

---

# TOPOLOGY PROCEEDINGS



Volume 1, 1976

Pages 173–179

---

<http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/>

## THE STRUCTURE OF SMALL NORMAL $F$ -SPACES

by

R. GRANT WOODS

---

### Topology Proceedings

**Web:** <http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/>

**Mail:** Topology Proceedings  
Department of Mathematics & Statistics  
Auburn University, Alabama 36849, USA

**E-mail:** [topolog@auburn.edu](mailto:topolog@auburn.edu)

**ISSN:** 0146-4124

COPYRIGHT © by Topology Proceedings. All rights reserved.

## THE STRUCTURE OF SMALL NORMAL F-SPACES

R. Grant Woods <sup>1, 2</sup>

### 1. Introduction

The principal purpose of this paper is to prove the following theorem about the structure of small normal F-spaces, and to derive some corollaries of it. (We call a space X "small" if  $|C^*(X)| = 2^\omega$ ; explanations of other terminology and notation appear below.)

1.1 *Theorem.* Assume the continuum hypothesis. Let X be a normal F-space such that  $|C^*(X)| = 2^\omega$ . Then:

(a) If X is countably compact then X is compact.

(b) If X is locally compact then X is  $\sigma$ -compact.

All hypothesized topological spaces are assumed to be completely regular and Hausdorff. Throughout this paper we shall use the notation and terminology of the Gillman-Jerison text [4] without further comment. We shall however remind the reader of the definition of a few of the concepts that appear below.

A topological space is called an *F-space* if its cozero-sets are  $C^*$ -embedded. A space is *extremally disconnected* if each of its open sets has an open closure. Each extremally disconnected space is an F-space; see 14N.4 of [4]. A space X is called *weakly Lindelöf* if given an open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of X, there is a countable subcollection  $\mathcal{U}'$  of  $\mathcal{U}$  such that  $\bigcup \{U : U \in \mathcal{U}'\}$  is dense in X. The Stone-Čech compactification of X is denoted by  $\beta X$ ; the Hewitt realcompactification is denoted by  $\upsilon X$ . The cardinality of a set S is denoted by  $|S|$ . The countable discrete space is denoted by

<sup>1</sup>This research was partially supported by Grant No. A7592 from the National Research Council of Canada.

<sup>2</sup>A.M.S. 1970 Subject Classification: Primary 54G05, 54D15  
Secondary 54D60. Key words and phrases: F-space, normal space, extremally disconnected, countably compact, absolute,  $\omega$ -bounded.

N. The set of bounded real-valued continuous functions on a space  $X$  is denoted by  $C^*(X)$ . If we use the continuum hypothesis ( $2^\omega = \omega_1$ ) in the proof of a theorem we indicate this by writing "[CH]" before the statement of the theorem.

The following two theorems will be used in the sequel. The first appears as part of Theorem 4.6 of [2].

1.2 *Theorem [CH]. Let  $Y$  be a locally compact  $\sigma$ -compact non-compact space such that  $|C^*(Y)| = 2^\omega$ . Then  $\beta Y - Y$  contains no proper dense  $C^*$ -embedded subspace, and an open subspace of  $\beta Y - Y$  is  $C^*$ -embedded in  $\beta Y - Y$  iff it is a cozero-set of  $\beta Y - Y$ .*

The following appears as the non-trivial part of Theorem 2.2 of [13].

1.3 *Theorem [CH]. Let  $K$  be a compact  $F$ -space such that  $|C^*(K)| = 2^\omega$ . If  $X$  is a  $C^*$ -embedded subspace of  $K$  then  $X$  is weakly Lindelöf.*

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1.1 and Its Corollaries

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* As  $X$  is an  $F$ -space, so is  $\beta X$  (see 14.25 of [4]). Since  $X$  is  $C^*$ -embedded in  $\beta X$ , by 1.3  $X$  is weakly Lindelöf.

