
TOPOLOGY PROCEEDINGS



Volume 39, 2012

Pages 209–218

<http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/>

r -ALGEBRAS

by

ERNIE MANES

Electronically published on October 2, 2011

Topology Proceedings

Web: <http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/>

Mail: Topology Proceedings
Department of Mathematics & Statistics
Auburn University, Alabama 36849, USA

E-mail: topolog@auburn.edu

ISSN: 0146-4124

COPYRIGHT © by Topology Proceedings. All rights reserved.



r -ALGEBRAS

ERNIE MANES

ABSTRACT. For r a nonprincipal ultrafilter on ω , a space is an r -**algebra** if it is r -compact, r -Hausdorff and if every r -closed set is closed. The class of r -algebras and continuous maps constitutes a quasivariety of universal algebras by virtue of which each set X freely generates an r -algebra r_X . It is consistent that there exists a separable, first countable, countably compact, Hausdorff, noncompact space which is an r -algebra for some r , and each such r -algebra is a closed quotient of r_ω .

In this paper, spaces are not assumed to satisfy any separation axioms which have not been explicitly stated.

For the balance of this paper, fix a single non-principal ultrafilter $r \in \omega^* = \beta\omega \setminus \omega$. The r -algebras constitute the “compact Hausdorff” spaces for r . In more detail, a space X is

- **r -compact** [2] if for all $f : \omega \rightarrow X$, $fr = \{B \subset X : f^{-1}B \in r\}$ converges;
- **r -Hausdorff** if for all $f : \omega \rightarrow X$, fr converges to at most one point;
- an **r -space** if every r -closed set is closed, where $A \subset X$ is **r -closed** if for all $f : \omega \rightarrow X$, if $A \in fr$ and fr converges to x then $x \in A$.
- an **r -algebra** if it is r -compact, r -Hausdorff and an r -space;

r -spaces were called r -sequential by [3, Page 319] who attributes the concept to earlier work by Kombarov. A space is countably tight if and only if each set which is r -closed for every $r \in \omega^*$ is closed. This is shown in [10, Theorem 4.9]. In particular, all r -spaces are countably tight.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 54D10,54D20; Secondary 08A65, 08B20, 08C15.

Key words and phrases. r -compact, ultrafilter, weak P -point, countably tight, quasivariety.

©2011 Topology Proceedings.

It is well known that every r -compact space is countably compact. Some of the results to be shown are:

- Every compact metric space is an r -algebra.
- Every first countable r -compact, r -Hausdorff space is a Hausdorff (hence regular by [1]) r -algebra.
- There exists an r -algebra r_ω of which every separable r -algebra is a closed quotient.
- Under CH, there exists r and a separable, first countable r -algebra which is not compact.

1. THE QUASIVARIETY OF r -ALGEBRAS

Let \mathcal{W} be the class of all universal algebras (X, δ) with $\delta : X^\omega \rightarrow X$ an operation of arity ω . For $(X, \delta) \in \mathcal{W}$, $A \subset X$ is a **subalgebra** of (X, δ) if $\delta(A^\omega) \subset A$. Say that $f : (X, \delta) \rightarrow (Y, \epsilon)$ is a **homomorphism** if for all $g : \omega \rightarrow X$, $f(\delta(g)) = \epsilon(fg)$.

For $f, g : \omega \rightarrow X$ write $f =_r g$ if $\{n : fn = gn\} \in r$.

