

# Topology Proceedings



**Web:** <http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/>  
**Mail:** Topology Proceedings  
Department of Mathematics & Statistics  
Auburn University, Alabama 36849, USA  
**E-mail:** [topolog@auburn.edu](mailto:topolog@auburn.edu)  
**ISSN:** 0146-4124

---

COPYRIGHT © by Topology Proceedings. All rights reserved.



## ON NAGATA SPACES

H. Junnila and Yun Ziqiu\*

### Abstract

A problem of J. Nagata is solved by a characterization of Nagata spaces.

### Introduction

All (topological) spaces considered below are assumed to be  $T_1$  and regular. The symbol  $X$  denotes a topological space. For definitions of concepts used here without definition, we refer the reader to [Gr].

Recall that a *network* of  $X$  is a family  $\mathcal{L}$  of subsets of  $X$  such that, for every open subset  $G$  of  $X$  and for every point  $x \in G$ , there exists  $L \in \mathcal{L}$  such that  $x \in L \subset G$ . The family  $\mathcal{L}$  is a *k-network* provided that, for every open set  $G$  and for every compact set  $K \subset G$ , there exists a finite subfamily  $\mathcal{L}'$  of  $\mathcal{L}$  such that  $K \subset \bigcup \mathcal{L}' \subset G$ . The family  $\mathcal{L}$  is a  $\pi$ -*base* provided that  $\mathcal{L}$  consists of nonempty open sets and, for every non-empty open set  $U$ , there exists  $L \in \mathcal{L}$  such that  $L \subset U$ .

A subset  $E$  of  $X$  is called  $\sigma$ -*discrete* ( $F_\sigma$ -*discrete*) if  $E$  is the union of countably many (closed and) relatively discrete subsets of  $X$ . If  $X$  is perfect (i.e., every open subset is an  $F_\sigma$ -set), then every  $\sigma$ -discrete subset of  $X$  is  $F_\sigma$ -discrete.

A family  $\mathcal{L}$  of subsets of  $X$  is called *interior-preserving* provided that we have  $\bigcap_{L \in \mathcal{L}'} \text{Int} L = \text{Int} \bigcap_{L \in \mathcal{L}'} L$  for every  $\mathcal{L}' \subset \mathcal{L}$ .

---

\* While this paper was written, the second author was visiting the University of Helsinki and supported by Magnus Ehrnrooth Foundation in Finland and Education Committee of Jiangsu Province in China.

*Mathematics Subject Classification:* 54E35, 54E99.

*Key words:* Nagata space, network,  $\pi$ -base, preopen.

In [Na], Nagata calls a family  $\mathcal{L}$  of subsets of  $X$  a *pip-family* (*pseudo-interior-preserving*) if  $\bigcap_{L \in \mathcal{L}'} L \subset \text{Int} \bigcap_{L \in \mathcal{L}'} \text{Cl}L$  for every  $\mathcal{L}' \subset \mathcal{L}$ . Note that every interior-preserving family consisting of open sets is pip. However, an arbitrary interior-preserving family may fail to be pip: a singleton family  $\{L\}$  is always interior-preserving, but it is pip only when  $L$  is *preopen*, i.e., when  $L \subset \text{IntCl}L$ .

A *Nagata space* is a first countable stratifiable space ([Ce]). By a result of [Itō], Nagata spaces coincide with first countable  $M_1$ -spaces. In [Na], Nagata proved that a regular space is metrizable if, and only if, the space has a  $k$ -network which is both  $\sigma$ -closure-preserving and  $\sigma$ -pip, and he asked whether a regular space is metrizable if there is such a network on  $X$  (see Problem 1 of [Na]). In this note, we characterize Nagata spaces by the existence of a  $\sigma$ -closure-preserving,  $\sigma$ -pip network. As a consequence, the above question of Nagata is answered negatively.

