

On lattice of maps

Andrzej Kucharski

Institute of Mathematics, University of Silesia, Katowice

12th Annual Workshop on Topology and Dynamical Systems,
May 25-29, 2015 Nipissing University

Let f and g be two maps defined on a space X . If there exists a map $h : g[X] \rightarrow f[X]$ such that $f = h \circ g$, then we will briefly write $g \prec f$.

We say that X has a *multiplicative lattice of maps* (Shchepin 1976) if there exists a family Ψ of maps with domain X such that:

- (ML1) For any map $f : X \rightarrow f(X)$ there exists $g \in \Psi$ with $g \prec f$ and $w(g(X)) \leq w(f(X))$;
- (ML2) If $\{f_\alpha : \alpha \in A\} \subset \Psi$, then the diagonal $\Delta\{f_\alpha : \alpha \in A\} \in \Psi$.

If Ψ consists of open, d-open or skeletal maps, then it is called a multiplicative lattice of open, d-open or skeletal maps, respectively.

Let f and g be two maps defined on a space X . If there exists a map $h : g[X] \rightarrow f[X]$ such that $f = h \circ g$, then we will briefly write $g \prec f$.

We say that X has a *multiplicative lattice of maps* (Shchepin 1976) if there exists a family Ψ of maps with domain X such that:

- (ML1) For any map $f : X \rightarrow f(X)$ there exists $g \in \Psi$ with $g \prec f$ and $w(g(X)) \leq w(f(X))$;
- (ML2) If $\{f_\alpha : \alpha \in A\} \subset \Psi$, then the diagonal $\Delta\{f_\alpha : \alpha \in A\} \in \Psi$.

If Ψ consists of open, d-open or skeletal maps, then it is called a multiplicative lattice of open, d-open or skeletal maps, respectively.

Let f and g be two maps defined on a space X . If there exists a map $h : g[X] \rightarrow f[X]$ such that $f = h \circ g$, then we will briefly write $g \prec f$.

We say that X has a *multiplicative lattice of maps* (Shchepin 1976) if there exists a family Ψ of maps with domain X such that:

- (ML1) For any map $f : X \rightarrow f(X)$ there exists $g \in \Psi$ with $g \prec f$ and $w(g(X)) \leq w(f(X))$;
- (ML2) If $\{f_\alpha : \alpha \in A\} \subset \Psi$, then the diagonal $\Delta\{f_\alpha : \alpha \in A\} \in \Psi$.

If Ψ consists of open, d-open or skeletal maps, then it is called a multiplicative lattice of open, d-open or skeletal maps, respectively.

We say that X has a *lattice of maps* (Shchepin 1976). This means that there exists a family Ψ of maps with domain X such that:

- (L1) For any map $f: X \rightarrow f(X)$ there exists $g \in \Psi$ with $g \prec f$ and $w(g(X)) \leq w(f(X))$;
- (L2) If $\{f_\alpha : \alpha \in A\} \subset \Psi$ is such that $\Delta\{f_{\alpha_i} : 1 \leq i \leq n\} \in \Psi$ for any finite $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in A$, then $\Delta\{f_\alpha : \alpha \in A\} \in \Psi$.

If Ψ consists of open, d-open or skeletal maps, then it is called a lattice of open, d-open or skeletal maps, respectively.

We say that X has a *lattice of maps* (Shchepin 1976). This means that there exists a family Ψ of maps with domain X such that:

- (L1) For any map $f: X \rightarrow f(X)$ there exists $g \in \Psi$ with $g \prec f$ and $w(g(X)) \leq w(f(X))$;
- (L2) If $\{f_\alpha : \alpha \in A\} \subset \Psi$ is such that $\Delta\{f_{\alpha_i} : 1 \leq i \leq n\} \in \Psi$ for any finite $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in A$, then $\Delta\{f_\alpha : \alpha \in A\} \in \Psi$.

If Ψ consists of open, d-open or skeletal maps, then it is called a lattice of open, d-open or skeletal maps, respectively.

If Ψ consists of maps onto second countable spaces and the following conditions are fulfilled:

- (ω -ML1) For any map $f: X \rightarrow f[X]$, such that $w(f[X]) = \aleph_0$, then there exists $g \in \Psi$ with $g \prec f$;
- (ω -ML2) If $\{f_n : 0 \leq n\} \subset \Psi$, then $\Delta\{f_n : 0 \leq n\} \in \Psi$,

then the family Ψ is called an *ω -multiplicative lattice of maps*.

If Ψ consists of maps onto second countable spaces and the following conditions are fulfilled:

- (ω -L1) For any map $f: X \rightarrow f[X]$, such that $w(f[X]) = \aleph_0$, then there exists $g \in \Psi$ with $g \prec f$;
- (ω -L2) If $\{f_n : 0 \leq n\} \subset \Psi$ is such that $\Delta\{f_{n_i} : 1 \leq i \leq j\} \in \Psi$ for any finite n_1, \dots, n_j , then the diagonal $\Delta\{f_n : 0 \leq n\}$ is an element of Ψ ,

then the family Ψ is called an ω - *lattice of maps*.

In accordance with J. Mioduszewski and L. Rudolf (1969), a surjective map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be *skeletal*; (resp. *d-open*; M. Tkachenko 1981) if

$$\text{Int } \overline{f[U]} \neq \emptyset$$

(resp. $f[U] \subseteq \text{Int } \overline{f[U]}$) for every nonempty open $U \subseteq X$.

Obviously, every d -open map is skeletal. Moreover, any d -open map between compact Hausdorff spaces is always open

Skeletally Dugundji spaces

We say that a space X is *skeletally Dugundji* if there exists an inverse system $S = \{X_\alpha, p_\alpha^\beta, \alpha < \beta < \tau\}$ with surjective skeletal bonding maps, satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) X_0 is a separable metric space and all maps $p_\alpha^{\alpha+1}$ have metrizable kernels (i.e., there exists a separable metric space M_α such that $X_{\alpha+1}$ is embedded in $X_\alpha \times M_\alpha$ and $p_\alpha^{\alpha+1}$ coincides with the restriction $\pi|_{X_{\alpha+1}}$ of the projection $\pi: X_\alpha \times M_\alpha \rightarrow X_\alpha$);
- (ii) for any limit ordinal $\gamma < \tau$ the space X_γ is a (dense) subset of $\lim_{\leftarrow} \{X_\alpha, p_\alpha^\beta, \alpha < \beta < \gamma\}$;
- (iii) X is embedded in $\lim_{\leftarrow} S$ such that $p_\alpha(X) = X_\alpha$ for each α , where $p_\alpha: \lim_{\leftarrow} S \rightarrow X_\alpha$ is the α -th limit projection;
- (iv) for every bounded continuous real-valued function f on $\lim_{\leftarrow} S$ there exists $\alpha \in A$ such that $p_\alpha \prec f$ (i.e. there exists a continuous function g on X_α with $f = g \circ p_\alpha$).

Theorem (E. Shchepin (1981))

For a compact Hausdorff Tychonoff space X the following are equivalent:

- (i) X is Dugundji;*
- (ii) X has a multiplicative lattice of open maps.*

Theorem (A.K., Sz. Plewik, V. Valov, (2013))

For a Tychonov space X the following are equivalent:

- (i) X is skeletally Dugundji;*
- (ii) X has a multiplicative lattice of skeletal maps.*

Open-open game

Consider two person game of countable length.

- player I chooses a non-empty open set $A_0 \subseteq X$
- player II chooses a non-empty open set $B_0 \subseteq A_0$

at the n -th inning

- player I chooses a non-empty open subset $A_n \subseteq X$
- player II chooses a non-empty open subset $B_n \subseteq A_n$

player I wins, whenever the union $B_0 \cup B_1 \cup \dots \subseteq X$ is dense in X , otherwise player II wins.

