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## TOPOLOGY PROCEEDINGS

# CAT(0) EXTENSIONS OF RIGHT-ANGLED COXETER GROUPS 

CHARLES CUNNINGHAM, ANDY EISENBERG, ADAM PIGGOTT, AND KIM RUANE


#### Abstract

We show that any split extension of a right-angled Coxeter group $W_{\Gamma}$ by a generating automorphism of finite order acts faithfully and geometrically on a CAT(0) metric space.


## 1. Introduction

An isometric group action is faithful if its kernel is trivial, and it is geometric if it is cocompact and properly discontinuous. A finitely generated group $G$ is a $C A T(0)$ group if there exists a CAT(0) metric space $X$ equipped with a faithful geometric $G$-action. The CAT(0) property is not an invariant of the quasi-isometry class of a group (see, for example, [1], [6], and [3, p. 258]). Whether or not it is an invariant of the abstract commensurability class of a group is as yet unknown. Attention was brought to this matter in [8]. In this article we illustrate that answering this question for any family of $\operatorname{CAT}(0)$ groups may require a variety of techniques.

It is well known that an arbitrary right-angled Coxeter group $W$ is a $\mathrm{CAT}(0)$ group because it acts faithfully and geometrically on a $\operatorname{CAT}(0)$ cube complex $X$. It is also well known that the automorphism group $\operatorname{Aut}(W)$ is generated by three types of finite-order automorphisms. As a natural source of examples we consider split extensions of right-angled Coxeter groups by finite cyclic groups, where, in each case, the cyclic

[^0]group acts on $W$ as the group generated by one of these various generating automorphisms. Our theorem is the following.

Theorem 1.1. Suppose $W$ is a right-angled Coxeter group and $\phi \in$ $\operatorname{Aut}(W)$ is an automorphism induced by a graph automorphism, a partial conjugation, or a transvection. Let $m$ denote the order of $\phi$. Then the group $G=W \rtimes_{\phi} \mathbb{Z} / m \mathbb{Z}$ is a $C A T(0)$ group.

What is most interesting is that $G$ is a $\operatorname{CAT}(0)$ group for different reasons in each of the three cases. When $\phi$ is an automorphism induced by a graph automorphism, the left multiplication action $W \circlearrowright X$ extends to an action $G \circlearrowright X$; when $\phi$ is a partial conjugation, $G$ is itself a rightangled Coxeter group; when $\phi$ is a transvection, $G$ is not a right-angled Coxeter group and the action $W \circlearrowright X$ cannot extend to all of $G$, but we can explicitly construct a new $\operatorname{CAT}(0)$ space $Y$ and describe a faithful geometric action $G \circlearrowright Y$.

After necessary background material is described in $\S 2$, the three cases of the theorem are treated, in turn, in sections 3,4 , and 5 .

We also note that, in each case of the theorem, we take an extension $W_{\Gamma} \rtimes H$ where $H \leqslant \operatorname{Aut}\left(W_{\Gamma}\right)$ is finite. In [4], we give an example in which $H$ is infinite and $W_{\Gamma} \rtimes H$ is not a right-angled Coxeter group. We currently do not know whether such extensions with infinite $H$ are $\operatorname{CAT}(0)$ or not. Since this question does not address the abstract commensurability of the CAT(0) property, we will not address it further in this paper.

## 2. Right-Angled Coxeter Groups and Their Automorphisms

In this section we briefly recall a very small part of the rich combinatorial and geometric theory of right-angled Coxeter groups. The interested reader may consult [5] for a thorough account of the more general subject of Coxeter groups from the geometric group theory point of view.