## Main Result

**Lemma 1.** *Every paracompact semimetrizable space has a dense metrizable  $\sigma$ -discrete subspace.*

*Proof.* Let  $X$  be a paracompact semimetrizable space, and let  $d$  be a semimetric on  $X$  such that, for every  $x \in X$ , the sets  $B(x, n) = \{y \in X : d(y, x) < \frac{1}{n}\}$ , for  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , form a neighbourhood base of  $x$  in  $X$ . For every  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , let  $D_n$  be a subset of  $X$  which is maximal among all subsets having the property that  $d(x, y) \geq \frac{1}{n}$  for any two distinct points  $x, y$  of the subset. Then we have that  $D_n \cap B(x, n) \neq \emptyset$  for all  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  and  $x \in X$ , and it follows that the set  $D = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n$  is dense in  $X$ . For every  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , the set  $D_n$  is relatively discrete, because we have that  $B(x, n) \cap D_n = \{x\}$  for each  $x \in D_n$ . Since the semimetrizable space  $X$  is perfect, the  $\sigma$ -discrete subset  $D$  of  $X$  is  $F_\sigma$ -discrete.

Hence we can write  $D$  in the form  $D = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} D'_n$  so that each  $D'_n$  is discrete and closed in  $X$ ; we may also assume that the sets  $D'_n$ , for  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , are mutually disjoint.

For every  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , it follows from paracompactness of  $X$  that there exists a discrete open family  $\{G_x : x \in D'_n\}$  such that  $x \in G_x$  for every  $x \in D'_n$ . It is easy to see that the family  $\{B(x, n) \cap G_x \cap D : x \in D \text{ and } n \in \mathbf{N}\}$  is a  $\sigma$ -discrete base of the subspace  $D$ . As a consequence,  $D$  is metrizable.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.** *The following conditions are mutually equivalent for a metacompact semimetrizable space  $X$ :*

- (1)  $X$  has a point-finite  $\pi$ -base.
- (2)  $X$  has an interior-preserving  $\pi$ -base.
- (3)  $X$  has a dense  $\sigma$ -discrete  $G_\delta$ -subset.

*Proof.* The equivalence of (1) and (2) is a consequence of Corollary 4.12 of [Ju].

(1) $\Rightarrow$ (3): Assume that  $\mathcal{B}$  is a point-finite  $\pi$ -base for  $X$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{L}$  the partition of  $X$  generated by  $\mathcal{B}$ , i.e.,  $\mathcal{L} = \{\bigcap(\mathcal{B})_x \setminus \bigcup(\mathcal{B} \setminus (\mathcal{B})_x) : x \in X\}$ , where  $(\mathcal{B})_x = \{B \in \mathcal{B} : x \in B\}$ . For each  $L \in \mathcal{L}$ , pick a point  $x_L$  from the set  $L$ . Let  $E = \{x_L : L \in \mathcal{L}\}$  and note that we have  $(\mathcal{B})_x \neq (\mathcal{B})_y$  whenever  $x$  and  $y$  are distinct points of  $E$ . We prove that  $E$  is a dense  $\sigma$ -discrete  $G_\delta$ -subset of  $X$ .

To show that  $E$  is dense in  $X$ , let  $O$  be a non-empty open subset of  $X$ . Since  $\mathcal{B}$  is a  $\pi$ -base, there exists  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  such that  $B \subset O$ . Take  $x \in B$  and let  $L \in \mathcal{L}$  be such that  $x \in L$ . Then  $L \cap B \neq \emptyset$ , and it follows from the definition of the sets of the family  $\mathcal{L}$  that we must have  $L \subset B$ . Take  $y \in E \cap L$ . Then have that  $y \in L \subset B \subset O$  and hence that  $E \cap O \neq \emptyset$ .

Next we show that  $E$  is a  $G_\delta$ -set. For each  $x \in E$ , let  $\langle V_n(x) \rangle_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a decreasing sequence of open neighbourhoods of  $x$  such that  $V_1(x) \subset \bigcap(\mathcal{B})_x$  and  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} V_n(x) = \{x\}$ . Set  $V_n = \bigcup_{x \in E} V_n(x)$ . We show that  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} V_n \subset E$ . Let  $x \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} V_n$ . For every  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , there exists  $z_n \in E$  such that  $x \in V_n(z_n)$ .

