
TOPOLOGY PROCEEDINGS



Volume 2, 1977

Pages 631–642

<http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/>

BOXES OF COMPACT ORDINALS

by

SCOTT W. WILLIAMS

Topology Proceedings

Web: <http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/>

Mail: Topology Proceedings
Department of Mathematics & Statistics
Auburn University, Alabama 36849, USA

E-mail: topolog@auburn.edu

ISSN: 0146-4124

COPYRIGHT © by Topology Proceedings. All rights reserved.

BOXES OF COMPACT ORDINALS

Scott W. Williams

If $\{X_n : n \in \omega\}$ is a family of spaces, then $\prod_{n \in \omega} X_n$, called the box product of those spaces, denotes the cartesian product of the sets with the topology generated by all sets of the form $\prod_{n \in \omega} G_n$, where each G_n need only be open in the factor space X_n . If $X_n = X \ \forall n \in \omega$, we denote $\prod_{n \in \omega} X_n$ by $\square^\omega X$.

M. E. Rudin [5] and K. Kunen [3 and 6, pg. 58] have shown that CH implies $\prod_{n \in \omega} (\lambda_n + 1)$ is paracompact for every countable collection of ordinals $\{\lambda_n : n \in \omega\}$. At the 1976 Auburn University Topology Conference I demonstrated [7] that the paracompactness of $\square^\omega(\omega + 1)$ is implied by the existence of a k -scale in ${}^\omega\omega$, a set-theoretic axiom which is a consequence of, but not equivalent to, Martin's Axiom, and hence CH. In addition, I proved $\square^\omega(\omega_1 + 1)$ is paracompact iff $\square^\omega(\alpha + 1)$ is paracompact \forall countable ordinals α . If this is coupled with E. van Douwen's $(\exists \text{ a } k\text{-scale in } {}^\omega\omega) \Rightarrow \prod_{n \in \omega} X_n$ is paracompact for all collections $\{X_n : n \in \omega\}$ of compact metrizable spaces [1], we have $\square^\omega(\omega_1 + 1)$ is paracompact if \exists a k -scale in ${}^\omega\omega$. However, none of the proofs generalize to higher ordinals ($\square^\omega(\omega_2 + 1)$, for example). We conjecture:

If $\square^\omega(\omega + 1)$ is paracompact, then $\square^\omega(\lambda + 1)$ is paracompact \forall ordinals λ .¹

¹It is unknown whether it is consistent for $\square^\omega(\omega + 1)$ not to be paracompact; however, \exists compact spaces X_n such that $\prod_{n \in \omega} X_n$ is not normal. Moreover, irrationals $x(\square^\omega(\omega + 1))$ is not normal [6, pg. 58].

Toward this conjecture we show:

Suppose λ is an ordinal for which $\sqcup_{n \in \omega} (\lambda_n + 1)$ is paracompact whenever $\lambda_n < \lambda \forall n \in \omega$, then $\sqcup^\omega (\lambda + 1)$ if either of the following holds:

(1) $cf(\lambda) \neq \omega$ (Theorem 1).

(2) $cf(\lambda) = \omega$ and \exists a k -scale in ${}^\omega \omega$ (Theorem 2).

Now suppose $\{X_n : n \in \omega\}$ is a family of sets and for each $f \in \prod_{n \in \omega} X_n$,

$$E(f) = \{g \in \prod_{n \in \omega} X_n : (\exists m \in \omega) n > m \Rightarrow g(n) = f(n)\},$$

then $\{E(f) : f \in \prod_{n \in \omega} X_n\}$ forms a partition of $\prod_{n \in \omega} X_n$ and the resultant quotient set is denoted by $\nabla_{n \in \omega} X_n$. If $S \subseteq \prod_{n \in \omega} X_n$, we let $E(S)$ denote its image in $\nabla_{n \in \omega} X_n$.

Lemma (Kunen [3 and 6, pg. 58]). *Suppose X_n is a compact Hausdorff space for each $n \in \omega$ and $\nabla_{n \in \omega} X_n$ has the quotient topology induced by $\sqcup_{n \in \omega} X_n$, then*

- (i) G_δ -sets in $\nabla_{n \in \omega} X_n$ are open
- (ii) $\sqcup_{n \in \omega} X_n$ is paracompact iff $\nabla_{n \in \omega} X_n$ is paracompact²
- (iii) Every open cover of $\nabla_{n \in \omega} X_n$ has a subcover of cardinality $\leq c$ (the cardinality of the continuum) whenever X_n is scattered $\forall n \in \omega$.