To prove 1.1(a), suppose  $X$  is not compact. Choose  $p \in \beta X - X$  and write  $\beta X - \{p\}$  as a union of cozero-sets of  $\beta X$ . As  $X$  is weakly Lindelöf there are countably many of these cozero-sets whose union, when intersected with  $X$ , yields a dense subspace of  $X$ . Let  $V$  denote this union. Then  $V$  is a dense cozero-set of  $\beta X$  not containing  $p$ . As  $V$  is  $C^*$ -embedded in the  $F$ -space  $\beta X$ , it follows that  $\beta X = \beta V$ . As  $V$  satisfies the hypotheses imposed on  $Y$  in 1.2, by 1.2  $\beta X - V$  has no proper dense  $C^*$ -embedded subset. We now show that  $X - V$  is a proper dense  $C^*$ -embedded subset of  $\beta X - V$ , thus obtaining a contradiction.

The closed subspace  $X - V$  of the normal space  $X$  is  $C^*$ -embedded in  $X$ , and hence in  $\beta X$ , and hence in  $\beta X - V$ . Furthermore  $p \in (\beta X - V) - (X - V)$ . To show that  $X - V$  is dense in  $\beta X - V$ , let  $A$  be an open subset of  $\beta X$  meeting  $\beta X - V$ . Since  $V$  is a cozero-set of  $\beta X$  it is an  $F_\sigma$ -set, so  $A - V$  is a non-empty  $G_\delta$ -set of  $\beta X$ . As  $X$  is countably compact,  $(A - V) \cap X \neq \emptyset$  (see 8A.4 and 8.8 of [4]); thus  $X - V$  is dense in  $\beta X - V$ . This contradiction shows that  $\beta X - X$  could not have been non-empty, so  $X$  is compact.

To prove 1.1(b) first note that  $X$  is open in  $\beta X$  since  $X$  is locally compact (see 3.15(d) of [4]). Write  $X$  as a union of cozero-sets of  $\beta X$ ; since  $X$  is weakly Lindelöf there is a countable subfamily of these cozero-sets whose union  $U$  is a dense cozero-set of  $\beta X$  and is thus  $C^*$ -embedded in  $\beta X$ . Thus  $U \subset X \subset \beta X = \beta U$ , and  $U$  satisfies the hypotheses imposed on  $Y$  in 1.2. Thus by 1.2 any open  $C^*$ -embedded subspace of  $\beta X - U$  is a cozero-set of  $\beta X - U$ , and hence is  $\sigma$ -compact (as  $\beta X - U$  is compact). But  $X - U$  is open in  $\beta X - U$  as  $X$  is open in  $\beta X$ , and  $X - U$  is  $C^*$ -embedded in  $\beta X - U$  since  $X$  is normal and its closed subspace  $X - U$  is therefore  $C^*$ -embedded in  $X$ . Thus  $X$  is the union of two  $\sigma$ -compact spaces and hence it is  $\sigma$ -compact.

We next derive some corollaries to Theorem 1.1. There has been some interest in determining whether a product of normal countably compact spaces need to be countably compact; see for example Problem B15 of [9]. Corollary 2.2 gives an affirmative answer for a special case. Recall that a space is  $\omega$ -bounded if its countable subsets are relatively compact.

2.1 *Corollary* [CH]. *A normal countably compact F-space is  $\omega$ -bounded. Hence a product of arbitrarily many normal countably compact F-spaces is countably compact.*

*Proof.* Let  $S$  be a countable subset of the normal countably

compact  $F$ -space  $X$ . Then  $cl_X S$  is separable, normal and countably compact; as it is  $C^*$ -embedded in  $X$ , by 14.26 of [4] it is an  $F$ -space. Obviously  $|C^*(cl_X S)| = 2^\omega$  so by 1.1(a)  $cl_X S$  is compact. The remainder of the corollary follows from the fact that products of  $\omega$ -bounded spaces are  $\omega$ -bounded, and  $\omega$ -bounded spaces are countably compact.