Let  $A = \{z_n : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ . To show that  $x \in E$ , it suffices to show that  $x \in A$ . Note that we have  $x \in V_1(a) \subset \bigcap (\mathcal{B})_a$  and therefore  $(\mathcal{B})_a \subset (\mathcal{B})_x$  for every  $a \in A$ ; it follows, since the family  $(\mathcal{B})_x$  is finite and since  $(\mathcal{B})_a \neq (\mathcal{B})_b$  for any two distinct points of  $E$ , that the set  $A$  is finite. Now we easily see that  $x \in A$ : if this were not the case, there would exist  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  such that  $x \in X \setminus V_n(a)$  for every  $a \in A$  and then we would have that  $x \notin V_n(z_n)$  – a contradiction. We have shown that  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} V_n \subset E$ ; since the converse inclusion holds trivially, we have shown that  $E$  is a  $G_\delta$ -set.

It remains to show that  $E$  is  $\sigma$ -discrete. For every  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , let  $E_n = \{z \in E : |(\mathcal{B})_z| = n\}$ , and note that we have  $\bigcap (\mathcal{B})_z \cap E_n = \{z\}$  for every  $z \in E_n$ ; as a consequence, the set  $E_n$  is relatively discrete in  $X$ . As the union of the sets  $E_n$ ,  $n = 0, 1, \dots$ , the set  $E$  is  $\sigma$ -discrete.

(3) $\Rightarrow$  (1): Let  $F$  be a  $\sigma$ -discrete dense  $G_\delta$  set of  $X$ . Since the semimetrizable space  $X$  is perfect, the  $\sigma$ -discrete subset  $F$  is  $F_\sigma$ -discrete, and hence we can write  $F = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n$  so that each  $F_n$  is discrete and closed in  $X$ . We may assume that  $F_0 = \emptyset$  and, for each  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ ,  $F_n \subset F_{n+1}$ . Also, we can write  $F = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} G_n$  so that, for every  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , the set  $G_n$  open and  $G_{n+1} \subset G_n$ . Since  $X$  is metacompact there exists, for every  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , a family  $\{W(n, z) : z \in F_n\}$  of open subsets of  $X$  such that, for every  $x \in X$ , the set  $\{z \in F : x \in W(n, z)\}$  is finite, and  $W(n, z) \cap F_n = \{z\}$  for every  $z \in F_n$ . For every  $z \in F$ , denote by  $n_z$  the least number  $n$  such that  $z \in F_n$ , and let  $\{V_n(z) : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$  be a decreasing open neighborhood base of  $z$  such that the following conditions are satisfied:

- (a)  $V_1(z) \subset W(n_z, z) \cap G_{n_z}$  and
- (b)  $V_1(z) \subset \bigcap \{V_n(y) : n \in \mathbf{N}, y \in F_{n_z-1} \text{ and } z \in V_n(y)\}$ .

We prove that the family

$$\mathcal{C} = \{V_1(z) : z \in F\} \cup \{\{x\} : x \text{ is isolated in } X\}$$

is a point-finite  $\pi$ -base of  $X$ . Let  $G$  be a nonempty open subset of  $X$ . Let  $z$  be a point of the non-empty set  $G \cap F$  and let

$n > n_z$  be such that  $V_n(z) \subset G$ . Set  $Q = V_n(z) \setminus \bigcup_{k < n} F_k$ , and note that  $Q$  is an open set which is non-empty unless the point  $z$  is isolated. For each  $q \in Q \cap F$  we have that  $z \in F_{n_q-1}$  and  $q \in V_n(z)$ , and this means that  $V_1(q) \subset V_n(z) \subset G$ . It follows from the foregoing that the family  $\mathcal{C}$  is a  $\pi$ -base of  $X$ .