For $A, B \in \mathbf{P}(\omega)$ define $A \leq B$ if $A - B$ is finite; $A \equiv B$ if $A \leq B$ and $B \leq A$. Observe that \equiv is an equivalence relation on $\mathbf{P}(\omega)$. Suppose λ is an ordinal and $f \in {}^\omega \lambda$, for each $A \in \mathbf{P}(\omega)$, we define in $\nabla^\omega (\lambda + 1)$, $\langle A, f \rangle = E(\prod_{n \in \omega} A_f(n))$, where

²With (i) $\nabla_{n \in \omega} X_n$ is paracompact iff every open cover has a pairwise disjoint clopen refinement.

$$A_f(n) = \begin{cases} [f(n) + 1, \lambda] & \text{if } n \in A \\ [0, f(n)] & \text{if } n \notin A. \end{cases}$$

$\{ \langle A, f \rangle : A \in \mathbf{P}(\omega) \}$ forms a clopen partition of $\nabla^\omega(\lambda + 1)$ since $A \equiv B$ iff $\langle A, f \rangle \cap \langle B, f \rangle \neq \emptyset$.

Theorem 1. Suppose λ is an ordinal with $\text{cf}(\lambda) \neq \omega$, then for $\square^\omega(\lambda + 1)$ to be paracompact it is necessary and sufficient that $\square^\omega(\alpha + 1)$ be paracompact $\forall \alpha < \lambda$.

Proof. Necessity is obvious so we prove sufficiency only.

Without loss of generality, we assume λ is the supremum of an increasing sequence $\{n_\alpha : \alpha < \text{cf}(\lambda)\}$. Let R be an open cover of $\nabla^\omega(\lambda + 1)$. For each $\tau < \omega_1$ and $d \in {}^\tau C$ we construct inductively $V(d)$, $W(d)$, $\theta(d)$, and $A(d)$ to satisfy:

(1) $V(d)$ and $W(d)$ are clopen subsets of $\nabla^\omega(\lambda + 1)$, $\exists U \in R \ni V(d) \subseteq U$, $V(d) \cup W(d) \subseteq W(d \upharpoonright \sigma) \forall \sigma < \tau$, and if $\sigma < \tau$ is a limit ordinal, then $W(d \upharpoonright \sigma) = \bigcap_{\rho < \sigma} W(d \upharpoonright \rho)$.

(2) If $\sigma \leq \tau$ is an odd ordinal³, then

$$\{V(e) : \text{dom}(e) \leq \sigma\} \cup \{W(e) : \text{dom}(e) = \sigma\}$$

is a pairwise-disjoint covering of $\nabla^\omega(\lambda + 1)$.

(3) $A(d)$ is an infinite subset of ω and if $\sigma \leq \tau$ is a non-limit ordinal, then $A(d \upharpoonright \sigma) \leq A(d \upharpoonright \rho) \forall \rho < \sigma$.

(4) If $E(x) \in W(d)$ and $\phi < A \leq A(d \upharpoonright \sigma) \forall \sigma \leq \tau$, then $E(\{y : x(n) \leq y(n) \leq \lambda \text{ if } n \in A, y(n) = x(n) \text{ if } n \notin A\}) \subseteq W(d)$.

(5) $\theta(d) \in {}^\omega \lambda$ is a constant function with values in $\{n_\alpha : \alpha < \text{cf}(\lambda)\}$ and if $\sigma \leq \tau$ is even, then

$$\theta(d \upharpoonright \sigma)(0) > \theta(d \upharpoonright \rho)(0) \forall \rho < \sigma.$$

³ σ is an odd ordinal when $\sigma = \sigma_0 + 2n + 1$, where $\sigma_0 = 0$ or is a limit ordinal and $n \in \omega$. If σ is not odd it is even.