Open-open game were introduced by P. Daniels, K. Kunen and H. Zhou *On the open-open game*, Fund. Math. 145 (1994), no. 3, 205 - 220.

Open-open game

Consider two person game of countable length.

- player I chooses a non-empty open set $A_0 \subseteq X$
- player II chooses a non-empty open set $B_0 \subseteq A_0$

at the n -th inning

- player I chooses a non-empty open subset $A_n \subseteq X$
- player II chooses a non-empty open subset $B_n \subseteq A_n$

player I wins, whenever the union $B_0 \cup B_1 \cup \dots \subseteq X$ is dense in X , otherwise player II wins.

Open-open game were introduced by P. Daniels, K. Kunen and H. Zhou *On the open-open game*, Fund. Math. 145 (1994), no. 3, 205 - 220.

Open-open game

Consider two person game of countable length.

- player I chooses a non-empty open set $A_0 \subseteq X$
- player II chooses a non-empty open set $B_0 \subseteq A_0$

at the n -th inning

- player I chooses a non-empty open subset $A_n \subseteq X$
- player II chooses a non-empty open subset $B_n \subseteq A_n$

player I wins, whenever the union $B_0 \cup B_1 \cup \dots \subseteq X$ is dense in X , otherwise player II wins.

Open-open game were introduced by P. Daniels, K. Kunen and H. Zhou *On the open-open game*, Fund. Math. 145 (1994), no. 3, 205 - 220.

Open-open game

Consider two person game of countable length.

- player I chooses a non-empty open set $A_0 \subseteq X$
- player II chooses a non-empty open set $B_0 \subseteq A_0$

at the n -th inning

- player I chooses a non-empty open subset $A_n \subseteq X$
- player II chooses a non-empty open subset $B_n \subseteq A_n$

player I wins, whenever the union $B_0 \cup B_1 \cup \dots \subseteq X$ is dense in X , otherwise player II wins.

Open-open game were introduced by P. Daniels, K. Kunen and H. Zhou *On the open-open game*, Fund. Math. 145 (1994), no. 3, 205 - 220.

Open-open game

Consider two person game of countable length.

- player I chooses a non-empty open set $A_0 \subseteq X$
- player II chooses a non-empty open set $B_0 \subseteq A_0$

at the n -th inning

- player I chooses a non-empty open subset $A_n \subseteq X$
- player II chooses a non-empty open subset $B_n \subseteq A_n$

player I wins, whenever the union $B_0 \cup B_1 \cup \dots \subseteq X$ is dense in X , otherwise player II wins.

Open-open game were introduced by P. Daniels, K. Kunen and H. Zhou *On the open-open game*, Fund. Math. 145 (1994), no. 3, 205 - 220.

Open-open game

Consider two person game of countable length.

- player I chooses a non-empty open set $A_0 \subseteq X$
- player II chooses a non-empty open set $B_0 \subseteq A_0$

at the n -th inning

- player I chooses a non-empty open subset $A_n \subseteq X$
- player II chooses a non-empty open subset $B_n \subseteq A_n$

player I wins, whenever the union $B_0 \cup B_1 \cup \dots \subseteq X$ is dense in X , otherwise player II wins.

Open-open game were introduced by P. Daniels, K. Kunen and H. Zhou *On the open-open game*, Fund. Math. 145 (1994), no. 3, 205 - 220.

Open-open game

Consider two person game of countable length.

- player I chooses a non-empty open set $A_0 \subseteq X$
- player II chooses a non-empty open set $B_0 \subseteq A_0$

at the n -th inning

- player I chooses a non-empty open subset $A_n \subseteq X$
- player II chooses a non-empty open subset $B_n \subseteq A_n$

player I wins, whenever the union $B_0 \cup B_1 \cup \dots \subseteq X$ is dense in X , otherwise player II wins.

Open-open game were introduced by P. Daniels, K. Kunen and H. Zhou *On the open-open game*, Fund. Math. 145 (1994), no. 3, 205 - 220.

Open-open game

Consider two person game of countable length.

- player I chooses a non-empty open set $A_0 \subseteq X$
- player II chooses a non-empty open set $B_0 \subseteq A_0$

at the n -th inning

- player I chooses a non-empty open subset $A_n \subseteq X$
- player II chooses a non-empty open subset $B_n \subseteq A_n$

player I wins, whenever the union $B_0 \cup B_1 \cup \dots \subseteq X$ is dense in X , otherwise player II wins.

Open-open game were introduced by P. Daniels, K. Kunen and H. Zhou *On the open-open game*, Fund. Math. 145 (1994), no. 3, 205 - 220.

The space X is called **I-favorable**, whenever player I can be insured that he wins no matter how player II plays.

Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a topological space. Denote by \mathcal{T}^n the set of all finite sequence of length n consisting of open non-empty set.

A **winning strategy** for player I is a function

$$\sigma : \bigcup \{ \mathcal{T}^n : n < \omega \} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$$

such that for any sequence $\{B_n : n < \omega\} \subseteq \mathcal{T}$ satisfying the property $B_0 \subseteq \sigma(\emptyset)$ and $B_{n+1} \subseteq \sigma(\{B_0, B_1, \dots, B_n\})$, for any $n \in \omega$, then the union $\bigcup \{B_n : n < \omega\}$ is dense in X .

The space X is called **I-favorable**, whenever player I can be insured that he wins no matter how player II plays.

Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a topological space. Denote by \mathcal{T}^n the set of all finite sequence of length n consisting of open non-empty set.

A **winning strategy** for player I is a function

$$\sigma : \bigcup \{ \mathcal{T}^n : n < \omega \} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$$

such that for any sequence $\{B_n : n < \omega\} \subseteq \mathcal{T}$ satisfying the property $B_0 \subseteq \sigma(\emptyset)$ and $B_{n+1} \subseteq \sigma(\{B_0, B_1, \dots, B_n\})$, for any $n \in \omega$, then the union $\bigcup \{B_n : n < \omega\}$ is dense in X .

The space X is called **I-favorable**, whenever player I can be insured that he wins no matter how player II plays.

Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a topological space. Denote by \mathcal{T}^n the set of all finite sequence of length n consisting of open non-empty set.

A **winning strategy** for player I is a function

$$\sigma : \bigcup \{ \mathcal{T}^n : n < \omega \} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$$

such that for any sequence $\{B_n : n < \omega\} \subseteq \mathcal{T}$ satisfying the property $B_0 \subseteq \sigma(\emptyset)$ and $B_{n+1} \subseteq \sigma(\{B_0, B_1, \dots, B_n\})$, for any $n \in \omega$, then the union $\bigcup \{B_n : n < \omega\}$ is dense in X .

The space X is called **I-favorable**, whenever player I can be insured that he wins no matter how player II plays.

Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a topological space. Denote by \mathcal{T}^n the set of all finite sequence of length n consisting of open non-empty set.

A **winning strategy** for player I is a function

$$\sigma : \bigcup \{ \mathcal{T}^n : n < \omega \} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$$

such that for any sequence $\{B_n : n < \omega\} \subseteq \mathcal{T}$ satisfying the property $B_0 \subseteq \sigma(\emptyset)$ and $B_{n+1} \subseteq \sigma(\{B_0, B_1, \dots, B_n\})$, for any $n \in \omega$, then the union $\bigcup \{B_n : n < \omega\}$ is dense in X .

Consider two person game of countable length.

- player I chooses a non-empty open set $A_0 \subseteq X$
- player II chooses a non-empty open set $B_0 \subseteq A_0$

at the n-th inning

- player I chooses a non-empty open subset $A_n \subseteq X$
- player II chooses a non-empty open subset $B_n \subseteq A_n$

player I wins, whenever for any

$\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{P} = \{B_n : n \in \omega\} \cup \{A_n : n \in \omega\}$ and $x \notin \text{cl}_X \bigcup \mathcal{S}$, there exists $W \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $x \in W$ and $W \cap \bigcup \mathcal{S} = \emptyset$, otherwise player II wins .

