# **Topology Proceedings**



Web:	http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/
Mail:	Topology Proceedings
	Department of Mathematics & Statistics
	Auburn University, Alabama 36849, USA
E-mail:	topolog@auburn.edu
ISSN:	0146-4124

COPYRIGHT © by Topology Proceedings. All rights reserved.



# A SPECIAL SUBSET OF THE REAL LINE AND REGULARITY OF WEAK TOPOLOGIES

### MASAMI SAKAI

ABSTRACT. We introduce the notion of a  $\sigma'$ -set in the real line and use it to characterize regularity of spaces with a weak topology.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The letter N denotes the set of natural numbers, I the closed unit interval [0, 1], and the symbol  $\omega$  stands for the first infinite cardinal.

Let A be a non-empty subset of I. We set  $X(A) = (A \times \{0\}) \cup (\bigcup_{n \in N} I \times \{1/n\})$ . Let  $\mathcal{E}(A)$  be the relative Euclidean topology of X(A) in the plane. We introduce a new topology for X(A). For every  $x \in A$  let  $S_x = \{(x,0)\} \cup \{(x,1/n) : n \in N\}$ . Consider the cover  $\mathcal{C}(A) = \{S_x : x \in A\} \cup \{A \times \{0\}\} \cup \{I \times \{1/n\} : n \in N\}$ , where each element of  $\mathcal{C}$  has the usual topology. Let

 $\mathcal{T}(A) = \{ U : U \subset X(A), U \cap C \text{ is relatively open in } C \text{ for every } C \in \mathcal{C}(A) \}.$ 

Obviously  $\mathcal{T}(A)$  is a topology on X(A). The space  $(X(A), \mathcal{T}(A))$  is Hausdorff because of  $\mathcal{E}(A) \subset \mathcal{T}(A)$ .

<sup>1991</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 54A10, 54D10, 54H05.

Key words and phrases. Sierpiński set,  $\sigma'$ -set,  $\sigma$ -set,  $\lambda'$ -set,  $\lambda$ -set, weak topology.

In general, a space X is said to be determined by a cover  $\mathcal{P}$ , if  $U \subset X$  is open in X if and only if  $U \cap P$  is relatively open in P for every  $P \in \mathcal{P}$  [2]. Our space  $(X(A), \mathcal{T}(A))$  is determined by the cover  $\mathcal{C}(A)$ . The space  $(X(I), \mathcal{T}(I))$  was introduced in [10, Example 1.6.(2)] to show that there is a regular separable, Lindelöf space which is determined by a point-finite cover of compact metric spaces, but is not dominated by a cover of metric spaces. But, as we see later, the space  $(X(I), \mathcal{T}(I))$  is not regular. The purpose of the paper is to give a characterization of regularity of  $(X(A), \mathcal{T}(A))$ .

When we try to characterize regularity of  $(X(A), \mathcal{T}(A))$ , We naturally reach a special subset of the real line. First we recall some special subsets of the real line, refer [4, §40] or [6] as a survey. Let A be a subset of the real line, then A is called a  $\lambda$ -set (or rarefied set in the sence of Kuratowski) if every countable subset of A is a  $G_{\delta}$ -set in A, and A is called a  $\lambda'$ -set if for every countable subset F of the real line, F is a  $G_{\delta}$ -set in  $A \cup F$ . Obviously every  $\lambda'$ -set is a  $\lambda$ -set. It is easy to see that A is a  $\lambda'$ -set iff for every countable subset F of the real line,  $A \cup F$  is a  $\lambda$ -set, moreover iff for every countable subset Fof the real line, there is an  $F_{\sigma}$ -set H of the real line such that  $F \cap H = \emptyset$  and  $A \subset F \cup H$ . It is known that there is a  $\lambda'$ -set of cardinality  $\omega_1$  in ZFC, for example see [6, p. 215]. Rothberger showed that not every  $\lambda$ -set is a  $\lambda'$ -set [7].

When we replace "countable subset" in the definition of a  $\lambda$ -set by " $F_{\sigma}$ -set", we obtain the notion of a  $\sigma$ -set. A set A is called a  $\sigma$ -set if every  $F_{\sigma}$ -set of A is a  $G_{\delta}$ -set in A. It is known that it is consistent that there are no uncountable  $\sigma$ -sets [5, Theorem 22]. It is easy to see that A is a  $\sigma$ -set iff for every  $F_{\sigma}$ -set F of the real line, there is an  $F_{\sigma}$ -set H in the real line such that  $F \cap H \cap A = \emptyset$  and  $A \subset F \cup H$ .

