

---

# TOPOLOGY PROCEEDINGS



Volume 5, 1980

Pages 139–146

---

<http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/>

## FUNCTION SPACES WHICH ARE $k$ -SPACES

by

R. A. McCoy

---

### Topology Proceedings

**Web:** <http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/>

**Mail:** Topology Proceedings

Department of Mathematics & Statistics  
Auburn University, Alabama 36849, USA

**E-mail:** [topolog@auburn.edu](mailto:topolog@auburn.edu)

**ISSN:** 0146-4124

COPYRIGHT © by Topology Proceedings. All rights reserved.

**FUNCTION SPACES WHICH ARE  $k$ -SPACES****R. A. McCoy**

The space of continuous real-valued functions on  $X$  with the compact-open topology, denoted by  $C_{\kappa}(X)$ , is first countable (in fact metrizable) if and only if  $X$  is hemi-compact [1]. We study in this paper certain properties of  $C_{\kappa}(X)$  which are more general than first countability. In particular, Theorems 1 and 2 characterize when  $C_{\kappa}(X)$  is a  $k$ -space and when it has countable tightness. The proofs of these theorems are similar to the proofs of analogous theorems in [2], where the function spaces have the topology of pointwise convergence, except that modifications must be made to deal with compact sets (such as using Ascoli's theorem) instead of finite sets; and for this reason we do not include the proofs. *Throughout this paper all spaces will be completely regular  $T_1$ -spaces.*

A collection  $\mathcal{U}$  of open subsets of a space  $X$  will be called an *open cover for compact subsets of  $X$*  provided every compact subset of  $X$  is contained in some member of  $\mathcal{U}$ . Furthermore, if  $\{\mathcal{U}_n\}$  is a sequence of such covers, then a *residual compact-covering string from  $\{\mathcal{U}_n\}$*  will be a sequence  $\{U_n\}$  such that each  $U_n \in \mathcal{U}_n$  and for every compact subset  $A$  of  $X$ , there exists an integer  $N$  so that  $A \subseteq U_n$  for each  $n \geq N$ .

1. *Theorem. The following are equivalent.*

(a)  $C_{\kappa}(X)$  is a  $k$ -space.

(b)  $C_{\kappa}(X)$  is a Fréchet space.

(c) Every sequence of open covers for compact subsets of  $X$  has a residual compact-covering string.

2. *Theorem.*  $C_{\kappa}(X)$  has countable tightness if and only if every open cover for compact subsets of  $X$  has a countable subcover for compact subsets of  $X$ .

Let us call space  $X$   $k$ -compact whenever  $C_{\kappa}(X)$  is a  $k$ -space, and call  $X$   $\tau$ -compact whenever  $C_{\kappa}(X)$  has countable tightness. We immediately obtain the following facts.

3. *Proposition.* Every hemicompact space is  $k$ -compact.

4. *Proposition.* Every  $k$ -compact space is  $\tau$ -compact.

5. *Proposition.* Every  $\tau$ -compact space is Lindelöf.

6. *Proposition.* Every second countable space is  $\tau$ -compact.

*Proof.* Let  $\beta$  be a countable base for  $X$  which is closed under finite unions, and let  $\mathcal{U}$  be an open cover for compact subsets of  $X$ . Define

$$\beta^* = \{B \in \beta \mid B \subseteq U \text{ for some } U \in \mathcal{U}\},$$

and for each  $B \in \beta^*$ , let  $U(B) \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $B \subseteq U(B)$ . Then define  $\mathcal{U}^* = \{U(B) \mid B \in \beta^*\}$ , which is a countable subcollection of  $\mathcal{U}$ . To see that  $\mathcal{U}^*$  is a cover for compact subsets of  $X$ , let  $A$  be a compact subset of  $X$ . Since  $\mathcal{U}$  is a cover for compact subsets of  $X$ , there exists a  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $A \subseteq U$ . Now for each  $a \in A$ , there is a  $B(a) \in \beta$  such that

$a \in B(a) \subseteq U$ . Since  $A$  is compact, there exist  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$  such that  $A \subseteq B(a_1) \cup \dots \cup B(a_n)$ . Define  $B = B(a_1) \cup \dots \cup B(a_n)$ , which is in  $\beta$ . Since  $B \subseteq U$ , then  $B \in \beta^*$ . Also  $A \subseteq U(B)$ , so that  $\mathcal{U}^*$  is indeed a cover for compact subsets of  $X$ .

