

---

# TOPOLOGY PROCEEDINGS



Volume 5, 1980

Pages 187–200

---

<http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/>

## IRREDUCIBLE SPACES AND PROPERTY

$b_1$

by

J. C. SMITH

---

### Topology Proceedings

**Web:** <http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/>

**Mail:** Topology Proceedings  
Department of Mathematics & Statistics  
Auburn University, Alabama 36849, USA

**E-mail:** [topolog@auburn.edu](mailto:topolog@auburn.edu)

**ISSN:** 0146-4124

COPYRIGHT © by Topology Proceedings. All rights reserved.

## IRREDUCIBLE SPACES AND PROPERTY $b_1$

J. C. Smith

### 1. Introduction

In an unpublished paper [8] J. Chaber introduced a topological property which he called *property  $b_1$* . Chaber showed that this property plays an important role in the study of metacompact and  $\theta$ -refinable spaces. Since these classes of spaces are irreducible, it is natural to investigate the relationship between property  $b_1$  and irreducibility. A topological space  $X$  is *irreducible* if every open cover of  $X$  has an open refinement which is a minimal cover of  $X$ . Studies of irreducible spaces have been made by R. Arens and J. Dugundji [1], J. Boone [3,4], U. Christian [9,10], the author [17,18,19], and J. Worrell and H. Wicke [21].

In this paper we investigate property  $b_1$  and its natural variations. In particular we show in Section 2 that property  $b_1$  is actually stronger than the notion of weakly  $\bar{\theta}$ -refinable but a weaker version of property  $b_1$  is implied by weakly  $\bar{\theta}$ -refinable. Also in Section 3 we show that another weaker version of property  $b_1$  always implies irreducibility. Application of these results are given in Section 4 where several unanswered questions are solved. A number of new problems are also included.

The following notions and definitions are included for the benefit of the reader.

*Notation.* Let  $\mathcal{F} = \{F_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$  be a collection of subsets of a space  $X$ . We will denote  $\bigcup_{\alpha \in A} F_\alpha$  by  $\bigcup \mathcal{F}$ .

*Definition 1.1.* A space  $X$  is called *weakly  $\bar{\theta}$ -refinable* provided every open cover  $\mathcal{G}$  of  $X$  has a refinement  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{G}_i$  satisfying:

(i) each  $\mathcal{G}_i = \{G(\alpha, i) : \alpha \in A_i\}$  is a collection of open subsets of  $X$ ,

(ii) for each  $x \in X$ , there exists an integer  $n(x)$  such that  $0 < \text{ord}(x, \mathcal{G}_{n(x)}) < \infty$ ,

(iii) if  $x \in X$ , then  $x \in G_i^*$  for only finitely many  $i$ , where  $G_i^* = \bigcup \mathcal{G}_i$ .

Naturally, a cover  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{G}_i$  satisfying (i)-(iii) above is called a *weak  $\bar{\theta}$ -cover*. Spaces satisfying only (i) and (ii) are called *weakly  $\theta$ -refinable* and were introduced by Bennett and Lutzer [2].

*Definition 1.2.* A space  $X$  is called  *$\theta$ -refinable* if every open cover  $\mathcal{G}$  of  $X$  has a refinement  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{G}_i$  where each  $\mathcal{G}_i$  is an open cover of  $X$  and property (ii) above is satisfied.

The following property was introduced by J. Chaber in an unpublished paper [8]. This property was shown to play an important role in the study of  $\theta$ -refinable and metacompact spaces as stated in the next theorem.

*Definition 1.3.* A space  $X$  is said to have *property  $b_1$*  if each open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $X$  can be refined by a cover  $\mathcal{F} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_i$  such that,

$\mathcal{J}_n$  is a locally finite collection of closed sets  
in  $X - \bigcup_{k < n} [\bigcup \mathcal{J}_k]$ .

*Theorem 1.4.* (1) A space  $X$  is metacompact iff  $X$  is almost expandable and has property  $b_1$ .

