

# Topology Proceedings



**Web:** <http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/>  
**Mail:** Topology Proceedings  
Department of Mathematics & Statistics  
Auburn University, Alabama 36849, USA  
**E-mail:** [topolog@auburn.edu](mailto:topolog@auburn.edu)  
**ISSN:** 0146-4124

---

COPYRIGHT © by Topology Proceedings. All rights reserved.



## AN OBSERVATION ON STRONGLY HEWITT SPACE

Z. ERCAN

**ABSTRACT.** We give a characterization of strongly Hewitt spaces. In particular we remark that Lindelöf space is not necessarily strongly Hewitt space.

Throughout this paper the word *space* will mean *completely regular Hausdorff topological space*. Stone-Cech compactification of a space  $X$  is denoted by  $\beta X$ . Recall that a space  $X$  is called a *Hewitt space* (or a *realcompact space*) if it is a closed subspace of a product space of  $\mathbf{R}$ . For a space  $X$  let  $C(X)$  (respectively  $C_b(X)$ ) be the ring of continuous (respectively continuous and bounded) real-valued functions on  $X$ . A space  $X$  is a Hewitt space if and only if for each  $a \in \beta X \setminus X$  there exists  $f \in C(\beta X)$  such that  $0 < f(x)$  for each  $x \in X$  and  $f(a) = 0$  (see [1], p.215). This motivates the following definition, which is recently introduced in [2].

**Definition 1.** A space  $X$  is called a strongly Hewitt space if for each sequence  $(x_n)$  in  $\beta X \setminus X$  there exist a subsequence  $(x_{k_n})$  of  $(x_n)$  and  $f \in C(\beta X)$  such that  $0 < f(x)$  for each  $x \in X$  and  $f(x_{k_n}) = 0$  for each  $n$ .

Strongly Hewitt spaces are studied in [2] and [3]. It is natural to ask what happens in the above definition if the term  $f(x_{k_n}) = 0$  is replaced by  $f(x_{k_n}) \rightarrow 0$ . The answer to this is given in the next theorem. There are many ways construct of the Stone-Cech compactification  $\beta X$  of a space  $X$ . One of them is as follows:

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 54C35.

*Key words and phrases.* Hewitt space, strongly Hewitt space.

$\beta X$  can be identified with the space of the ring homomorphisms  $\pi$  from  $C_b(X)$  into  $\mathbf{R}$  with  $\pi(\mathbf{1}) = 1$ , under the convergence

$$\pi_\alpha \longrightarrow \pi \iff \pi_\alpha(f) \longrightarrow \pi(f)$$

for each  $f \in C_b(X)$  and each  $x \in X$  is identified by  $\pi_x$ ,  $\pi_x(f) = f(x)$ . Under this approach a space  $X$  is strongly Hewitt if and only if for each sequence  $(\pi_n)$  in  $\beta X \setminus X$  there exist  $f \in C_b(X)$  with  $0 < f(x)$  for each  $x \in X$  and a subsequence  $(\pi_{k_n})$  such that  $\pi_{k_n}(f) = 0$  for each  $n$ . Now we can give a characterization of strongly Hewitt spaces as follows.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $X$  be a space. The followings are equivalent.*

- a.**  *$X$  is a strongly Hewitt space.*
- b.**  *$X$  is a Hewitt space and  $\beta X \setminus X$  is countably compact.*
- c.** *For each sequence  $(\pi_n)$  in  $\beta X \setminus X$  there exist a subsequence  $(\pi_{k_n})$  of  $(\pi_n)$  and  $f \in C_b(X)$  with  $0 < f(x)$  for each  $x \in X$  such that  $\pi_{k_n}(f) \longrightarrow 0$ .*

*Proof.* The equivalence of **a** and **b** is proved in [2]. By the definition it is obvious that **a** implies **c**. It remains to prove **c** implies **b**. Suppose that **c** holds. By choosing  $(\pi_n)$  to be a constant sequence immediately we can see that  $X$  is a Hewitt space. To show  $\beta X \setminus X$  is countably compact it is enough to show that each countable set in  $\beta X \setminus X$  has an accumulation point. Let  $(\pi_n)$  be a sequence in  $\beta X \setminus X$ . Choose  $f \in C_b(X)$  with  $0 < f(x)$  for each  $x \in X$  such that  $\pi_{k_n}(f) \longrightarrow 0$  for some subsequence  $(\pi_{k_n})$ . As  $\beta X$  is compact there exists a subnet  $(\pi_\alpha)$  of  $(\pi_{k_n})$  such that  $\pi_\alpha \longrightarrow \pi$  in  $\beta X$ . As  $0 < f(x)$  for each  $x \in X$  and  $\pi_\alpha(f) \longrightarrow \pi(f)$ ,  $\pi \notin X$ . So  $\pi_\alpha \longrightarrow \pi$  in  $\beta X \setminus X$ . This shows that  $\pi$  is an accumulation point of  $(\pi_n)$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

### Remarks

**1.** Recall that a space  $X$  is *Lindelöf* if each open cover of  $X$  has a countable subcover. It is well known that each Lindelöf space is a Hewitt space (see [1], p.216). It is natural to ask whether each Lindelöf space is strongly Hewitt space. We can use the above theorem to show that Lindelöf spaces are not necessarily strongly Hewitt. Namely, let  $I$  be the space of all irrational numbers with the topology induced from the real line. As  $I$  has a countable base it is the Lindelöf space. But  $I$  is not strongly Hewitt.

To see this suppose that  $I$  is a strongly Hewitt space. Then from the above Theorem  $\beta I \setminus I$  is countably compact. But  $\beta I \setminus I$  is  $\sigma$ -compact, and hence is the Lindelöf. Thus  $\beta I \setminus I$  turned out to be a countably compact and Lindelöf one. Hence  $\beta I \setminus I$  should be compact. But this is impossible, because  $I$  is not locally compact at none of the points of  $I$ .

**2.** The space  $X = \mathbb{R}^w$  is Lindelöf. In [2] it is remarked that  $X$  is not Strongly Hewitt.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] R. Engelking, *General Topology*, Heldermann Verlag Berlin, 1989.
- [2] J. Kakol and W. Sliwa, *Strongly Hewitt spaces*, *Topology Appl.* **119** (2002), 219-227.
- [3] A. R. Todd and H. Render, *Continuous function spaces, (db)-spaces and strongly Hewitt spaces*, *Topology Appl.* **141** (2004), 171–186.

MIDDLE EAST TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,  
06531 ANKARA, TURKEY

*E-mail address:* `zercan@metu.edu.tr`