Consider two person game of countable length.

- player I chooses a non-empty open set $A_0 \subseteq X$
- player II chooses a non-empty open set $B_0 \subseteq A_0$

at the n -th inning

- player I chooses a non-empty open subset $A_n \subseteq X$
- player II chooses a non-empty open subset $B_n \subseteq A_n$

player I wins, whenever for any

$\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{P} = \{B_n : n \in \omega\} \cup \{A_n : n \in \omega\}$ and $x \notin \text{cl}_X \bigcup \mathcal{S}$, there exists $W \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $x \in W$ and $W \cap \bigcup \mathcal{S} = \emptyset$, otherwise player II wins .

Consider two person game of countable length.

- player I chooses a non-empty open set $A_0 \subseteq X$
- player II chooses a non-empty open set $B_0 \subseteq A_0$

at the n-th inning

- player I chooses a non-empty open subset $A_n \subseteq X$
- player II chooses a non-empty open subset $B_n \subseteq A_n$

player I wins, whenever for any

$\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{P} = \{B_n : n \in \omega\} \cup \{A_n : n \in \omega\}$ and $x \notin \text{cl}_X \bigcup \mathcal{S}$, there exists $W \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $x \in W$ and $W \cap \bigcup \mathcal{S} = \emptyset$, otherwise player II wins .

Consider two person game of countable length.

- player I chooses a non-empty open set $A_0 \subseteq X$
- player II chooses a non-empty open set $B_0 \subseteq A_0$

at the n-th inning

- player I chooses a non-empty open subset $A_n \subseteq X$
- player II chooses a non-empty open subset $B_n \subseteq A_n$

player I wins, whenever for any

$\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{P} = \{B_n : n \in \omega\} \cup \{A_n : n \in \omega\}$ and $x \notin \text{cl}_X \bigcup \mathcal{S}$, there exists $W \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $x \in W$ and $W \cap \bigcup \mathcal{S} = \emptyset$, otherwise player II wins .

Consider two person game of countable length.

- player I chooses a non-empty open set $A_0 \subseteq X$
- player II chooses a non-empty open set $B_0 \subseteq A_0$

at the n-th inning

- player I chooses a non-empty open subset $A_n \subseteq X$
- player II chooses a non-empty open subset $B_n \subseteq A_n$

player I wins, whenever for any

$\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{P} = \{B_n : n \in \omega\} \cup \{A_n : n \in \omega\}$ and $x \notin \text{cl}_X \bigcup \mathcal{S}$, there exists $W \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $x \in W$ and $W \cap \bigcup \mathcal{S} = \emptyset$, otherwise player II wins .

Consider two person game of countable length.

- player I chooses a non-empty open set $A_0 \subseteq X$
- player II chooses a non-empty open set $B_0 \subseteq A_0$

at the n-th inning

- player I chooses a non-empty open subset $A_n \subseteq X$
- player II chooses a non-empty open subset $B_n \subseteq A_n$

player I wins, whenever for any

$S \subset \mathcal{P} = \{B_n : n \in \omega\} \cup \{A_n : n \in \omega\}$ and $x \notin \text{cl}_X \bigcup S$, there exists $W \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $x \in W$ and $W \cap \bigcup S = \emptyset$, otherwise player II wins .

Consider two person game of countable length.

- player I chooses a non-empty open set $A_0 \subseteq X$
- player II chooses a non-empty open set $B_0 \subseteq A_0$

at the n-th inning

- player I chooses a non-empty open subset $A_n \subseteq X$
- player II chooses a non-empty open subset $B_n \subseteq A_n$

player I wins, whenever for any

$\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{P} = \{B_n : n \in \omega\} \cup \{A_n : n \in \omega\}$ and $x \notin \text{cl}_X \bigcup \mathcal{S}$, there exists $W \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $x \in W$ and $W \cap \bigcup \mathcal{S} = \emptyset$, otherwise player II wins .

Denote by $[\text{coZ}(X)]^\omega$ the collection of all countable and infinite families of co-zero sets. According to P. Daniels, K. Kunen and H. Zhou (1994), a family $\mathcal{C} \subseteq [\text{coZ}(X)]^\omega$ is said to be a *club* if:

- (i) \mathcal{C} is closed under increasing ω -chains, i.e., if $\mathcal{A}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{A}_2 \subseteq \dots$ is an increasing ω -chain from \mathcal{C} , then $\bigcup\{\mathcal{A}_n : 0 < n\} \in \mathcal{C}$;
- (ii) for any $\mathcal{B} \in [\text{coZ}(X)]^{\leq\omega}$ there exists $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{C}$ with $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$,

We say that a family \mathcal{P} of open subset of a topological space X has the property Seq if:

- (Seq) For every $W \in \mathcal{P}$ there exist sequences $\{U_n : 0 \leq n\} \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ and $\{V_n : 0 \leq n\} \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ such that $U_k \subseteq X \setminus V_k \subseteq U_{k+1}$, for each k , and $\bigcup\{U_n : 0 \leq n\} = W$.

Denote by $[\text{coZ}(X)]^\omega$ the collection of all countable and infinite families of co-zero sets. According to P. Daniels, K. Kunen and H. Zhou (1994), a family $\mathcal{C} \subseteq [\text{coZ}(X)]^\omega$ is said to be a *club* if:

- (i) \mathcal{C} is closed under increasing ω -chains, i.e., if $\mathcal{A}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{A}_2 \subseteq \dots$ is an increasing ω -chain from \mathcal{C} , then $\bigcup\{\mathcal{A}_n : 0 < n\} \in \mathcal{C}$;
- (ii) for any $\mathcal{B} \in [\text{coZ}(X)]^{\leq\omega}$ there exists $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{C}$ with $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$,

We say that a family \mathcal{P} of open subset of a topological space X has the property Seq if:

- (Seq) For every $W \in \mathcal{P}$ there exist sequences $\{U_n : 0 \leq n\} \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ and $\{V_n : 0 \leq n\} \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ such that $U_k \subseteq X \setminus V_k \subseteq U_{k+1}$, for each k , and $\bigcup\{U_n : 0 \leq n\} = W$.

Denote by $[\text{coZ}(X)]^\omega$ the collection of all countable and infinite families of co-zero sets. According to P. Daniels, K. Kunen and H. Zhou (1994), a family $\mathcal{C} \subseteq [\text{coZ}(X)]^\omega$ is said to be a *club* if:

- (i) \mathcal{C} is closed under increasing ω -chains, i.e., if $\mathcal{A}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{A}_2 \subseteq \dots$ is an increasing ω -chain from \mathcal{C} , then $\bigcup\{\mathcal{A}_n : 0 < n\} \in \mathcal{C}$;
- (ii) for any $\mathcal{B} \in [\text{coZ}(X)]^{\leq\omega}$ there exists $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{C}$ with $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$,

We say that a family \mathcal{P} of open subset of a topological space X has the property Seq if:

- (Seq) For every $W \in \mathcal{P}$ there exist sequences $\{U_n : 0 \leq n\} \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ and $\{V_n : 0 \leq n\} \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ such that $U_k \subseteq X \setminus V_k \subseteq U_{k+1}$, for each k , and $\bigcup\{U_n : 0 \leq n\} = W$.

Denote by $[\text{coZ}(X)]^\omega$ the collection of all countable and infinite families of co-zero sets. According to P. Daniels, K. Kunen and H. Zhou (1994), a family $\mathcal{C} \subseteq [\text{coZ}(X)]^\omega$ is said to be a *club* if:

- (i) \mathcal{C} is closed under increasing ω -chains, i.e., if $\mathcal{A}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{A}_2 \subseteq \dots$ is an increasing ω -chain from \mathcal{C} , then $\bigcup\{\mathcal{A}_n : 0 < n\} \in \mathcal{C}$;
- (ii) for any $\mathcal{B} \in [\text{coZ}(X)]^{\leq\omega}$ there exists $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{C}$ with $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$,

We say that a family \mathcal{P} of open subset of a topological space X has the property Seq if:

- (Seq) For every $W \in \mathcal{P}$ there exist sequences $\{U_n : 0 \leq n\} \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ and $\{V_n : 0 \leq n\} \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ such that $U_k \subseteq X \setminus V_k \subseteq U_{k+1}$, for each k , and $\bigcup\{U_n : 0 \leq n\} = W$.