(2) A space  $X$  is  $\theta$ -refinable iff  $X$  is almost  $\theta$ -expandable and has property  $b_1$ .

Properties of almost expandable and almost  $\theta$ -expandable spaces are discussed in [8,13,14,16,17,20].

*Definition 1.5.* A collection  $\mathcal{J} = \{F_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$  is called *hereditarily closure-preserving* (HCP) provided for every  $B \subseteq A$  and every collection  $\{H_\beta : \beta \in B\}$ , where  $H_\beta \subseteq F_\beta$ , we have that  $\bigcup_{\beta \in B} \overline{H_\beta} = \overline{\bigcup_{\beta \in B} H_\beta}$ .

*Definition 1.6.* A space  $X$  is said to have property  $B(D(\text{resp. LF, HCP}), \alpha)$  if each open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $X$  has a refinement  $\bigcup_{s < \alpha} \mathcal{J}_s$ , such that for each  $s < \alpha$

(1)  $\mathcal{J}_s$  is a discrete (resp. locally finite, HCP) collection of closed sets in  $X - \bigcup_{s' < s} [\bigcup \mathcal{J}_{s'}]$ .

(2)  $\bigcup_{s' < s} [\bigcup \mathcal{J}_{s'}]$  is closed in  $X$ .

*Remark.* Note that property  $B(LF, \omega_0) \equiv$  property  $b_1$  according to Chaber [8]. It should be clear that property  $B(D, \alpha) \Rightarrow$  property  $B(LF, \alpha) \Rightarrow$  property  $B(HCP, \alpha)$  for each  $\alpha$ .

*Definition 1.7.* A collection  $\mathcal{V}$  is a "partial" refinement of a collection  $\mathcal{U}$  provided each member of  $\mathcal{V}$  is contained in some member of  $\mathcal{U}$ . (It need not be the case that  $\bigcup \mathcal{V} = \bigcup \mathcal{U}$ .)

## 2. Property B(D, $\omega_0$ ) and Weakly $\bar{\theta}$ -Refinable Spaces

In order to begin our study it is interesting to note that property B(D,  $\omega_0$ ) is stronger than the property of weak  $\bar{\theta}$ -refinability.

*Theorem 2.1.* If a space X has property B(D,  $\omega_0$ ) then X is weakly  $\bar{\theta}$ -refinable.

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be an open cover of X. Then  $\mathcal{U}$  has a refinement  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{J}_i$  satisfying (1) and (2) in Definition 1.6 above. We now construct the sequence  $\{\mathcal{G}_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  satisfying properties (i)-(iii) of Definition 1.1 above.

Now for each  $\alpha \in A$  and each  $n < \omega_0$ , choose  $U(\alpha, n) \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $F(\alpha, n) \subseteq U(\alpha, n)$  where  $F(\alpha, n) \in \mathcal{J}_n$ .

Define  $G(\alpha, n) = U(\alpha, n) - \bigcup_{\beta \neq \alpha} F(\beta, n) = \bigcup_{k < n} [U \mathcal{J}_k]$  for each  $\alpha \in A$  and  $n < \omega_0$  and let

$$\mathcal{G}_n = \{G(\alpha, n) : \alpha \in A\}.$$

It is clear that each  $\mathcal{G}_n$  is a collection of open subsets of X. Furthermore if  $x \in X$  choose  $n(x)$  to be the first integer for which  $x$  belongs to some member  $F(\alpha, n(x))$  of  $\mathcal{J}_{n(x)}$ . Then  $x$  belongs to only  $G(\alpha, n(x)) \in \mathcal{G}_{n(x)}$  and  $x$  belongs to no member of  $\mathcal{G}_k$  for  $k > n(x)$ . Therefore  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{G}_i$  satisfies properties (i)-(iii) in Definition 1.1 above so that X is weakly  $\bar{\theta}$ -refinable.