Fix an arbitrary finite simple graph $\Gamma$ with vertex set $S$ and edge set $E$. The right-angled Coxeter group defined by $\Gamma$ is the group $W=W_{\Gamma}$ generated by $S$, with relations declaring that the generators all have order 2 , and adjacent vertices commute with each other. The pair $(W, S)$ is called a right-angled Coxeter system. As described in [5, Proposition 7.3.4], we construct a cube complex $X=X(W, S)$ inductively as follows:

- The set of vertices is indexed by $W$, say $X^{0}=\left\{v_{w} \mid w \in W\right\}$.
- To complete the construction of the one-skeleton $X^{1}$, we add edges of unit length so that vertices $v_{u}$ and $v_{w}$ are adjacent if and only if $u^{-1} w \in S$.
- For each $k \geqslant 2$, we construct the $k$-skeleton by gluing in Euclidean unit cubes of dimension $k$ whenever $X^{k-1}$ contains the $(k-1)$ skeleton of such a cube.

Remark 2.1. We note the following about this construction:

- The dimension of $X$ equals the number of vertices in the largest clique in $\Gamma$.
- The barycentric subdivision of $X$ is the well-known Davis complex $\Sigma=\Sigma(W, S)$. By a result of Gromov, $\Sigma$, hence also $X$, is a CAT(0) metric space (see [5, Theorem 12.3.3] for a generalization due to Moussong).

By construction, the geometry of $X$ is determined entirely by its 1skeleton $X^{1}$. It follows that a permutation $\sigma$ of the vertex set $X^{0}$ determines an isometry of $X$ if it respects the adjacency relation. In particular, for all $w \in W$, the map $v_{u} \mapsto v_{w u}$ extends to an isometry $\Phi_{w} \in \operatorname{Isom}(X)$. The map $w \mapsto \Phi_{w}$ is a faithful geometric action $W \circlearrowright X$ known as the left multiplication action.

From the graph $\Gamma$, we may infer the existence of certain finite-order automorphisms of $W$. For each vertex $a \in S$, we write $\operatorname{Lk}(a)$ for the set of vertices adjacent to $a$ and $\operatorname{St}(a)$ for $\operatorname{Lk}(a) \cup\{a\}$.

- Each graph automorphism $f \in \operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma)$ restricts to a permutation of $S$ which determines an automorphism $\phi_{f} \in \operatorname{Aut}(W)$.
- For each union of non-empty connected components $D$ of $\Gamma \backslash \operatorname{St}(a)$, the map

$$
s \mapsto \begin{cases}a s a & s \in D, \\ s & s \in S \backslash D\end{cases}
$$

determines an automorphism of $W$ called the partial conjugation with acting letter $a$ and domain $D$.

- If $a, d \in S$ are such that $\operatorname{St}(d) \subseteq \operatorname{St}(a)$, then the rule

$$
s \mapsto s \text { for all } s \in S \backslash\{d\} \text { and } d \mapsto d a
$$

determines an automorphism of $W$ called the transvection with acting letter a and domain d.
Together, the automorphisms induced by graph automorphisms, the partial conjugations, and the transvections comprise a generating set for $\operatorname{Aut}(W)$ [7]. We note that partial conjugations and transvections are involutions and graph automorphisms have finite order.

In what follows, $\phi \in \operatorname{Aut}(W)$ shall always denote a non-trivial automorphism of finite order $m$, and $G$ shall denote the semi-direct product
$G=W \rtimes_{\phi} \mathbb{Z} / m \mathbb{Z}$. So $G$ is presented by

$$
\begin{gathered}
P_{1}=\langle S \cup\{z\}| s^{2}=1 \text { for all } s \in S,[s, t]=1 \text { for all }\{s, t\} \in E, \\
\left.z^{m}=1, z s z^{-1}=\phi(s) \text { for all } s \in S\right\rangle
\end{gathered}
$$

## 3. When $\phi$ Is Induced by a Graph Automorphism

Suppose $\phi$ is induced by a graph automorphism $f \in \operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma)$. Then the map $v_{w} \mapsto v_{\phi(w)}$ preserves the adjacency relation in $X^{1}$ and hence determines an isometry $\Phi \in \operatorname{Isom}(X)$. By simple computation, the reader may confirm that the relations in the presentation $P_{1}$ are satisfied when each $s \in S$ is replaced by $\Phi_{s}$ and $z$ is replaced by $\Phi$. Hence, the rule

$$
s \mapsto \Phi_{s} \text { for all } s \in S \text { and } z \mapsto \Phi
$$

determines an action $G \circlearrowright X$. We leave the reader to confirm that the action is faithful and geometric, and hence Theorem 1.1 holds in the first of the three cases.