A club \mathcal{C} is said to be a *c-club* if it satisfies the following condition:

- (iii) If $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{C}$, then $\mathcal{P} \subset_c \text{coZ}(X)$, \mathcal{P} has the property Seq and \mathcal{P} is closed under finite unions and finite intersections.

The relationship $\mathcal{P} \subset_c \text{coZ}(X)$ means that $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \text{coZ}(X)$ and

- (c) For any nonempty $V \in \text{coZ}(X)$ there exists $W \in \mathcal{P}$ such that if $U \in \mathcal{P}$ and $U \subseteq W$, then $U \cap V \neq \emptyset$.

The condition (c) may be replaced by the following:

- (c*) For any $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathcal{P}$, the family \mathcal{W} is predense in \mathcal{P} if and only if \mathcal{W} is predense in $\text{coZ}(X)$.

A family $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ is *predense in $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \text{coZ}(X)$* , whenever for each $P \in \mathcal{P}$ there exist $V \in \mathcal{W}$ and $Q \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $Q \subseteq V \cap P$.

A club \mathcal{C} is said to be a *c-club* if it satisfies the following condition:

- (iii) If $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{C}$, then $\mathcal{P} \subset_c \text{coZ}(X)$, \mathcal{P} has the property Seq and \mathcal{P} is closed under finite unions and finite intersections.

The relationship $\mathcal{P} \subset_c \text{coZ}(X)$ means that $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \text{coZ}(X)$ and

- (c) For any nonempty $V \in \text{coZ}(X)$ there exists $W \in \mathcal{P}$ such that if $U \in \mathcal{P}$ and $U \subseteq W$, then $U \cap V \neq \emptyset$.

The condition (c) may be replaced by the following:

- (c*) For any $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathcal{P}$, the family \mathcal{W} is predense in \mathcal{P} if and only if \mathcal{W} is predense in $\text{coZ}(X)$.

A family $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ is *predense in $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \text{coZ}(X)$* , whenever for each $P \in \mathcal{P}$ there exist $V \in \mathcal{W}$ and $Q \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $Q \subseteq V \cap P$.

A club \mathcal{C} is said to be a *c-club* if it satisfies the following condition:

- (iii) If $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{C}$, then $\mathcal{P} \subset_c \text{coZ}(X)$, \mathcal{P} has the property Seq and \mathcal{P} is closed under finite unions and finite intersections.

The relationship $\mathcal{P} \subset_c \text{coZ}(X)$ means that $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \text{coZ}(X)$ and

- (c) For any nonempty $V \in \text{coZ}(X)$ there exists $W \in \mathcal{P}$ such that if $U \in \mathcal{P}$ and $U \subseteq W$, then $U \cap V \neq \emptyset$.

The condition (c) may be replaced by the following:

- (c*) For any $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathcal{P}$, the family \mathcal{W} is predense in \mathcal{P} if and only if \mathcal{W} is predense in $\text{coZ}(X)$.

A family $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ is *predense in $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \text{coZ}(X)$* , whenever for each $P \in \mathcal{P}$ there exist $V \in \mathcal{W}$ and $Q \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $Q \subseteq V \cap P$.

A club \mathcal{C} is said to be a *c-club* if it satisfies the following condition:

- (iii) If $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{C}$, then $\mathcal{P} \subset_c \text{coZ}(X)$, \mathcal{P} has the property Seq and \mathcal{P} is closed under finite unions and finite intersections.

The relationship $\mathcal{P} \subset_c \text{coZ}(X)$ means that $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \text{coZ}(X)$ and

- (c) For any nonempty $V \in \text{coZ}(X)$ there exists $W \in \mathcal{P}$ such that if $U \in \mathcal{P}$ and $U \subseteq W$, then $U \cap V \neq \emptyset$.

The condition (c) may be replaced by the following:

- (c*) For any $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathcal{P}$, the family \mathcal{W} is predense in \mathcal{P} if and only if \mathcal{W} is predense in $\text{coZ}(X)$.

A family $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ is *predense in $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \text{coZ}(X)$* , whenever for each $P \in \mathcal{P}$ there exist $V \in \mathcal{W}$ and $Q \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $Q \subseteq V \cap P$.

A club \mathcal{C} is said to be a *d-club* if it satisfies the following condition:

- (iii) If $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{C}$, then $\mathcal{P} \subset_! \text{coZ}(X)$, \mathcal{P} has the property Seq and \mathcal{P} is closed under finite unions and finite intersections.

The relationship $\mathcal{P} \subset_! \text{coZ}(X)$ means that $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \text{coZ}(X)$ and

- (d) for any $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{P}$ and $x \notin \overline{\bigcup \mathcal{S}}$, there exists $W \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $x \in W$ and $W \cap \bigcup \mathcal{S} = \emptyset$.

A club \mathcal{C} is said to be a *d-club* if it satisfies the following condition:

- (iii) If $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{C}$, then $\mathcal{P} \subset_! \text{coZ}(X)$, \mathcal{P} has the property Seq and \mathcal{P} is closed under finite unions and finite intersections.

The relationship $\mathcal{P} \subset_! \text{coZ}(X)$ means that $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \text{coZ}(X)$ and

- (d) for any $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{P}$ and $x \notin \overline{\bigcup \mathcal{S}}$, there exists $W \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $x \in W$ and $W \cap \bigcup \mathcal{S} = \emptyset$.

A club \mathcal{C} is said to be a *d-club* if it satisfies the following condition:

- (iii) If $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{C}$, then $\mathcal{P} \subset_! \text{coZ}(X)$, \mathcal{P} has the property Seq and \mathcal{P} is closed under finite unions and finite intersections.

The relationship $\mathcal{P} \subset_! \text{coZ}(X)$ means that $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \text{coZ}(X)$ and

- (d) for any $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{P}$ and $x \notin \overline{\bigcup \mathcal{S}}$, there exists $W \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $x \in W$ and $W \cap \bigcup \mathcal{S} = \emptyset$.

Theorem (P. Daniels, K. Kunen, H. Zhou (1994))

I has winning strategy in open-open game if and only if $\{\mathcal{P} \in [\text{coZ}(X)]^\omega : \mathcal{P} \subset_c \text{coZ}(X)\}$ contains a club.

Theorem (AK, Sz. Plewik, V. Valov (2011))

I has winning strategy in d-open-open game if and only if $\{\mathcal{P} \in [\text{coZ}(X)]^\omega : \mathcal{P} \subset_! \text{coZ}(X)\}$ contains a club.

Theorem (P. Daniels, K. Kunen, H. Zhou (1994))

I has winning strategy in open-open game if and only if $\{\mathcal{P} \in [\text{coZ}(X)]^\omega : \mathcal{P} \subset_c \text{coZ}(X)\}$ contains a club.

Theorem (AK, Sz. Plewik, V. Valov (2011))

I has winning strategy in d -open-open game if and only if $\{\mathcal{P} \in [\text{coZ}(X)]^\omega : \mathcal{P} \subset_! \text{coZ}(X)\}$ contains a club.

