
TOPOLOGY PROCEEDINGS



Volume 3, 1978

Pages 109–115

<http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/>

A NOTE ON THE PRODUCT OF FRECHET SPACES

by

GARY GRUENHAGE

Topology Proceedings

Web: <http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/>

Mail: Topology Proceedings
Department of Mathematics & Statistics
Auburn University, Alabama 36849, USA

E-mail: topolog@auburn.edu

ISSN: 0146-4124

COPYRIGHT © by Topology Proceedings. All rights reserved.

A NOTE ON THE PRODUCT OF FRECHET SPACES

Gary Gruenhagen

1. Introduction

A space X is said to be a *Fréchet space* if whenever $x \in \bar{A}$, there exist $x_n \in A$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, with $x_n \rightarrow x$. In general, Fréchet spaces behave very badly with respect to products. In fact, if X and Y are non-discrete Fréchet spaces and $X \times Y$ is Fréchet, then a theorem of Michael [5] implies that X and Y must have the following stronger property: if $x \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bar{A}_n$, where $A_1 \supset A_2 \supset \dots$, then there exists $x_n \in A_n$ with $x_n \rightarrow x$. Spaces satisfying this property are called *countably bi-sequential* spaces. We should add that even if X and Y are countably bi-sequential, this does not guarantee that $X \times Y$ is Fréchet (see [4] or [6]).

In a letter to the author, F. Galvin asked the following question: if X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots are such that $\prod_{i < n} X_i$ is Fréchet (equivalently, countably bi-sequential) for all $n \in \omega$, must $\prod_{i \in \omega} X_i$ be Fréchet (equivalently, countably bi-sequential)? Y. Tanaka [8, Problem 2.6] has asked the same question. In this paper, we construct, assuming Martin's Axiom (MA), a Fréchet space X such that X^n is Fréchet for all $n \in \omega$, but X^ω is not Fréchet. The space X is countable, and has only one non-isolated point.

Before proceeding with the construction of the example, we would like to mention some related problems. Bi-sequential spaces [5] are closed under countable products, so the space X we construct is a countable countably bi-sequential space

which is not bi-sequential. Others (e.g., Galvin [2], Malyhin [4], Olson [6]) have constructed such spaces assuming various axioms of set theory, but no real example has been found.

(There are uncountable real examples, e.g., an uncountable \prod -product of the unit interval.) A space X is called a *w-space* if whenever $x \in \overline{A_n}$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, there exists $x_n \in A_n$ with $x_n \rightarrow x$. These spaces were introduced by the author in [3], and defined in terms of an infinite game, but this characterization, due to P. L. Sharma [7], is much better. Clearly, every *w-space* is countably bi-sequential, and the difference between the two classes of spaces does not, on the surface, look very large. But the following question, also asked by Galvin, remains open: if X^n is a *w-space* for all $n \in \omega$, must X^ω be a *w-space* (or a Fréchet space)? A counterexample to this question would be about as far as one could go in this direction. Call X a *c*-space* (terminology due to Sharma) if X has countable tightness and every countable subset of X is first countable. It is easy to see that if X^n is a *c*-space* for every $n \in \omega$, then X is a *c*-space*. No real example of a space which is a *w-space* but not a *c*-space* has been found. However, Galvin [1] has constructed such spaces assuming MA .

2. Construction of the Example

Unless otherwise stated, we use the letters m , n , and k to denote natural numbers. The example is based on a construction, by induction on the ordinals less than the continuum c , of a certain collection of almost-disjoint subsets of ω . To get us past an uncountable stage $\alpha < c$, we need the

following lemma:

Lemma (MA). Let $\{I_\alpha\}_{\alpha < \kappa}$, $\kappa < \mathfrak{c}$, be a collection of infinite almost-disjoint subsets of ω . Suppose $A \subset \omega^n \times \omega^m$, and $\{\alpha(0), \alpha(1), \dots, \alpha(m-1)\} \subset \kappa$ are such that

$$(1) A \subset \omega^n \times \prod_{j < m} I_{\alpha(j)}$$

$$(2) A \cap [(\prod_{i < n} \omega \setminus E(i)) \times (\prod_{j < m} I_{\alpha(j)} \setminus F(j))] \neq \emptyset \text{ whenever}$$

$E(i)$ is a finite union of the I_α 's, together with a finite subset of ω , and $F(j)$ is a finite subset of ω . Then there is a sequence $\vec{x}_0, \vec{x}_1, \dots$ of elements of A such that

(i) $C(\vec{x}_i) \cap C(\vec{x}_j) = \emptyset$ whenever $i \neq j$, where $C(\vec{x})$ is the set of coordinates of \vec{x} ;

(ii) if $\alpha < \kappa$, then $I_\alpha \cap \{\pi_i(\vec{x}_j) : i < n, j \in \omega\}$ is finite, where π_i is the projection on the i^{th} coordinate.

