

---

# TOPOLOGY PROCEEDINGS



Volume 1, 1976

Pages 351–354

---

<http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/>

**Research Announcement:**  
ON FREE TOPOLOGICAL GROUPS AND  
FREE PRODUCTS OF TOPOLOGICAL  
GROUPS

by

TEMPLE H. FAY AND BARBARA SMITH THOMAS

---

## Topology Proceedings

**Web:** <http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/>

**Mail:** Topology Proceedings  
Department of Mathematics & Statistics  
Auburn University, Alabama 36849, USA

**E-mail:** [topolog@auburn.edu](mailto:topolog@auburn.edu)

**ISSN:** 0146-4124

COPYRIGHT © by Topology Proceedings. All rights reserved.

## ON FREE TOPOLOGICAL GROUPS AND FREE PRODUCTS OF TOPOLOGICAL GROUPS

(summary of results to appear elsewhere)

Temple H. Fay and Barbara Smith Thomas

In attempting to characterize the epimorphisms in the category of Hausdorff topological groups, one is led to investigating certain quotients of the free product  $G \amalg G$  of a Hausdorff topological group with itself. In particular, one wants to know if the amalgamated free product  $G \amalg_B G$ , for a closed subgroup  $B$ , is Hausdorff, or if its Hausdorff reflection is "sufficiently large." To do this it seems useful to obtain information about the topological structure of  $G \amalg G$ , or more generally of  $G \amalg H$  for Hausdorff topological groups  $G$  and  $H$ .

Given any two topological groups (not necessarily Hausdorff)  $G$  and  $H$ , their coproduct  $G \amalg H$  in the category of topological groups is  $G * H$  (their free product in the category of groups) with the finest topology compatible with the group structure making the injections  $G \rightarrow G \amalg H \leftarrow H$  continuous (Wyler). The subgroup  $K$  of  $G \amalg H$  generated by the reciprocal commutators  $[g, h] = ghg^{-1}h^{-1}$  is a normal subgroup and is freely generated. It is not difficult to show that every element of  $G \amalg H$  has a unique representation  $ghc$  with  $c \in K$ , and if  $G$  and  $H$  are Hausdorff then  $K$  is closed in  $G \amalg H$ . Theorem 4 below shows that if  $G$  and  $H$  are Hausdorff and if  $K$  can be given a suitable topology, then  $G \amalg H$  is Hausdorff.

Since  $K$  is freely generated we begin with a short investigation of Graev free groups.

Defn: The *Graev free topological group* over a pointed space  $(X, p)$  consists of a topological group  $F_G(X, p)$  and a base point preserving continuous function  $\eta: (X, p) \rightarrow F_G(X, p)$  with the

usual unique factorization property

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (X, p) & \xrightarrow{\eta} & F_G(X, p) \\
 & \searrow f & \swarrow \exists! \hat{f} \\
 & & G
 \end{array}$$

(the base point of a group is the identity,  $f$  is continuous and preserves base points, the induced  $\hat{f}$  is a continuous group homomorphism, and the diagram commutes).

*Theorem (Wyler):* The underlying group of  $F_G(X, p)$  is the free group on  $X \setminus \{p\}$ , and  $F_G(X, p)$  has the finest topology compatible with the group structure such that  $\eta: X \rightarrow F_G(X, p)$  is continuous.

*Theorem (Ordman):* If  $X$  is a  $k_\omega$ -space<sup>1</sup> then  $F_G(X, p)$  has the weak topology generated by the subsets  $[F_G(X, p)]_n =$  words of reduced length  $\leq n$ .

*Theorem 1:*  $F_G(X, p)$  is Hausdorff if and only if  $X$  is functionally Hausdorff.

*Theorem 2:*  $F_G(X, p)$  contains  $X$  as a closed subspace if and only if  $X$  is Tychonoff.

*Theorem 3:* If  $X$  is Tychonoff and  $Y$  is a closed subspace of  $X$  containing the base point then the subgroup of  $F_G(X, p)$  generated by  $Y$  is closed.

We now turn to considering the topological structure of  $G \amalg H$  for Hausdorff groups  $G$  and  $H$ .

*Theorem 4:* In order that  $G \amalg H$  have a Hausdorff group

---

<sup>1</sup> $X$  is a  $k_\omega$ -space if it is the weak sum of countably many compact Hausdorff spaces.

topology it is necessary and sufficient that  $K \triangleleft G \amalg H$  have a Hausdorff group topology, no finer than, but comparable to, the topology of  $F_G(G \wedge H, \bar{e})^2$  such that  $\psi: (G \times H) \times K \rightarrow K$ , where  $\psi(g, h, c) = ghc^{-1}g^{-1}$ , is continuous.