Now we introduce the notion of a  $\sigma'$ -set to characterize regularity of  $(X(A), \mathcal{T}(A))$ .

**Definition 1.1.** A set A in the real line is called a  $\sigma'$ -set if for every  $F_{\sigma}$ -set F of the real line there is an  $F_{\sigma}$ -set H in the real line such that  $F \cap H = \emptyset$  and  $A \subset F \cup H$ .

Obviously every  $\sigma'$ -set is both a  $\sigma$ -set and a  $\lambda'$ -set. Not every  $\sigma$ -set is a  $\sigma'$ -set. In [6, Theorem 5.7] Miller constructed an uncountable  $\sigma$ -set X which is concentrated on a countable set under the continuum hypothesis, where a set A is said to be concentrated on a set D if for every open set G with  $D \subset G$ , A - G is countable. Since every  $\lambda'$ -set concentrated on a countable set is countable. Since every  $\lambda'$ -set, in particular not a  $\sigma'$ -set. Not every  $\lambda'$ -set is a  $\sigma'$ -set. Let Y be a  $\lambda'$ set of cardinality  $\omega_1$  in ZFC, for example see [6, p. 215]. In a model guaranteeing that there are no uncountable  $\sigma$ -sets, see [5, Theorem 22], such a Y is a  $\lambda'$ -set which is not a  $\sigma'$ -set.

A subset A of the real line is called a Sierpiński set if it is uncountable and for every Lebesgue measure zero set F, the set  $F \cap A$  is countable. We can construct a Sierpiński set by assuming the continuum hypothesis [8]. It is known that every Sierpiński set is a  $\sigma$ -set [9]. By using the same idea, we can see the following fact.

Fact 1.2. Every Sierpiński set is a  $\sigma'$ -set.

**Proof:** Let A be a Sierpiński set and F an  $F_{\sigma}$ -set of the real line R. Since every Lebesgue measurable set is the union of an  $F_{\sigma}$ -set and a measure zero set, we can set  $R - F = H \cup Z$ , where H is an  $F_{\sigma}$ -set and Z is a measure zero set. Since  $Z \cap A$ is countable, the set  $H \cup (Z \cap A)$  is a desired  $F_{\sigma}$ -set.  $\Box$ 

Let C be the Cantor set obtained by deleting the open middle third of I, and let f a homeomorphism of I onto I such that f(C) has a positive Lebesgue measure, for example see [3, p. 83]. By the standard method to obtain a Sierpiński set we can construct a Sierpiński set X in f(C) under the continuum hypothesis. Then  $f^{-1}(X)$  is a  $\sigma'$ -set which is not a Sierpiński set.

#### 2. MAIN RESULTS

For every  $E \subset X(A)$ , we set  $E_0 = \{x \in A : (x,0) \in E\}$  and  $E_n = \{x \in I : (x,1/n) \in E\}$  for every  $n \in N$ . The following lemma is easy to check.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let U be a subset of X(A), then the following are equivalent.

- (1) U is open in  $(X(A), \mathcal{T}(A))$ ,
- (2)  $U_0$  is open in A,  $U_n$  is open in I for every  $n \in N$  and  $U_0 \subset \bigcup_{n \in N} (\bigcap_{m > n} U_m)$  holds.

We denote by  $X_q(A)$  the quotient space of  $(X(A), \mathcal{T}(A))$ obtained by collapsing  $A \times \{0\}$  to one point.

**Theorem 2.2.** Let A be a non-empty subset of I, then the following are equivalent.

- (1) A is a  $\sigma'$ -set,
- (2)  $(X(A), \mathcal{T}(A))$  is regular,
- (3)  $X_q(A)$  is regular.