Let \mathcal{W}_r be the variety of algebras in \mathcal{W} which satisfy the equations

$$\mathbf{(r.1):} \quad \delta(x, x, x, \dots) = x$$

$$\mathbf{(r.2):} \quad \text{For } f, g \in X^\omega, \text{ if } f =_r g \text{ then } \delta(f) = \delta(g).$$

In universal algebra, an equation is a pair of terms on the set of abstract variables and an algebra satisfies the equation if it holds under each substitution of elements of the algebra for abstract variables. A presentation of (r.2) in this style is as follows. Regard ω as the set of abstract variables, and δ as the abstract operation symbol. For each endomorphism $t : \omega \rightarrow \omega$, $\delta(t)$ is an example of a term. For each algebra $(X, X^\omega \xrightarrow{\epsilon} X)$, the interpretation of $\delta(t)$ under the substitution $f : \omega \rightarrow X$ is $\epsilon(ft)$. For each nonempty $I \subset \omega$ with least element i_0 , define $t_I : \omega \rightarrow \omega$ by $t_I(x) = x$ if $x \in I$ and $t_I(x) = i_0$ otherwise. Impose the equations $\delta(t_I) = \delta(id)$ for each $I \in r$. If $f, g : \omega \rightarrow X$ with $f =_r g$, let $I = \{n : fn = gn\}$ whence $\epsilon(f) = \epsilon(ft_I) = \epsilon(gt_I) = \epsilon(g)$ and (r.2) holds. Conversely, if (r.2) holds then in the free algebra F generated by ω with inclusion $\eta : \omega \rightarrow F$, $\eta t_I =_r \eta$ so that $\delta(t_I) = \delta(id)$ holds.

Proposition 1.1. *For (X, δ) in \mathcal{W}_r , its subalgebras constitute the closed sets of a T1 topology \mathcal{T}_δ on X .*

Proof. Any intersection of subalgebras is a subalgebra (including the empty intersection X) since this is true for any variety of algebras. The empty set is a subalgebra, essentially because there are no nullary operations. What is unusual, here, is that for subalgebras A, B , $A \cup B$ is a subalgebra. This is seen as follows. Let $x_n \in A \cup B$ and set $I = \{n : x_n \in A\}$, $J = \{n : x_n \in B\}$. As $I \cup J = \omega \in r$, one of I, J , I say, is in r . Let $n_0 \in I$ and define $g : \omega \rightarrow A$ by $g(n) = f(n)$ if $n \in I$, $g(n) = f(n_0)$ otherwise. As $f =_r g$, $\delta(f) = \delta(g) \in A$. The topology is T1 by (r.1). \square

If X is a space, $x \in X$ and \mathcal{F} is a filter on X , we write $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow x$ to indicate that \mathcal{F} converges to x . Similar notation is used for the convergence of a sequence.

Lemma 1.2. *For $(X, \delta) \in \mathcal{W}_r$, $fr \rightarrow \delta(f)$ for all $f : \omega \rightarrow X$. In particular, (X, \mathcal{T}_r) is r -compact.*

Proof. Let A be subalgebra with $\delta(f) \notin A$, and set $I = \{n : fn \in A\}$. If $I \in r$ there exists $g : \omega \rightarrow A$ with $f =_r g$ so that $\delta(f) = \delta(g) \in A$, a contradiction. Thus $f^{-1}(A) \in r$. This shows that if $U = A'$ is a typical open set containing $\delta(f)$ then $U \in fr$. \square

Denote by \mathcal{V}_r the class of all (X, δ) in \mathcal{W}_r such that (X, \mathcal{T}_δ) is r -Hausdorff.

Lemma 1.3. *For (X, δ) in \mathcal{V}_r , (X, δ) is an r -algebra.*

Proof. (X, \mathcal{T}_δ) is r -compact by Lemma 1.2 and is r -Hausdorff by assumption, so it remains to show that it is an r -space. Let A be r -closed and show that A is a subalgebra. If $f : \omega \rightarrow A$ then $A \in fr$ and fr converges uniquely to $\delta(f)$. By hypothesis, $\delta(f) \in A$, as desired. \square