For every  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , since  $\{W(n, z) : z \in F_n\}$  is a point-finite family of mutually distinct sets and since  $V_1(z) \subset W(n, z)$  for every  $x \in F_n \setminus \bigcup_{k < n} F_k$ , also the family  $\{V_1(x) : x \in F_n\}$  is point-finite. To show point-finiteness of  $\mathcal{C}$  at a point  $y$  of  $X$ , we consider two cases. If  $y \in F$ , then  $y \notin V_1(z)$  for any  $z \in F \setminus \bigcup_{k \leq n_y} F_k$ , and hence the family  $\{V_1(x) : x \in F\}$  is point-finite at  $y$ . If  $y \notin F$ , then there exists  $m \in \mathbf{N}$  such that  $y \notin G_n$  for any  $n > m$ ; in this case we have that  $y \notin V_1(z)$  for any  $z \in F \setminus \bigcup_{k \leq m} F_k$  and, again, the family  $\{V_1(x) : x \in F\}$  is point-finite at  $y$ . By the foregoing, the  $\pi$ -base  $\mathcal{C}$  is point-finite.  $\square$

**Remark.** Note that the full assumptions on  $X$  above were only needed to prove that (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). The given proof of (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) works for any  $X$  whose singleton subsets are  $G_\delta$ -sets, and the proof of (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) for any  $X$  which is metacompact, perfect and first countable.

**Proposition 1.** *A space  $X$  is a Nagata space if, and only if,  $X$  has a network which is both  $\sigma$ -closure-preserving and  $\sigma$ -pip.*

*Proof. Sufficiency.* If  $\mathcal{F} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_n$  is a network of  $X$  such that each  $\mathcal{F}_n$  is closure-preserving and pip, then it is easy to see that  $\{\text{IntCl}F : F \in \mathcal{F}\}$  is a  $\sigma$ -closure-preserving base of  $X$ , so that  $X$  is an  $M_1$ -space; moreover, for each  $x \in X$ , the family  $\{\text{Int} \cap \{\text{Cl}F : F \in (\mathcal{F}_n)_x\} : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$  is a countable neighborhood base of  $x$ , so that  $X$  is a Nagata space.

*Necessity.* Assume that  $X$  is a Nagata space. Then  $X$  is paracompact and semimetrizable. By Lemma 1,  $X$  has a metrizable  $F_\sigma$ -discrete dense subset  $M$ . By Lemma 2, the subspace  $M$  of  $X$  has a point-finite  $\pi$ -base  $\mathcal{L}$ . Since  $X$  is a first countable  $M_1$ -space, we can give open neighborhood bases  $\{B_{x,n} : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$  for

points  $x \in X$  in such a way that, for every  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , the family  $\mathcal{B}_n = \{B_{x,n} : x \in X\}$  is closure-preserving.

For all  $x \in X$  and  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , let  $B'_{x,n} = \bigcup\{L \in \mathcal{L} : L \subset B_{x,n}\} \cup \{x\}$ . Set  $\mathcal{F}_n = \{B'_{x,n} : x \in X\}$  for each  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , and let  $\mathcal{F} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_n$ . Since  $\{B_{x,n} : x \in X \text{ and } n \in \mathbf{N}\}$  is a base of  $X$ , the family  $\mathcal{F}$  is a network. To complete the proof, we show that each  $\mathcal{F}_n$  is closure-preserving and pip. Let  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  be given.

Since  $\mathcal{B}_n$  is closure-preserving, we can prove the same property for  $\mathcal{F}_n$  by showing that  $\text{Cl}B'_{x,n} = \text{Cl}B_{x,n}$  for each  $x \in X$ . So let  $x \in X$ . Since  $B'_{x,n} \subset B_{x,n}$ , it suffices to show that  $\text{Cl}B_{x,n} \subset \text{Cl}B'_{x,n}$ . Let  $y \in \text{Cl}B_{x,n}$  and let  $U$  be an open neighborhood of  $y$ . Then  $U \cap B_{x,n} \cap M$  is a nonempty open set of the subspace  $M$ . Since  $\mathcal{L}$  is a  $\pi$ -base of  $M$ , there is an  $L \in \mathcal{L}$  such that  $L \subset U \cap B_{x,n} \cap M$ . We have that  $L \subset B'_{x,n}$  and it follows that  $U \cap B'_{x,n} \neq \emptyset$ . By the foregoing, we have that  $y \in \text{Cl}B'_{x,n}$ . We have shown that  $\text{Cl}B_{x,n} \subset \text{Cl}B'_{x,n}$  and hence that  $\text{Cl}B_{x,n} = \text{Cl}B'_{x,n}$ .