**Proof:** (1) $\rightarrow$ (2). Fix any  $a \in A$  and let F be a closed subset of  $(X(A), \mathcal{T}(A))$  such that  $(a, 0) \notin F$ . We show that (a, 0)and F can be separated by open sets. Since  $F \cap (A \times \{0\})$ is closed in  $(X(A), \mathcal{E}(A))$ , there is a  $V \in \mathcal{E}(A)(\subset \mathcal{T}(A))$  such that  $(a, 0) \in V$  and  $\overline{V} \cap F \cap (A \times \{0\}) = \emptyset$ . Thus we have only to see that (a, 0) and  $\bigcup_{n \in N} F \cap (I \times \{1/n\})$  can be separated by open sets. For every  $x \in A$  let  $\operatorname{ord}(x) = |\{n \in N : x \in F_n\}|$ , where recall  $F_n = \{x \in I : (x, 1/n) \in F\}$ . Since  $S_a \cap F$  is closed in  $S_a$ ,  $\operatorname{ord}(a)$  is finite. Hence, for simplicity, we may assume  $a \notin \bigcup_{n \in N} F_n$ . For every  $n \in N$  let  $H_n = \bigcup_{m \geq n} F_m$ . Since Ais a  $\sigma'$ -set, for every  $n \in N$  there is an  $F_{\sigma}$ -set  $J_n$  in I such that  $J_n \cap H_n = \emptyset$  and  $A \subset J_n \cup H_n$ . We set  $J_n = \bigcup_{m \in \omega} J_{nm}$ , where  $J_{nm}$  is closed in I,  $J_{nm} \subset J_{nm+1}$  and  $a \in J_{nm}$  for every  $m \in \omega$ . For every  $k \in N$   $(\bigcup_{n+m=k}J_{nm}) \cap F_k = \emptyset$  holds. Therefore there is an open set  $U_k$  in I such that  $\bigcup_{n+m=k}J_{nm} \subset U_k$  and  $\overline{U}_k \cap F_k = \emptyset$ . Let  $B = \{x \in A : ord(x) = \omega\}$ , where the closure is taken in A. Obviously  $a \notin B$ . Now we claim  $A - B \subset \bigcup_{n \in N} (\bigcap_{m \ge n} U_m)$ . In fact, let  $x \in A - B$ , then ord(x)is finite. Hence there is an  $n \in N$  with  $x \notin H_n$ . Moreover there is an  $m \in \omega$  such that  $x \in J_{nm}$ . Let k = n + m, then for every  $l \ge k, x \in J_{nm} \subset J_{nl-n} \subset \bigcup_{i+j=l} J_{ij} \subset U_l$ . Thus  $x \in \bigcap_{l \ge k} U_l$ . We set  $W = (A - B) \times \{0\} \cup (\bigcup_{n \in N} U_n \times \{1/n\})$ . By Lemma 2.1 W is an open neighborhood of (a, 0). Obviously  $\overline{W} \subset A \times \{0\} \cup (\bigcup_{n \in N} \overline{U}_n \times \{1/n\})$ , thus  $\overline{W} \cap (\bigcup_{n \in N} F \cap (I \times \{1/n\})) = \emptyset$ . The set  $V \cap W$  is a desired open neighborhood of (a, 0).

 $(2) \rightarrow (3)$ . Since  $(X(A), \mathcal{T}(A))$  is a regular Lindelöf space, it is normal [1, Theorem 3.8.2]. Hence  $A \times \{0\}$  and a closed subset  $F \subset X(A)$  with  $(A \times \{0\}) \cap F = \emptyset$  can be separated by open sets. This means that  $X_q(A)$  is regular.