Proposition 1.4. *\mathcal{V}_r is a full subcategory of topological spaces and continuous maps. More specifically, if $(X, \delta), (X, \epsilon)$ are in \mathcal{V}_r with $\mathcal{T}_\delta = \mathcal{T}_\epsilon$ then $\delta = \epsilon$ and, for $(X, \delta), (Y, \epsilon)$ in \mathcal{V}_r , $f : X \rightarrow Y$, $f : (X, \mathcal{T}_\delta) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{T}_\epsilon)$ is continuous if and only if $f : (X, \delta) \rightarrow (Y, \epsilon)$ is a homomorphism.*

Proof. If f is a homomorphism and B is a subalgebra of Y , $f^{-1}B$ is a subalgebra of X , since this holds generally in universal algebra. Thus f is continuous. Conversely, if f is continuous it preserves ultrafilter convergence so, if $g : \omega \rightarrow X$ and $gr \rightarrow \delta(g)$ then $fgr \rightarrow f(\delta(g))$. As (Y, ϵ) is r -Hausdorff, $f(\delta(g)) = \epsilon(fg)$, so f is a homomorphism. In particular, if $\mathcal{T}_\delta = \mathcal{T}_\epsilon$, $id : (X, \delta) \rightarrow (X, \epsilon)$ is a homomorphism so $\delta = \epsilon$. \square

As a result, algebras in \mathcal{V}_r are spaces, and we drop the \mathcal{T}_δ notation unless special emphasis is required.

Corollary 1.5. *All continuous maps in \mathcal{V}_r are closed. Hence all surjective continuous maps in \mathcal{V}_r are quotient mappings.*

Proof. If f is a homomorphism and A is a subalgebra, $f(A)$ is again a subalgebra. \square

Proposition 1.6. *The class \mathcal{V}_r of spaces is precisely the r -algebras.*

Proof. We must show that if (X, \mathcal{T}) is an r -algebra then there exists (X, δ) in \mathcal{V}_r with $\mathcal{T}_\delta = \mathcal{T}$. The only possible definition is to set $\delta(f)$ to be the unique point to which fr converges. That $\delta(x, x, x, \dots) = x$ is clear. If $f, g : \omega \rightarrow X$ with $f =_r g$, let U be open with $\delta(f) \in U$ and set $I = \{n : fn \in U\}$, $J = \{n : fn = gn\}$. Then $g^{-1}(U) \supset I \cap J \in r$.

Thus $gr \rightarrow \delta(f)$ and $\delta(f) = \delta(g)$. This shows (r.2) holds. So far, (X, δ) is in \mathcal{W}_r , so \mathcal{T}_δ is a well defined topology. The proof is complete if we show $\mathcal{T}_\delta = \mathcal{T}$. If A is a subalgebra, let $f : \omega \rightarrow X$ with $A \in fr \rightarrow x$, convergence in (X, \mathcal{T}) . As $A \in fr$ there exists $g : \omega \rightarrow A$ with $f =_r g$, so $x = \delta(f) = \delta(g) \in A$, so A is r -closed in (X, \mathcal{T}) . Conversely, if A is r -closed in (X, \mathcal{T}) and $f : \omega \rightarrow A$ then $A \in fr$ so $\delta(f) \in A$. We have shown that the closed subsets of (X, \mathcal{T}) are precisely the subalgebras. \square

Proposition 1.7. \mathcal{V}_r is a quasivariety in \mathcal{W}_r , that is, is closed under products and subalgebras.

Proof. If (X_i, δ_i) are r -algebras and $X = \prod X_i$, (X, δ) is the product algebra in \mathcal{W}_r if $\delta(f_i) = (\delta_i(f_i))$. Let $g = (g_i) : \omega \rightarrow X$. If $gr \rightarrow (x_i)$ in (X, δ) then, as each projection $(X, \delta) \rightarrow (X_i, \delta_i)$ is continuous, $g_i r \rightarrow x_i$ for all i . If each (X_i, δ_i) is r -Hausdorff, $x_i = \delta_i(g_i)$, so $(x_i) = \delta(g_i)$ and (X, δ) is again r -Hausdorff. Since a subalgebra of a subalgebra is a subalgebra, it is obvious that a subalgebra inherits the subspace topology. In particular, a subalgebra of an algebra in \mathcal{V}_r is itself r -Hausdorff. \square