In fact, a stronger result holds for similar reasons.
Lemma 3.1. If $\mathcal{H} \leqslant \operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma)$ is the group of graph automorphisms and $H$ is the corresponding subgroup of Aut $(W)$, then the natural action $W \circlearrowright X$ extends to a faithful geometric action $W \rtimes H \circlearrowright X$.

## 4. When $\phi$ Is a Partial Conjugation

Now suppose that $\phi$ is the partial conjugation with acting letter $a$ and domain $D$. Recall that $v_{w}$ denotes the vertex of $X$ indexed by the group element $w \in W$. For any $d \in D, v_{1}$ and $v_{d}$ are adjacent in $X^{1}$, but $v_{\phi(1)}$ and $v_{\phi(d)}$ are not. Since the map $v_{w} \mapsto v_{\phi(w)}$ does not respect adjacency in $X^{1}$, the left multiplication action $W \circlearrowright X$ does not naturally extend to an action $G \circlearrowright X$. However, $G$ is itself a right-angled Coxeter group and hence also a $\operatorname{CAT}(0)$ group.

Lemma 4.1. If $\phi$ is a partial conjugation with acting letter a and domain $D$, then $G$ is itself a right-angled Coxeter group.

We will omit the details of the proof, which may be found in [4]. In that paper, we engage more broadly with the problem of identifying a right-angled Coxeter presentation in a given group (or proving that no such presentation exists). We find various families of extensions of rightangled Coxeter groups which are again right-angled Coxeter, and these include Lemma 4.1 as a special case.

Here we will give a description of how to construct the defining graph $\Lambda$ for $G$ based on the original graph $\Gamma$. The procedure is as follows:
(1) Add a new vertex labeled $x$, which we connect to everything in $\Gamma \backslash D$.
(2) Replace the label of vertex $a$ with the label $a x$ and add edges connecting $a x$ to each vertex in $D$.
An example is shown in Figure 1.


Figure 1. $\Lambda$ is the defining graph of $W_{\Gamma} \rtimes\langle x\rangle$, where $x$ has acting letter $a_{5}$ and domain $\left\{a_{6}\right\}$.

## 5. When $\phi$ Is a Transvection

Finally, we suppose that $\phi$ is the transvection with acting letter $a$ and domain $d$. Recall that this means that $\operatorname{St}(d) \subseteq \operatorname{St}(a)$ and $\phi$ is determined by the rule

$$
d \mapsto d a \text { and } s \mapsto s \text { for all } s \in S \backslash\{d\} .
$$

We note that $v_{1}$ and $v_{d}$ are adjacent in $X^{1}$, but $v_{\phi(1)}$ and $v_{\phi(d)}$ are not. Since the map $v_{w} \mapsto v_{\phi(w)}$ does not respect adjacency in $X^{1}$, the left multiplication action $W \circlearrowright X$ does not naturally extend to an action $G \circlearrowright X$. In fact, a stronger statement is true. It follows from [5, §13.2] that $\operatorname{Fix}(d)$ is a codimension 1 subspace of $\Sigma$ and $\operatorname{Fix}(d a)$ is codimension 2. Hence, there is no isometry of $X$ which can conjugate the isometry representing $d$ to give the isometry representing $d a$, so the left multiplication action $W \circlearrowright X$ cannot be extended in any way to an action $G \circlearrowright X$.

