

---

# TOPOLOGY PROCEEDINGS



Volume 3, 1978

Pages 227–236

---

<http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/>

## ON THE CHARACTER OF SUPERCOMPACT SPACES

by

JAN VAN MILL AND CHARLES F. MILLS

---

### Topology Proceedings

**Web:** <http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/>

**Mail:** Topology Proceedings  
Department of Mathematics & Statistics  
Auburn University, Alabama 36849, USA

**E-mail:** [topolog@auburn.edu](mailto:topolog@auburn.edu)

**ISSN:** 0146-4124

COPYRIGHT © by Topology Proceedings. All rights reserved.

## ON THE CHARACTER OF SUPERCOMPACT SPACES

Jan van Mill and Charles F. Mills<sup>1</sup>

### 1. Introduction, Definitions and Conventions

A collection of subsets  $\mathcal{J}$  of a space  $X$  is called a  $\pi$ -network for  $x \in X$  provided that every neighborhood of  $x$  contains a member from  $\mathcal{J}$ . The *supertightness*  $p(x, X)$  of  $x$  in  $X$  is defined to be the least cardinal  $\kappa$  for which every  $\pi$ -network  $\mathcal{J}$  for  $x$  consisting of finite subsets of  $X$  contains a subfamily  $\mathcal{J}' \subset \mathcal{J}$  of cardinality  $\leq \kappa$  which is a  $\pi$ -network for  $x$ . In addition, the *supertightness*  $p(X)$  of  $X$  is defined by

$$p(X) = \omega \cdot \sup\{p(x, X) \mid x \in X\}.$$

It is clear that  $t(X) \leq p(X)$  for every topological space  $X$  (for the definitions of cardinal functions such as  $t, w, d, c, X$  see Juhász [7]); in addition the reader can easily verify that  $p(X) = t(X, H_f(X))$ , where  $H_f(X)$  denotes the hyperspace of finite nonempty subsets of  $X$ .

For every compact Hausdorff space  $X$  and  $k \in \omega$  we say that  $\text{cmpn}(X) \leq k$  provided that there is an open subbase  $\mathcal{U}$  for  $X$  such that every covering of  $X$  by elements of  $\mathcal{U}$  contains a subcovering consisting of at most  $k$  elements of  $\mathcal{U}$ . In addition,  $\text{cmpn}(X) = k$  if  $\text{cmpn}(X) \leq k$  and  $\text{cmpn}(X) \not\leq k-1$  and  $\text{cmpn}(X) = \infty$  in case  $\text{cmpn}(X) \not\leq k$  for all  $k \in \omega$ .  $\text{Cmpn}(X)$  is called the *compactness number* of  $X$  (cf. Bell & van Mill [3]). It is known that for every  $k \in \omega$  there is a compact Hausdorff space  $X_k$  for which  $\text{cmpn}(X_k) = k$ ; also  $\text{cmpn}(\beta\omega) = \infty$  (cf. Bell

---

<sup>1</sup>The first author is supported by the Netherlands Organization for the Advancement of Pure Research (Z.W.O.); Juliana van Stolberglaan 148, 's-Gravenhage, The Netherlands.

& van Mill [3]). Spaces with compactness number less than or equal to 2 are just the *supercompact spaces* as defined by de Groot in [6]. Many spaces are supercompact, for example all compact metric spaces (cf. Strok & Szymański [14]; elementary proofs of this fact have recently been discovered by van Douwen [4] and Mills [12]). The first examples of non-supercompact compact Hausdorff spaces were found by Bell [1].

In section 2 of the present paper we will prove a theorem from which the following statement is a corollary:

If  $X$  is supercompact then  $\chi(X) \leq d(X) \cdot p(X)$ .

The supercompactness of  $X$  is essential; we will give an example of a space  $X$  such that  $\text{cmpn}(X) = 3$ ,  $d(X) = p(X) = \omega$  and  $\chi(X) = 2^\omega$ . In addition we show that the inequality cannot be sharpened by considering  $t$  instead of  $p$ . We construct an example of a supercompact space  $X$  such that  $d(X) = t(X) = \omega$  while  $\chi(X) = p(X) = 2^\omega$ .

We are indebted to Eric van Douwen for some helpful comments.

## 2. On the Character of Supercompact Hausdorff Spaces

All topological spaces under discussion are assumed to be Tychonoff.