*Remark.* The author conjectures that property B(D,  $\omega_0$ ) and weakly  $\bar{\theta}$ -refinability are not equivalent. In fact, the author conjectures that there is a space X which is weakly  $\bar{\theta}$ -refinable and has property B(D,  $\omega_0+1$ ) but does not

have property  $B(D, \omega_0)$ . Such examples however appear to be somewhat complicated.

*Theorem 2.2.* Every weakly  $\bar{\theta}$ -refinable space has property  $B(D, (\omega_0)^2)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{G}_i$  be a weak  $\bar{\theta}$ -cover of  $X$  where  $\mathcal{G}_i = \{G(\alpha, i) : \alpha \in A\}$ . Let  $G_k^* = \bigcup \mathcal{G}_k$  for each  $k$  and  $\mathcal{G}^* = \{G_k^*\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ . Define for each  $i \geq 1$  and  $j \geq 1$ ,

$$P(i, j) = \{x \in X : \text{ord}(x, \mathcal{G}^*) < i \text{ or } \text{ord}(x, \mathcal{G}^*) = i \text{ and } 0 < \text{ord}(x, \mathcal{G}_k) \leq j \text{ for some } k\}$$

We show that for each  $(i, j)$  there exists a sequence of collections  $\{J_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  such that  $J_k$  is a discrete closed collection in  $X - P(i, j)$ . Since  $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} P(i, j)$  and  $P(i, j+1) = P(i, j) \cup [\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} [J_k]]$  the proof will be complete. Let  $i$  and  $j$  be fixed.

Define,  $H_i = \{x \in X : \text{ord}(x, \mathcal{G}^*) \leq i\}$ .

$$\beta_k = \{B \subseteq A_k : |B| = j + 1\}.$$

$$S_k = \{x \in X : 0 < \text{ord}(x, \mathcal{G}_k) \leq j + 1\}.$$

Now for each  $k$  and each  $B \in \beta_k$  let  $F(B, k) = [\bigcap_{\alpha \in B} G(\alpha, k)] \cap$

$$[G_k^* \cap H_i \cap S_k] \text{ and } J_k = \{F(B, k) : B \in \beta_k\}.$$

We assert that  $J_k$  is a discrete closed collection in  $X - P(i, j)$ . Let  $k$  be fixed and  $x \in X - P(i, j)$ . Then  $\text{ord}(x, \mathcal{G}^*) \geq i$ .

(1) If  $\text{ord}(x, \mathcal{G}^*) > i$ , then  $X - H_i$  is a neighborhood of  $x$  which intersects no member of  $J_k$ .

(2) Suppose  $\text{ord}(x, \mathcal{G}^*) = i$ .

*Case I.* If  $x \notin G_k^*$ , then  $x$  belongs to exactly  $i$  other members  $\{G_{\alpha_\ell}^* : \ell = 1, 2, \dots, i\}$  of  $\mathcal{G}^*$ . Hence  $\bigcap_{\ell=1}^i G_{\alpha_\ell}^*$  is a

neighborhood of  $x$  which misses  $G_k^* \cap H_i$  and hence intersects no member of  $\mathcal{J}_k$ .

*Case II.* Suppose  $x \in G_k^*$ . If  $\text{ord}(x, \mathcal{G}_k) > j + 1$  then  $x$  belongs to at least  $j + 2$  members of  $\mathcal{G}_k$ , say  $G(\alpha_\ell, k)$  for  $\ell = 1, \dots, j+2$ . But  $\bigcap_{\ell=1}^{j+2} G(\alpha_\ell, k) \cap S_k = \emptyset$ , so  $\bigcap_{\ell=1}^{j+2} G(\alpha_\ell, k)$  intersects no member of  $\mathcal{J}_k$ .

Finally if  $\text{ord}(x, \mathcal{G}_k) = j + 1$  then  $x$  belongs to exactly  $j + 1$  members of  $\mathcal{G}_k$ ,  $G(\alpha_\ell, k)$  for  $\ell = 1, 2, \dots, j+1$ . Then  $\bigcap_{\ell=1}^{j+1} G(\alpha_\ell, k)$  intersects only  $F(B, k)$  where  $B = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{j+1}\}$ .

