

---

# TOPOLOGY PROCEEDINGS



Volume 1, 1976

Pages 11–16

---

<http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/>

## *p*-adic POLYHEDRA AND *p*-adic ACTIONS

by

LOUIS F. MCAULEY

---

### Topology Proceedings

**Web:** <http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/>

**Mail:** Topology Proceedings  
Department of Mathematics & Statistics  
Auburn University, Alabama 36849, USA

**E-mail:** [topolog@auburn.edu](mailto:topolog@auburn.edu)

**ISSN:** 0146-4124

COPYRIGHT © by Topology Proceedings. All rights reserved.

**p-adic POLYHEDRA AND p-adic ACTIONS**

**Louis F. McAuley**

**1. Introduction**

The characterization of light open mappings given in [1] involves a sequence  $\{C_i\}$  of closed coverings of  $X$  with various properties. Among these is the property that for each  $i$ ,  $C_i$  is partitioned into collections  $C_k^i$  which are pairwise disjoint. In [2], we were concerned with a sequence  $\{C_i\}$  of coverings of a compact metric space  $X$  where each  $C_k^i$  consists of  $2^i$  pairwise disjoint closed sets with certain topological properties. These were necessary and sufficient for the existence of free action by a dyadic group on  $X$ . We defined dyadic polyhedra in [2] and used certain inverse systems of such polyhedra. Here, we generalize the concept to include p-adic polyhedra. We also show that these may be used to generate p-adic actions.

**2. p-adic Polyhedra**

We say that  $P$  is a *p-adic n-polyhedron*, where each of  $p$  and  $n$  is a positive integer, if and only if  $P$  is a polyhedron whose vertices can be partitioned into  $n+1$  pairwise disjoint sets  $V_1, V_2, \dots, V_{n+1}$  consisting of either exactly  $p$  points or a singleton with not all sets being singletons such that (1) no two points in  $V_k$  are connected by an interval (1-simplex), (2) given two sets  $V_i$  and  $V_j$  such that a point in  $V_i$  is joined to a point in  $V_j$  by a 1-simplex, then each point of  $V_i$  is joined to each point of  $V_j$  by a 1-simplex, i.e., the join  $V_i * V_j$  lies in  $P$ , and (3) if  $\partial\alpha = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{k+1})$  and  $\partial\beta = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{k+1})$  are the boundaries of two  $k$ -simplexes in  $P$  such that  $a_i$  and  $b_i$  belong to the same set  $V_i$  of the partitioning of  $V$ , then  $\alpha$  lies in  $P$  iff  $\beta$  lies in  $P$ . Such a partitioning of  $V$  is said to be one which defines  $P$ .

*Lemma 1.* A  $p$ -adic  $n$ -polygon admits a natural periodic homeomorphism of period  $p$ .

*Proof.* By definition, the set of vertices  $V$  and  $P$  can be partitioned into sets  $V_1, V_2, \dots, V_{n+1}$  where at least one set, say  $V_1$ , contains exactly  $p$  points. Order these points as  $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{p-1}$ . Define  $h(x_s) = h(x_{s+1})$  with addition mod  $p$ . Now, define  $h$  similarly for each  $V_i$  which contains  $p$  points. If  $V_j$  is a singleton  $\{x\}$ , then let  $h(x) = x$ . Clearly,  $h$  can be extended linearly to all 1-simplexes in  $P$ . Suppose that  $h$  is defined on  $\partial\alpha$  where  $\alpha = (a_0, a_1, a_2)$  - a 2-simplex in  $P$ . Consider  $\beta = (b_0, b_1, b_2)$  where  $h(a_i) = b_i$ . If  $a_i \in V_i$ , then  $V_0, V_1$ , and  $V_2$  are *three* (different) members of the partitioning of  $V$ . Now,  $b_i \in V_i$ , consequently,  $\beta$  contains *three* vertices. Since the various 1-simplexes in  $\partial\alpha$  are in  $P$ , it follows from (2) of the definition of  $P$  that  $\partial\beta$  is in  $P$ . From (3) of the same definition, it follows that  $\beta$  lies in  $P$ . Now,  $h$  can be extended linearly taking  $\alpha$  onto  $\beta$ . An induction yields that  $h$  can be extended linearly to all of  $P$ . It should be clear that  $h$  is a periodic homeomorphism with period  $p$ .

A  $p$ -adic  $n$ -polyhedron is *strongly connected* if and only if for  $a \in V_i$  and  $b \in V_j$ ,  $i \neq j$ , where  $V_1, V_2, \dots, V_{n+1}$  is a partitioning of the set  $V$  of vertices of  $P$  which defines  $P$ , then  $a$  is joined to  $b$  in  $P$  with a 1-simplex.

