

Topology Proceedings



Web: <http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/>
Mail: Topology Proceedings
Department of Mathematics & Statistics
Auburn University, Alabama 36849, USA
E-mail: topolog@auburn.edu
ISSN: 0146-4124

COPYRIGHT © by Topology Proceedings. All rights reserved.

ON THE BOREL CLASS OF MULTIVALUED FUNCTIONS OF TWO VARIABLES

Grażyna Kwiecińska*

Abstract

In the paper we give a sufficient conditions causing a multivalued functions defined on the product of metrizable spaces with values in a perfectly normal topological one to be of Baire class 1. Obtained Corollary is a multivalued analog of Kempisty theorem [6].

1. Introduction

Various results were published about the Borel classification of multivalued functions depending on the one variable (cf. [1], [2], [3], [7], [8], [9]). Obviously each multivalued function of two variables $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$ may be treated as a multivalued function of a single variable $(x, y) \in X \times Y$. The essential difference is the possibility of formulation of hypotheses concerning multivalued functions in terms of its sectionwise properties. S. Kempisty in his paper [6] has shown that if a function $f : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is upper semicontinuous with respect to one of its variable and lower semicontinuous with respect to other one, then f is of Borel class 1. In this paper we generalize this theorem into the case of multivalued functions in possible general abstract spaces.

* This research was supported by the University of Gdańsk, grant BW Nr 5100–5–0188–9.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 54C60, 54C08, 28B20.

Key words: Multivalued functions, semi-continuity of multivalued functions, Baire classes of multivalued functions.

2. Preliminaries

Let T and Z be two nonempty sets and let $\Phi : T \rightarrow Z$ be a multivalued function, i.e. Φ denotes a mapping such that $\Phi(t)$ is a nonempty subset of Z for $t \in T$. Then two inverse images of subset $G \subset Z$ may be defined:

$$\Phi^+(G) = \{t \in T : F(t) \subset G\} \text{ and } \Phi^-(G) = \{t \in T : F(t) \cap G \neq \emptyset\}.$$

The following relations hold between these inverse images:

$$(1) \quad \Phi^-(G) = T \setminus \Phi^+(Z \setminus G) \text{ and } \Phi^+(G) = T \setminus \Phi^-(Z \setminus G).$$

We also define the image of a subset $U \subset T$ under Φ by the formula

$$\Phi(U) = \bigcup_{u \in U} \Phi(u).$$

Let $\mathcal{P}_0(Z)$ denote the family of all nonempty subsets of Z . A multivalued map may be treated as a function $\Phi : T \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_0(Z)$. Then

$$\Phi^{-1}(\mathcal{G}) = \{t \in T : \Phi(t) \in \mathcal{G}\} \quad \text{for } \mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{P}_0(Z).$$

Let $(T, \mathcal{T}(T))$ and $(Z, \mathcal{T}(Z))$ be two topological spaces. A multivalued function $\Phi : T \rightarrow Z$ is called $\mathcal{T}(T)$ -upper (resp. $\mathcal{T}(T)$ -lower) *semicontinuous* at a point $t \in T$ if

$$\forall G \in \mathcal{T}(Z)(\Phi(t) \subset G \Rightarrow t \in \text{Int}\Phi^+(G))$$

$$(\text{resp. } \forall G \in \mathcal{T}(Z)(\Phi(t) \cap G \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow t \in \text{Int}\Phi^-(G))).$$

F is called $\mathcal{T}(T)$ -continuous at t if it is simultaneously $\mathcal{T}(T)$ -upper and $\mathcal{T}(T)$ -lower semicontinuous at t .

A multivalued function Φ being $\mathcal{T}(T)$ -upper (resp. $\mathcal{T}(T)$ -lower) semicontinuous at each point $t \in T$ is said to be $\mathcal{T}(T)$ -upper (resp. $\mathcal{T}(T)$ -lower) semicontinuous.

It is clear that a multivalued function Φ is $\mathcal{T}(T)$ -upper (resp. $\mathcal{T}(T)$ -lower) semicontinuous if and only if $\Phi^+(G) \in \mathcal{T}(T)$ (resp. $\Phi^-(G) \in \mathcal{T}(T)$) whenever $G \in \mathcal{T}(Z)$.