- (6) If $\sigma \leq \tau$ is odd, then $W(d \upharpoonright \sigma) \leq \langle A(d \upharpoonright \sigma), \theta(d \upharpoonright \sigma) \rangle$,
- (7) If $\sigma \leq \tau$ is a non-limit even ordinal and $\rho = \sigma - 1$, then \exists a clopen subset $G(d \upharpoonright \sigma)$ of $\bigvee_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A(d \upharpoonright \rho)^{\theta(d \upharpoonright \sigma)(n) + 1}$ such that

$$V(d \upharpoonright \sigma) = W(d \upharpoonright \sigma) \cap \langle A(d \upharpoonright \rho), \theta(d \upharpoonright \sigma) \rangle \text{ and}$$

$$W(d \upharpoonright \sigma) = \{E(x) \in W(d \upharpoonright \rho) : E(x \upharpoonright \omega - A(d \upharpoonright \rho)) \in G(d \upharpoonright \sigma)\}.$$

Now suppose our objects $V(d)$, $W(d)$, $\theta(d)$, and $A(d)$ have been constructed to satisfy (1) through (7) $\forall d \in {}^{\tau}C \ \forall \tau < \omega_1$. If $E(x) \notin \cup \{V(t \upharpoonright \tau) : t \in {}^{\omega_1}C, \tau < \omega_1\}$ then by (1) and (2) we may find for each $\tau < \omega_1$, $d_\tau \in {}^{\tau}C$ such that $E(x) \in W(d_\tau)$. Again from (1) and (2), if $\sigma < \tau$ is odd and $d \in {}^{\sigma}C$ such that $d \neq d_\tau \upharpoonright \sigma$, then $E(x) \notin W(d)$; therefore, $\sigma < \tau \Rightarrow d_\sigma = d_\tau \upharpoonright \sigma$. From (5) we may find the first even ordinal $\rho < \omega_1$ such that for every n ,

$$x(n) > \theta(d_\rho)(0) \Rightarrow x(n) \geq \sup_{\tau < \omega_1} \theta(d_\tau)(0).$$

From (6) $\exists y \in \square^\omega(\lambda + 1) \ni E(y) = E(x)$ and

$$A(d_{\rho+1}) = \{n : y(n) > \theta(d_{\rho+1})(n)\}.$$

From (7) $E(y) \in V(d_{\rho+2})$, a contradiction. Therefore,

$$\{V(t \upharpoonright \tau) : t \in {}^{\omega_1}C, \tau < \omega_1\}$$

is a cover of $\bigvee^\omega(\lambda + 1)$ and we are done, so we should begin our construction.

Let $A(\phi) = \omega$, $W(\phi) = \bigvee^\omega(\lambda + 1)$, and α be the first ordinal such that $E(\Pi^\omega[n_\alpha, \lambda])$ is contained in some $U \in R$.

Let $\theta(\phi)(n) = n_\alpha \ \forall n \in \omega$ and $V(\phi) = \langle A(\phi), \theta(\phi) \rangle$.

Suppose for an ordinal $\rho < \omega_1$ we have constructed $V(d)$, $W(d)$, $\theta(d)$, and $A(d)$ to satisfy (1) through (7) $\forall d \in {}^{\tau}C \ \forall \tau < \rho$. Our construction at ρ needs three cases:

Case 1. ρ is an odd ordinal

Let $\tau = \rho - 1$ and $\theta(e) = \theta(d)$ if $e \in {}^{\rho}C$ and $e \upharpoonright \tau = d$. Let

$$\{A(e) : e \in {}^{\rho}C, e \upharpoonright \tau = d\}$$

be a listing of exactly one element chosen from each equivalence class of elements of

$$\{A : \phi < A < A(d \upharpoonright \sigma), \sigma \leq \tau\}.$$

For each $e \in {}^{\rho}C$ we let

$$W(e) = W(e \upharpoonright \tau) \cap \langle A(e), \theta(e) \rangle.$$

If $d \in {}^{\tau}C$, then $W(d) \cap \langle \phi, \theta(d) \rangle$ is a clopen subset of $E(\prod_{n \in \omega} \{0, \theta(d)(n)\})$; therefore, by the lemma (ii) and (iii) we may find a pairwise-disjoint clopen refinement of R

$$\{V(e) : e \in {}^{\rho}C, e \upharpoonright \tau = d\} \text{ whose union is } W(d) \cap \langle \phi, \theta(d) \rangle.$$

Clearly (1) through (7) are satisfied.

Case 2. ρ is a non-limit even ordinal.