Note: the need for the continuity of  $\psi$  shows up a number of times in the proof of this theorem, of which the simplest example is  $(ghc)^{-1} = g^{-1}h^{-1}(hgh^{-1}g^{-1})(ghc^{-1}h^{-1}g^{-1})$   
 $= g^{-1}h^{-1}[g, h]^{-1}\psi(g, h, c^{-1})$

Ordman's Theorem above says roughly "If  $X$  is a  $k_\omega$ -space and something is true for words of length  $\leq n$ , for all  $n$ , then it is true for  $F_G(X, p)$ . Thus

Corollary (Katz): If  $G$  and  $H$  are  $k_\omega$ -spaces, then  $G \amalg H$  is Hausdorff.

The essential observation in the proof is that for all  $n$   $\psi_n: (G \times H) \times [F_G(G \wedge H, \bar{e})]_n \rightarrow F_G(G \wedge H, \bar{e})$  is continuous.

We conclude with a few observations. The first answers a question of Morris, Ordman, and Thompson in the negative.

Observation 1:  $G \wedge H$  and  $[G, H] \subseteq G \amalg H$  need not have the same topology: In  $X = (\omega_1+1) \times \omega_1$  the two closed sets  $A = \{(x, x) \mid \omega_0 \leq x < \omega_1\}$  and  $B = ((\omega_1+1) \times \{1\}) \cup (\{\omega_1\} \times \omega_1)$  cannot be separated by open sets. Let  $G = F_G(\omega_1+1, \omega_1)$  and  $H = F_G(\omega_1, 1)$ . Then  $X$  is a closed subset of  $G \times H$ ,  $A$  is closed in  $G \times H$  and  $B = X \cap ((G \times \{e_H\}) \cup (\{e_G\} \times H))$ . Hence  $A$  and  $(G \times \{e_H\}) \cup (\{e_G\} \times H)$  cannot be separated by open sets. It follows that  $G \wedge H$  is not even regular, and thus cannot be a subspace of  $G \amalg H$ .

Observation 2: The method of proof used in the corollary

---

<sup>2</sup> $G \wedge H$  is the quotient of  $G \times H$  obtained by collapsing  $(G \times \{e_H\}) \cup (\{e_G\} \times H)$  to a point, this collapsed point is denoted by  $\bar{e}$ .

above cannot be used in the general case since  $F_G(\mathbf{Q}, 0)$  does not have the weak topology generated by the words of length  $\leq n$ , and  $\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{Q} \wedge \{0, 1\}$ .

*Observation 3:* We cannot even replace  $F_G(G \wedge H, \bar{e})$  by  $F_G(\beta(G \wedge H), \bar{e})$  to obtain a proof of the general case since  $(\mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{Q}) \times F_G(\beta(\mathbf{Q} \wedge \mathbf{Q}), \bar{0})$  does not have the weak topology generated by the subsets  $(\mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{Q}) \times [F_G(\beta(\mathbf{Q} \wedge \mathbf{Q}), \bar{0})]_n$ .

### Partial Bibliography

- [1] M. I. Graev, *Free topological groups*, Izv. Akad. Nauk. SSSR Ser. Mat. 12 (1948), 279-324, (Russian). Eng. Transl.: Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. 35 (1951), Reprint Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. (1) 8 (1962), 305-364.
- [2] \_\_\_\_\_, *On free products of topological groups*, Izv. Akad. Nauk. SSSR Ser. Mat. 14 (1950), 343-354, (Russian).
- [3] E. Katz, *Free Topological groups and principal fiber bundles*, Duke Journal 42 (1975), 83-90.
- [4] \_\_\_\_\_, *Free products in the category of  $k_\omega$ -groups*, Pacific J. 59 (1975) 493-495.
- [5] S. Morris, E. T. Ordman, and H. B. Thompson, *The topology of free products of topological groups*, Proc. Second Internat. Conf. on Group Theory, Canberra, 1973, 504-515.
- [6] E. Ordman, *Free products of topological groups which are  $k_\omega$ -spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 191 (1974), 61-73.
- [7] \_\_\_\_\_, *Free  $k$ -groups and free topological groups*, Gen. Top. and Its Appl. 5 (1975), 205-219.
- [8] B. V. S. Thomas, *Free topological groups*, Gen. Top. and Its Appl. 4 (1974), 51-72.
- [9] O. Wyler, *Top categories and categorical topology*, Gen. Top. and Its Appl. 1 (1971), 17-28.

University of Cape Town  
Cape Town, South Africa

Memphis State University  
Memphis, Tennessee 38112