

# Topology Proceedings



**Web:** <http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/>  
**Mail:** Topology Proceedings  
Department of Mathematics & Statistics  
Auburn University, Alabama 36849, USA  
**E-mail:** [topolog@auburn.edu](mailto:topolog@auburn.edu)  
**ISSN:** 0146-4124

---

COPYRIGHT © by Topology Proceedings. All rights reserved.

**A CONSISTENT EXAMPLE OF A  $\beta$ -NORMAL,  
NON-NORMAL SPACE**

LEW LUDWIG AND PAUL J. SZEPTYCKI

**Introduction.** In [AL], two new generalizations of normality were introduced. A space  $X$  is called  $\alpha$ -normal if for any two disjoint closed subsets  $A$  and  $B$  of  $X$  there exist disjoint open subsets  $U$  and  $V$  of  $X$  such that  $A \cap U$  is dense in  $A$  and  $B \cap V$  is dense in  $B$ . A space  $X$  is called  $\beta$ -normal if for any two disjoint closed subsets  $A$  and  $B$  of  $X$  there exist open subsets  $U$  and  $V$  of  $X$  such that  $A \cap U$  is dense in  $A$ ,  $B \cap V$  is dense in  $B$ , and  $\overline{U} \cap \overline{V} = \emptyset$ . Clearly, normality implies  $\beta$ -normality and  $\beta$ -normality implies  $\alpha$ -normality. In that article, many results about  $\alpha$ -normality and  $\beta$ -normality were proved. It was shown that there exists in ZFC an  $\alpha$ -normal space which is not  $\beta$ -normal. However, it was left open if there exists any spaces which are  $\beta$ -normal, but not normal (even consistently). It was also shown in [AL] that every regular, hereditarily separable space is  $\alpha$ -normal. It turns out that more is needed to attain  $\beta$ -normal, since an example was given there of a regular, hereditarily separable space that is not  $\beta$ -normal. The aim of this article is to show that there exists a  $\beta$ -normal non-normal space assuming the existence of an S-space that is normal and right-separated of type  $\omega_1$ . Examples of such spaces were constructed from CH and weaker axioms [T], [JKR]. See [R] for more on S-spaces.

**The example.** Collections  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  of subsets of  $\omega$  are said to be *separated* if there exists a set  $X \subseteq \omega$  such that  $a \subseteq^* X$  for each  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $b \cap X =^* \emptyset$  for each  $b \in \mathcal{B}$ . Let  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_0 \cup \mathcal{A}_1$  be an almost disjoint family of infinite subsets of  $\omega$  such that  $\mathcal{A}_0$  and  $\mathcal{A}_1$  cannot be separated. Moreover, assume any countable subset of  $\mathcal{A}$

can be separated from its complement. Luzin [L] has constructed such an example in ZFC.

Now consider the space  $\Psi(\omega, \mathcal{A}) = \omega \cup \mathcal{A}$  with the usual  $\Psi$ -space topology (see [vD]). Then  $\mathcal{A}_0$  and  $\mathcal{A}_1$  are two disjoint closed subsets of  $\Psi(\mathcal{A})$  which cannot be separated. Hence,  $\Psi(\mathcal{A})$  is not normal, but it is pseudo-normal (i.e. any two disjoint closed sets, one of which is countable, can be separated).

Fix  $A$ , a normal S-space, right separated of type  $\omega_1$ . Let  $Z = A \times \{0\} \cup A \times \{1\} \cup \omega$  and fix a bijection  $f : \Psi(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow Z$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} f(\mathcal{A}_0) &= A \times \{0\} \\ f(\mathcal{A}_1) &= A \times \{1\} \\ f|_{\omega} &= id|_{\omega} \end{aligned}$$

The topology on  $Z$  is as follows:  $U \subseteq Z$  is open in  $Z$  if and only if the set  $\{b \in A : (b, i) \in U\}$  is open in  $A$  and for all  $(a, i) \in U$  we have  $f^{-1}((a, i)) \subseteq^* (U \cap \omega)$  (equivalently  $f^{-1}(U)$  open in  $\Psi(\mathcal{A})$ ) and points in  $\omega$  are isolated. Observe that  $Z$  has the quotient topology on  $\Psi(\mathcal{A}) \cup (A \times 2)$  obtained by identifying each  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  to  $f(a)$ . Note that  $Z$  is not normal because then  $\mathcal{A}_0$  and  $\mathcal{A}_1$  could be separated.

**Claim:**  $Z$  is  $\beta$ -normal.

**Proof:** Let  $E$  and  $F$  be closed disjoint subsets of  $Z$ . Without loss of generality, assume  $E, F \subseteq A \times \{0\} \cup A \times \{1\}$ . For notational purposes, let

$$\begin{aligned} A_i &= A \times \{i\} \\ E_i &= E \cap A_i \\ F_i &= F \cap A_i \end{aligned}$$

where  $i \in \{0, 1\}$ . Moreover, since  $A_i$  is normal for  $i \in \{0, 1\}$ , there exists open disjoint sets  $G_i$  and  $H_i$  of  $A_i$  such that  $E_i \subseteq G_i$ ,  $F_i \subseteq H_i$ , and  $\text{cl}_{A_i} G_i \cap \text{cl}_{A_i} H_i = \emptyset$ . The space  $Z$  is hereditarily separable, so there exists countable dense subsets  $D_{E_i}$  of  $E_i$  and  $D_{F_i}$  of  $F_i$ . Since  $A_i$  is normal and right separated in type- $\omega_1$ , hence locally countable, there exists countable open subsets  $U_{E_i}$  of  $A_i$  and  $U_{F_i}$  of  $A_i$  such that  $D_{E_i} \subseteq U_{E_i}$ ,  $D_{F_i} \subseteq U_{F_i}$ ,  $\overline{U_{E_i}} \subseteq G_i$ , and  $\overline{U_{F_i}} \subseteq H_i$ .