Let $\tau = \rho - 1$ and $A(e) = A(d)$ if $e \in {}^{\rho}C$ and $e \upharpoonright \tau = d$. If $d \in {}^{\tau}C$ and $W(d) = \phi$, we let $W(e) = V(e) = \phi$ and

$$\theta(e)(n) = n_{\alpha} \text{ if } \theta(d)(n) = n_{\alpha-1} \quad \forall n \in \omega$$

If $d \in {}^{\tau}C$ and $W(d) \neq \emptyset$, let

$$Y^*(d) = \{g : g^{-1}(\lambda) = A(d), E(g) \in W(d)\}.$$

We will wish to cover $Y^*(d)$ by

$$\cup \{W(e) : e \upharpoonright \tau = d\}.$$

From (4), $Y(d) = \{g \upharpoonright \omega - A(d) : g \in Y^*(d)\} \neq \emptyset$.

In $\prod_{n \in \omega} A(d)(\theta(d)(n) + 1)$, let

$$R(d) = \{E(\prod_{n \in \omega} U(n)) : E(\prod_{n \in \omega} U(n)) \subseteq \text{some } U \in R, E(\prod_{n \in \omega} U(n)) \cap Y^*(d) \neq \emptyset\}.$$

From (5) of the induction hypothesis and the lemma, (ii) and (iii), \exists a pairwise disjoint clopen refinement $\{G(\gamma) : \gamma < c\}$ of $R(d)$ whose union is $E(Y(d))$. If $e \in {}^{\rho}C$, $e \upharpoonright \tau = d$, $e(\tau) = \gamma$, then let

$$W(e) = \{E(x) \in W(d) : E(x \upharpoonright \omega - A(d)) \in G(\gamma)\}.$$

For each γ we may find $n_{\alpha}(\gamma) > \theta(d)(0)$ such that

$\{E(x) \in W(d) : E(x \upharpoonright \omega - A(d)) \in G(\gamma) \text{ and } x(n) > n_{\alpha}(\gamma) \ \forall \text{ but finitely many } n \in A(d)\} \subseteq \text{some } U \in R.$

Let $\theta(e)(n) = n_{\alpha}(\gamma) \ \forall n \in \omega$ and $V(e) = W(e) \cap \langle A(d), \theta(e) \rangle.$

Certainly (1) through (7) are satisfied.

Case 3. ρ is a limit ordinal.

If $e \in {}^{\rho}c$, let $A(e) = \omega$, $V(e) = \phi$, and find the first $\alpha < \omega_1 \ni n_{\alpha} > \theta(e \upharpoonright \tau)(0) \ \forall \tau < \rho.$ We choose $\theta(e)(n) = n_{\alpha} \ \forall n \in \omega.$ To satisfy (1) through (7) we observe that (i) of the lemma allows

$$W(e) = \bigcap_{\tau < \rho} W(e \upharpoonright \tau)$$

to be clopen.

The proof to Theorem 1 is completed.

If ${}^{\omega}\omega$ is ordered by $f < g$ if $\{n : g(n) \leq f(n)\} \equiv \phi$, then for an ordinal k , a k -scale is an order-preserving injection $s : k \rightarrow {}^{\omega}\omega$ such that $\{s(\alpha) : \alpha < k\}$ is cofinal in ${}^{\omega}\omega.$ Recall [2,7] that $CH \Rightarrow \exists$ an ω_1 -scale; $MA \Rightarrow \exists$ a c -scale; an ω -scale; \exists a k -scale and l -scale $\Rightarrow cf(k) = cf(l)$; for every model m with regular ordinals k and l with $cf(k) \neq \omega \neq cf(l)$ and $k \leq l$, there is a model $n \supseteq m$ with a k -scale in ${}^{\omega}\omega$ and $c = l$; and \exists models m of ZFC without k -scales for any $k.$

Theorem 2. $(\exists \text{ a } k\text{-scale in } {}^{\omega}\omega).$ Suppose $cf(\lambda) = \omega$, then for $\square^{\omega}(\lambda + 1)$ to be paracompact it is necessary and sufficient that $\exists \{\gamma_n : n \in \omega\} \subseteq \lambda \ni \sup_{n \in \omega} \gamma_n = \lambda$ and $\square_{n \in \omega}(\gamma_n + 1)$ is paracompact.

Proof. Necessity is obvious so we prove sufficiency.

