
TOPOLOGY PROCEEDINGS



Volume 3, 1978

Pages 227–236

<http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/>

ON THE CHARACTER OF SUPERCOMPACT SPACES

by

JAN VAN MILL AND CHARLES F. MILLS

Topology Proceedings

Web: <http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/>

Mail: Topology Proceedings
Department of Mathematics & Statistics
Auburn University, Alabama 36849, USA

E-mail: topolog@auburn.edu

ISSN: 0146-4124

COPYRIGHT © by Topology Proceedings. All rights reserved.

ON THE CHARACTER OF SUPERCOMPACT SPACES

Jan van Mill and Charles F. Mills¹

1. Introduction, Definitions and Conventions

A collection of subsets \mathcal{J} of a space X is called a π -network for $x \in X$ provided that every neighborhood of x contains a member from \mathcal{J} . The *supertightness* $p(x, X)$ of x in X is defined to be the least cardinal κ for which every π -network \mathcal{J} for x consisting of finite subsets of X contains a subfamily $\mathcal{J}' \subset \mathcal{J}$ of cardinality $\leq \kappa$ which is a π -network for x . In addition, the *supertightness* $p(X)$ of X is defined by

$$p(X) = \omega \cdot \sup\{p(x, X) \mid x \in X\}.$$

It is clear that $t(X) \leq p(X)$ for every topological space X (for the definitions of cardinal functions such as t, w, d, c, X see Juhász [7]); in addition the reader can easily verify that $p(X) = t(X, H_f(X))$, where $H_f(X)$ denotes the hyperspace of finite nonempty subsets of X .

For every compact Hausdorff space X and $k \in \omega$ we say that $\text{cmpn}(X) \leq k$ provided that there is an open subbase \mathcal{U} for X such that every covering of X by elements of \mathcal{U} contains a subcovering consisting of at most k elements of \mathcal{U} . In addition, $\text{cmpn}(X) = k$ if $\text{cmpn}(X) \leq k$ and $\text{cmpn}(X) \not\leq k-1$ and $\text{cmpn}(X) = \infty$ in case $\text{cmpn}(X) \not\leq k$ for all $k \in \omega$. $\text{Cmpn}(X)$ is called the *compactness number* of X (cf. Bell & van Mill [3]). It is known that for every $k \in \omega$ there is a compact Hausdorff space X_k for which $\text{cmpn}(X_k) = k$; also $\text{cmpn}(\beta\omega) = \infty$ (cf. Bell

¹The first author is supported by the Netherlands Organization for the Advancement of Pure Research (Z.W.O.); Juliana van Stolberglaan 148, 's-Gravenhage, The Netherlands.

& van Mill [3]). Spaces with compactness number less than or equal to 2 are just the *supercompact spaces* as defined by de Groot in [6]. Many spaces are supercompact, for example all compact metric spaces (cf. Strok & Szymański [14]; elementary proofs of this fact have recently been discovered by van Douwen [4] and Mills [12]). The first examples of non-supercompact compact Hausdorff spaces were found by Bell [1].

In section 2 of the present paper we will prove a theorem from which the following statement is a corollary:

If X is supercompact then $\chi(X) \leq d(X) \cdot p(X)$.

The supercompactness of X is essential; we will give an example of a space X such that $\text{cmpn}(X) = 3$, $d(X) = p(X) = \omega$ and $\chi(X) = 2^\omega$. In addition we show that the inequality cannot be sharpened by considering t instead of p . We construct an example of a supercompact space X such that $d(X) = t(X) = \omega$ while $\chi(X) = p(X) = 2^\omega$.

We are indebted to Eric van Douwen for some helpful comments.

2. On the Character of Supercompact Hausdorff Spaces

All topological spaces under discussion are assumed to be Tychonoff.

Let X be a set and let κ be a cardinal. We define (as usual)

$$\begin{aligned} [X]^\kappa &= \{A \subset X \mid |A| = \kappa\} \\ [X]^{<\kappa} &= \{A \subset X \mid |A| < \kappa\} \\ [X]^{\leq \kappa} &= \{A \subset X \mid |A| \leq \kappa\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let X be a space, B be a closed subset of X , and Y be the space obtained from X by identifying B to one point. Let

$f: X \rightarrow Y$ be the identification. For $\phi \in \{t, p, \chi\}$ let $\phi(B, X) := \phi(f[B], Y)$.