We denote the following families of sets:

$\mathcal{C}(Z) = \{A \in \mathcal{P}_0(Z) : A \text{ is closed}\}$ and

$\mathcal{K}(Z) = \{A \in \mathcal{P}_0(Z) : A \text{ is compact}\}$.

Let $\mathcal{T}_V(Z)$ be the Vietoris topology in the space $\mathcal{C}(Z)$.

Given any countable ordinal number α , let $\Sigma_\alpha(T)$ and $\Pi_\alpha(T)$ denote the additive and multiplicative class α , respectively, in the Borel hierarchy of subsets of the topological space $(T, \mathcal{T}(T))$.

Definition 1. A multivalued function $F : T \rightarrow Z$ belongs to the $\mathcal{T}(T)$ -lower (resp. $\mathcal{T}(T)$ -upper) Borel class α if $F^-(G) \in \Sigma_\alpha(T)$ (resp. $F^+(G) \in \Sigma_\alpha(T)$), whenever $G \in \mathcal{T}(Z)$.

A multivalued function $F : T \rightarrow Z$ with compact values will be said of Borel class α if

$$F^{-1}(\mathcal{G}) \in \Sigma_\alpha(T) \text{ for any } \mathcal{G} \in \mathcal{T}_V(Z).$$

Let us remark, that a multivalued function belonging to $\mathcal{T}(T)$ -lower (resp. $\mathcal{T}(T)$ -upper) class 0 is $\mathcal{T}(T)$ -lower (resp. $\mathcal{T}(T)$ -upper) semicontinuous.

We shall use B_α to denote the Borel class α and LB_α and UB_α to denote the $\mathcal{T}(T)$ -lower and $\mathcal{T}(T)$ -upper Borel classes α , respectively.

The classes LB_α and UB_α have been considered by Kuratowski in [7] and by Garg in [2].

Let $(T, \mathcal{T}(T))$ be a perfect space. It is known (see [2], Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2) that

- (2) If $F : T \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(Z)$ and Z is second countable, then $F \in B_\alpha$ if and only if $F \in LB_\alpha \cap UB_\alpha$.
- (3) If $(Z, \mathcal{T}(Z))$ is a perfect space and $F : T \rightarrow Z$ is a multivalued function with closed values, then
 - (a) If $F \in UB_\alpha$, then $F \in LB_{\alpha+1}$.
 - (b) If F is compact-valued and $F \in LB_\alpha$, and if Z is further normal, then $F \in UB_{\alpha+1}$.

A sequence $\Phi_n : T \rightarrow Z$ of multivalued functions with closed values will be said converging to a multivalued function $\Phi : T \rightarrow Z$ if the sequence $(\Phi_n(t))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to $\Phi(t)$ in the Vietoris topology on the hyperspace of nonempty, closed subsets of Z (cf. [5]).

The following assertion is known (see [2], Theorem 3.1 (b)).

- (4) Suppose $(Z, \mathcal{T}(Z))$ is perfectly normal and let $(\Phi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of closed-valued multivalued functions $\Phi_n : T \rightarrow Z$ which converges to a multivalued function $\Phi : T \rightarrow Z$. If Φ is compact valued and $\Phi_n \in LB_\alpha$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\Phi \in UB_{\alpha+1}$.

Let us remark that

- (5) If Z is the real line \mathbb{R} , then a multivalued function $\Phi : T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by formula $\Phi(t) = [f(t), g(t)] \subset \mathbb{R}$ is of $\mathcal{T}(T)$ -lower (resp. $\mathcal{T}(T)$ -upper) Borel class α if and only if g is of $\mathcal{T}(T)$ -lower (resp. $\mathcal{T}(T)$ -upper) and f is of $\mathcal{T}(T)$ -upper (resp. $\mathcal{T}(T)$ -lower) class α in the Young classification.