We also note that $G$ does not embed in a right-angled Coxeter group since $G$ contains an element of order 4. Since $x d x=a d$, we have that $(x d)^{2}=a$ and $x d$ has order 4. In a right-angled Coxeter group, any non-trivial element of finite order is an involution.

It seems that to show that $G$ is a $\operatorname{CAT}(0)$ group, we must identify a new $\operatorname{CAT}(0)$ space $Y$ and describe a faithful geometric action $G \circlearrowright Y$. The key to our success in doing exactly this is the existence of a certain finite index subgroup of $W$ which is itself a right-angled Coxeter group. Although the existence of such a subgroup is well known (see [2, Example
1.4], for example, where the analogous subgroup is used in the context of right-angled Artin groups), we provide the details here for completeness.

Let $h_{a}: W \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ denote the homomorphism determined by the rule

$$
a \mapsto 1 \text { and } s \mapsto 0 \text { for all } s \in S \backslash\{a\} .
$$

Let $U$ denote the kernel of $h_{a}$ and let

$$
S^{\prime}=(S \backslash\{a\}) \cup\{a s a \mid s \in S \backslash \operatorname{St}(a)\}
$$

Lemma 5.1. The pair $\left(U, S^{\prime}\right)$ is a right-angled Coxeter system, and hence $U$ is a right-angled Coxeter group. Further, conjugation by a in $W$ restricts to an automorphism $\theta \in \operatorname{Aut}(U)$ induced by a permutation of $S^{\prime}$; this automorphism is trivial if and only if $a$ is central in $W$.
Proof. If $a$ is central in $W$, then $S^{\prime}=S \backslash\{a\}$, and the result is evident. In this case, conjugation by $a$ restricts to the trivial automorphism of $U$ and hence is the automorphism of $U$ induced by the trivial permutation of $S^{\prime}$.

Suppose $a$ is not central in $W$. An alternative presentation for $W$ may be constructed from the standard Coxeter presentation for $W$ by the following Tietze transformations:

- For each vertex $s \in S \backslash \operatorname{St}(a)$, introduce a new generator $\hat{s}$, the defining relation $a s a=\widehat{s}$, and redundant relations $a \widehat{s} a=s$ and $\hat{s}^{2}=1$.
- For each pair of adjacent vertices $s, t \in S \backslash \operatorname{St}(a)$, introduce the redundant relation $\widehat{s} \widehat{t}=\widehat{t} \widehat{s}$.
- For each pair of adjacent vertices $s \in S \backslash \operatorname{St}(a)$ and $t \in \operatorname{Lk}(a)$, introduce the redundant relation $\hat{s} t=t \widehat{s}$.
- For each vertex $x \in \operatorname{Lk}(a)$, we rewrite the relation $x a=a x$ as $a x a=x$.
The resulting presentation of $W$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{2}=\left\langle S^{\prime} \cup\{a\}\right| x^{2}=1 \text { for all } x \in S^{\prime}, \\
& {[s, t]=1 \text { for all }\{s, t\} \in E \text { such that } s, t \neq a,} \\
& {[\widehat{s}, \widehat{t}]=1 \text { for all }\{s, t\} \in E \text { such that } s, t \in S \backslash \operatorname{St}(a),} \\
& {[\widehat{s}, t]=1 \text { for all }\{s, t\} \in E \text { such that } s \in S \backslash \operatorname{St}(a) \text { and }} \\
& \quad t \in \operatorname{Lk}(a), \\
& a^{2}=1 \text { and } a s a=s \text { for all } s \in \operatorname{Lk}(a), \\
& a s a=\widehat{s} \text { and } a \widehat{s} a=s \text { for all } s \in S \backslash \operatorname{St}(a)\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