In case X is supercompact, the supercompactness of X can also be described in terms of a closed subbase: a space is supercompact iff it has a closed subbase with the property that any of its *linked* (= every two of its members meet) subcollections has nonvoid intersection. Such a subbase is called *binary*. Without loss of generality we may assume that a binary subbase is closed under arbitrary intersections. Let \mathcal{S} be a binary subbase for X . For $A \subset X$ define $I(A) \subset X$ by

$$I(A) := \bigcap \{S \in \mathcal{S} \mid A \subset S\}.$$

Notice that $\text{cl}_X(A) \subset I(A)$, since each element of \mathcal{S} is closed, that $I(I(A)) = I(A)$ and that $I(A) \subset I(B)$ if $A \subset B \subset X$. The following lemma was proved in van Douwen & van Mill [5].

For the sake of completeness we will give its proof also here.

2.1. *Lemma* (van Douwen & van Mill [5]). *Let \mathcal{S} be a binary subbase for X and let $p \in X$. If U is a neighborhood of p and if A is a subset of X with $p \in \text{cl}_X(A)$, then there is a subset B of A with $p \in \text{cl}_X(B)$ and $I(B) \subset U$.*

Proof. Since X is regular, p has a neighborhood V such that $p \in \text{cl}_X(V) \subset U$. Let \mathcal{J} be the collection of all finite intersections of elements of \mathcal{S} . Choose a finite $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{J}$ such that $\text{cl}_X(V) \subset \bigcup \mathcal{F} \subset U$. Now \mathcal{F} is finite, and $A \cap V \subset \bigcup \mathcal{F}$, and $p \in \text{cl}_X(A \cap V)$; hence there is an $S \in \mathcal{F}$ with $p \in \text{cl}_X(A \cap V \cap S)$. Let $B := A \cap V \cap S$. Then $p \in \text{cl}_X(B)$, and $B \subset A$, and $I(B) \subset S \subset \bigcup \mathcal{F} \subset U$.

We now can prove the main result of this section.

2.2. *Theorem.* Let Y be a continuous image of a supercompact space. Then $\chi(Y) \leq d(Y) \cdot p(Y)$.

Proof. Let \mathcal{J} be a binary subbase for X which is closed under arbitrary intersections and let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a continuous surjection. Let $\kappa := d(Y) \cdot p(Y)$ and fix a dense subset

$D = \{d_\alpha \mid \alpha < \kappa\}$ of Y . Choose $y \in Y$ and define

$$\mathcal{J} := \{U \cap \mathcal{J} \mid \mathcal{J} \in [\mathcal{J}]^{<\omega} \text{ and } \exists \text{ neighborhood } U \text{ of } y \text{ such that } f^{-1}(U) \subset U \cap \mathcal{J}\}.$$

Notice that for every neighborhood U of y there is an $F \in \mathcal{J}$ such that $f^{-1}(y) \subset F \subset f^{-1}(U)$ since \mathcal{J} is a subbase. For each $F \in \mathcal{J}$ let $F := \bigcup_{i \leq n(F)} S_i^F$, where $S_i^F \in \mathcal{J}$ for all $i \leq n(F)$. For each $\alpha < \kappa$ take $d'_\alpha \in X$ such that $f(d'_\alpha) = d_\alpha$.

Fix $\alpha < \kappa$ and $F = \bigcup_{i \leq n(F)} S_i^F \in \mathcal{J}$. For each $i \leq n(F)$ pick a point

$$e_i^\alpha \in \bigcap_{s \in S_i^F} I((d'_\alpha, s)) \cap S_i^F.$$

Notice that, since \mathcal{J} is binary, it is possible to take such a point. Let $E^\alpha(F) := \{e_0^\alpha, \dots, e_{n(F)}^\alpha\}$. Then $\{f(E^\alpha(F)) \mid F \in \mathcal{J}\}$ is a collection of finite subsets of Y such that each neighborhood of y contains a member of it. Since $p(y, Y) \leq \kappa$ we can find a subfamily $\mathcal{J}_\alpha \subset \mathcal{J}$ of cardinality at most κ such that each neighborhood of y contains a member of $\{f(E^\alpha(F)) \mid F \in \mathcal{J}_\alpha\}$.