7. *Proposition.* Every first countable  $k$ -compact space is locally compact.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $X$  is not locally compact at  $x$ , and let  $\{U_n\}$  be a countable base at  $x$ . For every positive integer  $n$  and compact subset  $A$  of  $X$ , let  $U(n,A)$  be an open subset of  $X$  such that  $\{x\} \cup A \subseteq U(n,A)$  and  $U_n \setminus U(n,A) \neq \emptyset$ . Then for every  $n$ , let

$$\mathcal{U}_n = \{U(n,A) \mid A \text{ is a compact subset of } X\},$$

which is an open cover for compact subsets of  $X$ .

Let  $\{U(n,A_n)\}$  be any string from  $\{\mathcal{U}_n\}$ . For every  $n$ , let  $a_n \in U_n \setminus U(n,A_n)$ . Then  $\{a_n\}$  converges to  $x$ . Let  $A = \{x\} \cup \{a_n\}$ , which is a compact subset of  $X$ . Then for every  $n$ ,  $A \not\subseteq U(n,A_n)$ , so that  $\{U(n,A_n)\}$  cannot be a residual compact-covering string, and thus  $X$  is not  $k$ -compact.

Since every locally compact Lindelöf space is hemicompact, we then have the following.

8. *Corollary.* Every first countable  $k$ -compact space is hemicompact.

Also if  $X$  is a hemicompact  $k$ -space, then  $C_k(X)$  is completely metrizable [3].

9. *Corollary.* If  $X$  is first countable, then the following are equivalent.

- (a)  $C_K(X)$  is a  $k$ -space.
- (b)  $C_K(X)$  is completely metrizable.
- (c)  $X$  is hemicompact.

10. *Corollary.* If  $X$  is locally compact, then the following are equivalent.

- (a)  $C_K(X)$  is a  $k$ -space.
- (b)  $C_K(X)$  is completely metrizable.
- (c)  $C_K(X)$  has countable tightness.
- (d)  $X$  is hemicompact.

A natural question is whether  $X$  being "first countable" in Corollary 9 can be replaced by  $X$  being a " $k$ -space." This will be true if the following question has an affirmative answer.

11. *Question.* Is every  $k$ -compact  $k$ -space, hemicompact?

Let us look finally at some examples which illustrate that the converses of the above propositions are not true. The first example follows from Propositions 6 and 7.

12. *Example.* The space of rational numbers is a  $\tau$ -compact space which is not  $k$ -compact.

Also from Example 17 in [2] we obtain the following.

13. *Example.* Let  $F$  be the "Fortissimo space," which is an uncountable space with only one non-isolated point

whose neighborhoods have countable complements. Then  $F$  is  $k$ -compact but not hemicompact.

14. *Example. The Sorgenfrey line,  $S$ , is not  $\tau$ -compact.*

*Proof.* For each compact subset  $A$  of  $S$ , define an open subset  $U(A)$  of  $S$  as follows. First let  $A^* = A \cup \{0\}$ , and let  $a_1 = \min A^*$ . If  $a_1 = 0$ , define  $U(A) = [0, \infty)$ ; and we are through. Otherwise, if  $a_1 \neq 0$ , let  $x = \max(A^* \cap [0, -a_1))$ , let  $b_1 = \frac{1}{2}(x - \max(A^* \cap [a_1, -x)))$ , and let  $a_2 = \min(A^* \cap [-x, 0])$ . Suppose we have gone through the  $n^{\text{th}}$  stage of this argument and found  $\{a_1, \dots, a_{n+1}\}$  and  $\{b_1, \dots, b_n\}$ . Then if  $a_{n+1} = 0$ , define

$$U(A) = [a_1, -b_1) \cup \dots \cup [a_n, -b_n) \cup [0, b_n) \cup [-a_n, b_{n-1}) \cup \dots \cup [-a_2, b_1) \cup [-a_1, \infty);$$

and we are through. Otherwise continue by finding  $b_{n+1}$  and  $a_{n+2}$  as above. This process must terminate after a finite number of stages, since otherwise  $\{a_n\}$  would be a strictly increasing sequence from  $A$ , contradicting the compactness of  $A$ . Therefore  $U(A)$  is well-defined.