Proof. Let $P = \{(f, F) : f \subset A, F \subset \kappa, \text{ with } f \text{ and } F \text{ finite}\}$. Define $(f, F) < (g, G)$ if and only if

$$(a) f \subset g \text{ and } F \subset G;$$

$$(b) \text{ if } \vec{y} \in g \setminus f, \text{ then } \vec{y} \text{ is an element of } A \cap [(\prod_{i < n} \omega \setminus (\bigcup_{\alpha \in F} I_\alpha) \cup (\bigcup_{\vec{x} \in f} C(\vec{x}))) \times (\prod_{j < m} I_{\alpha(j)} \setminus \bigcup_{\vec{x} \in f} C(\vec{x}))].$$

So defined, $(P, <)$ satisfies the CCC because there are only countably many possible f 's, and (f, F) and (f, G) are bounded by $(f, F \cup G)$. For each $\alpha < \kappa$, and $i \in \omega$ let $X_{\alpha, i} = \{(f, F) \in P : |f| > i \text{ and } \alpha \in F\}$. $X_{\alpha, i}$ is a dense open set in $(P, <)$, so by MA, there is a compatible family $\{(f_{\alpha, i}, F_{\alpha, i}) \in X_{\alpha, i} : \alpha < \kappa, i \in \omega\}$. Pick $\vec{x}_0 \in f_{\alpha(0), i(0)}$. If $\vec{x}_0, \vec{x}_1, \dots, \vec{x}_{k-1}$ have been chosen, pick $\vec{x}_k \in f_{\alpha(k), i(k)} \setminus \bigcup_{j < k} f_{\alpha(j), i(j)}$. We claim that $\vec{x}_0, \vec{x}_1, \dots$ is the desired sequence. If $j < k$, then since $\vec{x}_k \in f_{\alpha(k), i(k)} \setminus f_{\alpha(j), i(j)}$, and by the compatibility,

the conclusion of property (b) is satisfied with $\vec{y} = \vec{x}_k$ and $f = f_{\alpha(j), i(j)}$. Hence $C(\vec{x}_j) \cap C(\vec{x}_k) = \emptyset$, and so property (i) of the conclusion of the lemma is satisfied. Now let $\alpha < \kappa$. If $\vec{x}_k \notin f_{\alpha, 1}$, then the conclusion of (b) is satisfied with $\vec{y} = \vec{x}_k$ and $F = F_{\alpha, 1}$. Since $\alpha \in F_{\alpha, 1}$, the first n coordinates of \vec{x}_k miss I_α . Thus (ii) is satisfied, and this completes the proof.

Theorem (MA). *There is a countable Fréchet space X such that X^n is Fréchet for all $n \in \omega$, but X^ω is not Fréchet.*

Proof. We will construct a countable space X_k for each $k \in \omega$, so that $\prod_{k < n} X_k$ is Fréchet for all $n \in \omega$, but $\prod_{k \in \omega} X_k$ is not Fréchet. We can then take X to be the free union of the X_k 's.

To this end, we will construct a sequence $\{\mathcal{I}_n\}_{n \in \omega}$ of collections of infinite subsets of ω such that $\bigcup_{n \in \omega} \mathcal{I}_n$ is a maximal almost-disjoint collection. We then take X_k to be the space $\omega \cup \{\infty\}$ with the points of ω isolated, and a neighborhood of ∞ is $\omega \cup \{\infty\}$ minus a finite union of elements of $\bigcup_{j < k} \mathcal{I}_j$. It is easy to see that, in the space $\prod_{k \in \omega} X_k$, the point $(\infty, \infty, \dots) \in \text{Cl}\{(n, n, \dots) : n \in \omega\}$, but no sequence of the type $\{(n_k, n_k, \dots) : k \in \omega\}$ converges to (∞, ∞, \dots) . Thus $\prod_{k \in \omega} X_k$ is not a Fréchet space.