WLOG assume $\gamma_n < \gamma_{n+1} \ \forall n \in \omega$, $cf(\gamma_n) = 1 \ \forall n \in \omega$, and $\{s(\alpha) : \alpha < k\}$ is a k -scale in ${}^{\omega}\omega$ for a regular $k.$ Let R be

an open cover of $\nabla^\omega(\lambda + 1)$. For each $\tau < k$ and $d \in {}^\tau C$ we construct inductively $V(d)$, $W(d)$, $\theta(d)$, and $A(d)$ to satisfy:

(1) $V(d)$ and $W(d)$ are clopen subsets of $\nabla^\omega(\lambda + 1)$, $\exists U \in R \ni V(d) \subseteq U$, $V(d) \cup W(d) \subseteq W(d \upharpoonright \sigma) \quad \forall \sigma < \tau$, and if $\sigma < \tau$ is a limit ordinal $W(d \upharpoonright \sigma) = \bigcap_{\rho < \sigma} W(d \upharpoonright \rho)$.

(2) If $\sigma \leq \tau$ is an odd ordinal, then

$$\{V(e) : \text{dom}(e) \leq \sigma\} \cup \{W(e) : \text{dom}(e) = \sigma\}$$

is a pairwise-disjoint covering of $\nabla^\omega(\lambda + 1)$.

(3) $A(d)$ is an infinite subset of ω and if $\sigma \leq \tau$ is a non-limit ordinal, then $A(d \upharpoonright \sigma) \subseteq A(d \upharpoonright \rho) \quad \forall \rho < \sigma$.

(4) $\theta(d)(n) = \gamma_{S(\alpha)}(n) \quad \forall n \in \omega$ and some $\alpha < k$; and if $\sigma \leq \tau$ is even, then

$$\{n : \theta(d \upharpoonright \sigma)(n) \leq \theta(d \upharpoonright \rho)(n)\} \equiv \phi \quad \forall \rho < \sigma.$$

(5) If $\sigma \leq \tau$ is odd, then $W(d \upharpoonright \sigma) \subseteq \langle A(d \upharpoonright \sigma), \theta(d \upharpoonright \sigma) \rangle$ and

$$\{V(e) : e \in {}^\sigma C, e \upharpoonright \sigma - 1 \Rightarrow d \upharpoonright \sigma - 1\} = \langle \phi, \theta(d \upharpoonright \sigma) \rangle \cap W(d \upharpoonright \sigma - 1).$$

(6) If $\sigma \leq \tau$ is a non-limit even ordinal, then

$$V(d \upharpoonright \sigma) = W(d \upharpoonright \sigma) \cap \langle A(d \upharpoonright \sigma - 1), \theta(d \upharpoonright \sigma - 1) \rangle.$$

Now suppose our objects $V(d)$, $W(d)$, $\theta(d)$, and $A(d)$ have been constructed to satisfy (1) through (6) $\forall d \in {}^\tau C \quad \forall \tau < k$.

For $x \in \Pi^\omega(\lambda + 1)$ define

$$x^\#(n) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x(n) = \lambda \\ x(n) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We may find the first $\alpha \ni \{n : \gamma_{S(\alpha)}(n) \leq x^\#(n)\} = \phi$. If

$\alpha = \alpha_0 + m$, where $\alpha_0 = 0$ or is a limit ordinal and $m \in \omega$, let $\tau = \alpha_0 + 2(m + 1)$. From (2), (4), (5), and (6) we have

$$E(x) \in \cup \{V(e) : \text{dom}(e) \leq \tau\}.$$

Therefore, $\{V(d) : d \in {}^\tau C, \tau < k\}$ is a pairwise-disjoint clopen refinement of R covering $\nabla^\omega(\lambda + 1)$. So we must complete our

construction.

Let $A(\phi) = \omega$, $W(\phi) = \nabla^\omega(\lambda + 1)$, and α be the first ordinal such that $E(\prod_{n \in \omega} [\gamma_{S(\alpha)}(n), \lambda])$ is contained in some $U \in R$. Let $\theta(\phi)(n) = \gamma_{S(\alpha)}(n) \quad \forall n \in \omega$ and $V(\phi) = \langle A(\phi), \theta(\phi) \rangle$.

Suppose for an ordinal $\rho < k$ we have constructed $V(d)$, $W(d)$, $\theta(d)$, and $A(d)$ to satisfy (1) through (6) $\forall d \in {}^T C \quad \forall \tau < \rho$. Our construction at ρ needs three cases:

Case 1. ρ is an odd ordinal.