For every family \mathcal{A} of open subsets of X we define

$$\langle \mathcal{A} \rangle = \bigcup \{ \langle \mathcal{A} \rangle^n : 0 \leq n \},$$

where $\langle \mathcal{A} \rangle^0 = \mathcal{A}$ and $\langle \mathcal{A} \rangle^{k+1}$ is equal to

$$\langle \mathcal{A} \rangle^k \cup \{ \{ \cup \mathcal{V}, \cap \mathcal{V} \} : \mathcal{V} \subseteq \langle \mathcal{A} \rangle^k \text{ is a finite family} \}.$$

If \mathcal{C} is a *c-club*, (a *d-club*) and $\langle \mathcal{A}_1 \cup \mathcal{A}_2 \rangle \in \mathcal{C}$ for all $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2 \in \mathcal{C}$, then \mathcal{C} is called a *additive c-club* (*additive d-club*).

Every additive club \mathcal{C} has the following property:

$$\langle \bigcup \{ \mathcal{A}_n : 0 \leq n \} \rangle \in \mathcal{C} \text{ for any } \{ \mathcal{A}_n : 0 \leq n \} \subset \mathcal{C}.$$

Additive club

For every family \mathcal{A} of open subsets of X we define

$$\langle \mathcal{A} \rangle = \bigcup \{ \langle \mathcal{A} \rangle^n : 0 \leq n \},$$

where $\langle \mathcal{A} \rangle^0 = \mathcal{A}$ and $\langle \mathcal{A} \rangle^{k+1}$ is equal to

$$\langle \mathcal{A} \rangle^k \cup \{ \{ \cup \mathcal{V}, \cap \mathcal{V} \} : \mathcal{V} \subseteq \langle \mathcal{A} \rangle^k \text{ is a finite family} \}.$$

If \mathcal{C} is a *c-club*, (a *d-club*) and $\langle \mathcal{A}_1 \cup \mathcal{A}_2 \rangle \in \mathcal{C}$ for all $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2 \in \mathcal{C}$, then \mathcal{C} is called a *additive c-club* (*additive d-club*).

Every additive club \mathcal{C} has the following property:

$$\langle \bigcup \{ \mathcal{A}_n : 0 \leq n \} \rangle \in \mathcal{C} \text{ for any } \{ \mathcal{A}_n : 0 \leq n \} \subset \mathcal{C}.$$

Additive club

For every family \mathcal{A} of open subsets of X we define

$$\langle \mathcal{A} \rangle = \bigcup \{ \langle \mathcal{A} \rangle^n : 0 \leq n \},$$

where $\langle \mathcal{A} \rangle^0 = \mathcal{A}$ and $\langle \mathcal{A} \rangle^{k+1}$ is equal to

$$\langle \mathcal{A} \rangle^k \cup \{ \{ \cup \mathcal{V}, \cap \mathcal{V} \} : \mathcal{V} \subseteq \langle \mathcal{A} \rangle^k \text{ is a finite family} \}.$$

If \mathcal{C} is a *c-club*, (a *d-club*) and $\langle \mathcal{A}_1 \cup \mathcal{A}_2 \rangle \in \mathcal{C}$ for all $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2 \in \mathcal{C}$, then \mathcal{C} is called a *additive c-club* (*additive d-club*).

Every additive club \mathcal{C} has the following property:

$$\langle \bigcup \{ \mathcal{A}_n : 0 \leq n \} \rangle \in \mathcal{C} \text{ for any } \{ \mathcal{A}_n : 0 \leq n \} \subset \mathcal{C}.$$

For every family \mathcal{A} of open subsets of X we define

$$\langle \mathcal{A} \rangle = \bigcup \{ \langle \mathcal{A} \rangle^n : 0 \leq n \},$$

where $\langle \mathcal{A} \rangle^0 = \mathcal{A}$ and $\langle \mathcal{A} \rangle^{k+1}$ is equal to

$$\langle \mathcal{A} \rangle^k \cup \{ \{ \cup \mathcal{V}, \cap \mathcal{V} \} : \mathcal{V} \subseteq \langle \mathcal{A} \rangle^k \text{ is a finite family} \}.$$

If \mathcal{C} is a *c-club*, (a *d-club*) and $\langle \mathcal{A}_1 \cup \mathcal{A}_2 \rangle \in \mathcal{C}$ for all $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2 \in \mathcal{C}$, then \mathcal{C} is called a *additive c-club* (*additive d-club*).

Every additive club \mathcal{C} has the following property:

$$\langle \bigcup \{ \mathcal{A}_n : 0 \leq n \} \rangle \in \mathcal{C} \text{ for any } \{ \mathcal{A}_n : 0 \leq n \} \subset \mathcal{C}.$$

For every family \mathcal{A} of open subsets of X we define

$$\langle \mathcal{A} \rangle = \bigcup \{ \langle \mathcal{A} \rangle^n : 0 \leq n \},$$

where $\langle \mathcal{A} \rangle^0 = \mathcal{A}$ and $\langle \mathcal{A} \rangle^{k+1}$ is equal to

$$\langle \mathcal{A} \rangle^k \cup \{ \{ \cup \mathcal{V}, \cap \mathcal{V} \} : \mathcal{V} \subseteq \langle \mathcal{A} \rangle^k \text{ is a finite family} \}.$$

If \mathcal{C} is a *c-club*, (a *d-club*) and $\langle \mathcal{A}_1 \cup \mathcal{A}_2 \rangle \in \mathcal{C}$ for all $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2 \in \mathcal{C}$, then \mathcal{C} is called a *additive c-club* (*additive d-club*).

Every additive club \mathcal{C} has the following property:

$$\langle \bigcup \{ \mathcal{A}_n : 0 \leq n \} \rangle \in \mathcal{C} \text{ for any } \{ \mathcal{A}_n : 0 \leq n \} \subset \mathcal{C}.$$

Theorem (AK, Sz. Plewik, V. Valov)

For a Tychonoff space X the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) X has an ω -multiplicative lattice of skeletal maps;*
- (2) There exists an additive c -club for X ;*
- (3) X has a multiplicative lattice of skeletal maps.*

Theorem (AK, Sz. Plewik, V. Valov)

For a Tychonoff space X the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) X has an ω -multiplicative lattice of d -open maps;*
- (2) There exists an additive d -club for X ;*
- (3) X has a multiplicative lattice of d -open maps.*

Theorem (AK, Sz. Plewik, V. Valov)

For a Tychonoff space X the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) X has an ω -multiplicative lattice of skeletal maps;*
- (2) There exists an additive c -club for X ;*
- (3) X has a multiplicative lattice of skeletal maps.*

Theorem (AK, Sz. Plewik, V. Valov)

For a Tychonoff space X the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) X has an ω -multiplicative lattice of d -open maps;*
- (2) There exists an additive d -club for X ;*
- (3) X has a multiplicative lattice of d -open maps.*

Theorem (AK, Sz. Plewik, V. Valov)

For a Tychonoff space X the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) X has an ω -lattice of skeletal maps;*
- (2) There exists a c -club for X ;*
- (3) X has a lattice of skeletal maps.*

Theorem (AK, Sz. Plewik, V. Valov)

For a Tychonoff space X the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) X has an ω -lattice of d -open maps;*
- (2) There exists an d -club for X ;*
- (3) X has a lattice of d -open maps.*

Theorem (AK, Sz. Plewik, V. Valov)

For a Tychonoff space X the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) X has an ω -lattice of skeletal maps;*
- (2) There exists a c -club for X ;*
- (3) X has a lattice of skeletal maps.*

Theorem (AK, Sz. Plewik, V. Valov)

For a Tychonoff space X the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) X has an ω -lattice of d -open maps;*
- (2) There exists an d -club for X ;*
- (3) X has a lattice of d -open maps.*

Assume that \mathcal{C} is an additive \mathcal{C} -club.

If we have the following property:

$\langle \bigcup \mathcal{R} \rangle \subset_c \text{coZ}(X)$, for each family $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$.

Then we can construct maps using the following operation:

Let \mathcal{P} be a family of open subsets of a topological space X . For every $x \in X$ consider the set

$$[x]_{\mathcal{P}} = \{y \in X : y \in V \Leftrightarrow x \in V \text{ for all } V \in \mathcal{P}\}.$$

Let X/\mathcal{P} be the family of all classes $[x]_{\mathcal{P}}$ and $q_{\mathcal{P}} : X \rightarrow X/\mathcal{P}$ be the map $x \mapsto [x]_{\mathcal{P}}$.