Define  $\mathcal{U} = \{U(A) \mid A \text{ is a compact subset of } S\}$ . By construction,  $A \subseteq U(A)$  for each  $A$ , so that  $\mathcal{U}$  is an open cover for compact subsets of  $S$ . But each member of  $\mathcal{U}$  contains only finitely many doubleton subsets of  $S$  of the form  $\{x, -x\}$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{U}$  has no countable subcover for compact subsets of  $S$ .

We end by comparing  $C_\kappa(X)$  with  $C_\pi(X)$ , where  $C_\pi(X)$  has the topology of pointwise convergence. Whenever  $C_\kappa(X)$  is

first countable, then  $X$  is hemicompact and thus  $\sigma$ -compact. Then Proposition 6 of [2] tells us that when  $X$  is  $\sigma$ -compact,  $C_\pi(X)$  has countable tightness. One might wonder whether  $C_K(X)$  has countable tightness whenever  $X$  is  $\sigma$ -compact, or in fact whether  $C_K(X)$  has countable tightness whenever  $C_\pi(X)$  is first countable (equivalently,  $X$  is countable). Our final example shows that neither is true.

15. *Example.* There exists a countable space  $Z$  which is not  $\tau$ -compact.

*Proof.* Let  $N$  be the set of natural numbers, let  $Q$  be the space of rational numbers with the usual topology, and let

$$A = \{0\} \cup (\cup\{N^n \mid n \in N\}).$$

Choose  $\{Q_\alpha \mid \alpha \in A\}$  to be a pairwise disjoint family of dense subspaces of  $Q$  such that  $\cup\{Q_\alpha \mid \alpha \in A\} = Q \setminus \{0\}$ . For each  $\alpha \in A$ , let  $\phi_\alpha: Q_\alpha \rightarrow N$  be a bijection. Define  $\phi: Q \rightarrow A$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(0) &= 0; \\ \phi(q) &= \langle \phi_0(q) \rangle \text{ if } q \in Q_0; \text{ and} \\ \phi(q) &= \langle i_1, \dots, i_n, \phi_\alpha(q) \rangle \text{ if } q \in Q_\alpha \text{ for} \\ &\quad \alpha = \langle i_1, \dots, i_n \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\mathcal{J} = \{\{q_0, q_1, \dots\} \subseteq Q \mid q_0 = 0, q_{n+1} \in Q_{\phi(q_n)} \text{ for } n \geq 0, \text{ and } \{q_0, q_1, \dots\} \text{ converges to } 0 \text{ in } Q\}$ .

Now define  $Z = Q$  with the following topology. A subset  $U \subseteq Z$  is open if and only if whenever  $0 \in U$  then every element of  $\mathcal{J}$  is eventually in  $U$ . Clearly every usual open subset of  $Q$  is open in  $Z$ . Also each point of  $Z$

different than 0 is isolated, so that  $Z$  is a 0-dimensional Hausdorff space.

Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be the set of all nonempty compact subsets of  $Z$ . Note that  $\mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathcal{K}$ , and that if  $K \in \mathcal{K}$ , then  $K \cap Q_\alpha$  is finite for each  $\alpha \in A$ . To see that the latter is true, suppose  $K \cap Q_\alpha$  were infinite for some  $\alpha$ ; then  $\{Z \setminus Q_\alpha\} \cup \{\{q\} \mid q \in K \cap Q_\alpha\}$  would be an open cover of  $K$  having no finite subcover.