Let  $X$  be a set and let  $\kappa$  be a cardinal. We define (as usual)

$$\begin{aligned} [X]^\kappa &= \{A \subset X \mid |A| = \kappa\} \\ [X]^{<\kappa} &= \{A \subset X \mid |A| < \kappa\} \\ [X]^{\leq \kappa} &= \{A \subset X \mid |A| \leq \kappa\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $X$  be a space,  $B$  be a closed subset of  $X$ , and  $Y$  be the space obtained from  $X$  by identifying  $B$  to one point. Let

$f: X \rightarrow Y$  be the identification. For  $\phi \in \{t, p, \chi\}$  let  $\phi(B, X) := \phi(f[B], Y)$ .

In case  $X$  is supercompact, the supercompactness of  $X$  can also be described in terms of a closed subbase: a space is supercompact iff it has a closed subbase with the property that any of its *linked* (= every two of its members meet) subcollections has nonvoid intersection. Such a subbase is called *binary*. Without loss of generality we may assume that a binary subbase is closed under arbitrary intersections. Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a binary subbase for  $X$ . For  $A \subset X$  define  $I(A) \subset X$  by

$$I(A) := \bigcap \{S \in \mathcal{S} \mid A \subset S\}.$$

Notice that  $\text{cl}_X(A) \subset I(A)$ , since each element of  $\mathcal{S}$  is closed, that  $I(I(A)) = I(A)$  and that  $I(A) \subset I(B)$  if  $A \subset B \subset X$ . The following lemma was proved in van Douwen & van Mill [5].

For the sake of completeness we will give its proof also here.

2.1. *Lemma* (van Douwen & van Mill [5]). *Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a binary subbase for  $X$  and let  $p \in X$ . If  $U$  is a neighborhood of  $p$  and if  $A$  is a subset of  $X$  with  $p \in \text{cl}_X(A)$ , then there is a subset  $B$  of  $A$  with  $p \in \text{cl}_X(B)$  and  $I(B) \subset U$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $X$  is regular,  $p$  has a neighborhood  $V$  such that  $p \in \text{cl}_X(V) \subset U$ . Let  $\mathcal{J}$  be the collection of all finite intersections of elements of  $\mathcal{S}$ . Choose a finite  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{J}$  such that  $\text{cl}_X(V) \subset \bigcup \mathcal{F} \subset U$ . Now  $\mathcal{F}$  is finite, and  $A \cap V \subset \bigcup \mathcal{F}$ , and  $p \in \text{cl}_X(A \cap V)$ ; hence there is an  $S \in \mathcal{F}$  with  $p \in \text{cl}_X(A \cap V \cap S)$ . Let  $B := A \cap V \cap S$ . Then  $p \in \text{cl}_X(B)$ , and  $B \subset A$ , and  $I(B) \subset S \subset \bigcup \mathcal{F} \subset U$ .

We now can prove the main result of this section.

2.2. *Theorem.* Let  $Y$  be a continuous image of a supercompact space. Then  $\chi(Y) \leq d(Y) \cdot p(Y)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{J}$  be a binary subbase for  $X$  which is closed under arbitrary intersections and let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a continuous surjection. Let  $\kappa := d(Y) \cdot p(Y)$  and fix a dense subset

$D = \{d_\alpha \mid \alpha < \kappa\}$  of  $Y$ . Choose  $y \in Y$  and define

$$\mathcal{J} := \{ \cup \mathcal{J} \mid \mathcal{J} \in [\mathcal{J}]^{<\omega} \text{ and } \exists \text{ neighborhood } U \text{ of } y \text{ such that } f^{-1}(U) \subset \cup \mathcal{J} \}.$$

Notice that for every neighborhood  $U$  of  $y$  there is an  $F \in \mathcal{J}$  such that  $f^{-1}(y) \subset F \subset f^{-1}(U)$  since  $\mathcal{J}$  is a subbase. For each  $F \in \mathcal{J}$  let  $F := \cup_{i \leq n(F)} S_i^F$ , where  $S_i^F \in \mathcal{J}$  for all  $i \leq n(F)$ . For each  $\alpha < \kappa$  take  $d'_\alpha \in X$  such that  $f(d'_\alpha) = d_\alpha$ .