Proposition 1.8. If (X, δ) is any algebra of type $\delta : X^\omega \rightarrow X$ and $A \subset X$ is any subset, consider the following transfinite construction

$$\begin{aligned} A_0 &= A \\ A_\alpha &= \bigcup_{\gamma < \alpha} A_\gamma \cup \{\delta(f) : \omega \xrightarrow{f} \bigcup_{\gamma < \alpha} A_\gamma\} \end{aligned}$$

Then the subalgebra $\langle A \rangle$ generated by A is A_{ω_1} .

Proof. The proof is routine and standard in universal algebra [14, Page 99, Proposition 1.3]. \square

Corollary 1.9. A separable r -algebra has cardinality at most 2^ω .

By the Freyd adjoint functor theorem (see e.g. [8]), it follows from Proposition 1.7 that the underlying set functor $\mathcal{V}_r \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ has a left adjoint (Proposition 1.8 provides the “solution set condition”). This means, specifically, that for any set X there exists an r -algebra r_X and a function $\eta_X : X \rightarrow r_X$ such that for each r -algebra Y and function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ there exists a unique homomorphism $\psi : r_X \rightarrow Y$ with $\psi \eta_X = f$. Such r_X is unique up to isomorphism and is called the r -algebra **freely generated by X** . Note that η_X is necessarily injective since arbitrarily large r -algebras exist, so we often think of X as a subset of r_X . It is a dense subset since “subalgebra generated by” and “closure” are the same operator and the subalgebra generated by X has the same universal mapping property as r_X hence must be all of r_X .

Definition 1.10. The space r_ω is called the **largest separable r -algebra** precisely because the separable r -algebras are the quotient algebras of r_ω .

2. TOPOLOGICAL PROPERTIES OF r -ALGEBRAS

Lemma 2.1. *Every sequential space is an r -space.*

Proof. For $A \subset X$ r -closed with X sequential, let $f : \omega \rightarrow A$ with $fn \rightarrow x$. If U is open and $x \in U$, $fn \in U$ for all but finitely many n . As r is non-principal, $f^{-1}U \in r$, so $fr \rightarrow x$. As A is r -closed, $x \in A$. This shows that A is sequentially closed, hence closed. \square

Corollary 2.2. *Every compact (= countably compact = sequentially compact) metric space is an r -algebra.*

Corollary 2.3. *The finite r -algebras are precisely the finite discrete spaces.*

Proposition 2.4. *A disjoint union of two r -algebras is an r -algebra.*

Proposition 2.5. *Let X be a separable space which is r -Hausdorff for every $r \in \omega^*$. Then X is Hausdorff.*

Proof. Since principal ultrafilters converge uniquely, X is T1. Let \mathcal{N}_x denote the neighborhood filter of x and suppose $x \neq y \in X$. If x or y is an isolated point then, by T1, x, y can be separated by open sets. Otherwise, suppose $\mathcal{N}_x \cup \mathcal{N}_y$ has the finite intersection property. If D is a countable dense subset of X , $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{N}_x \cup \mathcal{N}_y \cup \{D\} \cup X \setminus \{x\} \cup X \setminus \{y\}$ has F.I.P. as well. Let $f : \omega \rightarrow D$ be a bijection. There exists $r \in \omega^*$ with $\mathcal{G} \subset fr$. As fr converges to both x and y , $x = y$. \square

For X a set, let $prin_X : X \rightarrow \beta X$ be the principal ultrafilter map. For $f : X \rightarrow \beta Y$, denote the Stone extension of f (from the discrete space X) by $f^\# : \beta X \rightarrow \beta Y$, so that $f^\#(\mathcal{U}) = \{B \subset Y : \{x \in X : B \in fx\} \in \mathcal{U}\}$.