The topology on X/\mathcal{P} is generated by the sets $q_{\mathcal{P}}[V] = \{[x]_{\mathcal{P}} : x \in V\}$, where $V \in \mathcal{P}$.

Assume that \mathcal{C} is an additive c -club.

If we have the following property:

$\langle \bigcup \mathcal{R} \rangle \subset_c \text{coZ}(X)$, for each family $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$.

Then we can construct maps using the following operation:

Let \mathcal{P} be a family of open subsets of a topological space X . For every $x \in X$ consider the set

$$[x]_{\mathcal{P}} = \{y \in X : y \in V \Leftrightarrow x \in V \text{ for all } V \in \mathcal{P}\}.$$

Let X/\mathcal{P} be the family of all classes $[x]_{\mathcal{P}}$ and $q_{\mathcal{P}} : X \rightarrow X/\mathcal{P}$ be the map $x \mapsto [x]_{\mathcal{P}}$.

The topology on X/\mathcal{P} is generated by the sets $q_{\mathcal{P}}[V] = \{[x]_{\mathcal{P}} : x \in V\}$, where $V \in \mathcal{P}$.

Assume that \mathcal{C} is an additive c -club.

If we have the following property:

$\langle \bigcup \mathcal{R} \rangle \subset_c \text{coZ}(X)$, for each family $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$.

Then we can construct maps using the following operation:

Let \mathcal{P} be a family of open subsets of a topological space X . For every $x \in X$ consider the set

$$[x]_{\mathcal{P}} = \{y \in X : y \in V \Leftrightarrow x \in V \text{ for all } V \in \mathcal{P}\}.$$

Let X/\mathcal{P} be the family of all classes $[x]_{\mathcal{P}}$ and $q_{\mathcal{P}} : X \rightarrow X/\mathcal{P}$ be the map $x \mapsto [x]_{\mathcal{P}}$.

The topology on X/\mathcal{P} is generated by the sets $q_{\mathcal{P}}[V] = \{[x]_{\mathcal{P}} : x \in V\}$, where $V \in \mathcal{P}$.

Assume that \mathcal{C} is an additive c -club.

If we have the following property:

$\langle \bigcup \mathcal{R} \rangle \subset_c \text{coZ}(X)$, for each family $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$.

Then we can construct maps using the following operation:

Let \mathcal{P} be a family of open subsets of a topological space X . For every $x \in X$ consider the set

$$[x]_{\mathcal{P}} = \{y \in X : y \in V \Leftrightarrow x \in V \text{ for all } V \in \mathcal{P}\}.$$

Let X/\mathcal{P} be the family of all classes $[x]_{\mathcal{P}}$ and $q_{\mathcal{P}} : X \rightarrow X/\mathcal{P}$ be the map $x \mapsto [x]_{\mathcal{P}}$.

The topology on X/\mathcal{P} is generated by the sets $q_{\mathcal{P}}[V] = \{[x]_{\mathcal{P}} : x \in V\}$, where $V \in \mathcal{P}$.

Assume that \mathcal{C} is an additive \mathcal{C} -club.

If we have the following property:

$\langle \bigcup \mathcal{R} \rangle \subset_c \text{coZ}(X)$, for each family $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$.

Then we can construct maps using the following operation:

Let \mathcal{P} be a family of open subsets of a topological space X . For every $x \in X$ consider the set

$$[x]_{\mathcal{P}} = \{y \in X : y \in V \Leftrightarrow x \in V \text{ for all } V \in \mathcal{P}\}.$$

Let X/\mathcal{P} be the family of all classes $[x]_{\mathcal{P}}$ and $q_{\mathcal{P}} : X \rightarrow X/\mathcal{P}$ be the map $x \mapsto [x]_{\mathcal{P}}$.

The topology on X/\mathcal{P} is generated by the sets $q_{\mathcal{P}}[V] = \{[x]_{\mathcal{P}} : x \in V\}$, where $V \in \mathcal{P}$.

Assume that \mathcal{C} is an additive c -club.

If we have the following property:

$\langle \bigcup \mathcal{R} \rangle \subset_c \text{coZ}(X)$, for each family $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$.

Then we can construct maps using the following operation:

Let \mathcal{P} be a family of open subsets of a topological space X . For every $x \in X$ consider the set

$$[x]_{\mathcal{P}} = \{y \in X : y \in V \Leftrightarrow x \in V \text{ for all } V \in \mathcal{P}\}.$$

Let X/\mathcal{P} be the family of all classes $[x]_{\mathcal{P}}$ and $q_{\mathcal{P}} : X \rightarrow X/\mathcal{P}$ be the map $x \mapsto [x]_{\mathcal{P}}$.

The topology on X/\mathcal{P} is generated by the sets $q_{\mathcal{P}}[V] = \{[x]_{\mathcal{P}} : x \in V\}$, where $V \in \mathcal{P}$.

$\langle \bigcup \mathcal{R} \rangle \subset_c \text{coZ}(X)$

We show that $\langle \bigcup \mathcal{R} \rangle \subset_c \text{coZ}(X)$, for each family $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$.

Assume that $W \subseteq \langle \bigcup \mathcal{R} \rangle$ is predense in $\langle \bigcup \mathcal{R} \rangle$.

Next, choose a countable subfamily $W^* \subseteq W$ such that $\overline{\bigcup W^*} = \overline{\bigcup W}$.

Because, the space X satisfies the countable chain condition, so the choice of W^* is possible.

For every $W \in W^*$ there exists countably many elements $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha_1}, \dots, \mathcal{P}_{\alpha_k}$ of \mathcal{R} such that $W \in \langle \mathcal{P}_{\alpha_1} \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{P}_{\alpha_k} \rangle$.

$\langle \bigcup \mathcal{R} \rangle \subset_c \text{coZ}(X)$

We show that $\langle \bigcup \mathcal{R} \rangle \subset_c \text{coZ}(X)$, for each family $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$.

Assume that $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \langle \bigcup \mathcal{R} \rangle$ is predense in $\langle \bigcup \mathcal{R} \rangle$.

Next, choose a countable subfamily $\mathcal{W}^* \subseteq \mathcal{W}$ such that $\overline{\bigcup \mathcal{W}^*} = \overline{\bigcup \mathcal{W}}$.

Because, the space X satisfies the countable chain condition, so the choice of \mathcal{W}^* is possible.

For every $W \in \mathcal{W}^*$ there exists countably many elements $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha_1}, \dots, \mathcal{P}_{\alpha_k}$ of \mathcal{R} such that $W \in \langle \mathcal{P}_{\alpha_1} \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{P}_{\alpha_k} \rangle$.

We show that $\langle \bigcup \mathcal{R} \rangle \subset_c \text{coZ}(X)$, for each family $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$.

Assume that $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \langle \bigcup \mathcal{R} \rangle$ is predense in $\langle \bigcup \mathcal{R} \rangle$.

Next, choose a countable subfamily $\mathcal{W}^* \subseteq \mathcal{W}$ such that $\overline{\bigcup \mathcal{W}^*} = \overline{\bigcup \mathcal{W}}$.

Because, the space X satisfies the countable chain condition, so the choice of \mathcal{W}^* is possible.

For every $W \in \mathcal{W}^*$ there exists countably many elements $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha_1}, \dots, \mathcal{P}_{\alpha_k}$ of \mathcal{R} such that $W \in \langle \mathcal{P}_{\alpha_1} \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{P}_{\alpha_k} \rangle$.

$\langle \bigcup \mathcal{R} \rangle \subset_c \text{coZ}(X)$

We show that $\langle \bigcup \mathcal{R} \rangle \subset_c \text{coZ}(X)$, for each family $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$.