Fix  $\alpha < \kappa$  and  $F = \cup_{i \leq n(F)} S_i^F \in \mathcal{J}$ . For each  $i \leq n(F)$  pick a point

$$e_i^\alpha \in \bigcap_{s \in S_i^F} I(\{d'_\alpha, s\}) \cap S_i^F.$$

Notice that, since  $\mathcal{J}$  is binary, it is possible to take such a point. Let  $E^\alpha(F) := \{e_0^\alpha, \dots, e_{n(F)}^\alpha\}$ . Then  $\{f(E^\alpha(F)) \mid F \in \mathcal{J}\}$  is a collection of finite subsets of  $Y$  such that each neighborhood of  $y$  contains a member of it. Since  $p(y, Y) \leq \kappa$  we can find a subfamily  $\mathcal{J}_\alpha \subset \mathcal{J}$  of cardinality at most  $\kappa$  such that each neighborhood of  $y$  contains a member of  $\{f(E^\alpha(F)) \mid F \in \mathcal{J}_\alpha\}$ .

We claim that

(\*)  $\bigcap (\cup_{\alpha < \kappa} \mathcal{J}_\alpha) \cap \text{cl}_X \{d'_\alpha \mid \alpha < \kappa\} = f^{-1}(y) \cap \text{cl}_X \{d'_\alpha \mid \alpha < \kappa\}$   
 which proves that  $\chi(y, Y) \leq \kappa$  since  $|\cup_{\alpha < \kappa} \mathcal{J}_\alpha| \leq \kappa \cdot \kappa = \kappa$ . To this end, first observe that  $f^{-1}(y) \subset \bigcap (\cup_{\alpha < \kappa} \mathcal{J}_\alpha)$ . Assume that (\*) is not true; then there is an  $x \in (\bigcap (\cup_{\alpha < \kappa} \mathcal{J}_\alpha) \cap \text{cl}_X \{d'_\alpha \mid \alpha < \kappa\}) - (f^{-1}(y) \cap \text{cl}_X \{d'_\alpha \mid \alpha < \kappa\})$ . Then clearly  $f(x) \neq y$

and consequently we may take disjoint neighborhoods  $U$  and  $V$  of, respectively,  $y$  and  $f(x)$ . By lemma 2.1 we can find a subset  $D'_0 \subset \{d'_\alpha \mid \alpha < \kappa\}$  such that  $x \in I(D'_0) \subset f^{-1}(V)$ . Pick  $d'_{\alpha_0} \in D'_0$  arbitrarily. In addition, take  $F \in \mathcal{J}_{\alpha_0}$  such that  $E^{\alpha_0}(F) \subset f^{-1}(U)$ . Since  $x \in \cap (\cup_{\alpha < \kappa} \mathcal{J}_\alpha)$  we have that  $x \in F = \cup_{i \leq n(F)} S^F_i$ ; hence there is an  $i_0 \leq n(F)$  such that  $x \in S^F_{i_0}$ . Then  $e^{\alpha_0}_{i_0} \in \cap_{s \in S_{i_0}} I(\{d'_{\alpha_0}, s\}) \cap S^F_{i_0} \subset I(\{d'_{\alpha_0}, x\}) \cap S^F_{i_0} \subset I(D'_0) \cap S^F_{i_0} \subset f^{-1}(V)$ . This is a contradiction, however, since  $e^{\alpha_0}_{i_0} \in f^{-1}(U)$  and  $f^{-1}(U) \cap f^{-1}(V) = \emptyset$ .

2.3. *Corollary.* Let  $X$  be a supercompact space and let  $B$  be a closed subset of  $X$ . Then  $\chi(B) \leq d(X) \cdot p(B, X)$ .

We will now describe the examples announced in the introduction. We start with a useful result, the proof of which was suggested to us by Eric van Douwen. Our original proof was much more complicated.

2.4. *Theorem.* Let  $\gamma X$  be a compactification of a separable metric space  $X$  such that  $\gamma X - X$  is homeomorphic to the one point compactification of a discrete space. Then  $p(\gamma X) = \omega$ .

*Proof.* Write  $\gamma X - X$  as  $D \cup \{\infty\}$ , where  $\infty$  is the non-isolated point. Evidently  $p(x, \gamma X) = \omega$  for all  $x \neq \infty$ . It remains to show that  $p(x, \gamma X) = \omega$ . Let  $\beta$  be a countable base for  $X$  closed under finite union.

For  $A, C \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\gamma X)$  and  $S \subseteq \gamma X$  we say that  $C$  covers  $A$  (rel  $S$ ) if for every neighborhood  $U$  of  $\infty$  with  $U \supseteq S$  the following holds: if there is there is  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  with  $A \subseteq U$  then there is

$C \in \mathcal{C}$  with  $C \subseteq U$ . We say that  $\mathcal{C}$  covers  $A$  if  $\mathcal{C}$  covers  $A(\text{rel } \emptyset)$ .