Evidently, this is the presentation of a semidirect product in which the non-normal factor is $\langle a\rangle$, the normal factor is a right-angled Coxeter group with generating set

$$
S^{\prime}=(S \backslash\{a\}) \cup\{\hat{x} \mid x \in S \backslash \operatorname{St}(a)\}
$$

and $a$ acts on the normal factor as the automorphism $\theta$ induced by permuting the generators according to the rule

$$
x \mapsto \hat{x}, \widehat{x} \mapsto x \text { for all } x \in S \backslash \operatorname{St}(a), \text { and } y \mapsto y \text { for all } y \in \operatorname{Lk}(a)
$$

The action of $a$ on $U$ is non-trivial because $S \neq \operatorname{St}(a)$.
We now have the following refined decomposition of $G$ :

$$
G=\left(U \rtimes_{\theta}\langle a\rangle\right) \rtimes_{\phi}\langle z\rangle .
$$

A presentation $P_{3}$ for $G$ is obtained from the presentation $P_{2}$ for $W$ by appending the generator $z$ and relations

$$
z^{2}=1, z s z=s \text { for all } s \in S^{\prime} \backslash\{d\}, z d z=d a, \text { and } z a z=a
$$

It follows that for each $g \in G$, there exist unique choices $u_{g} \in U$ and $\epsilon_{g}, \delta_{g} \in\{0,1\}$, such that $g=u_{g} a^{\epsilon_{g}} z^{\delta_{g}}$. We shall write $Y$ for the $\operatorname{CAT}(0)$ cube complex on which $U$ acts geometrically and faithfully as defined in $\S 2$, and we write $p: G \rightarrow U$ for the projection map $g \mapsto u_{g}$. The projection map is not a homomorphism because, for $s \in S \backslash \operatorname{St}(a)$, we have $p(a) p(s) p(a)=s \neq s^{\prime}=p\left(s^{\prime}\right)$. Even so, it allows us to parlay the left multiplication action of $G$ on itself into an action of $G \circlearrowright Y$.

Lemma 5.2. For all $g \in S^{\prime} \cup\{a, z\}$, the rule

$$
v_{u} \mapsto v_{p(g u)} \text { for all } u \in U
$$

respects adjacency in $Y^{1}$ and hence determines an isometry $\Phi_{g} \in \operatorname{Isom}(Y)$.
Proof. Let $u \in U, s \in S^{\prime}$, and $g \in S^{\prime} \cup\{a, z\}$. To prove the result we must establish that $v_{p(g u)}$ and $v_{p(g u s)}$ are adjacent. For this it suffices to show that $(p(g u))^{-1} p(g u s) \in S^{\prime}$.

If $g \in S^{\prime}$, then

$$
(p(g u))^{-1} p(g u s)=(g u)^{-1} g u s=s \in S^{\prime} .
$$

If $g=a$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
(p(a u))^{-1} p(a u s) & =(p(\theta(u) a))^{-1} p(\theta(u s) a) \\
& =(\theta(u))^{-1} \theta(u s) \\
& =\theta(s) \in S^{\prime} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, we consider the case $g=z$. We note that if $d$ occurs an even number of times in any word for $u$, then $a$ occurs an even number of times in any word for $\phi(u)$, and $p(z u)=\phi(u)$. If, on the other hand, $d$ occurs an odd number of times in any word for $u$, then $a$ occurs an odd number of times in any word for $\phi(u)$, and $p(z u)=\phi(u) a$. The parity of $d$ in a
group element $u \in U$ is identified by the homomorphism $h_{d}: U \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ determined by the rule

$$
d \mapsto 1 \text { and } s \mapsto 0 \text { for all } s \in S^{\prime} \backslash\{d\} .
$$

Therefore, we consider cases based on the value of $h_{d}(u)$ and whether or not $s=d$.

