TOPOLOGY PROCEEDINGS

Volume 9, 1984

Pages 149-163

http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/

SPACES DOMINATED BY METRIC SUBSETS

by

Yoshio Tanaka and Zhou Hao-xuan

Topology Proceedings

Web: http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/

Mail: Topology Proceedings

Department of Mathematics & Statistics Auburn University, Alabama 36849, USA

E-mail: topolog@auburn.edu

ISSN: 0146-4124

COPYRIGHT © by Topology Proceedings. All rights reserved.

SPACES DOMINATED BY METRIC SUBSETS

Yoshio Tanaka and Zhou Hao-xuan

Introduction

Let X be a space and \mathcal{F} be a closed cover of X. Then X is determined by \mathcal{F}^1 , if $A \subset X$ is closed in X whenever $A \cap F$ is relatively closed in F for each $F \in \mathcal{F}$. A space X is a k-space (resp. sequential space) if it is determined by the cover of all compact subsets (resp. compact metric subsets). Recall that X is dominated by \mathcal{F}^2 , if the union of any subcollection \mathcal{F}' of \mathcal{F} is closed in X and the union is determined by \mathcal{F}' . We remark that if X is dominated by \mathcal{F} , then it is determined by \mathcal{F} , but the converse does not hold. In case that the closed cover \mathcal{F} is increasing and countable, the converse holds. Every CW-complex, more generally every chunk-complex [2] is dominated by a cover of compact metric subsets.

Now, let X be a regular space determined by a point-countable closed cover of separable metric subsets. In [14] and [15], the first author showed respectively that X is Fréchet if and only if it is Lašnev, and that X is metric if and only if it contains no closed copy of a sequential fan S_{ω} and no Arens' space S_2 . Recall that a space X is Fréchet, if for every $A \subset X$ and every $x \in \overline{A}$ there

 $^{^1} Following$ [7], we use "X is determined by \mathcal{I} " instead of the usual "X has the weak topology with respect to (or determined by) $\mathcal{I}.$

 $^{^2{\}rm In}$ some literature, "X has the weak (hereditarily weak; or Whitehead weak) topology with respect to ${\cal J}$ " is used instead of "X is dominated by ${\cal J}$."

exists a sequence in A converging to the point x. Also, a space X is $La\check{s}nev$ if X is the closed image of a metric space. The space S_{ω} is the quotient space obtained from the topological sum of countably many convergent sequences by identifying all the limit points. As for the space S_2 , e.g., see [4; Example 1.6.19].

In this paper, we shall give some analogous results 1 spaces are dominated by metric subsets, and investigate chunk-complexes and CW-complexes as spaces dominated by compact metric subsets. In Section 1, we show that every Fréchet space dominated by metric subsets is Lašnev, and that every space dominated by metric subsets is metric if and only if it contains no closed copy of S, and no S2. The former is an affirmative answer to a question in [14; Problem 2.4], and the latter was proved in [5] for every space dominated by countably many compact metric subsets. In Section 2, we show that every Fréchet space dominated by compact metric subsets is equivalent to a Fréchet chunkcomplex, and such a complex is characterized as the closed image of a locally compact metric space 3. In Section 3, we show that every Fréchet CW-complex is especially the closed image of the topological sum of Euclidean simplexes (hence, the closed image of a locally compact metric CW-complex).

We assume that all spaces are Hausdorff and all maps are continuous and onto.

³After preparing this paper, M. Ito independently proved that every Fréchet chunk-complex is Lašnev.

1. Spaces Dominated by Metric Subsets and Lasnev Spaces

Lemma 1.1. Suppose that X is a Fréchet space dominated by a closed cover $\{X_{\alpha}: \alpha < \beta\}$. Let $Y_{\alpha} = \overline{X_{\alpha} - U_{\delta < \alpha} X_{\delta}}$, and $Y_{\alpha}^{\star} = Y_{\alpha} \times \{\alpha\}$. Let X^{\star} be the topological sum $\sum_{\alpha} Y_{\alpha}^{\star}$. Then the obvious map $f \colon X^{\star} \to X$ is closed.