*Lemma 2.* Suppose that  $P$  is a strongly connected  $p$ -adic  $n$ -polyhedron,  $Q$  is a strongly connected  $p$ -adic  $m$ -polyhedron and  $\phi$  is a simplicial mapping of  $P$  onto  $Q$ . Furthermore, let  $V_1, V_2, \dots, V_{n+1}$  and  $U_1, U_2, \dots, U_{m+1}$  be partitions of the set of vertices of  $P$  and  $Q$ . If  $a, b \in V_i$ , then  $\phi(a), \phi(b) \in U_j$ .

*Proof.* This is obvious since if  $\phi(a) \in U_k$  and  $\phi(b) \in U_j$ ,  $k \neq j$ , then a 1-simplex  $\alpha$  joins  $\phi(a)$  to  $\phi(b)$  in  $Q$ . Since  $\phi$  is simplicial, some simplex  $\beta$  in  $P$  with  $a, b \in \alpha$  maps onto  $\alpha$

under  $\phi$ . This implies that  $a$  is joined to  $b$  in  $P$  with a 1-simplex which contradicts the fact that  $P$  is a  $p$ -adic  $n$ -polyhedron.

### 3. Proper Inverse Systems of $p$ -adic $n_i$ -polyhedra

We say that an inverse system  $\{P_i, \phi_i\}$  of  $p$ -adic  $n_i$ -polyhedra  $P_i$  with simplicial bonding maps  $\phi_i: P_{i+1} \rightarrow P_i$  is a *proper inverse system* iff the partitionings of the vertices  $\bar{V}_{k+1}$  and  $\bar{V}_k$  defining  $P_{k+1}$  and  $P_k$ , respectively, are such that if  $V_i$  is an element of the partitioning of  $\bar{V}_k$  and  $a, b \in V_i$ , then  $\phi_k^{-1}(a) = \bigcup_{j=1}^m U_{s_j}$  and  $\phi_k^{-1}(b) = \bigcup_{j=1}^m U_{t_j}$  such that (1) each of  $U_{s_j}$  and  $U_{t_j}$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$  is an element of the partitioning of  $\bar{V}_{k+1}$  which defines  $P_{k+1}$  and (2) if  $V_i$  is not a singleton, then each of  $U_{s_j}$  and  $U_{t_j}$  is not a singleton (each consists of exactly  $p$  points). That is, if  $V_i$  is not a singleton, then  $\phi^{-1}(a)$ , for each  $a \in V_i$ , is the union of a *fixed* number  $m$  of elements of the "defining partition" of  $\bar{V}_{k+1}$ . We shall use this restriction to define  $p$ -adic actions on the inverse limit. Perhaps, a weaker restriction could be imposed, but an uncomplicated one has not come to our attention.

*Lemma 3.* Suppose that  $\{P_i, \phi_i\}$  is a proper inverse system of  $p$ -adic  $n_i$ -polyhedra. Furthermore, for each  $i$ ,  $f_i$  is the natural periodic homeomorphism of  $P_i$  onto itself of period  $p$  (Lemma 1). Then  $\phi_i f_{i+1} = \phi_i$  and there is a periodic homeomorphism  $g_k$  of  $P_k$  onto itself of period  $p^k$  such that  $\phi_k g_k = g_{k-1} \phi_k$ .

*Proof.* It should be clear from the definition of  $f_i$  and the fact that  $\{P_i, \phi_i\}$  is proper that  $\phi_i f_{i+1} = \phi_i$ .

Now, the sets of vertices  $V$  and  $U$  of  $P_2$  and  $P_1$ , respectively, are partitioned into sets  $V_1, V_2, \dots, V_{n_2+1}$  and  $U_1, U_2, \dots, U_{n_1+1}$  which define  $P_2$  and  $P_1$ . If  $U_s$  is not a singleton, then  $U_s = x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{p-1}$  where  $x_i \neq x_j$  for  $i \neq j$ . The elements of

the partition of  $V$  can be labelled so that  $\phi_1^{-1}(x_j) = \bigcup_{n=1}^m V_{jn}$ ,  $m$  fixed for all  $j$ . Also, each  $V_{jn}$  consists of exactly  $p$  points  $\{x_{n0}^j, x_{n1}^j, \dots, x_{n,p-1}^j\}$ . We also say that the points in  $U_s$  and  $V_{jn}$  are labelled so that  $f_1(x_i) = x_{i+1} \pmod{p}$  and  $f_2(x_{ni}^j) = x_{ni+1}^j \pmod{p}$ . Next, define  $g_2(x_{ni}^j) = x_{ni}^{j+1}$  for  $j < p - 1$ ,  $g_2(x_{ni}^{p-1}) = x_{ni+1}^0$  for  $i < p - 1$ , and  $g_2(x_{np-1}^{p-1}) = x_{n0}^0$ . If  $U_s$  is a singleton  $\{x\}$ , then let  $g_2(y) = f_2(y)$  for each  $y \in \phi_1^{-1}(x)$ . Extend  $g_1$  linearly to the rest of  $P_2$ . It follows that  $\phi_1 g_2 = f_1 \phi_k$ . Furthermore,  $g_2$  is a periodic homeomorphism of  $P_k$  onto  $P_k$  of period  $p^2$ . Let  $g_1 = f_1$ .