If $h_{d}(u)=0$ and $s \neq d$, then

$$
(p(z u))^{-1} p(z u s)=(\phi(u))^{-1} \phi(u s)=s \in S^{\prime}
$$

If $h_{d}(u)=0$ and $s=d$, then

$$
(p(z u))^{-1} p(z u d)=(\phi(u))^{-1} \phi(u d) a=\phi(d) a=d \in S^{\prime} .
$$

If $h_{d}(u)=1$ and $s \neq d$, then

$$
(p(z u))^{-1} p(z u s)=(\phi(u) a)^{-1} \phi(u s) a=a \phi(u)^{-1} \phi(u) s a=a s a=\theta(s) \in S^{\prime} .
$$

If $h_{d}(u)=1$ and $s=d$, then

$$
(p(z u))^{-1} p(z u d)=(\phi(u) a)^{-1} \phi(u d)=a \phi(u)^{-1} \phi(u) d a=a d a=d \in S^{\prime}
$$

Adjacency is respected in all cases, so the result holds in the case that $g=z$ and thus, $\Phi_{g}$ is an isometry of $Y$ as required.

In summary, we have that $G$ is presented by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{3}=\left\langle S^{\prime} \cup\{a, z\}\right| x^{2}=1 \text { for all } x \in S^{\prime}, \\
& \\
& {[s, t]=1 \text { for all }\{s, t\} \in E \text { such that } s, t \neq a,} \\
& {[\hat{s}, \hat{t}]=1 \text { for all }\{s, t\} \in E \text { such that } s, t \in S \backslash \operatorname{St}(a),} \\
& {[\widehat{s}, t]=1 \text { for all }\{s, t\} \in E \text { such that } s \in S \backslash \operatorname{St}(a) \text { and }} \\
& \quad t \in \operatorname{Lk}(a), \\
& a^{2}=1 \text { and } a s a=s \text { for all } s \in \operatorname{Lk}(a), \\
& a s a=\widehat{s} \text { and } a \widehat{s} a=s \text { for all } s \in S \backslash \operatorname{St}(a), \\
& \left.z^{2}=1 \text { and } z s z=s \text { for all } s \in S^{\prime} \backslash\{d\}, z d z=d a, z a z=a\right\rangle ;
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Phi_{s}\left(v_{u}\right)=v_{s u} \text { for all } s \in S^{\prime} \\
& \Phi_{a}\left(v_{u}\right)=v_{\theta(u)} \\
& \Phi_{z}\left(v_{u}\right)=v_{\phi(u)} \text { if } h_{d}(u)=0 \\
& \Phi_{z}\left(v_{u}\right)=v_{\phi(u) a} \text { if } h_{d}(u)=1
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 5.3. The map

$$
g \mapsto \Phi_{g} \text { for all } g \in S^{\prime} \cup\{a, z\}
$$

determines a geometric action $G \circlearrowright Y$ which extends the left multiplication action $U \circlearrowright Y$. If $a$ is not central in $W$, the action is faithful. If $a$ is central in $W$, the kernel is the subgroup generated by $\{a, z\}$.

Proof. To prove that the map determines an isometric group action, we must prove that the relations in the presentation $P_{3}$ for $G$ hold when each $g \in S^{\prime} \cup\{a, z\}$ is replaced by $\Phi_{g}$. It is clear that those relations not involving either $a$ or $z$ remain true when each $g \in S^{\prime}$ is replaced by $\Phi_{g}$. We leave the reader to verify that the following relations hold (using the rules listed immediately before the statement of the lemma).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Phi_{a}^{2}=1 \\
& \Phi_{a} \Phi_{s} \Phi_{a}=\Phi_{s} \text { for all } s \in \operatorname{Lk}(a) \\
& \Phi_{a} \Phi_{s} \Phi_{a}=\Phi_{\widehat{s}} \text { for all } s \in S \backslash \operatorname{St}(a), \\
& \Phi_{a} \Phi_{\hat{s}} \Phi_{a}=\Phi_{s} \text { for all } s \in S \backslash \operatorname{St}(a), \\
& \Phi_{z}^{2}=1 \\
& \Phi_{z} \Phi_{s} \Phi_{z}=\Phi_{s} \text { for all } s \in S^{\prime} \backslash\{d\} \\
& \Phi_{z} \Phi_{d} \Phi_{z}=\Phi_{d} \Phi_{a} \\
& \Phi_{z} \Phi_{a} \Phi_{z}=\Phi_{a}
\end{aligned}
$$