Assume that $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \langle \bigcup \mathcal{R} \rangle$ is predense in $\langle \bigcup \mathcal{R} \rangle$.

Next, choose a countable subfamily $\mathcal{W}^* \subseteq \mathcal{W}$ such that $\overline{\bigcup \mathcal{W}^*} = \overline{\bigcup \mathcal{W}}$.

Because, the space X satisfies the countable chain condition, so the choice of \mathcal{W}^* is possible.

For every $W \in \mathcal{W}^*$ there exists countably many elements $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha_1}, \dots, \mathcal{P}_{\alpha_k}$ of \mathcal{R} such that $W \in \langle \mathcal{P}_{\alpha_1} \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{P}_{\alpha_k} \rangle$.

$\langle \bigcup \mathcal{R} \rangle \subset_c \text{coZ}(X)$

We show that $\langle \bigcup \mathcal{R} \rangle \subset_c \text{coZ}(X)$, for each family $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$.

Assume that $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \langle \bigcup \mathcal{R} \rangle$ is predense in $\langle \bigcup \mathcal{R} \rangle$.

Next, choose a countable subfamily $\mathcal{W}^* \subseteq \mathcal{W}$ such that $\overline{\bigcup \mathcal{W}^*} = \overline{\bigcup \mathcal{W}}$.

Because, the space X satisfies the countable chain condition, so the choice of \mathcal{W}^* is possible.

For every $W \in \mathcal{W}^*$ there exists countably many elements $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha_1}, \dots, \mathcal{P}_{\alpha_k}$ of \mathcal{R} such that $W \in \langle \mathcal{P}_{\alpha_1} \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{P}_{\alpha_k} \rangle$.

So, there exist families $\mathcal{P}_n \in \mathcal{R}$ such that

$$\mathcal{W}^* \subseteq \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{W}} = \left\langle \bigcup \{ \mathcal{P}_n : 0 \leq n \} \right\rangle \in \mathcal{C}.$$

The family \mathcal{W}^* has to be predense in $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{W}}$.

Otherwise, we can find $V \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{W}}$ such that $V \cap \bigcup \mathcal{W}^* = \emptyset$.

In this case, $V \cap \overline{\bigcup \mathcal{W}} = \emptyset$.

But this contradicts that $V \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{W}} \subseteq \langle \bigcup \mathcal{R} \rangle$ and \mathcal{W} is predense in $\langle \bigcup \mathcal{R} \rangle$.

So, there exist families $\mathcal{P}_n \in \mathcal{R}$ such that

$$\mathcal{W}^* \subseteq \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{W}} = \left\langle \bigcup \{ \mathcal{P}_n : 0 \leq n \} \right\rangle \in \mathcal{C}.$$

The family \mathcal{W}^* has to be predense in $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{W}}$.

Otherwise, we can find $V \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{W}}$ such that $V \cap \bigcup \mathcal{W}^* = \emptyset$.

In this case, $V \cap \overline{\bigcup \mathcal{W}} = \emptyset$.

But this contradicts that $V \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{W}} \subseteq \langle \bigcup \mathcal{R} \rangle$ and \mathcal{W} is predense in $\langle \bigcup \mathcal{R} \rangle$.

So, there exist families $\mathcal{P}_n \in \mathcal{R}$ such that

$$\mathcal{W}^* \subseteq \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{W}} = \left\langle \bigcup \{ \mathcal{P}_n : 0 \leq n \} \right\rangle \in \mathcal{C}.$$

The family \mathcal{W}^* has to be predense in $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{W}}$.

Otherwise, we can find $V \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{W}}$ such that $V \cap \bigcup \mathcal{W}^* = \emptyset$.

In this case, $V \cap \overline{\bigcup \mathcal{W}} = \emptyset$.

But this contradicts that $V \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{W}} \subseteq \langle \bigcup \mathcal{R} \rangle$ and \mathcal{W} is predense in $\langle \bigcup \mathcal{R} \rangle$.

So, there exist families $\mathcal{P}_n \in \mathcal{R}$ such that

$$\mathcal{W}^* \subseteq \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{W}} = \left\langle \bigcup \{ \mathcal{P}_n : 0 \leq n \} \right\rangle \in \mathcal{C}.$$

The family \mathcal{W}^* has to be predense in $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{W}}$.

Otherwise, we can find $V \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{W}}$ such that $V \cap \bigcup \mathcal{W}^* = \emptyset$.

In this case, $V \cap \overline{\bigcup \mathcal{W}} = \emptyset$.

But this contradicts that $V \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{W}} \subseteq \langle \bigcup \mathcal{R} \rangle$ and \mathcal{W} is predense in $\langle \bigcup \mathcal{R} \rangle$.

$$\langle \bigcup \mathcal{R} \rangle \subset_c \text{coZ}(X)$$

Lemma

Suppose $\mathcal{P} \subset_c \text{coZ}(X)$ is closed under finite intersections and $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathcal{P}$. If the family $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ is predense in \mathcal{P} , then \mathcal{W} is predense in $\text{coZ}(X)$.

Suppose \mathcal{W} is not predense in $\text{coZ}(X)$. So, we can fix $A \in \text{coZ}(X)$ such that $A \cap V = \emptyset$ for each $V \in \mathcal{W}$.

Using the condition (c), fix $B \in \mathcal{P}$ such that if $B^* \in \mathcal{P}$ and $B^* \subseteq B$, then $A \cap B^* \neq \emptyset$.

Since \mathcal{W} is predense in \mathcal{P} , we choose $V \in \mathcal{W}$ such that $V \cap B \neq \emptyset$ and $V \cap B \in \mathcal{P}$.

Again by (c), we get $A \cap V \cap B \neq \emptyset$, which contradicts $A \cap V = \emptyset$.

$$\langle \bigcup \mathcal{R} \rangle \subset_c \text{coZ}(X)$$

Lemma

Suppose $\mathcal{P} \subset_c \text{coZ}(X)$ is closed under finite intersections and $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathcal{P}$. If the family $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ is predense in \mathcal{P} , then \mathcal{W} is predense in $\text{coZ}(X)$.

Suppose \mathcal{W} is not predense in $\text{coZ}(X)$. So, we can fix $A \in \text{coZ}(X)$ such that $A \cap V = \emptyset$ for each $V \in \mathcal{W}$.

Using the condition (c), fix $B \in \mathcal{P}$ such that if $B^* \in \mathcal{P}$ and $B^* \subseteq B$, then $A \cap B^* \neq \emptyset$.

Since \mathcal{W} is predense in \mathcal{P} , we choose $V \in \mathcal{W}$ such that $V \cap B \neq \emptyset$ and $V \cap B \in \mathcal{P}$.

Again by (c), we get $A \cap V \cap B \neq \emptyset$, which contradicts $A \cap V = \emptyset$.

$$\langle \bigcup \mathcal{R} \rangle \subset_c \text{coZ}(X)$$

Lemma

Suppose $\mathcal{P} \subset_c \text{coZ}(X)$ is closed under finite intersections and $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathcal{P}$. If the family $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ is predense in \mathcal{P} , then \mathcal{W} is predense in $\text{coZ}(X)$.

Suppose \mathcal{W} is not predense in $\text{coZ}(X)$. So, we can fix $A \in \text{coZ}(X)$ such that $A \cap V = \emptyset$ for each $V \in \mathcal{W}$.

Using the condition (c), fix $B \in \mathcal{P}$ such that if $B^* \in \mathcal{P}$ and $B^* \subseteq B$, then $A \cap B^* \neq \emptyset$.

Since \mathcal{W} is predense in \mathcal{P} , we choose $V \in \mathcal{W}$ such that $V \cap B \neq \emptyset$ and $V \cap B \in \mathcal{P}$.

Again by (c), we get $A \cap V \cap B \neq \emptyset$, which contradicts $A \cap V = \emptyset$.