Let $\tau = \rho - 1$ and $\theta(e) = \theta(d)$ if $e \in {}^0 C$ and $e \upharpoonright \tau = d$. Let

$$\{A(e) : e \in {}^0 C, e \upharpoonright \tau = d\}$$

be a listing of exactly one element from each equivalence class of elements of

$$\{A : \phi < A < A(d \upharpoonright \sigma), \sigma \leq \tau\}.$$

For each $e \in {}^0 C$ we let

$$W(e) = W(e \upharpoonright \tau) \cap \langle A(e), \theta(e) \rangle.$$

If $d \in {}^T C$, then $W(d) \cap \langle \phi, \theta(d) \rangle$ is a clopen subset of

$$E(\prod_{n \in \omega} [0, \theta(d)(n)])$$

and $\prod_{n \in \omega} [0, \theta(d)(n)]$ is a clopen subset of a subproduct of $\prod_{n \in \omega} (\gamma_n + 1)$; therefore, by the lemma (ii) and (iii) we may find a pairwise-disjoint clopen refinement of $R, \{V(e) :$

$e \in {}^0 C, e \upharpoonright \tau = d\}$ whose union is $W(d) \cap \langle \phi, \theta(d) \rangle$. Clearly, (1) through (6) are satisfied.

Case 2. ρ is a non-limit even ordinal.

Let $\tau = \rho - 1$, and $A(e) = A(d)$, and $W(e) = W(d)$ if $e \in {}^0 C$ and $e \upharpoonright \tau = d$. If $d \in {}^T C$ and $W(d) = \phi$, we let $W(e) = V(e) = \phi$ and

$$\theta(e)(n) = \gamma_{S(\alpha+1)}(n) \quad \forall n \in \omega; \text{ where}$$

$$\theta(e \upharpoonright \tau)(n) = \gamma_{S(\alpha)}(n) \quad \forall n \in \omega.$$

If $d \in {}^T c$, $W(d) \neq \phi$, and

$$Y(d) = \{g \upharpoonright \omega - A(d) : g^{-1}(\lambda) = A(d), E(g) \in W(d)\} = \phi.$$

In $\prod_{n \in A(d)} (\theta(d)(n) + 1)$, let

$$R(d) = \{E(\prod_{n \in A(d)} U(n)) : E(\prod_{n \in \omega} U(n)) \subseteq \text{some } U \in R, \\ \exists E(g) \in W(d) \cap E(\prod_{n \in \omega} U(n)), g^{-1}(\lambda) = A(d)\}$$

Since $\prod_{n \in A(d)} (\theta(d)(n) + 1)$ is homeomorphic to a clopen subset of a subproduct of $\prod_{n \in \omega} (\gamma_n + 1)$, we may use the lemma, (ii) and (iii), to find a pairwise disjoint clopen refinement

$\{G(\delta) : \delta < c\}$ of $R(d)$ whose union is $E(Y(d))$. If $e \in {}^p c$, $e \upharpoonright \tau = d$, $e(\tau) = \delta$, then let $\alpha(\delta)$ be the first ordinal $> \alpha(d)$, where $\theta(d)(n) = \gamma_S(\alpha(d))(n) \quad \forall n \in \omega$, such that

$$V(e) = \{E(x) \in W(d) : E(x \upharpoonright \omega - A(d)) \in G(\delta), x(n) > \\ \gamma_S(\alpha(\delta))(n) \quad \forall n \in \omega\}$$

is contained in a member of R . Let $\theta(e)(n) = \gamma_S(\alpha(\delta))(n) \quad \forall n \in \omega$. Clearly, (1) through (6) are satisfied.

Case 3. ρ is a limit ordinal.

If $e \in {}^p c$, let $A(e) = \omega$, $V(e) = \phi$, and $\theta(e)(n) = \gamma_S(\alpha)(n) \quad \forall n \in \omega$, where

$$\alpha = \sup\{\beta : \theta(e \upharpoonright \tau)(n) = \gamma_S(\beta)(n) \quad \forall n \in \omega, \tau < \rho\}.$$

To see that (1) through (6) are satisfied, we must show

$$W(e) = \bigcap_{\tau < \rho} W(e \upharpoonright \tau) \text{ is open.}$$

However, if $E(x) \in W(e)$, then the induction hypothesis and the definition of $W(d)$ in Case 2 yields

$$E(\prod [x^*(n), x(n)]) \subseteq W(e),$$

where

$$x^*(n) = \begin{cases} x(n) & \text{if } cf(x(n)) = 1 \\ \theta(e)(n + 1) & \text{if } x(n) \text{ is a limit } > \theta(e)(n) \\ \sup\{\theta(e \upharpoonright \tau)(n) : \theta(e \upharpoonright \tau)(n) < x(n), \tau < \rho\} + 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

This completes the construction and the proof of Theorem 2.