Since  $f^{-1}(U_{E_i}) \subseteq \mathcal{A}_i$  and  $f^{-1}(U_{F_i}) \subseteq \mathcal{A}_i$ , are countable and  $\Psi(\mathcal{A})$  is pseudo-normal, there exists clopen, pairwise disjoint

$V_{E_i}, V_{F_i} \subseteq \Psi(\mathcal{A})$  such that  $V_{E_i} \cap \mathcal{A}_i = f^{-1}(U_{E_i})$  and  $V_{F_i} \cap \mathcal{A}_i = f^{-1}(U_{F_i})$ . Hence  $f(V_{E_i})$  and  $f(V_{F_i})$  are open in  $Z$  and

$$\overline{E_i \cap f(V_{E_i})} = E_i$$

$$\overline{F_i \cap f(V_{F_i})} = F_i$$

$$(f(V_{E_0}) \cup f(V_{E_1})) \cap (f(V_{F_0}) \cup f(V_{F_1})) \cap \omega = \emptyset.$$

It is straightforward to check that  $\overline{f(V_{E_i})} \cap (A_0 \cup A_1) \subseteq \overline{G_i}$  and  $\overline{f(V_{F_i})} \cap (A_0 \cup A_1) \subseteq \overline{H_i}$ .

Finally, since  $\overline{f(V_{E_i})} \cap A_i \subseteq \overline{G_i}$  and  $\overline{f(V_{F_i})} \cap A_i \subseteq \overline{H_i}$  with  $\overline{G_i} \cap \overline{H_i} = \emptyset$ , we have

$$\overline{f(V_{E_0}) \cup f(V_{E_1})} \cap \overline{f(V_{F_0}) \cup f(V_{F_1})} = \emptyset.$$

That is,  $Z$  is  $\beta$ -normal.

**Question 1.** Does there exist in ZFC a  $\beta$ -normal, non-normal space?<sup>1</sup>

**Remark.** Towards an example in ZFC, we considered the above construction and found sufficient conditions to produce a  $\beta$ -normal, non-normal space. If there exists an uncountable  $\lambda$  and spaces  $X$  and  $Y$  such that

- (1)  $X$  is normal, right separated of type  $\lambda$  with  $hd(X) < \lambda$
- (2)  $Y$  is not normal, scattered height 2,  $Y$  has  $\lambda$  non-isolated points and any two disjoint closed sets (one of which has size less than  $\lambda$ ) can be separated

then there exists a  $\beta$ -normal non-normal space.

So, a positive answer to the following question would yield a positive answer to Question 1:

**Question 2.** Do there exist uncountable  $\lambda$  and spaces  $X$  and  $Y$  satisfying parts 1 and 2 of the above remark?

For  $\lambda = \omega_1$  there do exist examples of spaces that satisfy part two of the remark. Most notably, the space  $\Psi(\omega, \mathcal{A})$  of this paper has these properties. However, we know of no ZFC example described in part one of the remark:

---

<sup>1</sup>After the submission of this article, Eva Murtinova constructed a Tychonoff,  $\beta$ -normal, non-normal space in ZFC.

**Question 3.** Do there exist in ZFC an uncountable cardinal  $\lambda$  and a space  $X$  which is normal, right separated of type  $\lambda$  with  $hd(X) < \lambda$ ?

Todorcevic has constructed many spaces in ZFC with the hereditary density less than the hereditary Lindelöf degree. For example, see Theorem 0.5 of [T]. One of these may give a positive answer to Question 2.

#### REFERENCES

- [AL] A. Arhangel'skii, L. Ludwig, *On  $\alpha$ -normal and  $\beta$ -normal spaces*, to appear in Comm. Math. Univ. Carol.
- [vD] E.K. van Douwen, *The integers and topology*, In: The Handbook of Set-Theoretic Topology, North Holland, (1988), 111-168.
- [JKR] I. Juhász, K. Kunen, M.E. Rudin, *Two more hereditarily separable non-Lindelöf spaces*, Can. J. Math, **28** (1976), no. 5, 998-1005.
- [L] N. Luzin, *On subsets of the series of natural number*, Isv. Akad. Navk. SSSR Ser. Mat. **11** (1947), 403-411. (Russian)
- [R] J. Roitman, *Basic  $S$  and  $L$* , In: The Handbook of Set-Theoretic Topology, North Holland, (1988), 295-326.
- [T] S. Todorcevic, *Partition problems in topology*, Contemp. Math., 84, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1989.

KENYON COLLEGE, GAMBIER, OH 43022

YORK UNIVERSITY, TORONTO, ONTARIO M3J 1P3