We next use 1.1(a) to prove a generalization of 1.1(a).

2.2 *Corollary* [CH]. *Let  $X$  be a normal  $F$ -space such that  $|C^*(X)| = 2^\omega$ . Then  $\cup X$  is not locally compact at any point of  $\cup X - X$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $p \in \cup X - X$ ,  $V$  is open in  $\cup X$ ,  $p \in V$ , and  $cl_{\cup X} V$  is compact. By 4.1 of [1]  $X \cap cl_{\cup X} V$  is pseudocompact. It is also normal so by 3D.2 of [4] it is countably compact. As  $X \cap cl_{\cup X} V$  is  $C^*$ -embedded in  $X$ , it is an  $F$ -space by 14.25 of [4] and  $|C^*(X \cap cl_{\cup X} V)| = 2^\omega$ . Hence by 1.1  $X \cap cl_{\cup X} V$  is compact. But  $cl_{\cup X} V = cl_{\cup X} (X \cap cl_{\cup X} V)$  and  $p \in cl_{\cup X} V - X$ . From this contradiction the corollary follows.

Recall that the *absolute*  $E(X)$  of a space  $X$  is (the unique) extremally disconnected space that can be mapped onto  $X$  by a map that is perfect and irreducible (i.e. the map takes proper closed subsets of  $E(X)$  to proper closed subsets of  $X$ ). See [10] and [12] for details. The proof of the following well-known "folk lemma" is straightforward and is not included.

2.3 *Lemma.* *If  $P$  is countable compactness, or  $\omega$ -boundedness, or separability, or local compactness, then a space  $X$  has property  $P$  iff  $E(X)$  has  $P$ .*

There has recently been some interest in determining conditions under which  $E(X)$  is normal. Hence the following corollary is of interest.

2.4 Corollary [CH]. Assume that  $E(X)$  is normal.

(a) If  $X$  is countably compact then  $X$  is  $\omega$ -bounded.

(b) If  $X$  is separable and locally compact then  $X$  is  $\sigma$ -compact.

(c) If  $X$  is separable and  $\cup X$  is locally compact then  $X$  is  $\sigma$ -compact.

(d) If  $X$  is separable and countably compact then  $X$  is compact.

*Proof.* (a) this follows immediately from 2.1 and 2.3.

(b) This follows from 1.1(b) and 2.3.

(c) As  $\cup X$  is locally compact, by [8], page 237, or [12], Theorem 2.10,  $E(\cup X) = \cup E(X)$ . Hence by 2.3  $\cup E(X)$  is locally compact. By 2.3  $E(X)$  is separable and so  $|C^*(E(X))| = 2^\omega$ . Thus by 2.2 it follows that  $\cup E(X) = E(X)$ . Thus  $E(\cup X) = E(X)$  so  $\cup X = X$ , i.e.  $X$  is locally compact. The result now follows from (b).

(d) This follows immediately from (a) or (c).

Conditions on  $X$  equivalent to the local compactness of  $\cup X$  may be found in Harris [5].

### 3. Examples and Questions

The following examples are designed to show that most of the hypotheses of Theorem 1.1 and its corollaries are necessary to their proofs.

3.1 *Examples.* The space of countable ordinals (with the order topology) is a non-compact space satisfying all the hypotheses of 1.1 except that it is not an  $F$ -space. Under assumption of the continuum hypothesis, the space  $\gamma_{\aleph_1} - \{\omega_1\}$  constructed by Franklin and Rajogapalan in [3] is a separable non-compact space satisfying all the hypotheses of 1.1 except that it is not an  $F$ -space.

3.2 *Example* [CH]. Let  $p \in \beta\mathbb{N} - \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $\beta\mathbb{N} - \{p\}$  is a separable non-compact space satisfying all the hypotheses of 1.1 except that it is not normal.

