
TOPOLOGY PROCEEDINGS



Volume 9, 1984

Pages 217–225

<http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/>

A METRIC FOR METRIZABLE GO-SPACES

by

H. R. BENNETT

Topology Proceedings

Web: <http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/>

Mail: Topology Proceedings
Department of Mathematics & Statistics
Auburn University, Alabama 36849, USA

E-mail: topolog@auburn.edu

ISSN: 0146-4124

COPYRIGHT © by Topology Proceedings. All rights reserved.

A METRIC FOR METRIZABLE GO-SPACES

H. R. Bennett

Conditions which force the metrizability of GO-spaces are well known (see [Fa]). Since GO-spaces are T_3 -spaces and countable GO-spaces are first countable it follows that countable GO-spaces are metrizable. However it is not always apparent what a metric is for a given metrizable GO-space even if it is countable. For example the Sorgenfrey line [S] restricted to the set of rational numbers or, if $\alpha < \omega_1$, the LOTS $[0, \alpha]$ are both countable and, thus, metrizable but it is difficult to construct a metric for either of these spaces ([A]). In this note a metric is derived for GO-spaces.

A LOTS (= linearly ordered topological space) is a triple $(X, \lambda(\underline{\leq}), \underline{\leq})$ where $(X, \underline{\leq})$ is a linearly ordered set and $\lambda(\underline{\leq})$ is the usual open-interval topology generated by the order $\underline{\leq}$.

Recall that a subset A of X is order-convex if whenever a and b are in A , then each point lying between a and b is also in A .

A GO-space (= generalized ordered space) is a subspace of a LOTS (see [L]). There is an equivalent way to obtain a GO-space X by starting with a linearly-ordered set Y . Equip Y with a topology τ that contains $\lambda(\underline{\leq})$ and has a base of open sets each of which is order-convex. In this case X is said to be constructed on Y and $X = GO_Y(R, E, I, L)$ where

$$\begin{aligned}
 I &= \{x \in X \mid \{x\} \in \tau\}, \\
 R &= \{x \in X - I \mid [x, \rightarrow[\in \tau\}, \\
 L &= \{x \in X - I \mid]\leftarrow, x\} \in \tau\}, \text{ and} \\
 E &= X - (R \cup I \cup L).
 \end{aligned}$$

See [L] for further notation.

In deriving a metric for a metrizable GO-space it is illuminating to first derive a metric for a countable GO-space case and then derive the metric for an arbitrary metrizable GO-space.

Let Q denote the LOTS of rational numbers and let N denote the set of natural numbers. An order \leq on a set X is a dense-order if whenever $a, b \in X$ are such that $a < b$ then there is a point c in X such that $a < c < b$.

The next theorem indicates how a GO-space may be embedded in a LOTS.

Theorem 1. If $X = GO_y(R, E, I, L)$, then X is homeomorphic to a subspace of a dense-ordered LOTS $L(X)$. Furthermore the homeomorphism is order-preserving and $L(X)$ does not have any endpoints.

Proof. Let

$$\begin{aligned}
 L(X) &= \{(x, q) \mid x \in I, q \in]-1, 1[\cap Q\} \cup \\
 &\quad \{(x, q) \mid x \in R, q \in]-1, 0] \cap Q\} \cup \\
 &\quad \{(x, q) \mid x \in L, q \in [0, 1[\cap Q\} \cup \\
 &\quad \{(x, 0) \mid x \in E\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Equip $L(X)$ with the lexicographic ordering induced from the order on X and the natural order on Q . It follows that $L(X)$ is a dense-ordered LOTS without endpoints. Define a function ϕ from X into $L(X)$ by $\phi(x) = (x, 0)$. Then ϕ is an order-preserving homeomorphism from X into $L(X)$.

Corollary. A countable GO-space X is homeomorphic to a subspace of Q by an order-preserving homeomorphism.

Proof. Since X is countable, $L(X)$ is a countable, dense-ordered LOTS without endpoints. Hence $L(X)$ is homeomorphic to Q by an order-preserving homeomorphism [Fr]. Thus X is homeomorphic to a subspace of Q by an order-preserving homeomorphism.

Since each countable GO-space X can be considered a subspace of Q the usual metric on Q restricted to X is a metric on X . Unfortunately it is often difficult to use this metric since it is hard to visualize the embedding.

Let X be a countable GO-space and ϕ be an order-preserving homeomorphism from X into $L(X)$ and β be an order-preserving homeomorphism from $L(X)$ onto Q . Notice that if $x \in R$ ($x \in L$) then there is an interval J in $L(X)$ immediately preceding (succeeding) $\phi(x)$ such that no point of X maps into $\beta(J)$ and if $x \in I$ then there are intervals J_1 and J_2 in $L(X)$ such that J_1 immediately precedes $\phi(x)$ and J_2 immediately succeeds $\phi(x)$ and no point of X maps into $\beta(J_1 \cup J_2)$. By considering Q homeomorphic to $Q \cap]0,1[$ and embedding X in $Q \cap]0,1[$ it follows that the image of those intervals in $Q \cap]0,1[$ must be made arbitrarily small if $|R \cup L \cup I|$ is large.