It is easy to see that  $P(i, j+1) = P(i, j) \cup [\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} [\bigcup \mathcal{J}_k]]$  so that the proof is complete. Hence  $X$  has property  $B(D, (\omega_0)^2)$ .

*Remark.* It is important to note that in the construction above, the families  $\mathcal{J}_k$  cover all points which have finite positive order with respect to some  $\mathcal{G}_k$ .

*Lemma.* If  $\mathcal{U}$  be an open cover of a space  $X$  and  $C$  a closed subset of  $X$ . Suppose that  $\mathcal{J} = \{F_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$  is a partial refinement of  $\mathcal{U}$  such that

- (1) each member of  $\mathcal{J}$  is closed in  $X - C$  and
- (2)  $\mathcal{J}$  is locally finite on  $X - C$ .

Then there exists a sequence of open collections  $\{\mathcal{G}_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  which partially refined  $\mathcal{U}$ , such that each  $x \in [U\mathcal{J}] - C$  has finite positive order with respect to some  $\mathcal{G}_k$ . (In fact,  $\text{ord}(x, \mathcal{G}_k) = 1$  for some  $k$ .)

*Proof.* Now if  $\Gamma_n = \{B : B \subseteq A, |B| = n\}$ , define  $H(B) = \bigcap_{\beta \in B} F_\beta$ , for each  $B \in \Gamma_n$ . Note that  $H(B) \subseteq U(B)$  for some  $U(B) \in \mathcal{U}$ . Let  $\mathcal{G}_n = \{G(B) : B \in \Gamma_n\}$ , where

$G(B) = [U(B) - C] - \cup\{H(B') : B' \in \Gamma \text{ and } B' \neq B\}$ . Clearly  $\mathcal{G}_n$  is a collection of open sets for each  $n$ . Furthermore if  $x \in [U\mathcal{J}] - C$ , then  $\text{ord}(x, \mathcal{J}) = k$  for some  $k$ ; so  $x$  belongs to exactly  $F_{\alpha_1}, F_{\alpha_2}, \dots, F_{\alpha_k}$ . Therefore  $x \in G(B)$  only when  $B = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k\}$ . Hence  $\text{ord}(x, \mathcal{G}_k) = 1$ .

*Theorem 2.3.* If a space  $X$  has property  $B(LF, (\omega_0)^2)$ , then  $X$  is weakly  $\theta$ -refinable.

*Proof.* Suppose  $X$  has property  $B(LF, (\omega_0)^2)$  and  $\mathcal{U}$  is an open cover of  $X$ . Then there exists a collection of families  $\{\mathcal{J}_s : s < (\omega_0)^2\}$  such that

- (i) each member of  $\mathcal{J}_s$  is closed in  $X - \bigcup_{s' < s} [U\mathcal{J}_{s'}, ]$ ,
- (ii)  $\bigcup_{s' < s} [U\mathcal{J}_{s'}, ]$  is closed in  $X$  for each  $s$ ,
- (iii)  $\mathcal{J}_s$  is locally finite in  $X - \bigcup_{s' < s} [U\mathcal{J}_{s'}, ]$ .

By the previous lemma, there exists for each  $s$ , a sequence  $\{\mathcal{G}_i^s\}_{i=1}^\infty$  of open collections such that each point  $x \in [U\mathcal{J}_s]$  -  $\bigcup_{s' < s} [U\mathcal{J}_{s'}, ]$  has finite positive order with respect to  $\mathcal{G}_k^s$ , for some  $k$ . Without loss of generality we may assume that each  $\mathcal{G}_k^s$  is a partial refinement of  $\mathcal{U}$ . It is easy to see that  $\{\bigcup_{i < \omega_0} \bigcup_{s < (\omega_0)^2} \mathcal{G}_i^s\}$  is a weak  $\theta$ -refinement of  $\mathcal{U}$ , and hence  $X$  is weakly  $\theta$ -refinable.

