^*g_2^*(c) = h_1^*(c) = a$ .

Following the argument of A. Deleanu [4] and Ganea [6], it is easy to show that  $a$  is in the image of  $i^*$  and therefore  $i^*$  is an epimorphism. This implies that  $\delta_{X,A}: H^{n-1}(A) \rightarrow H^n(U)$  in the long exact sequence is 0, and so  $\tau_{U,X}$  is a monomorphism.

The same argument also shows that  $H^n(A) = 0$  and hence  $\tau_{U,X}$  is an epimorphism.

**Remark.** If we use  $\mathbf{Z}/2$  coefficients, then Theorem 2 is true even when  $X$  has a factorization through the class of  $n - cm$  compacta.

**Lemma 6.** [6] *Suppose that  $X$  is a compact ANR such that for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists an  $\epsilon$ -map of  $X$  onto a closed  $n$ -dimensional orientable manifold (depending on  $\epsilon$ ). Then  $X$  has a factorization through the class of closed  $n$ -dimensional orientable manifolds.*

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $X$  be an  $n$ -dimensional connected compact ANR such that for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists an  $\epsilon$ -map of  $X$  onto a closed  $n$ -dimensional orientable manifold (depending on  $\epsilon$ ). Let  $U$  be a non-empty connected open subset of  $X$ . Then the*

*homomorphism*

$$\tau_{U,X}: H^n(U) \rightarrow H^n(X)$$

*is an isomorphism.*

**Proof:** By Lemma 6 and Theorem 2, it is clear.

The above corollary is a theorem of A. Deleanu [4]. So our Theorem 2 is a generalization of Deleanu's.

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $X$  be an  $n$ -dimensional space satisfying the conditions stated in Theorem 2. Then  $H^n(X) \neq 0$ .*

Ganea's argument [6] works here too. So we omit the proof.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $X$  be an  $n$ -dimensional connected and locally connected compactum that has a factorization through the class of orientable  $n - cm$  compacta. Let  $A$  be a closed subset of  $X$  and  $x \in A$ . A necessary and sufficient condition for  $x$  to be an interior point of  $A$  is that*

$$H^n(U) \neq 0$$

*for all sufficiently small neighborhoods  $U$  of  $x$  in  $A$ .*

The above theorem is a generalization of the second theorem of A. Deleanu [4], but his argument works here too. So we omit the proof. The following is the second theorem of A. Deleanu [4];

**Corollary 3.** *Let  $X$  be an  $n$ -dimensional connected compact ANR such that for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists an  $\epsilon$ -map of  $X$  onto a closed  $n$ -dimensional orientable manifold (depending on  $\epsilon$ ). Let  $A$  be a closed subset of  $X$  and  $x \in A$ . A necessary and sufficient condition for  $x$  to be an interior point of  $A$  is that*

$$H^n(U) \neq 0$$

*for all sufficiently small neighborhoods  $U$  of  $x$  in  $A$ .*

**Corollary 4.** (Invariance of domain). *Let  $X$  be an  $n$ -dimensional connected and locally connected compactum that*

has a factorization through the class of orientable  $n - cm$  compacta. Let  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  be homeomorphic subsets of  $X$ . If  $G_1$  is open, then  $G_2$  is also open.

Deleanu's argument [4] works here. so we omit the proof.

## REFERENCES

- [1] A. Borel, *Seminar on Transformation Groups*, Annals of Mathematics Studies 46 (1960). MR 22:7129.
- [2] K. Borsuk, *Theory of Retracts*, Monografie Matematyczne 44, Polish Scientific Publishers, Warszawa 1967. MR 35:7306.
- [3] G. E. Bredon, *Sheaf Theory*, Second Edition, Graduate texts in Mathematics 170, Springer, 1997.
- [4] A. Deleanu *On Spaces which may be mapped with Arbitrarily Small Counter-Images onto Manifolds*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys, 10 (1962), 193-198. MR 25:2602.
- [5] S. Eilenberg and N. Steenrod, *Foundations of Algebraic Topology*, Princeton University Press, 1952. MR 14:398.
- [6] T. Ganea, *On  $\epsilon$ -Maps onto Manifolds*, Fund. Math., 47 (1959) 35-44. MR 21:4427.
- [7] K. Kuratowski, *Topology II*, Monografie Matematyczne 21, Polish Scientific Publishers, Warszawa, 1968. MR 41:4467.
- [8] W. S. Massey, *Homology and Cohomology Theory*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1978. MR 58:7594.
- [9] K. Nagami, *Dimension Theory*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, 37, Academic Press. New York and London 1970. MR 42:6799.
- [10] E. H. Spanier, *Algebraic Topology*, Springer-Verlag Publishers, New York, 1966. MR 35:1007.

PUSAN NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, PUSAN KOREA 609-735

E-mail address: [choijon@hyowon.cc.pusan.ac.kr](mailto:choijon@hyowon.cc.pusan.ac.kr)