We prove that  $p(\infty, \gamma X) = \omega$  by proving something formally stronger:

(1) for all  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq [\gamma X]^{<\omega}$  there is  $\mathcal{F}' \in [\mathcal{F}]^{\leq \omega}$  which covers  $\mathcal{F}$ .  
So let  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq [\gamma X]^{<\omega}$ . For  $B \in \beta$  and  $n \in \omega$  define

$$\mathcal{F}_{B,n} = \{F \in \mathcal{F}: F \cap X \subseteq B, |F \cap D| = n\}.$$

[We do not care if  $\infty \in F$  or not.] Using the fact that  $\beta$  is closed under finite unions, one can easily prove that (1) follows from

(2) for all  $B \in \beta$  and  $n \in \omega$  there is  $\mathcal{F}'_{B,n} \in [\mathcal{F}_{B,n}]^{\leq \omega}$   
which covers  $\mathcal{F}_{B,n}(\text{rel } B)$ .

But evidently (2) follows from

(3) for all  $n \in \omega$ , if  $A \subseteq [D]^n$  then there is  $A' \in [A]^{\leq \omega}$   
which covers  $A$ .

We prove (3) with induction on  $n$ . For  $n = 0$  there is nothing to prove. Suppose (3) holds for a certain  $n \in \omega$ , and let  $A \subseteq [D]^{n+1}$ . Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a maximal disjoint subfamily. If  $\mathcal{M}$  is infinite let  $A'$  be any member of  $[\mathcal{M}]^\omega$ . If  $\mathcal{M}$  is finite

$$A_x = \{A \in \mathcal{A}: x \in A\} \quad (x \in \cup \mathcal{M}) \quad \square$$

For each  $x \in \cup \mathcal{M}$  there is  $A'_x \in [A_x]^{\leq \omega}$  which covers  $A_x$ . Now let  $A' = \cup_{x \in \cup \mathcal{M}} A'_x$ .

This theorem gives us our first example.

2.5. *Example.* A compact space  $X$  such that  $\text{cmpn}(X) = 3$ ,  $d(X) = p(X) = \omega$  while  $\chi(X) = 2^\omega$ .

Indeed, let  $X$  be the one point compactification of the Cantor tree  $\omega_2 \cup \omega_2$  (cf. Rudin [13]). In van Douwen &

van Mill [5] it was shown that this space has compactness number 3 (this was also shown independently by M. G. Bell). Theorem 2.5 gives us  $p(X) = \omega$  while clearly  $d(X) = \omega$  and  $\chi(X) = 2^\omega$ .

We will now describe our second example.

2.6. *Example.* A supercompact space  $Z$  for which  $d(Z) = t(Z) = \omega$  and  $\chi(Z) = 2^\omega$ .

Indeed, let  $L$  be the "double arrow line," i.e. the space  $[0,1] \times 2$  lexicographically ordered. Let  $A \subset L^2$  be the set  $\{\langle x,y \rangle \mid y \geq x\}$ . Then set  $Z = L^2/A$ , and let  $\pi: L^2 \rightarrow Z$  be the projection. Since  $L$  is first countable, so is  $L^2$ ; we conclude that  $t(L^2) = \omega$ . This implies that  $t(Z) = \omega$  since  $\pi$  is closed. Clearly  $d(Z) = \omega$ . Since  $L^2 - A$  contains  $\{\langle \langle a,1 \rangle, \langle a,0 \rangle \rangle \mid a \in [0,1]\}$  as a closed discrete subset of cardinality  $2^\omega$ ,  $A$  is not a  $G_\delta$  in  $L^2$  so that  $\chi(Z) > \omega$ . In fact, it is easily seen that  $\chi(Z) = 2^\omega$ . It remains only to show that  $Z$  is supercompact.

To this end, let  $A_0$  be the set of all clopen rectangles in  $L^2$  which do not meet  $A$  (a rectangle is the product of two intervals). In addition, let  $A_1 := \{[a,b]^2 \mid [a,b] \text{ is clopen in } L\}$ . It is easily verified that  $\{\pi[B] \mid B \in A_0 \cup A_1\}$  is a binary closed subbase for  $Z$ .

The above space  $Z$  of example 2.7 has another surprising property; it is the continuous image of a normally supercompact space while  $\chi(Z) \not\leq d(Z) \cdot t(Z)$ . Below we will prove that for every normally supercompact space  $X$  the inequality  $\chi(X) \leq d(X) \cdot t(X)$  holds. Hence, in contrast with Theorem 2.2,



this is not true for continuous images of normally supercompact spaces.