In fact, for $a < b$ we have

$$\Phi^-((a, b)) = \{t \in T : f(t) < b\} \cap \{t \in T : g(t) > a\}$$

and

$$\Phi^+((a, b)) = \{t \in T : f(t) > a\} \cap \{t \in T : g(t) < b\}.$$

3. Main results

Let us recall that if $F : X \times Y \rightarrow Z$ is a multivalued function, then multivalued function $F_x : Y \rightarrow Z$ defined by $y \rightarrow F(x, y)$ is called x -section of F and multivalued function $F^y : X \rightarrow Z$ defined by $x \rightarrow F(x, y)$ is called its y -section.

Lemma 1. *Let $(X, \mathcal{T}(X))$ be a topological space, (Y, d) a metric space and $(Z, \mathcal{T}(Z))$ a third topological space. Let $F : X \times Y \rightarrow Z$ be a multivalued function with $\mathcal{T}(X)$ -lower semicontinuous y -sections. Then for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the multivalued function*

$F_n : X \times Y \rightarrow Z$ *defined by formula*

$$F_n(u, v) = F(u, B(v, 2^{-n})) = \bigcup_{y \in B(v, 2^{-n})} F(u, y)$$

is $\mathcal{T}(X) \otimes \mathcal{T}(Y)$ -lower semicontinuous ($B(v, r)$ denotes an open ball in Y).

Proof. Consider a sequence $(F_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of multivalued functions $F_n : X \times Y \rightarrow Z$ such that

$$(6) \quad F_n(x, y) = \bigcup_{v \in B(y, 2^{-n})} F(x, v) \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be fixed. We will show that

$$(7) \quad F_n \text{ is a } \mathcal{T}(X) \otimes \mathcal{T}(Y)\text{-lower semicontinuous multivalued function.}$$

Let us fix an arbitrary point $(x, y) \in X \times Y$ and an open set $G \subset Z$ such that $F_n(x, y) \cap G \neq \emptyset$. According to (6) there exists a point $v \in B(y, 2^{-n})$ such that $F(x, v) \cap G \neq \emptyset$. Since the v -section of F is $\mathcal{T}(X)$ -lower semicontinuous at x , there is an open neighbourhood $U(x)$ of x such that $F(u, v) \cap G \neq \emptyset$ whenever $u \in U(x)$.

Observe that there exists a real number $r > 0$ such that

$$(8) \quad F(u, v) \subset F(u, B(y_0, 2^{-n})) = F_n(u, y_0) \text{ for all } u \in U(x) \\ \text{and } y_0 \in B(y, r).$$

Indeed. Let $r = 2^{-n} - d(v, y)$. Then $r > 0$ because $v \in B(y, 2^{-n})$. Thus for each $t \in B(y, r)$ we have:

$$(9) \quad d(t, v) \leq d(t, y) + d(y, v) < r + 2^{-n} - r = 2^{-n}.$$

Then by (9) the following implication holds :

$$t \in B(y, r) \implies v \in B(t, 2^{-n}).$$

Therefore (8) is true on $U(x) \times B(y, r)$. According to (6) and (8) $F_n(u, v) \cap G \neq \emptyset$ whenever $(u, v) \in U(x) \times B(y, r) = V(x, y)$. The set $V(x, y)$ is an open neighbourhood of the point $(x, y) \in X \times Y$. Therefore (7) has been shown and the proof of Lemma 1 is finished. \square

We turn now our attention to the well known result on real functions of two real variables, namely on Borel class 1 of functions with semicontinuous sections (see [6], p. 240). We extend this result into the multivalued case in more general spaces.

Theorem 1. *Let $(X, \mathcal{T}(X))$ be a topological space, $(Y, \mathcal{T}(Y))$ a metrizable one and $(Z, \mathcal{T}(Z))$ a perfectly normal topological space. If $F : X \times Y \rightarrow Z$ is a $\mathcal{T}(Z)$ -compact-valued multivalued function with $\mathcal{T}(X)$ -lower semicontinuous y -sections and $\mathcal{T}(Y)$ -upper semicontinuous x -sections, then F belongs to the $\mathcal{T}(X) \otimes \mathcal{T}(Y)$ -upper Borel class 1.*

Proof. Let (F_n) be the sequence of multivalued functions given in Lemma 1. Observe that $F(x, y) \subset F_n(x, y)$ for any $n \in N$. Therefore $F(x, y) \subset \bigcap_{n \in N} \text{cl}(F_n(x, y))$. We will show that the inverse inclusion is also true.