We need to construct the \mathcal{I}_k 's so that every finite product of the X_k 's is Fréchet. First construct $I_k(n)$, $n \in \omega$, so that $\{I_k(n) : n \in \omega, k \in \omega\}$ is an almost-disjoint collection of infinite subsets of ω , with the additional property that for each $k \in \omega$ and finite subset F of ω , there is $n \in \omega$ with $F \subset I_k(n)$.

For each $n \in \omega$, let $A_n = P(\omega^n)$, and let $A = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} A_n$. Let $A = \{A_\alpha : \alpha < c\}$ so that each element of A appears c times in the well-ordering. For each $n \in \omega$, define $\beta(n) = n$. Now suppose $I_k(\alpha)$ and $\beta(\alpha)$ have been defined for all $\alpha < \kappa$, where $\omega \leq \kappa < c$, and $k \in \omega$. Let $\mathcal{I}(\kappa) = \{I_k(\alpha) : \alpha < \kappa, k \in \omega\}$. Let $\beta(\kappa)$ be the least ordinal β such that $\beta > \beta(\alpha)$ whenever $\omega \leq \alpha < \kappa$, and such that $A_\beta \subset \omega^n$ satisfies the following two properties:

- (i) there are a set $J \subset \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\} = n$, and $\{I_j : j \in J\} \subset \mathcal{I}(\kappa)$ so that $A_\beta \subset (\prod_{i \in n \setminus J} \omega) \times (\prod_{j \in J} I_j)$;
- (ii) $A_\beta \cap [(\prod_{i \in n \setminus J} \omega \setminus E(i)) \times (\prod_{j \in J} I_j \setminus F(j))] \neq \emptyset$ whenever $E(i)$ is a finite union of elements of $\mathcal{I}(\kappa)$, and $F(j)$ is a finite subset of ω .

Note that n is uniquely determined by A_β , but the set J depends also on κ . Also, such a β always exists since ω itself, with $n = 1$ and $J = \emptyset$, satisfies (i) and (ii).

By the lemma, there is a sequence $\vec{x}_0, \vec{x}_1, \dots$ in $A_{\beta(\kappa)}$ such that $C(\vec{x}_i) \cap C(\vec{x}_j) = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$, and $I \cap \{\pi_i(\vec{x}_k) : k \in \omega, i \in n \setminus J\}$ is finite whenever $I \in \mathcal{I}(\kappa)$. Express ω as

$\bigcup_{m \in \omega} W_m$, where W_m is infinite and $W_m \cap W_{m'} = \emptyset$ if $m \neq m'$.

Define $I_m(\kappa) = \{\pi_i(\vec{x}_k) : k \in W_m, i \in n \setminus J\}$. The inductive step is now complete.

Let $\mathcal{I}_k = \{I_k(\alpha) : \alpha < c\}$, and let X_k be as defined earlier. We have already shown that $\prod_{k \in \omega} X_k$ is not Fréchet. It remains to prove that $\prod_{k < n} X_k$ is Fréchet for each $n \in \omega$. To this end, suppose $A \subset \prod_{k < n} X_k$, and $x \in \bar{A} \setminus A$. We need to show there exists $x_n \in A$ with $x_n \rightarrow x$. We will prove this for the case $A \subset \omega^n$ and $x = (\infty, \infty, \dots, \infty) = \infty^n$, the other cases being trivial or reducible to a case similar to this one.