We claim that

$$(*) \quad \bigcap (\bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} \mathcal{J}_\alpha) \cap \text{cl}_X \{d'_\alpha \mid \alpha < \kappa\} = f^{-1}(y) \cap \text{cl}_X \{d'_\alpha \mid \alpha < \kappa\}$$

which proves that $\chi(y, Y) \leq \kappa$ since $|\bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} \mathcal{J}_\alpha| \leq \kappa \cdot \kappa = \kappa$. To this end, first observe that $f^{-1}(y) \subset \bigcap (\bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} \mathcal{J}_\alpha)$. Assume that (*) is not true; then there is an $x \in (\bigcap (\bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} \mathcal{J}_\alpha) \cap \text{cl}_X \{d'_\alpha \mid \alpha < \kappa\}) - (f^{-1}(y) \cap \text{cl}_X \{d'_\alpha \mid \alpha < \kappa\})$. Then clearly $f(x) \neq y$

and consequently we may take disjoint neighborhoods U and V of, respectively, y and $f(x)$. By lemma 2.1 we can find a subset $D'_0 \subset \{d'_\alpha \mid \alpha < \kappa\}$ such that $x \in I(D'_0) \subset f^{-1}(V)$. Pick $d'_{\alpha_0} \in D'_0$ arbitrarily. In addition, take $F \in \mathcal{J}_{\alpha_0}$ such that $E^{\alpha_0}(F) \subset f^{-1}(U)$. Since $x \in \cap_{\alpha < \kappa} \mathcal{J}_\alpha$ we have that $x \in F = \cup_{i \leq n(F)} S^F_i$; hence there is an $i_0 \leq n(F)$ such that $x \in S^F_{i_0}$. Then $e^{\alpha_0}_{i_0} \in \cap_{s \in S_{i_0}} I(\{d'_{\alpha_0}, s\}) \cap S^F_{i_0} \subset I(\{d'_{\alpha_0}, x\}) \cap S^F_{i_0} \subset I(D'_0) \cap S^F_{i_0} \subset f^{-1}(V)$. This is a contradiction, however, since $e^{\alpha_0}_{i_0} \in f^{-1}(U)$ and $f^{-1}(U) \cap f^{-1}(V) = \emptyset$.

2.3. *Corollary.* Let X be a supercompact space and let B be a closed subset of X . Then $\chi(B) \leq d(X) \cdot p(B, X)$.

We will now describe the examples announced in the introduction. We start with a useful result, the proof of which was suggested to us by Eric van Douwen. Our original proof was much more complicated.

2.4. *Theorem.* Let γX be a compactification of a separable metric space X such that $\gamma X - X$ is homeomorphic to the one point compactification of a discrete space. Then $p(\gamma X) = \omega$.

Proof. Write $\gamma X - X$ as $D \cup \{\infty\}$, where ∞ is the non-isolated point. Evidently $p(x, \gamma X) = \omega$ for all $x \neq \infty$. It remains to show that $p(x, \gamma X) = \omega$. Let β be a countable base for X closed under finite union.

For $A, C \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\gamma X)$ and $S \subseteq \gamma X$ we say that C covers A (rel S) if for every neighborhood U of ∞ with $U \supseteq S$ the following holds: if there is there is $A \in \mathcal{A}$ with $A \subseteq U$ then there is

$C \in \mathcal{C}$ with $C \subseteq U$. We say that \mathcal{C} covers A if \mathcal{C} covers $A(\text{rel } \emptyset)$.