Let $(x, y) \in X \times Y$ and let us suppose that $z \in Z \setminus F(x, y)$. The set $F(x, y)$ is closed. Therefore there exist an open set $G \subset Z$ and an open neighbourhood $W(z)$ of the point z such that $F(x, y) \subset G$ and $W(z) \cap G = \emptyset$. The x -section of F is $\mathcal{T}(Y)$ -upper semicontinuous at the point y . Therefore there exists a number $m \in N$ such that $F(x, v) \subset G$ for each $v \in B(y, 2^{-m})$. Thus $W(z) \cap F(x, v) = \emptyset$ for each $v \in B(y, 2^{-m})$.

Then $W(z) \cap F_m(x, y) = \emptyset$ and then $W(z) \cap \text{cl}(F_m(x, y)) = \emptyset$. Hence $z \in Z \setminus \bigcap_{n \in N} \text{cl}(F_n(x, y))$ and the inclusion $F(x, y) \supset \bigcap_{n \in N} \text{cl}(F_n(x, y))$ is true.

Therefore we have shown, that

- (10) $F(x, y) = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{cl}(F_n)(x, y)$ whenever $(x, y) \in X \times Y$,
 where $\text{cl}(F_n)(x, y) = \text{cl}(F_n(x, y))$.

Now consider the sequence $(\text{cl}(F_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. All the multivalued functions F_n are $\mathcal{T}(X) \otimes \mathcal{T}(Y)$ -lower semicontinuous by Lemma 1. The multivalued functions $\text{cl}(F_n)$ have $\mathcal{T}(Z)$ -closed values and, as it is easy to see, they are also $\mathcal{T}(X) \otimes \mathcal{T}(Y)$ -lower semicontinuous.

By (10) the sequence $(\text{cl}(F_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to F because F is compact-valued. Since F has compact values and $\text{cl}(F_n)$ are $\mathcal{T}(X) \otimes \mathcal{T}(Y)$ -lower semicontinuous, F belongs to the $\mathcal{T}(X) \otimes \mathcal{T}(Y)$ -upper Baire class 1 by (4) and Theorem 1 has been proved. \square

The following theorem shows that the topological spaces X and Y in Theorem 1 cannot be both entirely arbitrary.

Theorem 2. *Assume CH. There are topological spaces $(X, \mathcal{T}(X)), (Y, \mathcal{T}(Y))$ and $(Z, \mathcal{T}(Z))$ and a multivalued function $F : X \times Y \rightarrow Z$ with $\mathcal{T}(Z)$ -compact values whose all y -sections are $\mathcal{T}(X)$ -lower semicontinuous and all x -sections are $\mathcal{T}(Y)$ -upper semicontinuous, but F belongs neither to any upper nor lower Borel class on $X \times Y$.*

Proof. Let $X = Y = \mathbb{R}$ be the real line with Euclidean topology. Let \mathcal{I} be the ideal of the sets of the first category in \mathbb{R} and let $A \subset \mathbb{R}$. Following Hashimoto (see [4, p. 6]) let $A^* = \{p : \forall U(p), U(p) \cap A \notin \mathcal{I}\}$, where $U(p)$ means a neighbourhood of p . Putting the $*$ -closure \tilde{A} of A by $\tilde{A} = A \cup A^*$ defines a new topology on \mathbb{R} , which is called the $*$ -topology. The set \mathbb{R} has now two topologies on it (the notation on $*$ -topology is specified by $*$):

- (11) A subset $G \subset \mathbb{R}$ is $*$ -open if and only if G is the difference of an open set and a set belonging to \mathcal{I} (see [4, Corollary 1]).