Now, consider the partition  $W_1, W_2, \dots, W_{n_k}$  of the set of vertices  $W$  of  $P_3$  which defines  $P_3$ . As before, if  $U_s$  is not a singleton, then  $U_s = \{x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{p-1}\}$  exactly  $p$  different points. Note that  $(\phi_1 \phi_2)^{-1}(x_i)$  is the union of a fixed number  $t$  of elements of the partition of  $W$  which defines  $P_3$  each of which consists of exactly  $p$  points. In a manner similar to that used in defining  $g_2$ , we define  $g_3$  which is a periodic homeomorphism of  $P_3$  onto  $P_3$  which has period  $p^3$ . Also,  $\phi_3 g_3 = g_2 \phi_3$ . By induction, we define  $g_k$  which is a periodic homeomorphism of  $P_k$  onto  $P_k$  with period  $p^k$  such that  $\phi_k g_k = g_{k-1} \phi_k$ .

#### 4. Inverse Limits of Proper Inverse Systems of p-adic

##### Polyhedra Admit p-adic Actions

In this section, we provide a theorem which illustrates one use of p-adic polyhedra. It is easy to construct p-adic polyhedra and inverse systems of such polyhedra. See [2] for constructions of dyadic polyhedra and proper inverse systems. The techniques are applicable to constructions of p-adic polyhedra.

*Theorem.* Suppose that  $\{P_i, \phi_i\}$  is a proper inverse system of p-adic  $n_i$ -polyhedra. Furthermore, for each  $i$ ,  $t_i$  is the

natural periodic homeomorphism of  $P_i$  onto itself of period  $p$ . Then there is an action by a  $p$ -adic group (homeomorphisms) on the inverse limit  $X = \varprojlim P_i$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 3, there is a sequence  $\{g_i\}$  such that for each  $i$ ,  $g_i$  is a periodic homeomorphism of  $P_i$  onto  $P_i$  of period  $p^i$  and  $\phi_i g_i = g_{i-1} \phi_i$ . Thus,  $G_p = \varprojlim G_i$  where  $G_i$  is the cyclic group of homeomorphisms generated by  $g_i$  (i.e.,  $g_i, g_i^2, \dots, g_i^p, \dots, g_i^{p^i} = \text{id}$ ). The homeomorphisms  $\theta_i: G_i \rightarrow G_{i-1}$  for  $i > 1$  are defined in the obvious manner by  $\theta(g_i) = g_{i-1}$ . That is,  $\theta(g_i^k) = g_{i-1}^{k \pmod{p^{i-1}}}$ . Thus,  $G_p$  is a  $p$ -adic group. An action by  $G_p$  on  $X$  is given as follows: If  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots)$  and  $g \in G_p$  where  $g = (a_1, a_2, \dots)$ , then  $g(x) = (a_1(x_1), a_2(x_2), \dots)$ . This is, of course, the usual way that such actions are defined on inverse limits.

We proved in [2] that a necessary and sufficient condition that a dyadic group act (freely) on a compact metric space  $X$  is that there exist a sequence  $\{C_i\}$  of coverings of  $X$  such that the (a) the inverse system  $\{N(C_i), \phi_i\}$  where  $N(C_i)$  is the nerve of  $C_i$  be a proper inverse system of (strict) dyadic  $n_i$ -polyhedra and (b)  $X = \varprojlim P_i$ . A similar theorem should hold true for  $p$ -adic actions on such spaces  $X$ . In fact, a proof should mimic the one for the dyadic case.

*Questions.* Is it possible to obtain an  $n$ -manifold  $M^n$  as the inverse limit of a proper inverse system of  $p$ -adic  $n_i$ -polyhedra? Could such an inverse limit be an ANR? Just how "nice" can such an inverse limit be?

**References**

1. L. F. McAuley, *A characterization of light open mappings and the existence of group actions*, to appear in *Colloq. Math.*
2. \_\_\_\_\_, *Dyadic coverings and the existence of dyadic actions*, to appear in *The Houston Jour. Math.*

3. L. Pontrjagin, *Topological Groups*, Princeton University Press, 1958.
4. F. Raymond and R. F. Williams, *Examples of p-adic transformation groups*, *Annals of Math.* 78 (1963), 92-106.

State University of New York at Binghamton  
Binghamton, New York 13901