Proof. Since f is clearly continuous, to prove that f is closed, it is sufficient to show that $\overline{f(F)} \subset f(\overline{F})$ for any $F \subset X^*$. Let $x \in \overline{f(F)} - f(F)$. Since X is Fréchet, there exist distinct points $x_i \in f(F)$ with $x_i \rightarrow x$. Choose a point $p_i \in f^{-1}(x_i) \cap F$ for each $i \in N$, and let $P = \{p_i; i \in N\}$. Suppose that P is not contained in any finite union of Y*'s. Let $\alpha_1 = \min\{\alpha; Y_{\alpha}^* \cap P \neq \emptyset\}$ and choose a point p $_{\textbf{i}_{1}}$ ($\textbf{Y}^{\star}_{\alpha_{1}}.$ By induction, we can choose countably many ordinals $\alpha_n = \min\{\alpha; Y_{\alpha}^* \cap \{p_i; i > i_{n-1}\} \neq \emptyset$, $\alpha \neq \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}$ and countably many points p_i $\in Y_{\alpha_n}^*$ with $i_n < i_{n+1}$. Then for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\alpha_n < \alpha_{n+1}$ and the subset Y_{α_n} of X contains $x_{i_n} = f(p_{i_n})$. Since X is Fréchet, for each n \in N there exist \mathbf{y}_{nk} \in \mathbf{X}_{α_n} - $\mathbf{U}_{\delta < \alpha_n} \mathbf{X}_{\delta}$ with \mathbf{y}_{nk} \rightarrow \mathbf{x}_{i_n} . Let $A = \{y_{nk}; n, k \in N\} - \{x\}$. Since $x \in \overline{A}$, there exists a sequence B in A converging to the point x. Let C = U{ X_{α_n} ; n \in N $\}$. Then B \subset C and B \cap X $_{\alpha}$ is finite for each n \in N. But the closed subset C of X is determined by $\{X_{\alpha_n}, n \in N\}$. Then B is closed in C, hence in X. Thus, x ∈ B, a contradiction. Hence the subset P of X* is contained in a finite union of Y_{α}^{*} 's. So, infinitely many points $p_{n_{\dot{1}}}$ are in some Y_{α}^{\star} . But $f|Y_{\alpha}^{\star}$ is a homeomorphism and $x_{n_{j}} = f(p_{n_{j}}) \in Y_{\alpha_{0}}$.

Thus, since $x_n \to x$, $p_n \to y$ for some $y \in Y_\alpha^*$ with f(y) = x. Then, since $p_n \in f^{-1}(x_n) \cap F$, $y \in \overline{F}$, so that $x \in f(\overline{F})$. Thus, $\overline{f(F)} \subset f(\overline{F})$. That completes the proof.

By Lemma 1.1, we have the following affirmative answer to the question whether every Fréchet space dominated by compact metric subsets (e.g., Fréchet CW-complex) is Lašnev; see [14; Problem 2.4].

Theorem 1.2. Every Fréchet space dominated by a closed cover of metric (resp. locally compact metric) subsets is a Lašnev space (resp. the closed image of a locally compact metric space).

Theorem 1.3. Let X be dominated by a closed cover of Lašnev subsets. Then X is Lašnev if and only if it is Fréchet.

Proof. The "only if" part follows from the easy fact that every Lašnev space is Fréchet. The subsets X_{α} of X in Lemma 1.1 are Lašnev, so are the subsets Y_{α}^{\star} of X*. Thus the "if" part is routinely verified by Lemma 1.1.

Not every countable CW-complex is decomposed into a metric subset and a σ -discrete subset; see [14; p. 284]. But, among Fréchet spaces, we have the following decomposition theorem by Theorem 1.2 and [8; Theorem 2] (resp. [11; Theorem 4]).

Theorem 1.4.* Every Fréchet space dominated by a closed cover of metric (resp. locally compact metric) subsets is

^{*}See note added in proof.

decomposed into a metric (resp. locally compact metric) subset and a σ -discrete (resp. closed discrete) subset.