*Remark.* It should be noted that Theorem 2.3 above remains true for any countable ordinal  $\beta$ . The proof is similar.

*Summary.* Property  $B(D, \omega_0) \Rightarrow$  weakly  $\bar{\theta}$ -refinable  $\Rightarrow$  property  $B(D, \omega_0)^2 \Rightarrow$  property  $B(LF, (\omega_0)^2) \Rightarrow$  weakly  $\theta$ -refinable.

### 3. Property B (HCP, $\alpha$ ) and Irreducibility

In [17] the author obtained the following result.

*Theorem 3.1. Every weak  $\bar{\theta}$ -refinable space is irreducible.*

Since property  $B(D, \omega_0) \Rightarrow$  weakly  $\bar{\theta}$ -refinable, every space with property  $B(D, \omega_0)$  is irreducible. Here we can obtain the stronger result, that every space with property  $B(\text{HCP}, \alpha)$  is irreducible.

The following lemmas are straightforward, and hence their proofs are omitted.

*Lemma 3.2. Let  $H \subseteq X$  and let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a collection of open sets in  $X$  which covers  $H$ . If  $\mathcal{U}|_H$  has a minimal open (in  $H$ ) refinement then there exists an open (in  $X$ ) collection  $\mathcal{V}$  which partially refines  $\mathcal{U}$  and covers  $H$ , such that  $\mathcal{V}$  is a minimal open cover of  $\mathcal{U}\mathcal{V}$ .*

*Lemma 3.3. Let  $X$  be a topological space and  $H = \bigcup_{s < \alpha} H_s$  where  $\bigcup_{s' < s} H_{s'}$  is a closed subset of  $X$  for each  $s < \alpha$ . Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a collection of open subsets of  $X$  which covers  $H$ . If for each  $s < \alpha$ ,  $\mathcal{W}_s$  is a collection of open subsets of  $X$  which partially refines  $\mathcal{U}$  and covers  $H_s - \bigcup_{s' < s} [\mathcal{U}\mathcal{W}_{s'}]$  minimally, then there exists a collection  $\mathcal{V}$  of open subsets of  $X$  which partially refines  $\mathcal{U}$ , covers  $H$ , and is a minimal open cover of  $\mathcal{U}\mathcal{V}$ .*

*Theorem 3.4. Let  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$  be a collection of open subsets of a space  $X$  and  $\mathcal{H} = \{H_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$  a hereditarily*

closure preserving collection such that  $H_\alpha \subseteq U_\alpha$  for each  $\alpha \in A$ . Then  $\mathcal{U}$  has an open partial refinement which covers  $\bigcup \mathcal{H}$  and is a minimal open cover of its union.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\mathcal{H} = \{H_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$  is a hereditarily closure preserving collection with  $H_\alpha \subseteq U_\alpha$  for each  $\alpha \in A$ . We assume that  $A$  is well ordered. For each  $\alpha \in A$  choose

$$x_\alpha \in H_\alpha - \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} H_\beta \text{ when } H_\alpha - \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} H_\beta \neq \emptyset,$$

and let  $A' = \{\alpha \in A : H_\alpha - \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} H_\beta \neq \emptyset\}$ . Since  $X$  is  $T_1$  and  $\mathcal{H}$  is hereditarily closure preserving  $\{x_\alpha : \alpha \in A'\}$  is a discrete closed collection in  $X$ . Define

$$W_\alpha = U_\alpha - \bigcup \{x_\beta : \beta \in A' \text{ and } \beta \neq \alpha\} \text{ for each } \alpha \in A.$$

Clearly  $\mathcal{W} = \{W_\alpha : \alpha \in A'\}$  is a minimal open cover of  $\bigcup \mathcal{H}$ .

We now can obtain the following.