Let $Z = \mathbb{R}$ be the real line with usual Euclidean topology. Denote by M the subset of the plane having all y -sections

$M^y = \{x \in X : (x, y) \in M\}$ countable and all x -sections $M_x = \{y \in Y : (x, y) \in M\}$ with countable complements. Such a set M exists by CH (see [12, p. 179]). Let us define $F : X \times Y \rightarrow Z$ by putting

$$F(x, y) = \begin{cases} [-2, 5], & \text{if } (x, y) \in M, \\ [0, 1], & \text{if } (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus M. \end{cases}$$

Then for any open set $G \subset \mathbb{R}$, $F_x^+(G)$ and $F^{y-}(G)$ are $*$ -open (see (11)), thus all the y -sections of F are $*$ -lower semicontinuous and all the x -sections of F are $*$ -upper semicontinuous. On the other hand $F^-((2, 3)) = F^-([2, 3]) = M$. It is enough to show that the set M cannot have the Baire property. Suppose on the contrary. Then by Theorem 15.4 (see [9, p. 57]), the set M is of the first category on \mathbb{R}^2 because all y -sections of M are countable. Thus by Theorem 15.1 (see [9, p. 56]) all x -sections of M , except of a set of the first category, are first category in \mathbb{R} which gives a contradiction. Thus F is as in the statement. \square

Lemma 2. *Let $(T, \mathcal{T}(T))$ be a topological space and let $(Z, \mathcal{T}(Z))$ be an uniformizable one. Let $F : T \rightarrow Z$ be a multivalued function. Consider the following statements:*

- (i) *F belongs to the $\mathcal{T}(T)$ -lower Borel class α ;*
- (ii) *there exists a family $\{d_i : i \in I\}$ of pseudometrics on Z , generating the topology $\mathcal{T}(Z)$ such that for every $i \in I$ and $z \in Z$ the real function*

$$(12) \quad g_{iz} : T \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ defined by formula } g_{zi}(t) = d_i(z, F(t)) = \inf\{d_i(z, w) : w \in F(t)\}$$
is in the $\mathcal{T}(T)$ -upper class α in the Young classification;
- (iii) *for each $G \in \mathcal{T}(Z)$ there is a countable index set $I_G \subset I \times Z \times (0, \infty)$ (depending on G) such that G is representable as an union*

$$(13) \quad G = \bigcup \{B_i(z, r) : (i, z, r) \in I_G\},$$

where $B_i(z, r) = \{w \in Z : d_i(w, z) < r\}$ denotes d_i -pseudoball centered at z with radius r .

Then the following implications hold:

$$(i) \Rightarrow (ii) \text{ and } (iii) \wedge (ii) \Rightarrow (i).$$

Proof. First we will show that (i) implies (ii). Since $(Z, \mathcal{T}(Z))$ is uniformizable, there exists a family $\{d_i : i \in I\}$ of pseudometrics on Z , generating the topology $\mathcal{T}(Z)$. Fix $i \in I, z \in Z, r > 0$, and observe that

$$(14) \quad \begin{aligned} F^-(B_i(z, r)) &= \{t \in T : F(t) \cap B_i(z, r) \neq \emptyset\} = \\ &= \{t \in T : d_i(z, F(t)) < r\} = g_{zi}^{-1}((-\infty, r)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $F^-(B_i(z, r))$ belongs to the additive Borel class α , if F is in $\mathcal{T}(T)$ -lower Borel class α , since $B_i(z, r) \in \mathcal{T}(Z)$. The number r was arbitrary, therefore by (14) the function g_{zi} belongs to the $\mathcal{T}(T)$ -upper Young class α .