In [5], the metrizability of a space dominated by countably many compact metric subsets is characterized by whether or not it contains two copies of the spaces S_{ω} and S_2 . As for the metrizability of a space dominated by metric subsets, analogously we have

Theorem 1.5. Let X be dominated by a closed cover of metric (resp. locally compact metric) subsets. Then X is metric (resp. locally compact metric) if and only if it contains no closed copy of $S_{(i)}$ and no S_2 .

Proof. The "only if" part is obvious, so we will prove the "if" part. Since X is dominated by metric subsets, by [10; Theorem 3] X is a regular space in which every point is G_{δ} . Now, X is a sequential space which contains no closed copy of S_{ω} and no S_2 . Thus, by [15; Theorem 3.1], X is strongly Fréchet; that is, if whenever $x \in \overline{A_n}$ with $A_{n+1} \subset A_n$, then there exists $x_n \in A_n$ with $x_n \to x$. Since X is Fréchet, by Theorem 1.2, X is the closed image of a metric (resp. locally compact metric) space. Then, since X is strongly Fréchet, X is metric (resp. locally compact metric) by [9; Corollary 9.10].

In concluding this section, let us consider the products of spaces dominated by metric subsets. For $c=2^\omega$, the quotient space S_c is similarly defined as the space S_ω .

Theorem 1.6. Let X be dominated by a closed cover of metric subsets. Then the following are equivalent.

- (1) X is a locally compact metric space.
- (2) $X \times S_C$ is a k-space.
- (3) $\mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{C}}$ is dominated by a closed cover of metric subsets.

Proof. (3) \rightarrow (2) is easily proved.

- (1) \rightarrow (3). Let \mathcal{F} be a locally finite cover of compact metric subsets of X, and \mathcal{C} be the obvious cover of the infinite convergent sequences in $S_{\mathbf{C}}$. Since $S_{\mathbf{C}}$ is dominated by \mathcal{C} , from [10; Theorem 1], X \times $S_{\mathbf{C}}$ is dominated by a cover \mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{C} of compact metric subsets.
- of S_{ω} or S_2 . Note that the space S_2 is the perfect preimage of S_{ω} . Thus, $P \times S_c$ is the perfect pre-image of $S_{\omega} \times S_c$. Since $P \times S_c$ is a k-space, so is $S_{\omega} \times S_c$. But, the example [3; p. 563] implicates that $S_{\omega} \times S_c$ is not a k-space. This contradiction implies that X contains no closed copy of S_{ω} and no S_2 . Then X is metric by Theorem 1.5. Thus each point of X \times S_c is S_c . Then a k-space X \times S_c is sequential by [9; Theorem 7.3]. Thus a metric space X is locally compact by [12; Theorem 1.1].

Theorem 1.7. Let X be dominated by a closed cover of metric subsets. Then (1) and (2) below hold.

- (1) Let X be a Fréchet space. Then X^2 is a k-space if and only if X is metric, or X is dominated by a countable closed cover of locally compact metric subsets.
 - (2) X^{ω} is a k-space if and only if X is metric.

Proof. (1) Since X is Lasnev by Theorem 1.2, (1)
follows from [6; Theorem 2.15].

(2) Let X^{ω} be a k-space. $(S_{\omega})^{\omega}$ is not a k-space by [12; Proposition 4.2]. While, $(S_2)^{\omega}$ is the perfect pre-image of $(S_{\omega})^{\omega}$. Then X contains no closed copy of S_{ω} and no S_2 . Hence X is metric by Theorem 1.5.

2. Chunk-Complexes and Closed Images of Locally Compact Metric Spaces

Recall that a chunk-complex [2] is a space determined by a cover # of compact metric subsets, called chunks, such that for S, T $\in \#$, either S \cap T = \emptyset or S \cap T $\in \#$, and for S $\in \#$, $\{T \in \#; T \subset S\}$ is finite. A chunk-complex is an M_1 -space [2] dominated by its chunks. Every CW-complex and every locally compact metric space is a chunk-complex. Let us call a chunk-complex locally countable, if it has a locally countable cover of chunks.

Lemma 2.1. Every space determined by a locally countable cover \mathcal{F} of compact metric subsets is a locally countable chunk-complex.