We next introduce a generalization of r -algebras and the spaces $T_r X$ which will play a role in the study of the spaces r_X already introduced.

For $G \subset \beta$ any subfunctor (that is, for all $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and for all $\mathcal{U} \in GX$, $f\mathcal{U} = \{B \subset Y : f^{-1}B \in \mathcal{U}\} \in GY$), a space X is

- **G -compact** if every ultrafilter in GX converges;
- **G -Hausdorff** if every ultrafilter in GX converges at most once;
- A **G -space** if every G -closed set is closed, where $A \subset X$ is **G -closed** if given $A \in \mathcal{U} \in GX$ and $\mathcal{U} \rightarrow x$ then necessarily $x \in A$;
- a **G -algebra** if it is a G -compact, G -Hausdorff G -space.

For any space (X, \mathcal{T}) , the set \mathcal{T}_G of G -closed subsets of (X, \mathcal{T}) is a topology. Such (X, \mathcal{T}_G) is a G -space and $id : (X, \mathcal{T}_G) \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{T})$ is the G -space coreflection of (X, \mathcal{T}) [10, Propositions 4.6, 7.8].

An r -algebra is just a G_r -algebra where $G_r X = \{fr : \omega \xrightarrow{f} X\}$ is the subfunctor generated by r .

Define a subset $T_r X \subset \beta X$ by the following transfinite construction.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_0 &= X, \text{ i.e., all principal ultrafilters} \\ \mathcal{A}_\alpha &= \bigcup_{\gamma < \alpha} \mathcal{A}_\gamma \cup \{f^\#_r : \omega \xrightarrow{f} \bigcup_{\gamma < \alpha} \mathcal{A}_\gamma\} \\ T_r X &= \mathcal{A}_{\omega_1} \end{aligned}$$

T_r is a subfunctor of β [10, Theorem 11.5].

Notice that $G_r X = \mathcal{A}_1$. It is known that $G_r \neq T_r$ for all $r \in \omega^*$ [4, Proposition 5.4].

In some of the literature (e.g. [2, Example 2], [7, Theorem 2.12], [6, Lemma 2.2]), $T_r X$ is given the subspace topology of βX . In this paper, we regard $T_r X$ as the T_r -space coreflection of the βX -subspace topology.

Proposition 2.6. *For all sets X , $T_r X$ is the T_r -algebra freely generated by X with respect to the principal ultrafilter inclusion $\text{prin}_X : X \rightarrow T_r X$.*

Proof. [10, Theorems 11.5, 10.17, Lemma 3.1]. \square

Proposition 2.7. *Let $r \in \omega^*$ be a weak P -point and let X be an infinite set. Then the topological space $T_r X$ is not regular.*

Proof. [4, Proposition 5.1]. \square

Proposition 2.8. *Every T_r -algebra is an r -algebra.*

Proof. It is shown in [9, Theorem 3.8] that the T_r -algebras form a variety in \mathcal{W}_r . Since $G_r \subset T_r$, every T_r -Hausdorff space is r -Hausdorff. \square

Corollary 2.9. *r_ω is not sequential.*

Proof. By the universal property of r_ω , it follows from the Proposition that $T_r \omega$ is a quotient of r_ω . As $T_r \omega$ is not sequential ([10, Theorem 2.1]), neither is r_ω . \square

Lemma 2.10. *Let X be a regular, r -compact space and let $A \subset X$. Then A is r -closed if and only if A is T_r -closed.*