 $(3) \rightarrow (1)$ . Assume that A is not a  $\sigma'$ -set. Then there is an  $F_{\sigma}$ -set B in I such that for every  $F_{\sigma}$ -set B' with  $B \cap B' = \emptyset$ ,  $\{B, B'\}$  does not cover A. Suppose that every  $x \in A$  has an open neighborhood  $U_x$  in A and an  $F_{\sigma}$ -set  $B_x$  in I such that  $B_x \cap B = \emptyset$  and  $U_x \subset B_x \cup B$ . Since A is Lindelöf,  $A = \bigcup_{i \in \omega} U_{x_i}$  for some  $\{x_i\}_{i \in \omega} \subset A$ . Then the set  $C = \bigcup_{i \in \omega} B_{x_i}$ is an  $F_{\sigma}$ -set satisfying  $C \cap B = \emptyset$  and  $A \subset C \cup B$ , which is a contradiction. Thus there is a point  $a \in A$  such that for every open neighborhood U of a in A and every  $F_{\sigma}$ -set B' in I with  $B \cap \overline{B'} = \emptyset$ ,  $\{B, B'\}$  does not cover U. We set  $B = \bigcup_{n \in N} B_n$ , where  $B_n$  is closed in I. Since each  $B_n$  is a zeroset in I, we may assume that  $\{B_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is point-finite in I. Let  $E = \bigcup_{n \in N} B_n \times \{1/n\}$ . By point-finite property of  $\{B_n\}_{n \in N}$ the set E is closed in  $(X(A), \mathcal{T}(A))$ . Suppose that there is an open neighborhood U of (a, 0) such that  $\overline{U} \cap E = \emptyset$ . We set  $U_0 = \{x \in A : (x,0) \in U\}$  and  $U_n = \{x \in I : (x,1/n) \in U\}$ for every  $n \in N$ . Obviously  $\overline{U}_n \cap B_n = \emptyset$  for every  $n \in N$ , and by Lemma 2.1  $U_0 \subset \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (\bigcap_{m \ge n} U_m)$ . Let  $F_n = \bigcap_{m \ge n} \overline{U}_m$  for every  $n \in N$ . If  $n \leq m$ , then  $F_n \cap B_m \subset \overline{U}_m \cap B_m = \emptyset$ . Hence  $F_n \cap (\bigcup_{m \ge n} B_m) = \emptyset$  for every  $n \in N$ . This implies that every  $F_n \cap B$  is closed in I. So we can set  $F_n - B = \bigcup_{m \in N} F_{nm}$ , where  $F_{nm}$  is closed in I. Let  $F = \bigcup_{n,m \in N} F_{nm}$ . The set F is an  $F_{\sigma}$ -set and  $F \cap B = \emptyset$ , moreover it is easy to see  $U_0 - B \subset F$ . This is a contradiction to the choice of  $a \in A$ . We conclude that for every open neighborhood U of (a, 0),  $\overline{U}$  intersects with E. In other words, for every open neighborhood V of E,  $\overline{V}$  intersects with  $A \times \{0\}$ . This means that  $X_q(A)$  is not regular.  $\Box$ 

By the theorem above, it is consistent with ZFC that there is an uncountable subset A of the real line such that  $(X(A), \mathcal{T}(A))$ is regular. Let A be a non-empty subset of I. We set X'(A) = $(A \times \{0\}) \cup (\bigcup_{n \in N} A \times \{1/n\})$ . We consider the cover  $\mathcal{C}'(A) =$  $\{S_x : x \in A\} \cup \{A \times \{0\}\} \cup \{A \times \{1/n\} : n \in N\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{T}'(A)$ be the topology on X'(A) determined by the cover  $\mathcal{C}'(A)$ . By the same idea as Theorem 2.2 we can see that the notion of a  $\sigma$ -set characterizes regularity of  $(X'(A), \mathcal{T}'(A))$ . We denote by  $X'_q(A)$  the quotient space of  $(X'(A), \mathcal{T}'(A))$  similar to  $X_q(A)$ .

**Theorem 2.3.** Let A be a non-empty subset of I, then the following are equivalent.

- (1) A is a  $\sigma$ -set,
- (2)  $(X'(A), \mathcal{T}'(A))$  is regular,
- (3)  $X'_{q}(A)$  is regular.

#### References

- [1] R. Engelking, General Topology, Helderman Verlag Berlin, 1989.
- [2] G. Gruenhage, E. Michael and Y. Tanaka, Spaces determined by point-countable covers, Pacific J. Math., 113 (1984), 303-332.
- [3] P. R. Halmos, Measure theory, Springer-Verlag, 1974.
- [4] C. Kuratowski, Topology, vol. I, Academic Press, New York, 1966.
- [5] A. W. Miller, On the length of Borel hierarchies, Ann. Math. Logic, 16 (1979), 233-267.
- [6] A. W. Miller, Special subsets of the real line, Handbook of Settheoretic Topology(K. Kunen and J. E. Vaughan ed.), Elsevier Science Publishers B.V., 1984.

- [7] F. Rothberger, Sur un ensemble de preière catégorie qui est dépourvu de la propriété  $\lambda$ , Fund. Math., **32** (1932), 294-300.
- [8] W. Sierpiński, Sur l'hypothese du continu, Fund. Math., 5 (1924), 177-187.
- [9] E. Szpilrajn(Marczewski), Sur un probleme de M. Banach, Fund. Math., 15 (1930), 212-214.
- [10] Y. Tanaka and Zhou Hao-xuan, Spaces determined by metric subsets, and their character, Q & A in General Topology, 3 (1985/6), 145– 160.

KANAGAWA UNIVERSITY,, YOKOHAMA 221-8686, JAPAN *E-mail address:* msakai@cc.kanagawa-u.ac.jp