Proof. For any A \in \mathcal{J} , {F \in \mathcal{J} ; A \cap F \neq \emptyset } is countable. Then, since X is determined by \mathcal{J} , by the proof of (a) \rightarrow (c) of [13; Theorem 1], X is the topological sum of spaces $X_{\beta}(\beta \in B)$ determined by countably many compact metric subsets $X_{\beta n}$ (n \in N). We can assume that $X_{\beta n} \subset X_{\beta n+1}$ for $\beta \in B$ and $n \in N$. Then X is a locally countable chunk-complex with chunks $X_{\beta n}$.

The regular, separable, non-Lindelöf space Y of [7; Example 9.3] shows that every space determined by a point-finite cover of compact metric subsets is not Lašnev, nor a chunk-complex. But, among Fréchet spaces, we have

Theorem 2.2. Let X be a regular Fréchet space. Then the following are equivalent.

- (1) X is determined by a point-countable cover of compact metric subsets.
 - (2) X is a locally countable chunk-complex.
- (3) X is the quotient s-image of a locally compact metric space.
- (4) X is the closed s-image of a locally compact metric space.

Proof. The equivalence $(1) \leftrightarrow (3) \leftrightarrow (4)$ follows from [14; Theorem 2.2] and [1; Theorem 4]. By Lemma 1.1, we have $(2) \rightarrow (4)$.

(4) \rightarrow (2). Let f: L \rightarrow X be a closed s-map with L locally compact metric. Since L is determined by a locally finite cover $\mathcal F$ of compact metric subsets, X is determined by a locally countable cover f($\mathcal F$) of compact metric subsets. Thus by Lemma 2.1, we have (2).

Every chunk-complex is a space dominated by a cover of compact metric subsets. However, the authors do not know whether the converse holds. So, we shall pose the following question.

Question 2.3. Is every space dominated by a cover of compact metric subsets a chunk-complex?

Concerning the above question, if the cover is point-countable (equivalently, locally countable), then the answer is affirmative by Lemma 2.1. Among Fréchet spaces, the answer is also affirmative without the point-countableness, and in addition, every Fréchet chunk-complex is characterized as the closed image of a locally compact metric space. To prove this, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.4. Let X be a Fréchet space dominated by a cover $\{X_{\alpha}; \alpha < \beta\}$ of compact subsets, and let $Y_{\alpha} = \overline{X_{\alpha} - U_{\delta < \alpha} X_{\delta}}$. Then for each α , there exists a finite subset I such that $U\{Y_{\delta} \cap Y_{\alpha}; \delta \notin I\}$ is finite.

Proof. For some α_{0} , suppose that $\mathbb{U}\{Y_{\alpha} \cap Y_{\alpha}; \alpha \notin \mathbb{I}\}$ is infinite for any finite subset I. Then, by induction we can choose countable distinct points $y_{n} \in X$ and countably many ordinals α_{n} with $\alpha_{n} < \alpha_{n+1}$ such that $y_{n} \in Y_{\alpha_{n}} \cap Y_{\alpha_{0}}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $y_{n} \in Y_{\alpha_{n}}$, there exists a sequence L_{n} in $X_{\alpha_{n}} - U_{\delta < \alpha_{n}} X_{\delta}$ converging to the point y_{n} . Since the points y_{n} are contained in a compact subset $X_{\alpha_{0}}$, there exists a sequence $\{y_{n}; i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ accumulating to a point $y_{0} \in X_{\alpha_{0}}$ with $y_{n} \neq y_{0}$. Then, $y_{0} \in \overline{U\{L_{n}; i \in \mathbb{N}\}}$. Thus there exists a sequence $P = \{p_{j}; j \in \mathbb{N}\}$ converging to the point y_{0} such that $p_{j} \in L_{n_{i}(j)}$ and $p_{j} \neq y_{0}$. But, $P \cap X_{\alpha_{n}(j)}$ is finite for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$, so that P is closed in X. Hence, $y_{0} \in P$, a contradiction.

Theorem 2.5. The following are equivalent.