Recall that a *normally supercompact space* is a space  $X$  which possesses a binary subbase  $\mathcal{S}$  which in addition is *normal*, i.e. for all disjoint  $S_0, S_1 \in \mathcal{S}$  there are  $T_0, T_1 \in \mathcal{S}$  such that  $S_0 \subset T_0 - T_1$ ,  $S_1 \subset T_1 - T_0$  and  $T_0 \cup T_1 = X$ . This is not such a strange condition, since in van Mill & Schrijver [10] it was shown that if  $\mathcal{S}$  is a binary subbase for  $X$  then  $\mathcal{S}$  is *weakly normal*, i.e. for all disjoint  $S_0, S_1 \in \mathcal{S}$  there is a finite covering  $\mathcal{M}$  of  $X$  by elements of  $\mathcal{S}$  such that each element of  $\mathcal{M}$  meets at most one of  $S_0$  and  $S_1$ . However, the normally supercompact spaces have much stronger properties than the supercompact spaces, see van Mill [9]. We also want to notice that there is a geometric characterization of normally supercompact spaces, see van Mill & Wattel [11].

Since it is easily seen that each product of linearly orderable compact spaces is normally supercompact we see that the space  $Z$  of example 2.6 is the continuous image of a normally supercompact space.

2.7. *Lemma.* Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a binary normal subbase for  $X$ , let  $x \in X$  and let  $U$  be a neighborhood of  $x$ . Then there is a neighborhood  $V$  of  $x$  such that  $x \in V \subset I(V) \subset U$ .

*Proof.* Without loss of generality we may assume that  $U$  is open. Let  $\mathcal{F} \in [\mathcal{S}]^{<\omega}$  such that  $x \notin \cup \mathcal{F} \supset X - U$ . For each  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  choose  $F' \in \mathcal{S}$  such that  $x \in \text{int}_X(F')$  and  $F' \cap F = \emptyset$ . This is possible since  $\mathcal{S}$  is normal and since  $\{x\} = \cap \{s \in \mathcal{S} \mid x \in s\}$  and since  $\mathcal{S}$  is binary. Then  $V := \cap_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \text{int}_X(F')$  is as required.

2.8. *Theorem.* Let  $X$  be a normally supercompact space.

Then  $\chi(X) \leq d(X) \cdot t(X)$ .

*Proof.* Use Lemma 2.8 and the same technique as in Theorem 2.2.

### References

- [1] M. G. Bell, *Not all compact Hausdorff spaces are supercompact*, Gen. Top. Appl. 8 (1978), 151-155.
- [2] \_\_\_\_\_, *A cellular constraint in supercompact Hausdorff spaces* (to appear in Canad. J. Math.).
- [3] \_\_\_\_\_ and J. van Mill, *The compactness number of a compact topological space* (to appear in Fund. Math.).
- [4] E. K. van Douwen, *Special bases for compact metrizable spaces* (to appear).
- [5] \_\_\_\_\_ and J. van Mill, *Supercompact spaces* (to appear in Gen. Top. Appl.).
- [6] J. de Groot, *Supercompactness and superextensions*, Contributions to extension theory of topological structures, Symp. Berlin 1967, Deutscher Verlag Wiss., Berlin (1969), 89-90.
- [7] I. Juhász, *Cardinal functions in topology*, MC Tract 34, Amsterdam, 1975.
- [8] V. I. Malyhin, *On tightness and Suslin number in  $\exp X$  and in a product of spaces*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR 203 (1972), 1001-1003 (= Soviet Math. Dokl. 13 (1972), 496-499).
- [9] J. van Mill, *Supercompactness and Wallman spaces*, MC Tract 85, Amsterdam, 1977.
- [10] \_\_\_\_\_ and A. Schrijver, *Subbase characterizations of compact topological spaces* (to appear in Gen. Top. Appl.).
- [11] J. van Mill and E. Wattel, *An external characterization of spaces which admit binary normal subbases* (to appear in Am. J. Math.).
- [12] C. F. Mills, *A simpler proof that compact metric spaces are supercompact* (to appear in Proc. Am. Math. Soc.).

- [13] M. E. Rudin, *Lectures on set theoretic topology*, Regional Conf. Ser. in Math. no. 23, Am. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1975.
- [14] M. Strok and A. Szymański, *Compact metric spaces have binary bases*, Fund. Math. 89 (1975), 81-91.

University of Wisconsin

Madison, Wisconsin 53706