Proof. As $G_r X \subset T_r X$, it is trivial that T_r -closed implies r -closed. Conversely, let A be r -closed. Set $\mathcal{D} = \{\mathcal{U} \in T_r X : A \in \mathcal{U} \rightarrow x \Rightarrow x \in A\}$. we must show $\mathcal{A}_\alpha \subset \mathcal{D}$ for all $\alpha < \omega_1$. This holds for $\alpha = 1$ by hypothesis. Assume $\bigcup_{\gamma < \alpha} \mathcal{A}_\gamma \subset \mathcal{D}$ and show $\mathcal{A}_\alpha \subset \mathcal{D}$. Let $\psi : \omega \rightarrow \bigcup_{\gamma < \alpha} \mathcal{A}_\gamma$. To see that $\psi^\#_r = \{B \subset X : \{n : B \in \psi n\} \in r\}$ is a member of \mathcal{D} , let $A \in \psi^\#_r \rightarrow x$ and show $x \in A$. By r -compactness, there exists $f : \omega \rightarrow X$ such that $\psi n \rightarrow fn$. As $A \in \psi^\#_r$, $E = \{n : A \in \psi n\} \in r$. As $\psi n \in \mathcal{D}$, $f(n) \in A$ for all $n \in E$, so $A \in fr$. Let U be open with $x \in U$. As X is regular, there exists a closed neighborhood V of x with $V \subset U$. $I = \{n : V \in \psi n\} \in r$ because $\psi^\#_r \rightarrow x$. For $n \in I$, $V \in \psi n \rightarrow fn$ with V closed so $fn \in V$. Thus $\{n : fn \in U\} \in r$ because it contains I , so $fr \rightarrow x$. As A is r -closed and $A \in fr$, $x \in A$. \square

Example 2.11. Let $T = \bigcup\{T_r : r \in \omega^*\}$. Then T is a subfunctor of β and, with the T -space coreflection topology of the βX -subspace topology, TX is a T -algebra ([10, Lemma 3.6, Theorem 10.17]; $\mathcal{H} \in TTX$ converges uniquely to $\{A \subset X : \{\mathcal{U} \in X : A \in \mathcal{U}\} \in \mathcal{H}\}$). Then $T\omega = \beta\omega$ as a set and $id : T\omega \rightarrow \beta\omega$ is continuous, but $T\omega$ is not compact since it is countably tight [10, Lemma 4.9] whereas $\beta\omega$ is not. Since $T\omega$ is r -compact for all $r \in \omega^*$, it follows from Proposition 3.2 below that $T\omega$ is not regular. (For a different proof that $T\omega$ is not regular, see [4, Proposition 4.35]).

It is open, at present, if \mathcal{V}_r is always a variety. We do have the following, however.

Proposition 2.12. *For any set X , the following are equivalent.*

- (1): $r_X = T_r X$.
- (2): r_X is Hausdorff.
- (3): r_X is T_r -Hausdorff.

In that case, the classes of r -algebras and of T_r -algebras coincide.

Proof. Since $T_r X$ is Hausdorff, the only nontrivial statement is that (3) implies (1). Because $G_r \subset T_r$, every r -space is a T_r -space. Moreover, every r -compact space is T_r -compact [10, Lemma 11.8]. Thus r_X is a T_r -algebra. By Proposition 2.6 there exists unique continuous $\varphi : T_r X \rightarrow r_X$ such that $X \xrightarrow{prin_X} T_r X \xrightarrow{\varphi} r_X = \eta_X$. $T_r X$ is r -compact, Hausdorff. By hypothesis, $T_r X$ is also an r -space, so it is an r -algebra. By the universal property of r_X there exists unique continuous $\psi : r_X \rightarrow T_r X$ such that $X \xrightarrow{\eta_X} r_X \xrightarrow{\psi} T_r X = prin_X$. Thus φ, ψ are mutually inverse homeomorphisms. Finally, an arbitrary r -algebra X is a quotient of r_X . If $r_X = T_r X$, X is a T_r -algebra (since the T_r -algebras form a variety), so then every r -algebra is a T_r -algebra. \square

Corollary 2.13. *If $r \in \omega^*$ and X is a set with $T_r X$ Tychonoff, $T_r X$ is C^* -embedded in βX .*