- (1) X is a Fréchet space dominated by a cover of compact metric subsets.
 - (2) X is a Fréchet chunk-complex.
- (3) X is the closed image of a locally compact metric space.
 - *Proof.* (2) \rightarrow (3) follows from Theorem 1.2.
- $(3) \rightarrow (1)$. The closed image X of a locally compact metric space has a hereditarily closure-preserving cover of compact metric subsets. Then X is dominated by this cover.
- $(1) \rightarrow (2). \ \, \text{To show that X is a chunk-complex, let} \\ \mathcal{J} = \{Y_{\alpha}; \ \alpha < \beta\} \text{ be a collection of the subsets } Y_{\alpha} \text{ in Lemma} \\ 2.4; \text{ here the } Y_{\alpha} \text{ are compact metric. Let } \# \text{ be the cover} \\ \text{consisting of all finite intersections of members of } \mathcal{J}. \\ \text{We will prove that } \# \text{ is a cover of chunks for X. Since X} \\ \text{is Fréchet, in view of Lemma 1.1, } \mathcal{J} \text{ is a hereditarily} \\ \text{closure-preserving cover of X. Thus X is determined by } \mathcal{J}. \\ \text{Since } \mathcal{J} \subset \#, \text{ X is determined by } \#. \text{ To show that for each} \\ \text{S} \in \#, \text{ } \{\text{T} \in \#; \text{ T} \subset \text{S}\} \text{ is finite, take any } Y_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{J} \text{ with} \\ \text{S} \subset Y_{\alpha} \text{ . By Lemma 2.4, there exists a finite subset I}_{\alpha} \\ \text{such that } F_{\alpha} = \text{U}\{Y_{\alpha} \cap Y_{\alpha}; \ \alpha \notin \text{I}_{\alpha}\} \text{ is finite. For any} \\ \text{T} \subset \text{S, let T} = \text{N}\{T_{\alpha_{1}}; \ i = 1,2,\cdots,n\}. \text{ Then all } \alpha_{1} \in \text{I}_{\alpha}, \\ \text{otherwise some } \alpha_{1} \notin \text{I}_{\alpha}. \text{ The latter case implies that} \\ \text{T} \subset Y_{\alpha} \cap Y_{\alpha} \subset F_{\alpha}, \text{ so that T is a subset of the finite} \\ \text{T} \subset Y_{\alpha} \cap Y_{\alpha} \subset F_{\alpha}, \text{ so that T is a subset of the finite} \\ \text{T} \subset Y_{\alpha} \cap Y_{\alpha} \subset F_{\alpha}, \text{ so that T is a subset of the finite} \\ \text{T} \subset Y_{\alpha} \cap Y_{\alpha} \subset F_{\alpha}, \text{ so that T is a subset of the finite} \\ \text{T} \subset Y_{\alpha} \cap Y_{\alpha} \subset F_{\alpha}, \text{ so that T is a subset of the finite} \\ \text{T} \subset Y_{\alpha} \cap Y_{\alpha} \subset F_{\alpha}, \text{ so that T is a subset of the finite} \\ \text{T} \subset Y_{\alpha} \cap Y_{\alpha} \subset F_{\alpha}, \text{ so that T is a subset of the finite} \\ \text{T} \subset Y_{\alpha} \cap Y_{\alpha} \subset Y_{\alpha}, \text{ so that T is a subset of the finite} \\ \text{T} \subset Y_{\alpha} \cap Y_{\alpha} \subset Y_{\alpha}, \text{ so that T is a subset of the finite} \\ \text{T} \subset Y_{\alpha} \cap Y_{\alpha} \subset Y_{\alpha}, \text{ so that T is a subset of the finite} \\ \text{T} \subset Y_{\alpha} \cap Y_{\alpha} \subset Y_{\alpha}, \text{ so that T is a subset of the finite} \\ \text{T} \subset Y_{\alpha} \cap Y_{\alpha} \subset Y_{\alpha}, \text{ so that T is a subset of the finite} \\ \text{T} \subset Y_{\alpha} \cap Y_{\alpha} \subset Y_{\alpha}, \text{ so that T is a subset of the finite} \\ \text{T} \subset Y_{\alpha} \cap Y_{\alpha} \subset Y_{\alpha}, \text{ so that T is a subset of the finite } \\ \text{T} \subset Y_{\alpha} \cap Y_{\alpha} \subset Y_{\alpha}, \text{ so that T is a subset of the finite } \\ \text{T}$