For every  $K \in \mathcal{K}$ , define  $\mathcal{J}(K) = \{\sigma \in \mathcal{J} \mid \sigma \not\subseteq K\}$ . Also for every  $\sigma \in \mathcal{J}(K)$ , let  $q(\sigma)$  be the first element of  $\sigma$  which is not in  $K$ . Finally for every  $K \in \mathcal{K}$ , define  $U(K)$  as follows. If  $0 \notin K$ , then take  $U(K) = K$ , which is a finite open subset of  $Z$ . If  $0 \in K$ , define

$$U(K) = Z \setminus \{q(\sigma) \mid \sigma \in \mathcal{J}(K)\},$$

which certainly contains  $K$ .

To see that  $U(K)$  is open in  $Z$ , let  $\sigma \in \mathcal{J}$ . We wish to show that  $\sigma$  is eventually in  $U(K)$ . We may suppose that  $\sigma \in \mathcal{J}(K)$ , say  $\sigma = \{q_0, q_1, \dots\}$ . Then there exists a  $k \geq 1$  such that  $q(\sigma) = q_k$ . Now let  $n > k$ , and take any  $\bar{\sigma} = \{\bar{q}_0, \bar{q}_1, \dots\} \in \mathcal{J}(K)$ . If  $q(\bar{\sigma})$  were to equal  $q_n$ , then  $\bar{q}_n = q_n$ , which implies  $\bar{q}_{n-1} = q_{n-1}, \dots, \bar{q}_k = q_k$ . But this contradicts  $q(\bar{\sigma}) = q_n$  since  $q_k \notin K$ . Therefore  $q_n \notin \{q(\bar{\sigma}) \mid \bar{\sigma} \in \mathcal{J}(K)\}$ , so that  $q_n \in U(K)$ . Hence  $\sigma$  is eventually in  $U(K)$ , so that  $U(K)$  is open in  $Z$ .

Now define  $\mathcal{U} = \{U(K) \mid K \in \mathcal{K}\}$ , which is an open cover for compact subsets of  $Z$ . To see that no countable subfamily of  $\mathcal{U}$  is a cover for compact subsets of  $Z$ , let



$\{K_m \mid m \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq \mathcal{K}$ . Define  $K \in \mathcal{K}$  as follows. First let  $j_0 = 0$  and  $q_0 = 0$ . Suppose integers  $j_0 < j_1 < \dots < j_{n-1}$  and elements  $q_0, q_1, \dots, q_{n-1}$  from  $Z$  have been defined so that for each  $0 < i < n$ ,

$$q_i \in Q_{\sigma(q_{i-1})} \setminus (U(K_{j_{i-1}}) \cup \dots \cup U(K_{j_1})).$$

If for every  $m$ ,  $\{q_0, \dots, q_{n-1}\} \not\subseteq U(K_m)$ , then define  $K = \{q_0, \dots, q_{n-1}\}$ . Otherwise we continue and choose  $i_n$  to be the first  $m$  such that  $\{q_0, \dots, q_{n-1}\} \subseteq U(K_m)$ . Now  $U(K_{i_n}) \cap Q_{\phi(q_{n-1})} = K_{i_n} \cap Q_{\phi(q_{n-1})}$ , which is finite. Since  $Q_{\phi(q_{n-1})}$  is dense in  $Q$ , there exists a  $q_n \in Q_{\phi(q_{n-1})} \setminus U(K_{i_n})$  such that  $|q_n| < \frac{1}{n}$ .

Then by induction, we have either defined  $K$  as a finite subset of  $Z$ , or we have defined the sequence  $\{q_0, q_1, \dots\} \in \mathcal{S}$ . In the latter case, define  $K = \{q_0, q_1, \dots\}$ , so that in either case  $K \in \mathcal{K}$ . Also by construction,  $K \not\subseteq U(K_m)$  for any  $m$ , so that  $\mathcal{U}$  has no countable subcover for compact subsets of  $Z$ .

### References

1. R. F. Arens, *A topology for spaces of transformations*, *Annals of Math.* 47 (1946), 480-495.
2. R. A. McCoy, *k-space function spaces*, *International J. of Math. and Math. Sciences* 3 (1980), 701-711.
3. S. Warner, *The topology of compact convergence on continuous function spaces*, *Duke Math. J.* 25 (1958), 265-282.

Virginia Polytechnic Institute  
and State University

Blacksburg, Virginia 24061