It remains to prove that  $\mathcal{F}_n$  is pip. Let  $A \subset X$ . To show that  $\bigcap_{x \in A} B'_{x,n} \subset \text{Int} \bigcap_{x \in A} \text{Cl}B'_{x,n}$ , let  $y \in \bigcap_{x \in A} B'_{x,n}$ . Let  $A' = A \setminus \{y\}$ , and note that  $\bigcap(\mathcal{L})_y \subset \bigcap_{x \in A'} B'_{x,n}$ . Since  $\mathcal{L}$  is point-finite, the set  $\bigcap(\mathcal{L})_y \cap M$  is open in  $M$ . Let  $U$  be an open subset of  $X$  such that  $U \cap M = \bigcap(\mathcal{L})_y \cap M$  and, if  $(\mathcal{L})_y = \emptyset$ , then  $U = X$ ; note that  $U$  is a neighbourhood of  $y$  in  $X$ . We have that  $U \cap M \subset \bigcap_{x \in A'} B'_{x,n}$  and it follows by the density of  $M$  that  $U \subset \text{Cl} \bigcap_{x \in A'} B'_{x,n} \subset \bigcap_{x \in A'} \text{Cl}B'_{x,n}$ . We have shown that the set  $\bigcap_{x \in A'} \text{Cl}B'_{x,n}$  is a neighbourhood of  $y$  in  $X$ . The proof that  $y \in \text{Int} \bigcap_{x \in A} \text{Cl}B'_{x,n}$  is now completed by observing that since we have  $\text{Cl}B_{y,n} = \text{Cl}B'_{y,n}$ , also the set  $\text{Cl}B'_{y,n}$  is a neighbourhood of  $y$  in  $X$ .  $\square$

**Remark :** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a family of preopen subsets of a space  $X$ . It is easy to see that if  $\mathcal{F}$  is point-finite, then  $\mathcal{F}$  is pip. Hence, if a space has a preopen network which is both  $\sigma$ -closure-preserving and  $\sigma$ -point-finite, then the space is a Nagata space. Note that if a space has a  $\sigma$ -locally finite preopen network  $\mathcal{F}$ ,

then the space has a  $\sigma$ -locally finite base  $\{\text{IntCl}F : F \in \mathcal{F}\}$ , and hence the space is metrizable. Does there exist an equally simple characterization of spaces with a preopen,  $\sigma$ -closure-preserving and  $\sigma$ -point-finite network? Is every space with such a network metrizable? Does every Nagata space have such a network? In the following, we show that the last two questions have negative answers.

**Example 1.** A Nagata space which does not have a point-countable preopen network.

Let  $X$  be the upper half plane  $\{(x, y) : x, y \in \mathbf{R} \text{ and } y \geq 0\}$  with the topology in which each  $(x, y)$  with  $y > 0$  is isolated and, for a point  $(x, 0)$ , the sets

$$W(x, n) = \{(z, y) : 0 < |z - x| < \frac{1}{n} \text{ and } 0 \leq y < \frac{1}{n}\} \cup \{(x, 0)\},$$

for  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ , form a neighborhood base. This is a well-known example of a Nagata space (compare with Example 9.1 of [Ce]).