Now we show that (iii) and (ii) implies (i). Let $\{d_i : i \in I\}$ be a family of pseudometrics as in (ii) and let $G \in \mathcal{T}(Z)$. Since the real functions g_{zi} are in $\mathcal{T}(T)$ -upper Young class α , the formula (14) yields

$F^-(B_i(z, r)) = \{t \in T : g_{zi}^{-1}(t) < r\}$ is of additive Borel class α in T for any triplet $(i, z, r) \in I_G$. Therefore by (13) the set $F^-(G) = F^-(\bigcup \{B_i(z, r) : (i, z, r) \in I_G\}) = \bigcup \{F^-(B_i(z, r)) : (i, z, r) \in I_G\}$ is of additive Borel class α , as claimed. \square

Lemma 3. *Let $(Y, \mathcal{T}(Y))$ and $(Z, \mathcal{T}(Z))$ be two topological spaces and let us suppose, that $F : Y \rightarrow Z$ is a compact-valued multivalued function belonging to the $\mathcal{T}(Y)$ -upper Borel class α . Then for every $z \in Z$ and every pseudometric d on Z , generating a weaker topology than $\mathcal{T}(Z)$, the real function (12) is of $\mathcal{T}(Y)$ -lower Young class α .*

Proof. Let $z \in Z$ and d be as in the statement. Fix $r > 0$. By hypothesis the set

$$\bar{B}(z, r) = \{w \in Z : d(w, z) \leq r\}$$

is closed in Z , because of $\mathcal{T}(Z) \otimes \mathcal{T}(Z)$ -continuity of d . We have

$$\begin{aligned} g_z^{-1}((r, \infty)) &= \{y \in Y : d(z, F(y)) > r\} = \\ &= Y \setminus \{y \in Y : d(z, F(y)) \leq r\} = Y \setminus \{y \in Y : F(y) \cap \bar{B}(z, r) \neq \emptyset\} = \\ &= Y \setminus F^-(\bar{B}(z, r)) = F^+(Z \setminus \bar{B}(z, r)) \quad (\text{see (1)}). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover $F^+(Z \setminus \bar{B}(z, r))$ belongs to the additive Borel class α , since F is in $\mathcal{T}(Y)$ -upper Borel class α . This completes the proof of Lemma 3. \square

Theorem 3. *Let $(X, \mathcal{T}(X))$ and $(Y, \mathcal{T}(Y))$ be metrizable spaces and $(Z, \mathcal{T}(Z))$ a perfectly normal topological space fulfilling (iii).*

If $F : X \times Y \rightarrow Z$ is a compact-valued multivalued function with $\mathcal{T}(X)$ -lower semicontinuous y -sections and $\mathcal{T}(Y)$ -upper semicontinuous x -sections, then F belongs to the $\mathcal{T}(X) \otimes \mathcal{T}(Y)$ -lower Baire class 1.

Proof. The topology $\mathcal{T}(Z)$ being normal, is also completely regular and thus uniformizable. We will show that for every $i \in I$ and $z \in Z$

(15) the real function $g_{zi} : X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by formula

$$g_{zi}(x, y) = d_i(z, F(x, y))$$

is $\mathcal{T}(X) \otimes \mathcal{T}(Y)$ -upper class 1 in the Young classification.

By the $\mathcal{T}(X)$ -lower semicontinuity of F_y and by Lemma 2 (i) \Rightarrow (ii) we conclude that

(16) the function g_{zi} has $\mathcal{T}(X)$ -upper semicontinuous y -sections for all $y \in Y$.

By the $\mathcal{T}(Y)$ -upper semicontinuity of F_x and Lemma 3 we conclude that

(17) the function g_{zi} has $\mathcal{T}(Y)$ -lower semicontinuous x -sections for all $x \in X$.

Now we define a multivalued function $H : X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as follows:

$$H(x, y) = [-\operatorname{arctg} g_{zi}(x, y), 5]$$

Multivalued function H has compact values. By (16), (17) and (5) all y -sections of H are $\mathcal{T}(X)$ -upper semicontinuous and all x -sections of H are $\mathcal{T}(Y)$ -lower semicontinuous. Applying Theorem 1 to the compact valued multivalued function H we know that it belongs to the $\mathcal{T}(X) \otimes \mathcal{T}(Y)$ -upper Borel class 1. Using (5) to the multivalued function H we conclude that g_{zi} belongs to the $\mathcal{T}(X) \otimes \mathcal{T}(Y)$ -upper Young class 1 and (15) has been shown.