Lemma

Suppose $\mathcal{P} \subset_c \text{coZ}(X)$ is closed under finite intersections and $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathcal{P}$. If the family $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ is predense in \mathcal{P} , then \mathcal{W} is predense in $\text{coZ}(X)$.

Suppose \mathcal{W} is not predense in $\text{coZ}(X)$. So, we can fix $A \in \text{coZ}(X)$ such that $A \cap V = \emptyset$ for each $V \in \mathcal{W}$.

Using the condition (c), fix $B \in \mathcal{P}$ such that if $B^* \in \mathcal{P}$ and $B^* \subseteq B$, then $A \cap B^* \neq \emptyset$.

Since \mathcal{W} is predense in \mathcal{P} , we choose $V \in \mathcal{W}$ such that $V \cap B \neq \emptyset$ and $V \cap B \in \mathcal{P}$.

Again by (c), we get $A \cap V \cap B \neq \emptyset$, which contradicts $A \cap V = \emptyset$.

$$\langle \bigcup \mathcal{R} \rangle \subset_c \text{coZ}(X)$$

Lemma

Suppose $\mathcal{P} \subset_c \text{coZ}(X)$ is closed under finite intersections and $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathcal{P}$. If the family $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ is predense in \mathcal{P} , then \mathcal{W} is predense in $\text{coZ}(X)$.

Suppose \mathcal{W} is not predense in $\text{coZ}(X)$. So, we can fix $A \in \text{coZ}(X)$ such that $A \cap V = \emptyset$ for each $V \in \mathcal{W}$.

Using the condition (c), fix $B \in \mathcal{P}$ such that if $B^* \in \mathcal{P}$ and $B^* \subseteq B$, then $A \cap B^* \neq \emptyset$.

Since \mathcal{W} is predense in \mathcal{P} , we choose $V \in \mathcal{W}$ such that $V \cap B \neq \emptyset$ and $V \cap B \in \mathcal{P}$.

Again by (c), we get $A \cap V \cap B \neq \emptyset$, which contradicts $A \cap V = \emptyset$.

$\langle \bigcup \mathcal{R} \rangle \subset_c \text{coZ}(X)$

In view of the previous Lemma the family \mathcal{W}^* , being predense in $\langle \bigcup \{\mathcal{P}_n : 0 \leq n\} \rangle \subset_c \text{coZ}(X)$, has to be predense in $\text{coZ}(X)$.

Since predensity of any family in $\text{coZ}(X)$ is equivalent to the density of the family in X , \mathcal{W} is also predense in $\text{coZ}(X)$.

Finally, $\langle \bigcup \mathcal{R} \rangle \subset_c \text{coZ}(X)$.

Suppose that it is not true. So, we can fix $V \in \text{coZ}(X)$ such that for each $U \in \langle \bigcup \mathcal{R} \rangle$ there exists a co-zero set $A \subseteq U$, which satisfies $A \in \langle \bigcup \mathcal{R} \rangle$ and $A \cap V = \emptyset$.

In such a case, the family

$$\{B \in \langle \bigcup \mathcal{R} \rangle : B \cap V = \emptyset\},$$

would be predense in $\langle \bigcup \mathcal{R} \rangle$ and not predense in $\text{coZ}(X)$.

$\langle \bigcup \mathcal{R} \rangle \subset_c \text{coZ}(X)$

In view of the previous Lemma the family \mathcal{W}^* , being predense in $\langle \bigcup \{\mathcal{P}_n : 0 \leq n\} \rangle \subset_c \text{coZ}(X)$, has to be predense in $\text{coZ}(X)$.

Since predensity of any family in $\text{coZ}(X)$ is equivalent to the density of the family in X , \mathcal{W} is also predense in $\text{coZ}(X)$.

Finally, $\langle \bigcup \mathcal{R} \rangle \subset_c \text{coZ}(X)$.

Suppose that it is not true. So, we can fix $V \in \text{coZ}(X)$ such that for each $U \in \langle \bigcup \mathcal{R} \rangle$ there exists a co-zero set $A \subseteq U$, which satisfies $A \in \langle \bigcup \mathcal{R} \rangle$ and $A \cap V = \emptyset$.

In such a case, the family

$$\{B \in \langle \bigcup \mathcal{R} \rangle : B \cap V = \emptyset\},$$

would be predense in $\langle \bigcup \mathcal{R} \rangle$ and not predense in $\text{coZ}(X)$.

$\langle \bigcup \mathcal{R} \rangle \subset_c \text{coZ}(X)$

In view of the previous Lemma the family \mathcal{W}^* , being predense in $\langle \bigcup \{\mathcal{P}_n : 0 \leq n\} \rangle \subset_c \text{coZ}(X)$, has to be predense in $\text{coZ}(X)$.

Since predensity of any family in $\text{coZ}(X)$ is equivalent to the density of the family in X , \mathcal{W} is also predense in $\text{coZ}(X)$.

Finally, $\langle \bigcup \mathcal{R} \rangle \subset_c \text{coZ}(X)$.

Suppose that it is not true. So, we can fix $V \in \text{coZ}(X)$ such that for each $U \in \langle \bigcup \mathcal{R} \rangle$ there exists a co-zero set $A \subseteq U$, which satisfies $A \in \langle \bigcup \mathcal{R} \rangle$ and $A \cap V = \emptyset$.

In such a case, the family

$$\{B \in \langle \bigcup \mathcal{R} \rangle : B \cap V = \emptyset\},$$

would be predense in $\langle \bigcup \mathcal{R} \rangle$ and not predense in $\text{coZ}(X)$.

$\langle \bigcup \mathcal{R} \rangle \subset_c \text{coZ}(X)$

In view of the previous Lemma the family \mathcal{W}^* , being predense in $\langle \bigcup \{\mathcal{P}_n : 0 \leq n\} \rangle \subset_c \text{coZ}(X)$, has to be predense in $\text{coZ}(X)$.

Since predensity of any family in $\text{coZ}(X)$ is equivalent to the density of the family in X , \mathcal{W} is also predense in $\text{coZ}(X)$.

Finally, $\langle \bigcup \mathcal{R} \rangle \subset_c \text{coZ}(X)$.

Suppose that it is not true. So, we can fix $V \in \text{coZ}(X)$ such that for each $U \in \langle \bigcup \mathcal{R} \rangle$ there exists a co-zero set $A \subseteq U$, which satisfies $A \in \langle \bigcup \mathcal{R} \rangle$ and $A \cap V = \emptyset$.

In such a case, the family

$$\{B \in \langle \bigcup \mathcal{R} \rangle : B \cap V = \emptyset\},$$

would be predense in $\langle \bigcup \mathcal{R} \rangle$ and not predense in $\text{coZ}(X)$.

$\langle \bigcup \mathcal{R} \rangle \subset_c \text{coZ}(X)$

In view of the previous Lemma the family \mathcal{W}^* , being predense in $\langle \bigcup \{\mathcal{P}_n : 0 \leq n\} \rangle \subset_c \text{coZ}(X)$, has to be predense in $\text{coZ}(X)$.

Since predensity of any family in $\text{coZ}(X)$ is equivalent to the density of the family in X , \mathcal{W} is also predense in $\text{coZ}(X)$.

Finally, $\langle \bigcup \mathcal{R} \rangle \subset_c \text{coZ}(X)$.

Suppose that it is not true. So, we can fix $V \in \text{coZ}(X)$ such that for each $U \in \langle \bigcup \mathcal{R} \rangle$ there exists a co-zero set $A \subseteq U$, which satisfies $A \in \langle \bigcup \mathcal{R} \rangle$ and $A \cap V = \emptyset$.

In such a case, the family

$$\{B \in \langle \bigcup \mathcal{R} \rangle : B \cap V = \emptyset\},$$

would be predense in $\langle \bigcup \mathcal{R} \rangle$ and not predense in $\text{coZ}(X)$.