We note that, because $v_{1} \mapsto v_{p(g)}$, the stabilizer of $v_{1}$ is a subgroup of the finite abelian group $\langle a, z\rangle$. If $a$ is not central in $W$, there exists $s \in S \backslash \operatorname{St}(a)$. Computation shows that $\Phi_{a}$ and $\Phi_{a z}$ do not fix $v_{s}$, and $\Phi_{z}$ does not fix $v_{d s}$. Our claims about the kernel of the action follow immediately.

If $a$ is central in $W$, then there is no obvious way in which $a$ should act non-trivially on $Y$. We can, however, extend $Y$ to a new space $Y^{+}$ by appending two unit length edges in a V shape at each vertex, thereby providing pieces on which $a$ and $\phi$ can act non-trivially. More formally, to construct $Y^{+}$from $Y$, we write $v_{u}^{0}$ for $v_{u}$, and we append new vertices

$$
\left\{v_{u}^{i} \mid \text { for all } u \in U \text { and } i \in\{-1,1\}\right\}
$$

and new unit length edges

$$
\left\{\left\{v_{u}^{0}, v_{u}^{-1}\right\},\left\{v_{u}^{0}, v_{u}^{1}\right\} \mid \text { for all } u \in U\right\} .
$$

It is evident that appending such V shapes at each vertex does not cause the $\mathrm{CAT}(0)$ property to fail; hence, $Y^{+}$is a $\mathrm{CAT}(0)$ cube complex.

Proposition 5.4. If $a$ is central in $W$, then $G$ acts faithfully and geometrically on $Y^{+}$.

Proof. Suppose that $a$ is central in $W$, i.e., that $\operatorname{St}(a)=\Gamma$. Then $\left(U, S^{\prime}\right)$ is a right-angled Coxeter system, and $W=U \times\langle a\rangle$.

We now define a homomorphism $\Phi: G \rightarrow \operatorname{Isom}\left(Y^{+}\right)$. For each $s \in S^{\prime}$, we declare $\Phi(s)$ to be the isometry determined by the rule

$$
v_{u}^{i} \mapsto v_{s u}^{i} \text { for all } u \in U \text { and } i \in\{-1,0,1\}
$$

We declare $\Phi(a)$ to be the isometry determined by the rule

$$
v_{u}^{i} \mapsto v_{u}^{-i} \text { for all } u \in U \text { and } i \in\{-1,0,1\}
$$

We declare $\Phi(z)$ to be the isometry determined by the rule

$$
v_{u}^{i} \mapsto \begin{cases}v_{u}^{i} & \text { if } h_{d}(u)=0 \\ v_{u}^{-i} & \text { if } h_{d}(u)=1\end{cases}
$$

for all $u \in U$ and $i \in\{-1,0,1\}$. The maps can be described informally: Each $s \in S^{\prime}$ acts on $Y^{+}$in the way which most naturally extends the left multiplication action $U \circlearrowright Y$; $a$ flips the V attached to every vertex, while $z$ flips only half the V shapes, because it flips the V attached to a vertex $v_{u}$ if and only if $d$ has an odd parity in $u$.

It is evident that the maps described above preserve adjacency in the one-skeleton of $Y^{+}$and hence determine isometries of $Y^{+}$. Simple computations confirm that these definitions respect the relations in the presentation $P_{3}$ of $G$ (some of the relations listed are vacuous). Therefore, these definitions do indeed determine an isometric action $G \circlearrowright Y^{+}$. That the action is geometric follows easily from the fact that the left multiplication action $U \circlearrowright Y$ is geometric.

Acknowledgment. We thank the anonymous referee for his/her constructive suggestions which helped improve the clarity of our exposition.
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