Let $\mathcal{J} = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} \mathcal{J}_n$. Suppose $A \cap (\prod_{i < n} \omega \setminus E(i)) = \emptyset$, where $E(i)$ is a finite union of elements of \mathcal{J} . Then $A \subset \bigcup_{i < n} (\omega \times \cdots \times \omega \times E(i) \times \omega \times \cdots \times \omega)$, so there exists $j(0) < n$ and $I_{j(0)} \in \mathcal{J}$ so that $I_{j(0)} \subset E(j(0))$, and $\infty^n \in \text{Cl}(A(0))$, where $A(0) = A \cap [\omega \times \cdots \times \omega \times I_{j(0)} \times \omega \times \cdots \times \omega]$. Now suppose $A(0) \cap [(\prod_{i \in n \setminus \{j(0)\}} \omega \setminus E(i)') \times (I_{j(0)} \setminus D(j(0)))] = \emptyset$, where $E(i)'$ is a finite union of elements of \mathcal{J} and $D(j(0))$ is a finite subset of ω . (We are using the subscript to indicate position in the product, in order to simplify notation.) Then there exists $j(1) \in n \setminus \{j(0)\}$ so that $\infty^n \in \text{Cl}(A(1))$, where $A(1) = A(0) \cap [\omega \times \cdots \times \omega \times I_{j(1)} \times \omega \times \cdots \times \omega \times I_{j(0)} \times \omega \times \cdots \times \omega] = A(0) \cap \Pi\{\omega: i \in n \setminus \{j(0), j(1)\}\} \times I_{j(0)} \times I_{j(1)}$. We continue the process until we have a set $J = \{j(0), \dots, j(m)\}$ and $A(m) \subset (\prod_{i \in n \setminus J} \omega) \times \prod_{j \in J} I_j$ with $\infty^n \in \text{Cl}(A(m))$ and $A(m) \cap [(\prod_{i \in n \setminus J} \omega \setminus E(i)) \times (\prod_{j \in J} I_j \setminus F(j))] \neq \emptyset$ whenever $E(i)$ is a finite union of elements of \mathcal{J} and $F(j)$ is a finite subset of ω .

Choose κ_0 large enough so that $\{I_j: j \in J\} \subset \mathcal{J}(\kappa_0)$. Now $A(m) = A_\beta$ for β 's, so choose $\beta_0 > \sup\{\beta(\alpha): \alpha < \kappa_0\}$ such that $A(m) = A_{\beta_0}$. Then for any $\kappa_0 \leq \kappa < c$, it is true that A_{β_0}, J , and κ satisfy (i) and (ii) in the above construction of the \mathcal{J}_k 's. Thus $\beta_0 = \beta(\kappa)$ for some $\kappa_0 \leq \kappa < c$, and we have the sequence $\vec{x}_0, \vec{x}_1, \dots$ in $A_{\beta(\kappa)}$ that we chose in the construction. It is easy to see from the definition of X_i that the set $\{\pi_i(\vec{x}_k): k \in W_n\}$ converges to ∞ in X_i for each $i < n$, and since $C(\vec{x}_j) \cap C(\vec{x}_k) = \emptyset$ for $j \neq k$, then $\{\vec{x}_k: k \in W_n\}$ converges to ∞^n . This completes the proof.

Remark. We can get an example with only one non-isolated

point as follows: let Y be the space which is the free union X of the X_k 's, with the points " ∞ " identified to a single point $\hat{\infty}$. Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$ be the projection. Define a neighborhood of $\hat{\infty}$ to be of the form $\pi(U_1 \cup \dots \cup U_n \cup X_{n+1} \cup X_{n+2} \cup \dots)$, where U_i is an open set in X_i containing ∞ .

References

- [1] F. Glavin, *On Gruenhage's generalization of first-countable spaces*, Notices AMS, Jan., 1977, pg. A-22.
- [2] _____, *On Gruenhage's generalization of first countable spaces. II*, Notices AMS, Feb., 1977, pg. A-257.
- [3] G. Gruenhage, *Infinite games and generalizations of first-countable spaces*, Gen. Top. and Appl. 6 (1976), 339-352.
- [4] V. I. Malyhin, *On countable spaces having no bicompa-tification of countable tightness*, Soviet Math. Dokl. 13 (1972), 1407-1411.
- [5] E. Michael, *A quintuple quotient quest*, Gen. Top. and Appl. 2 (1972), 91-138.
- [6] R. C. Olson, *Bi-quotient maps, countable bi-sequential spaces, and related problems*, Gen. Top. and Appl. 4 (1974), 1-28.
- [7] P. L. Sharma, *Some characterizations of W-spaces and w-spaces*, Gen. Top. & Appl. 9 (1978), 289-293.
- [8] Y. Tanaka, *On products of pseudo-open maps and some related matters*, Gen. Top. and Appl. 8 (1978), 157-164.

Auburn University

Auburn, Alabama 36830