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a preopen network of  $X$ . We show that  $\mathcal{F}$  is not point-countable. For each  $(x, 0) \in X$ , let  $F_x \in \mathcal{F}$  be such that  $(x, 0) \in F_x \subset W(x, 1)$ . Note that, for every  $x \in \mathbf{R}$ , since the set  $F_x$  is preopen and all the points  $(z, y) \in X$  with  $y \neq 0$  are isolated, there exists  $k_x \in \mathbf{N}$  such that

$$W(x, k_x) \setminus \{(z, 0) : z \in \mathbf{R}\} \subset F_x.$$

Hence we have that  $W(x, k_x) \setminus \{(z, 0) : z \in \mathbf{R}\} \subset F_x \subset W(x, 1)$  for every  $x \in \mathbf{R}$ , and it follows that the family  $\{F_x : x \in \mathbf{R}\}$  contains uncountably many distinct sets and also that each set  $F_x$  intersects the set

$$A = \{(x, y) \in X : x \text{ and } y \text{ are rational and } y > 0\}.$$

Since the set  $A$  is countable, the uncountable subfamily  $\{F_x : x \in \mathbf{R}\}$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  is not point-countable on  $A$ .  $\square$



The following Proposition shows that a space may fail to be metrizable even if it has a network which is both  $\sigma$ -closure-preserving and  $\sigma$ -disjoint.

**Proposition 2.** *Every Nagata space can be embedded in a space which has a  $\sigma$ -closure-preserving,  $\sigma$ -disjoint preopen network.*

*Proof.* Let  $X$  be a Nagata space. Denote by  $X_d$  the discrete space on  $X$ , and let  $Y$  be the metrizable space  $X_d^\omega$ . Fix a point  $\bar{y} \in Y$ , and set  $Y' = Y \setminus \{\bar{y}\}$ . For every  $x \in X$ , let

$$D_x = \{(z_n) \in Y' : \text{there exists } m \in \mathbf{N} \\ \text{such that } z_n = x \text{ for every } n \geq m\}.$$

Note that  $\{D_x : x \in X\}$  is a disjoint family of dense subsets of  $Y$ . We topologize the set  $Z = X \times Y$  by requiring that each point in  $X \times Y'$  has the same neighbourhoods as in the Cartesian product  $X_d \times Y$  and each point in  $X \times \{\bar{y}\}$  has the the same neighbourhoods as in the Cartesian product  $X \times Y$ . Note that the map  $x \mapsto (x, \bar{y})$  embeds  $X$  in  $Z$ .

Since  $X$  is a Nagata space, we can give points  $x \in X$  open neighbourhood bases  $\{B(x, n) : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$  in such a way that, for each  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , the family  $\{B(x, n) : x \in X\}$  is closure-preserving. Let  $\{V_k : k \in \mathbf{N}\}$  be an open neighbourhood base of  $\bar{y}$  in  $Y$ . For all  $n, k \in \mathbf{N}$ , let  $\mathcal{F}_{n,k} = \{(B(x, n) \times (V_k \cap D_x)) \cup \{(x, \bar{y})\} : x \in X\}$ , and note that  $\mathcal{F}_{n,k}$  is a closure-preserving and disjoint family of preopen subsets of  $Z$ . Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a  $\sigma$ -discrete base for the (metrizable and open) subspace  $X \times Y'$  of  $Z$ . Then the family  $\mathcal{B} \cup \{\mathcal{F}_{n,k} : n, k \in \mathbf{N}\}$  is a network of  $Z$  with all the required properties.  $\square$

## References

- [Ce] J.G. Ceder, *Some generalizations of metric spaces*, Pacific J. Math. **11** (1961), 105-125.

- [Gr] G. Gruenhage, *Generalized metric spaces*, in K. Kunen and J.E. Vaughan, eds., *Handbook of Set-Theoretic Topology* (North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1984) 423–501.
- [Itō] M. Itō,  *$M_3$ -spaces whose every point has a closure-preserving outer base are  $M_1$* , *Topology and its Appl.* **19** (1985), 65–69.
- [Ju] H.J.K. Junnila, *Neighborhoods*, *Pacific J. Math.* **76** (1978), 83–108.
- [Na] J. Nagata, *Remarks on metrizability and generalized metric space*, *Topology and its Appl.* **91** (1999), 71–77.

Department of Mathematics, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland

*E-mail address:* `heikki.junnila@helsinki.fi`

Department of Mathematics, Suzhou University, Suzhou, the People's Republic of China

*E-mail address:* `yunziqu@public1.sz.js.cn`