We prove that $p(\infty, \gamma X) = \omega$ by proving something formally stronger:

(1) for all $\mathcal{J} \subseteq [\gamma X]^{<\omega}$ there is $\mathcal{J}' \in [\mathcal{J}]^{\leq \omega}$ which covers \mathcal{J} .
So let $\mathcal{J} \subseteq [\gamma X]^{<\omega}$. For $B \in \beta$ and $n \in \omega$ define

$$\mathcal{J}_{B,n} = \{F \in \mathcal{J}: F \cap X \subseteq B, |F \cap D| = n\}.$$

[We do not care if $\infty \in F$ or not.] Using the fact that β is closed under finite unions, one can easily prove that (1) follows from

(2) for all $B \in \beta$ and $n \in \omega$ there is $\mathcal{J}'_{B,n} \in [\mathcal{J}_{B,n}]^{\leq \omega}$
which covers $\mathcal{J}_{B,n}(\text{rel } B)$.

But evidently (2) follows from

(3) for all $n \in \omega$, if $A \subseteq [D]^n$ then there is $A' \in [A]^{\leq \omega}$
which covers A .

We prove (3) with induction on n . For $n = 0$ there is nothing to prove. Suppose (3) holds for a certain $n \in \omega$, and let $A \subseteq [D]^{n+1}$. Let \mathcal{M} be a maximal disjoint subfamily. If \mathcal{M} is infinite let A' be any member of $[\mathcal{M}]^\omega$. If \mathcal{M} is finite

$$A_x = \{A \in \mathcal{M}: x \in A\} \quad (x \in \cup \mathcal{M}) \quad \square$$

For each $x \in \cup \mathcal{M}$ there is $A'_x \in [A_x]^{\leq \omega}$ which covers A_x . Now let $A' = \cup_{x \in \cup \mathcal{M}} A'_x$.

This theorem gives us our first example.

2.5. *Example.* A compact space X such that $\text{cmpn}(X) = 3$, $d(X) = p(X) = \omega$ while $\chi(X) = 2^\omega$.

Indeed, let X be the one point compactification of the Cantor tree $\psi_2 \cup \omega_2$ (cf. Rudin [13]). In van Douwen &

van Mill [5] it was shown that this space has compactness number 3 (this was also shown independently by M. G. Bell). Theorem 2.5 gives us $p(X) = \omega$ while clearly $d(X) = \omega$ and $\chi(X) = 2^\omega$.

We will now describe our second example.

2.6. *Example.* A supercompact space Z for which $d(Z) = t(Z) = \omega$ and $\chi(Z) = 2^\omega$.

Indeed, let L be the "double arrow line," i.e. the space $[0,1] \times 2$ lexicographically ordered. Let $A \subset L^2$ be the set $\{\langle x,y \rangle \mid y \geq x\}$. Then set $Z = L^2/A$, and let $\pi: L^2 \rightarrow X$ be the projection. Since L is first countable, so is L^2 ; we conclude that $t(L^2) = \omega$. This implies that $t(Z) = \omega$ since π is closed. Clearly $d(Z) = \omega$. Since $L^2 - A$ contains $\{\langle \langle a,1 \rangle, \langle a,0 \rangle \rangle \mid a \in [0,1]\}$ as a closed discrete subset of cardinality 2^ω , A is not a G_δ in L^2 so that $\chi(Z) > \omega$. In fact, it is easily seen that $\chi(Z) = 2^\omega$. It remains only to show that X is supercompact.

To this end, let A_0 be the set of all clopen rectangles in L^2 which do not meet A (a rectangle is the product of two intervals). In addition, let $A_1 := \{[a,b]^2 \mid [a,b] \text{ is clopen in } L\}$. It is easily verified that $\{\pi[B] \mid B \in A_0 \cup A_1\}$ is a binary closed subbase for Z .

The above space Z of example 2.7 has another surprising property; it is the continuous image of a normally supercompact space while $\chi(Z) \not\leq d(Z) \cdot t(Z)$. Below we will prove that for every normally supercompact space X the inequality $\chi(X) \leq d(X) \cdot t(X)$ holds. Hence, in contrast with Theorem 2.2,

this is not true for continuous images of normally supercompact spaces.