Proof. Let $j : T_r X \rightarrow C$ be a subspace with C compact Hausdorff. The inclusion $i : T_r X \rightarrow \beta X$ is continuous by [10, Lemma 3.2]. As there exists continuous Stone extension $\psi : \beta X \rightarrow C$ with $\psi i, j$ agreeing on X and as X is dense in $T_r X$, $\psi i = j$ and $T_r X$ is a subspace of βX . If $f : T_r X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is continuous, let $\psi : \beta X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be the Stone extension of $X \xrightarrow{prin_X} T_r X \xrightarrow{f} [0, 1]$. As the compact metric space $[0, 1]$ is a T_r -algebra, the universal property of $T_r X$ gives that $\psi i = f$. \square

3. FIRST COUNTABLE r -ALGEBRAS

Proposition 3.1. *A first countable r -compact r -Hausdorff space X is a regular r -algebra.*

Proof. Noting [1], for first countable T1 spaces, regular is equivalent to Hausdorff. X is an r -algebra by Lemma 2.1. As observed in the proof of that lemma, if $f : \omega \rightarrow X$ and $fn \rightarrow x$ then $fr \rightarrow x$. This shows that sequential convergence is unique and this is equivalent to Hausdorff for first countable spaces. \square

It has been shown above that a separable r -algebra X is precisely a homomorphic image $\theta : r_\omega \rightarrow X$, and that such θ is a closed quotient map. If X is first countable, it is also countably compact and Hausdorff. A question open since [5] (see [12, 13] and the references cited there) is: In ZFC, is there a separable, first countable, countably compact Hausdorff space which is not compact? In this section we consider if it is consistent for such examples to also have r -compactness properties.

A space is ω -**bounded** if the closure of each of its countable subsets is compact.

The next result is shown for Tychonoff spaces in [2] and for Hausdorff spaces in [16]. We give a short proof here to clarify that these assumptions are not needed.

Proposition 3.2. *An ω -bounded space is r -compact for every $r \in \omega^*$. A regular space which is r -compact for every $r \in \omega^*$ is ω -bounded.*

Proof. If X is ω -bounded, let $r \in \omega^*$ and let $f : \omega \rightarrow X$. Let C be a countable member of fr . As $\overline{C} \in fr$ and \overline{C} is compact, fr converges in \overline{C} . Conversely, let X be regular and r -compact for all r . Let C be countable and $\overline{C} \in \mathcal{U} \in \beta X$ and show that \mathcal{U} converges. Let $\mathcal{F} = \{V \cap C : V \text{ open}, V \in \mathcal{U}\}$. As \mathcal{F} has the finite intersection property and consists of countable sets, there exists $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{V} \in \beta X$ and a bijection $f : \omega \rightarrow V$ with $V \in \mathcal{V}$. Thinking of $\mathcal{V} \in \beta V$, there exists unique $r \in \beta\omega$ with $fr = \mathcal{U}$. By hypothesis, \mathcal{V} converges to some element $x \in X$. Suppose P were open with $x \in P$ and $P \notin \mathcal{U}$. Then $P' \in \mathcal{U}$. As X is regular, there exists open Q with $x \in Q$ and $\overline{Q} \subset P$. As $P' \subset \overline{Q}$, $\overline{Q}' \in \mathcal{U}$ and $\overline{Q}' \cap C \in \mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{V}$ so that $\overline{Q}' \in \mathcal{V}$. As also $Q \in \mathcal{V}$ whereas $Q \cap \overline{Q}' = \emptyset$, we obtain a contradiction. This shows \mathcal{U} converges to x . \square

Since a separable ω -bounded space is compact, it follows that no separable, first countable, countably compact Hausdorff space which is not compact can be r -compact for every r .

A space is **strongly \aleph_0 -compact** if every infinite set has infinite intersection with some compact set.