 $[\]begin{array}{c} ^4 A \text{ cover } \mathcal{F} = \{ F_{\alpha} \, ; \, \underline{\alpha \in A} \} \text{ of } \underline{a} \text{ space is hereditarily closure-preserving if } \overline{U\{A_{\alpha} \colon \alpha \in A\}} = \overline{U\{A_{\alpha} \colon \alpha \in A\}} \text{ for any } A_{\alpha} \subset F_{\alpha}. \end{array}$

set F_0 . Hence, $\{T \in H; T \subset S\}$ is finite, because there exist only finitely many subsets of the finite set F_0 and only finitely many subsets of the finite set F_0 .

Let $f: X \to Y$ be a closed map such that X is dominated by a closed cover \mathcal{I} . Then it is easy to show that Y is dominated by $f(\mathcal{I})$. Thus we have the following by Theorem 2.5.

Corollary 2.6. Every Fréchet space which is the closed image of a chunk-complex is a chunk-complex.

Corollary 2.7. Every Fréchet space dominated by a closed cover of chunk-complexes is a chunk-complex.

Proof. Let X be a Fréchet space dominated by a closed cover $\{X_{\alpha}; \alpha < \beta\}$ of chunk-complexes, and let $Y_{\alpha} = \overline{X_{\alpha} - U_{\delta < \alpha} X_{\delta}}$. Then by Lemma 1.1, X is the closed image of the topological sum of Y_{α} 's. But each closed subset Y_{α} of a chunk-complex X_{α} is dominated by compact metric subsets. Since Y_{α} is Fréchet, by Theorem 1.2, Y_{α} is the closed image of a locally compact metric space, hence so is X. Thus X is a chunk-complex by Theorem 2.5.

3. CW-Compleses

Let X be a complex. A subcomplex L of X is the union of a subset of the cells of X, which are the cells of L, such that, if $e \subset L$ then $\overline{e} \subset L$. Recall that X is a CW-complex if it is dominated by the cover of all finite subcomplexes, and each cell is contained in a finite subcomplex. Note that every CW-complex is determined by the

cover of all closed cells \bar{e} , but not always dominated by this cover.

Now, every CW-complex is a chunk-complex. Thus, by Theorem 2.5, every Fréchet CW-complex is the closed image of a locally compact metric space. But this conclusion can be refined by writing "CW-complex" instead of "space." To show this, we need the following lemma. The proof is essentially the same as in the proof of Lemma 2.4.

Lemma 3.1. Let X be a CW-complex with cells $\{e\}$. If X is Fréchet, then for each $e \in X$ there is a finite collection E of cells such that $U\{\overline{\sigma} \ \cap \ e; \ \sigma \in X - E\}$ is finite.

Theorem 3.2. Let X be a CW-complex. Then the following are equivalent.

- (1) X is a Fréchet space (resp. Fréchet, locally countable CW-complex 5).
- (2) X is the closed image (resp. closed s-image) of a locally compact metric CW-complex. (The domain is actually the topological sum of Euclidean simplexes.)

Proof. Since every Lašnev space is Fréchet, $(2) \rightarrow (1)$ is obvious. For the parenthetic part, since X is a locally separable space, the CW-complex X is locally countable.

To prove (1) \rightarrow (2), let X be a Fréchet CW-complex with cells {e}. Let X* be the topological sum $\sum e^*$ of e^* s. Since each e^* is the closed image of a Euclidean simplex σ ,

 $^{^5}A$ CW-complex X is locally countable, if for each x \in X there exists a countable subcomplex A of X such that x \in int A; equivalently, the cover of all closed cells of X is locally countable.