Recall that a *normally supercompact space* is a space X which possesses a binary subbase \mathcal{J} which in addition is *normal*, i.e. for all disjoint $S_0, S_1 \in \mathcal{J}$ there are $T_0, T_1 \in \mathcal{J}$ such that $S_0 \subset T_0 - T_1$, $S_1 \subset T_1 - T_0$ and $T_0 \cup T_1 = X$. This is not such a strange condition, since in van Mill & Schrijver [10] it was shown that if \mathcal{J} is a binary subbase for X then \mathcal{J} is *weakly normal*, i.e. for all disjoint $S_0, S_1 \in \mathcal{J}$ there is a finite covering \mathcal{M} of X by elements of \mathcal{J} such that each element of \mathcal{M} meets at most one of S_0 and S_1 . However, the normally supercompact spaces have much stronger properties than the supercompact spaces, see van Mill [9]. We also want to notice that there is a geometric characterization of normally supercompact spaces, see van Mill & Wattel [11].

Since it is easily seen that each product of linearly orderable compact spaces is normally supercompact we see that the space Z of example 2.6 is the continuous image of a normally supercompact space.

2.7. *Lemma.* *Let \mathcal{J} be a binary normal subbase for X , let $x \in X$ and let U be a neighborhood of x . Then there is a neighborhood V of x such that $x \in V \subset I(V) \subset U$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume that U is open. Let $\mathcal{F} \in [\mathcal{J}]^{<\omega}$ such that $x \notin \cup \mathcal{F} \supset X - U$. For each $F \in \mathcal{F}$ choose $F' \in \mathcal{J}$ such that $x \in \text{int}_X(F')$ and $F' \cap F = \emptyset$. This is possible since \mathcal{J} is normal and since $\{x\} = \cap \{s \in \mathcal{J} \mid x \in s\}$ and since \mathcal{J} is binary. Then $V := \bigcap_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \text{int}_X(F')$ is as required.

2.8. *Theorem.* Let X be a normally supercompact space.

Then $\chi(X) \leq d(X) \cdot t(X)$.

Proof. Use Lemma 2.8 and the same technique as in Theorem 2.2.

References

- [1] M. G. Bell, *Not all compact Hausdorff spaces are supercompact*, Gen. Top. Appl. 8 (1978), 151-155.
- [2] _____, *A cellular constraint in supercompact Hausdorff spaces* (to appear in Canad. J. Math.).
- [3] _____ and J. van Mill, *The compactness number of a compact topological space* (to appear in Fund. Math.).
- [4] E. K. van Douwen, *Special bases for compact metrizable spaces* (to appear).
- [5] _____ and J. van Mill, *Supercompact spaces* (to appear in Gen. Top. Appl.).
- [6] J. de Groot, *Supercompactness and superextensions*, Contributions to extension theory of topological structures, Symp. Berlin 1967, Deutscher Verlag Wiss., Berlin (1969), 89-90.
- [7] I. Juhász, *Cardinal functions in topology*, MC Tract 34, Amsterdam, 1975.
- [8] V. I. Malyhin, *On tightness and Suslin number in $\exp X$ and in a product of spaces*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR 203 (1972), 1001-1003 (= Soviet Math. Dokl. 13 (1972), 496-499).
- [9] J. van Mill, *Supercompactness and Wallman spaces*, MC Tract 85, Amsterdam, 1977.
- [10] _____ and A. Schrijver, *Subbase characterizations of compact topological spaces* (to appear in Gen. Top. Appl.).
- [11] J. van Mill and E. Wattel, *An external characterization of spaces which admit binary normal subbases* (to appear in Am. J. Math.).
- [12] C. F. Mills, *A simpler proof that compact metric spaces are supercompact* (to appear in Proc. Am. Math. Soc.).

- [13] M. E. Rudin, *Lectures on set theoretic topology*, Regional Conf. Ser. in Math. no. 23, Am. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1975.
- [14] M. Strok and A. Szymański, *Compact metric spaces have binary bases*, Fund. Math. 89 (1975), 81-91.

University of Wisconsin

Madison, Wisconsin 53706