Proposition 3.3. [15] *If X is strongly \aleph_0 -compact and Hausdorff then X^{ω_1} is countably compact.*

Proposition 3.4. [7, Corollary 2.8] *For Hausdorff X with $|X| \leq 2^\omega$, X is r -compact for some $r \in \omega^*$ if and only if X^{2^ω} is countably compact.*

Lemma 3.5. *A countably compact, Hausdorff Fréchet space X is strongly \aleph_0 -compact and of cardinality at most 2^ω .*

Proof. For sequential spaces, countable compactness implies sequential compactness. If $A \subset X$ is infinite, some infinite sequence $a_n \in A$ converges, to x , say. Then $\{a_n\} \cup \{x\}$ is compact and has infinite intersection with A . As X is Fréchet, if D is a countable dense subset of X then there exists a function $X \rightarrow D^\omega$ which maps x to a sequence in D which converges to x , and this function is injective, so $|X| \leq 2^\omega$. \square

Putting the previous three results together, we have at once:

Proposition 3.6. *Under CH, a countably compact, Hausdorff Fréchet space is r -compact for some $r \in \omega^*$.*

It is known that there exists a separable, countably compact, first countable Hausdorff space which is not compact, assuming CH. It is thus consistent that such a space be r -compact for some r .

REFERENCES

- [1] P. Alexandroff and P. Urysohn, *Mémoire sur les espaces topologiques compact*, Verh. Akad. Wetensch. Amsterdam **14**, 1929.
- [2] A. R. Bernstein, *A new kind of compactness for topological spaces*, Fundamenta Mathematicae **LXVI** (1970), 185–193.
- [3] W. W. Comfort, *Problems in topological groups and other homogeneous spaces*, in J. van Mill and G. M. Reed (eds.), Open Problems in Topology, North-Holland (1990), 313–347.
- [4] J. Dabbs, *Countably compact, countable tight, non-compact spaces*, Masters Thesis, Auburn University, Auburn, Alabama, USA, August, 2011.
- [5] S. P. Franklin and M. Rajagopalan, *Some examples in topology*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society **155** (1971), 305–314.
- [6] Z. Frolík, *Sums of ultrafilters*, Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society **73** (1967), 87–91.
- [7] J. Ginsburg and V. Saks, *Some applications of ultrafilters in topology*, Pacific Journal of Mathematics **57** (1975), 403–418.
- [8] S. Mac Lane, *Categories for the Working Mathematician*, Springer-Verlag, 1971.
- [9] E. G. Manes, *Varieties generated by compact metric spaces*, Cahiers de Topologie et Géométrie Différentielle Catégoriques, to appear.
- [10] E. G. Manes, *Monads in topology*, Topology and its Applications **157** (2010), 961–989.
- [11] P. J. Nyikos, *On first countable, countably compact spaces III: the problem of finding separable, noncompact examples*, in J. Van Mill and G. M. Reed (eds.), Open Problems in Topology, Elsevier (1990), 128–161.
- [12] P. J. Nyikos, *Classic problems –25 years later (part 2)*, Topology Proceedings **27** (2003), 365–378.
- [13] P. J. Nyikos, *First countable, countably compact, noncompact spaces*, in E. Pearl (ed.), Open Problems in Topology II, Elsevier (2007), 217–224.
- [14] R. S. Pierce, *An Introduction to the Theory of Abstract Algebras*, Holt, Rinehart and Winston, 1968.

- [15] V. Saks and R. M. Stephenson Jr., *Products of \mathcal{M} -compact spaces*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society **28** (1971), 279–288.
- [16] J. E. Vaughan, *Countably compact and sequentially compact spaces*, in K. Kunen and J. E. Vaughan (eds.), *Handbook of Set-Theoretic Topology*, North-Holland, 1984, 569–602.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF MASSACHUSETTS,
AMHERST, MA 01003, USA
E-mail address: `manes@math.umass.edu`