By Lemma 2, (iii) \wedge (ii) \Rightarrow (i), F belongs to the $\mathcal{T}(X) \otimes \mathcal{T}(Y)$ -lower Borel class 1 and the proof of Theorem 3 is finished. \square

If the x -sections and y -sections of a multivalued functions are both lower or both upper semicontinuous, then its behaviour may be very bad, as the following theorem shows.

Theorem 4. *There exists a multivalued function $F : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with compact values whose all x -sections and y -sections are lower semicontinuous which is neither of any lower Baire class nor of any upper Baire class.*

Proof. Let us decompose the real line onto two disjoint, nonborel subsets A and B such that $\mathbb{R} = A \cup B$. Let $F : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a multivalued function defined by formula

$$F(x, y) = \begin{cases} [-3, 3], & \text{if } x \neq y, \\ [-1, 0], & \text{if } x = y \in A, \\ [1, 2], & \text{if } x = y \in B. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to check that F is as it was required. \square

This example seems to be simpler than the corresponding one from Theorem 2 on p. 88 in [13] (cf. also Example 2 in [11]).

Remark 1. Theorem 4 shows, that there exists a compact-valued multivalued function $F : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, whose y -sections are of lower Borel class 1 and x -sections are of upper Borel class 1 (see (3) (b)), but F is not of upper Borel class 2. Therefore Theorem 1 cannot be generalized into higher classes.

From Theorems 1 and 3 by using (2) we obtain the following Corollary.

Corollary 1. *Let $(X, \mathcal{T}(X))$ and $(Y, \mathcal{T}(Y))$ be metrizable spaces and let $(Z, \mathcal{T}(Z))$ be a second countable, perfectly normal topological one. Let us suppose that $F : X \times Y \rightarrow Z$ is a compact-valued multivalued function.*

If all x -sections of F are $\mathcal{T}(Y)$ -upper semicontinuous and all y -sections are $\mathcal{T}(X)$ -lower semicontinuous, then F belongs to the Borel class 1 (as a single valued function from $X \times Y$ into the hyperspace $\mathcal{K}(Z)$ endowed with the Vietoris topology).

References

- [1] Brisac R., *Les classes de Baire des fonctions multiformes*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, **224**, (1974) 257–258.
- [2] Garg K. M., *On the classification of set-valued functions*, Real Analysis Exchange **9.1**, (1983) 86–93.
- [3] Hansell R. W., *Hereditarily additive families in descriptive set theory and measurable multimaps*, Trans. AMS, **278** no. 2 (1983), 725–749.
- [4] Hashimoto H., *On the *-topology and its application*, Fund. Math. **XCI** (1967), 5–10.
- [5] Klein E., Thompson A., *Theory of Correspondences*, Wiley, New Yourk, 1984.
- [6] Kempisty S., *Sur les fonctions semi-continues par rapport à chacune de deux variables*, Fund. Math. **XIV** (1929), 237–241.

- [7] Kuratowski K., *On set-valued B -measurable mappings and a theorem of Hausdorff*, Theory of sets and topology (in honour of Felix Hausdorff, 1868–1942), VEB Deutsch. Verlag Wissensch., Berlin (1972), 355–362.
- [8] Kuratowski K., *Some remarks on the relation of classical set-valued mappings to the Baire classification*, Colloquim Math. **XLII** (1979), 273–277.
- [9] Maritz P., *A note on semi-continuous set-valued functions*, Questiones Mathematicae **4** (1981), 325–330.
- [10] Oxtoby J. C., *Measure and Category*, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg-Berlin, 1971.
- [11] Papageorgiou N. S., *On measurable multifunctions with applications to random multivalued equations*, Math. Japonica **32**, no 3 (1987), 437–464.
- [12] Sierpiński W., *Sur l'hypothèse du continu ($2^{\aleph_0} = \aleph_1$)*, Fund. Math., **V** (1924), 177–187.
- [13] Ślęzak W., *Multifunctions of two variables with semicontinuous sections*, Problemy matematyczne **5–6** (1983–1984), 83–96.

University of Gdańsk, Institut of Mathematics, Wita Stwosza
57, 80-952 Gdańsk, Poland

E-mail address: gkk@math.univ.gda.pl