*Theorem 3.5.* Every space  $X$  space with property  $B(HCP, \alpha)$  is irreducible, for any ordinal  $\alpha$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be an open cover of  $X$ . Then  $\mathcal{U}$  has a refinement  $\bigcup_{s < \alpha} \mathcal{J}_s$  satisfying properties in Definition 1.6 above. By induction we construct a sequence of  $\{\mathcal{V}_s\}_{s < \alpha}$  of open collections such that for each  $s < \alpha$ ,

- (i)  $\mathcal{V}_s$  is a partial refinement of  $\mathcal{U}$ ,
- (ii)  $\bigcup_{s' \leq s} \mathcal{V}_{s'}$  covers  $\bigcup_{s' \leq s} [\bigcup \mathcal{J}_{s'}]$
- (iii)  $\bigcup_{s' \leq s} \mathcal{V}_{s'}$  is a minimal open cover of its union.

(1) For  $s = 1$ ,  $\mathcal{J}_1$  is a hereditarily closure preserving collection of closed subsets of  $X$ . By Theorem 3.4 above there exists an open partial refinement  $\mathcal{V}_1$  of  $\mathcal{U}$  such that  $\mathcal{V}_1$  is a minimal open cover of  $\bigcup \mathcal{J}_1$ .

(2) Assume that  $\mathcal{V}_s$  has been constructed satisfying (i)-(iii) above for  $s' < s$ . Define  $\mathcal{J}_s^* = \{F - \bigcup_{s' < s} [\cup \mathcal{V}_{s'}] : F \in \mathcal{J}_s\}$  so that  $\mathcal{J}_s^*$  is a hereditarily closure preserving collection in  $X$ . By Theorem 3.4 again there exists an open partial refinement  $\mathcal{W}_s$  of  $\mathcal{U}$  such that  $\mathcal{W}_s$  covers  $\cup \mathcal{J}_s^*$  and is a minimal open cover of its union. Now define  $\mathcal{V}_s = \{W - \bigcup_{s' < s} [\cup \mathcal{J}_{s'}] : W \in \mathcal{W}_s\}$ . It is easy to check that  $\mathcal{V}_s$  satisfies properties (i)-(iii) above and the induction is complete. As in Lemma 3.3  $\bigcup_{s < \alpha} \mathcal{V}_s$  is a minimal open cover of  $X$  and refines  $\mathcal{U}$ . Hence  $X$  is irreducible.

*Corollary 3.6. Every  $\aleph_1$ -compact space with property  $B(HCP, \alpha)$  is Lindelöf, where  $\alpha$  is any countable ordinal.*

*Theorem 3.7. Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a closed continuous map. If  $X$  has property  $B(HCP, \alpha)$ , then  $Y$  has property  $B(HCP, \alpha)$  and hence is irreducible.*

*Proof.* The proof follows from the fact that closure preserving collections are preserved under closed maps.

#### 4. Applications and Shrinkability

*Definition 4.1.* An open cover  $\{G_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$  is *shrinkable* if there exists a closed cover  $\{F_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$  such that  $F_\alpha \subseteq G_\alpha$  for each  $\alpha \in A$ .

In [19] the author obtained the following result.

*Theorem 4.2. A space  $X$  is normal iff every weak  $\bar{\theta}$ -cover of  $X$  is shrinkable.*

A generalization of this result can now be proved using the notion of property above.

*Theorem 4.3.* Let  $\mathcal{G} = \{G_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$  be an open cover of a space  $X$ . If  $k$  is any countable ordinal, and  $\mathcal{G}$  has an open refinement  $\bigcup_{s < k} \mathcal{V}_s$  where  $\mathcal{V}_s = \{V(\alpha, s) : \alpha \in A\}$  satisfies,

$$(1) \overline{V(\alpha, s)} \subseteq G_\alpha \text{ for each } \alpha \in A,$$

$$(2) \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} V(\alpha, s) \text{ is a cozero set in } X \text{ for each } s,$$

then  $\mathcal{G}$  is shrinkable.