 $X^{\textstyle \star}$ is the closed image of $\big\lceil \sigma \!$. Thus it suffices to prove that the obvious map f: X* → X is closed. Let A be a closed subset of X*. Then we will prove that f(A) \cap \overline{d} is closed in X for each cell d \in X, so that f(A) is closed in X. For d ε X, let D be a finite subcomplex of X containing d. Let $A_{\underline{e}} = f(A \cap \overline{e}^*) \cap \overline{d}$ for each $e \in X$. Then, $f(A) \cap \overline{d} = U\{A_{\underline{a}}; e \in X\} = (U\{A_{\underline{a}}; e \in D\}) \cup (U\{A_{\underline{a}}; e \notin D\}).$ Since each A_{ρ} is closed in X, so is $U\{A_{\rho}; e \in D\}$. Thus it is sufficient to prove that $B = U\{A_{\alpha}; e \notin D\}$ is closed in X. By Lemma 3.1 there is a finite collection F of cells such that $C = U\{\overline{e} \cap d'; d' \in D, e \in X - F\}$ is finite. Since $B = U\{A_{\rho} \cap d'; d' \in D, e \notin D\}$, $B = (U\{A_{\rho} \cap d'; d' \in D\})$ $\texttt{d'} \in \texttt{D, e} \in \texttt{F} - \texttt{D}) \; \texttt{U} \; (\texttt{U}\{\texttt{A}_{\underline{e}} \; \texttt{\cap} \; \texttt{d'} \; ; \; \texttt{d'} \in \texttt{D, e} \in \texttt{X} - (\texttt{F} \; \texttt{U} \; \texttt{D})\}$ Since $U\{A_Q \cap d'; d' \in D\} = A_Q$ is closed in X, so is the first union. The second union is contained in a finite subset C \cap $\overline{d} = \bigcup \{ (\overline{e} \cap d') \cap \overline{d}; d' \in D, e \in X - F \}$. Thus the second union is finite, hence is closed in X. Thus B is closed in X. Hence f is a closed map. That completes the proof.

The proof of the previous theorem suggests the "only if" part of the following. The "if" part is obvious.

Corollary 3.3. Let X be a CW-complex with cells $\{e\}$. Then X is Fréchet if and only if $\{\overline{e}\}$ is a hereditarily closure-preserving cover of X.

Note Added in Proof. The authors have recently shown that every Fréchet space dominated by a closed cover of metric subsets is decomposed into a metric subset and a closed discrete subset.

References

- [1] A. V. Arhangel'skii, Some types of factor mappings and the relations between classes of topological spaces, Soviet Math. Dokl. 4 (1963), 1726-1729.
- [2] J. G. Ceder, Some generalizations of metric spaces, Pacific J. Math. 4 (1961), 105-126.
- [3] C. H. Dowker, Topology of metric complexes, Amer. J. Math. 74 (1952), 557-577.
- [4] R. Engelking, General Topology, Polish Scientific Publishers, Warszawa, 1977.
- [5] S. P. Franklin and B. V. Smith Thomas, On the metrizability of k_{ω} -spaces, Pacific J. Math. 72 (1977), 399-402.
- [6] G. Gruenhage and Y. Tanaka, Products of k-spaces and spaces of countable tightness, Transactions of Amer. Math. Soc. 273 (1982), 299-308.
- [7] G. Gruenhage, E. Michael and Y. Tanaka, Spaces determined by point-countable covers, Pacific J. Math. 113 (1984), 303-332.
- [8] N. Lašnev, Continuous decompositions and closed mappings of metric spaces, Soviet Math. Dokl. 165 (1965), 1504-1506.
- [9] E. Michael, A quintuple quotient quest, General Topology and Appl. 2 (1972), 91-138.
- [10] K. Morita, On spaces having the weak topology with respect to closed coverings, Proc. Japan Acad. 29 (1954), 537-543.
- [11] _____, On closed mappings, Proc. Japan Acad. 32 (1956), 539-543.
- [12] Y. Tanaka, Products of sequential spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 54 (1976), 371-375.
- [13] _____, Point-countable k-systems and products of k-spaces, Pacific J. Math. 101 (1982), 199-208.
- [14] _____, Closed images of locally compact spaces and Fréchet spaces, Topology Proceedings 7 (1982), 279-292.
- [15] _____, Metrizability of certain quotient spaces, Fund. Math. 119 (1983), 157-168.

[16] J. H. C. Whitehead, Combinatorial homotopy. I, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 55 (1949), 213-245.

Tokyo Gakugei University
Koganei-shi, Tokyo, Japan
and
Sichuan University
Chengdu, China (P.R.C.)