3.3 *Example*. Examples abound of normal non-compact F-spaces  $X$  such that  $|C^*(X)| = 2^\omega$ ; a non-compact cozero set of  $\beta\mathbb{N} - \mathbb{N}$  is such a space.

3.4 *Example*. Using the set-theoretic hypothesis  $\clubsuit$ , which is known to be consistent with the continuum hypothesis (see page 32 of [9]), M. Wage has recently constructed a separable normal extremally disconnected space that is not realcompact (see [11]). This shows that the hypotheses on  $X$  in 2.2 do not imply that  $X$  must be realcompact. It also shows that local compactness cannot be dropped from the hypothesis of 1.1(b), since  $\sigma$ -compact spaces are realcompact.

3.5 *Example*. Kunen and Parsons [7] have recently shown that if  $\aleph$  is a weakly compact cardinal, and if  $E$  denotes the subspace of  $\beta\aleph$  (where  $\aleph$  is given the discrete topology) consisting of those ultrafilters that contain a set of cardinality less than  $\aleph$ , then  $E$  is a normal, countably compact, non-compact extremally disconnected space. Hence the assumption that  $|C^*(X)| = 2^\omega$  cannot be dropped from Theorem 1.1. We do not know whether it can be replaced by some significantly weaker assumption.

We conclude with two open questions.

3.6 *Question*. Is [CH] necessary to prove 1.1? Does Theorem 1.1 hold without any special set-theoretic assumptions?

3.7 *Question*. Is there a "real" example of an extremally disconnected locally compact normal space that is not paracompact? Theorem 1.1(b) says that if  $X$  is such a space then  $|C^*(X)| > 2^\omega$ .

Example 3.5 says that if one assumes the existence of weakly compact cardinals then such spaces exist. Kunen [6] has recently constructed a "real" normal extremally disconnected subspace of  $\beta\omega_1$  (where  $\omega_1$  has the discrete topology) that is not collection-wise Hausdorff, and thus not paracompact; however, his example is not locally compact. (By "real" we mean that no special set-theoretic hypotheses are used in the construction.)

If  $2^\omega = 2^{\omega_1}$  then the discrete space of cardinality  $\omega_1$  becomes a counterexample to 1.1(b). Hence the assumption of the continuum hypothesis cannot be dropped from 1.1(b). I do not know if it can be replaced by the assumption that  $2^\omega < 2^{\omega_1}$ .

#### Bibliography

1. W. W. Comfort, *On the Hewitt realcompactification of a product space*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 131 (1968), 107-118.
2. N. J. Fine and L. Gillman, *Extensions of continuous functions in  $\beta\mathbb{N}$* , Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 66 (1960), 376-381.
3. S. Franklin and M. Rajagopalan, *Some examples in topology*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 155 (1971), 305-314.
4. L. Gillman and M. Jerison, *Rings of continuous functions*, Princeton, N.J., Van Nostrand, 1960.
5. D. Harris, *The local compactness of  $\cup X$* , Pacific J. Math 50 (1974), 469-476.
6. K. Kunen, private communication.
7. K. Kunen and L. Parsons, to appear.
8. J. Mack and D. Johnson, *The Dedekind completion of  $C(X)$* , Pacific J. Math 20 (1967), 231-243.
9. M. E. Rudin, *Lectures in set-theoretic topology*, C.B.M.S. Regional Conference Series in Mathematics No. 23 (1974).
10. D. P. Strauss, *Extremally disconnected spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 18 (1967), 305-309.
11. M. Wage, *Extremally disconnected S-spaces*, to appear in these proceedings.
12. R. G. Woods, *Ideals of pseudocompact regular closed sets and absolutes of Hewitt realcompactifications*, General Topology and Its Applic. 2 (1972), 315-331.
13. \_\_\_\_\_, *Characterizations of some  $C^*$ -embedded subspaces of  $\beta\mathbb{N}$* , to appear in Pacific J. Math.