*Proof.* Define  $V_s^* = \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} V(\alpha, s)$  for each  $s < k$  so that

$\{V_s^* : s < k\}$  is a countable cozero cover of  $X$ . Then

$\{V_s^* : s < k\}$  has a locally finite open refinement

$\{W_s^* : s < k\}$  such that  $W_s^* \subseteq V_s^*$  for each  $s < k$ . Define

$H(\alpha, s) = W_s^* \cap V(\alpha, s)$  for each  $\alpha \in A$  and each  $s < k$ , and

$H_\alpha = \bigcup_{s < k} H(\alpha, s)$ . It should be clear that  $\overline{H}_\alpha \subseteq G_\alpha$  for each

$\alpha \in A$  and  $\{H_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$  covers  $X$ . Hence  $\mathcal{G}$  is shrinkable.

*Theorem 4.4.* Let  $X$  be a normal space. For any countable ordinal  $k$ , every open cover with property  $B(HCP, k)$  is shrinkable.

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{G} = \{G_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$  be an open cover of  $X$  with property  $B(HCP, k)$  where  $k$  is any countable ordinal. Then

$\mathcal{G}$  has a refinement  $\bigcup_{s < k} \mathcal{J}_s$  where,

$$(1) \mathcal{J}_s = \{F(\alpha, s) : \alpha \in A\} \text{ is HCP and closed in}$$

$$X - \bigcup_{s' < s} [\bigcup \mathcal{J}_{s'},].$$

$$(2) F(\alpha, s) \subseteq G_\alpha \text{ for each } \alpha \in A.$$

We show by transfinite induction that there exists for each  $s < k$ , an open collection  $\mathcal{V}_s = \{V(\alpha, s) : \alpha \in A\}$  satisfying

- (1)  $V(\alpha, s) \subseteq \overline{V(\alpha, s)} \subseteq G_\alpha$  for each  $\alpha \in A$ ,
- (2)  $\bigcup_{\alpha \in A} V(\alpha, s)$  is cozero in  $X$  for each  $s$ .
- (3)  $\bigcup_{s' \leq s} V_s$  covers  $\bigcup_{s' \leq s} \mathcal{J}_s$  for each  $s$ .

Assume  $V_s$ , with the above properties has been constructed for all  $s' < s$ . Define  $H(\alpha, s) = F(\alpha, s) - \bigcup_{s' < s} V_{s'}$  so that  $H(\alpha, s) = \overline{H(\alpha, s)} \subseteq G_\alpha$  for each  $\alpha \in A$ . Since  $\mathcal{H} = \{H(\alpha, s) : \alpha \in A\}$  is closure preserving and  $X$  is normal, there exists an open collection  $V_s = \{V(\alpha, s) : \alpha \in A\}$  such that  $V_s$  is a partial refinement of  $\mathcal{G}$ , and

- (1)  $H(\alpha, s) \subseteq V(\alpha, s) \subseteq \overline{V(\alpha, s)} \subseteq G_\alpha$  for each  $\alpha \in A$ ,
- (2)  $\bigcup_{\alpha \in A} V(\alpha, s)$  is a cozero set in  $X$ .

Clearly  $\bigcup_{s' \leq s} V_s$  covers  $\bigcup_{s' \leq s} \mathcal{J}_s$  and the construction is complete. By Theorem 4.3 above,  $\mathcal{G}$  is shrinkable.

*Theorem 4.5.* Suppose that  $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} H_i$  where each  $H_i = \overline{H}_i$  has property  $B(D, \omega_0)$ . Then  $X$  has property  $B(D, \omega_0)$ .

*Proof.* Suppose each  $H_i$  has property  $B(D, \omega_0)$  and  $\mathcal{U}$  is an open cover of  $X$ . Then  $\mathcal{U}/H_i$  has a refinement  $\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{J}_j^i$  such that  $\mathcal{J}_j^i$  is a discrete closed collection in  $H_i = \bigcup_{k < j} \mathcal{J}_k^i$ . Since  $\mathcal{J}_1^i$  is a discrete closed collection in  $X$  for each  $i$ , the natural diagonalization of the families  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{J}_j^i$  yields the desired collections satisfying property  $F(D, \omega_0)$ .