Remarks

- A. There are many models of ZFC, constructed via forcing, in which there are no k -scales [2]. However, J. Roitman [4] has shown that in some of these models, techniques inadvertently, in some sense, yield $\prod_{n \in \omega} X_n$ paracompact \forall compact metrizable X_n ; specifically she has shown:
- In a model m of set theory which is a direct iterated CCC extension of length k of a model n , $cf(k) > \omega \Rightarrow \prod_{n \in \omega} X_n$ is paracompact if X_n is regular and separable.
- A simple adaptation of her proofs will give the conclusion of Theorem 2 in m .
- B. Suppose u_0 is an ordinal and for $n > 0$ u_n is the lexicographic ordered product of u_{n-1} with itself. Let $u = \sup_{n \in \omega} u_n$. It is unknown whether $(\exists$ a c -scale in ${}^\omega \omega) \Rightarrow \square^\omega(u+1)$ is paracompact when $u_0 = \omega_1$; however, our theorems show $(\exists$ a k -scale in ${}^\omega \omega) \Rightarrow \square^\omega(u_n+1)$ is paracompact $\forall n \in \omega$. It is unknown whether $\square^\omega(\omega+1)$ is paracompact $\Rightarrow \square^\omega(u+1)$ is paracompact when $u_0 = \omega$; although $\square^\omega(u_n+1)$ is paracompact for each n .⁴ The simplest question still unanswered is "Does there exist a model m of ZFC in which $\square^\omega(\lambda+1)$ is not paracompact for some ordinal λ ?" The hardest question asks that $\lambda = \omega$.
- C. We observe a recent result communicated to the author by E. K. van Douwen: If X_n is compact $\forall n \in \omega$, then $\prod_{n \in \omega} X_n$ is pseudo-normal. The author gives much appreciation to the referee whose suggestions for clarification of

⁴ $\square^\omega(u_n+1)$ may be embedded in $\prod_{n \in \omega} (u_n+1)$.

unnecessary technicalities in our proofs appear.

Added in proof

Recently, J. Roitman has proved that $\prod_{n \in \omega} X_n$ is paracompact whenever each X_n is compact first countable and ${}^\omega \omega$ fails to have a cofinal family of cardinality less than the continuum. A corollary to this theorem and our theorems 1 and 2 yields $c = \omega_2 \Rightarrow \square^{\omega} \omega_1 + 1$ is paracompact. Independently, I have shown the same corollary and, in addition:

Suppose, in theorem 2, (\exists a κ -scale in ${}^\omega \omega$) is replaced by κ is the least cardinal of any cofinal family in ${}^\omega \omega$ and $A \subset \mathbf{P}(\omega)$ with $|A| = \kappa$, then

$E(\{x \in \square^{\omega} \lambda + 1 : x^{-1}(\lambda) \in A\})$ is paracompact.

References

1. E. K. van Douwan, \exists a k -scale implies $\prod_{n \in \omega} X_n$ is paracompact if X_n is compact metric $\forall n \in \omega$, lecture presented at the Ohio University Conference on Topology, May 1976.
2. S. Hechler, *On the existence of certain cofinal subsets of ${}^\omega \omega$* , *Axiomatic Set Theory, Proc. Symp. Pure Math.* (vol. 13, part 2), AMS (1974), 155-173.
3. K. Kunen, *On the normality of box products of ordinals*, preprint.
4. J. Roitman, *Paracompact box products in forcing extensions*, to appear.
5. M. E. Rudin, *Countable box products of ordinals*, *Trans. Amer. Soc.* (vol. 192), AMS (1974), 121-128.
6. _____, *Lectures on set theoretic topology*, Conf. board math. sci. reg. conf. series in math #23, AMS (1975).
7. S. Williams, *Is $\square^{\omega}(\omega + 1)$ paracompact?* *Proceedings of the Auburn University Conference on Topology, 1976.*

State University of New York at Buffalo
Amherst, New York 14226