*Theorem 4.6.* Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a perfect map.

- (1) If  $X$  has property  $B(LF, \alpha)$ , then so does  $Y$  and hence  $Y$  is irreducible.
- (2) If  $X$  is weakly  $\bar{\theta}$ -refinable, then  $Y$  has property  $B(LF, (\omega_0)^2)$  and hence is weak  $\theta$ -refinable.

*Open Questions.*

(1) Is weak  $\bar{\theta}$ -refinability or weak  $\theta$ -refinability preserved under perfect or closed maps?

(2) Is metacompactness equivalent to weak  $\theta$ -refinable, almost expandable and orthocompactness?

(3) When are weakly  $\theta$ -refinable spaces irreducible? For example, is countably metacompactness enough?

(4) When does property  $B(D, (\omega_0)^2)$  imply weak  $\bar{\theta}$ -refinability?

(5) Is there a simple example of a space which has property  $B(D, \omega_0 + 1)$  but does not have property  $B(D, \omega_0)$ ?

The author would like to thank the referee for his comments concerning this paper.

**References**

1. R. Arens and J. Dugundji, *Remark on the concept of compactness*, Portugal. Math. 9 (1950), 141-143.
2. H. R. Bennett and D. J. Lutzer, *A note on weak  $\theta$ -refinability*, General Topology and Appl. 2 (1972), 49-54.
3. J. Boone, *On irreducible spaces*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 12 (1975), 143-148.
4. \_\_\_\_\_, *On irreducible spaces II*, Pacific J. Math. 62 (1976), 351-358.
5. D. K. Burke, *A note on R. H. Bing's example G*, (Proc. Va. Polytechnic Inst. and State Univ. Top. Conf.) Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 375, Springer-Verlag, New York and Berlin, 1973, pp. 47-52.
6. \_\_\_\_\_, R. Engelking, and D. Lutzer, *Hereditarily closure preserving collections and metrization*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 51 (1975), 483-488.
7. \_\_\_\_\_, *Preservation of certain base axioms under a perfect mapping*, Surveys in Topology, Academic Press, 1980.

8. J. Chaber, *On  $\theta$ -refinability of strict  $p$ -spaces*, (1st version) (unpublished).
9. U. Christian, *A note on the relation between Lindelöf and  $\aleph_1$ -compact spaces*, *Comment. Math. Proc.* 16 (1972), 215-217.
10. \_\_\_\_\_, *Concerning certain minimal cover refinable spaces*, *Fund. Math.* 76 (1972), 213-222.
11. P. de Caux, *A collectionwise normal, weakly  $\theta$ -refinable Dowker space which is neither irreducible nor real-compact*, *Top. Proc.* 1 (1976), 67-77.
12. H. Junnila, *On submetacompactness* (to appear).
13. Y. Katuta, *On expandability*, *Proc. Japan Acad.* 49 (1973), 452-455.
14. \_\_\_\_\_, *Expandability and its generalizations*, *Fund. Math.* 87 (1975), 231-250.
15. M. E. Rudin, *A normal space  $X$  for which  $X \times I$  is not normal*, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 77 (1971), 246.
16. J. C. Smith and L. Krajewski, *Expandability and collectionwise normality*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 160 (1971), 437-451.
17. J. C. Smith, *Properties of weak  $\bar{\theta}$ -refinable spaces*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 53 (1975), 511-517.
18. \_\_\_\_\_, *A remark on irreducible spaces*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 57 (1976), 133-139.
19. \_\_\_\_\_, *Applications of shrinkable covers*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 73 (3) (1979), 379-387.
20. \_\_\_\_\_, *On  $\theta$ -expandable spaces*, *Glasnik Mat. Ser. III* 11 (31) (1976), 335-346.
21. J. M. Worrell, Jr. and H. H. Wicke, *Characterizations of developable topological spaces*, *Canad. J. Math.* 17 (1965), 820-830.

Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University  
Blacksburg, Virginia 24060