Let \mathcal{K} be the collection of all maximal, nondegenerate, convex subsets of $X - (R \cup L \cup I)$. Then \mathcal{K} is at most countable. Let K_1, K_2, \dots be an enumeration of \mathcal{K} (without repetitions). Since each K_i is homeomorphic to a convex subset of Q it is metrizable. Let d_i be a metric for K_i

that is bounded by 1. Let x_1, x_2, \dots be a counting of $R \cup L \cup I$.

These observations motivate the derivation of a metric for a countable GO-space.

To define the function on $X \times X$ compensation functions must be defined for the points of X . The motivation for these compensation functions comes from observing how X is embedded in Q and how one would "travel" in Q from point to point. Let

$$\phi_L(x) = \begin{cases} 2^{-n} & \text{if } x = x_n \in R \cup I \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in L \cup E \end{cases}$$

and

$$\phi_R(x) = \begin{cases} 2^{-n} & \text{if } x = x_n \in L \cup I \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in R \cup E. \end{cases}$$

A metric function σ can be defined on $X \times X$. Although it is not necessary it is convenient to consider cases.

Let $a < b$.

Case 1. If $\{a, b\} \subseteq U_k$ and both lie in the same K_i let

$$\sigma(a, b) = d_i(a, b) \cdot 2^{-1}$$

and if $a \in K_i$ and $b \in K_j$ for $i \neq j$, then let

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(a, b) = & \text{Sup}\{2^{-1} \cdot d_i(a, z) \mid a < z, z \in K_i\} + \\ & \Sigma\{2^{-n} \mid K_n \subset]a, b[\} + \\ & \Sigma\{\sigma_L(x) + \phi_R(x) \mid a < x < b\} + \\ & \text{Sup}\{2^{-j} \cdot d_j(z, b) \mid z < b, z \in K_j\}. \end{aligned}$$

Case 2. If $a \in K_j$ and $b \notin U_k$ then let

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(a, b) = & \text{Sup}\{2^{-j} \cdot d_j(a, z) \mid a < z, z \in K_j\} + \\ & \Sigma\{2^{-n} \mid K_n \subset]a, b[\} + \\ & \Sigma\{\phi_L(x) + \phi_R(x) \mid a < x < b\} + \\ & \phi_L(b). \end{aligned}$$

If $a \notin UK$ and $b \in K_j$, then let

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(a,b) = & \phi_r(a) + \Sigma\{2^{-n} | K_n \subset]a,b[\} + \\ & \Sigma\{\phi_\ell(x) + \phi_r(x) | a < x < b\} + \\ & \text{Sup}\{2^{-1} \cdot d_i(z,b) | z < b, z \in K_i\}. \end{aligned}$$

Case 3. If neither a nor b is in UK , then let

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(a,b) = & \phi_r(a) + \Sigma\{2^{-n} | K_n \subset]a,b[\} + \\ & \Sigma\{\phi_\ell(x) + \phi_r(x) | a < x < b\} + \\ & \phi_\ell(b). \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore let $\sigma(a,b) = 0$ if and only if $a = b$ and let $\sigma(a,b) = \sigma(b,a)$ for a and b in X .

Theorem 1. If X is a countable GO-space, then σ is a metric on X .

Proof. Since each of the series used in defining σ is bounded by the convergent series $2 \cdot \Sigma 2^{-n}$, it follows that σ is well-defined. Since σ was constructed to be a metric function it is just a matter of cases to check that σ defines the topology. Let $S_\sigma(x, \epsilon)$ denote the sphere centered at x whose σ -radius is ϵ .

Case 1. If $x_n \in I$, then $S_\sigma(x_n, 2^{-n}) = \{x_n\}$.

Case 2. Let $x_n \in R$ and $S_\sigma(x_n, \epsilon)$ be given. Since $x_n \in R$ choose $x \in X$ such that $x_n < x$ and $x \in S_\sigma(x_n, \epsilon)$. Then $]x_n, x[\subset S_\sigma(x_n, \epsilon)$.

Let $]x_n, x[$ be given. If $\sigma(x_n, x) = \epsilon_1$, let $\epsilon = \min\{2^{-n}, \epsilon_1\}$. Then $]x_n, x[\supset S_\sigma(x_n, \epsilon)$.

Case 3. If $x_n \in L$ argue analogously to Case 2.

Case 4. If $x_n \in E$ then argue on each side of x_n using Case 2 and Case 3.

Hence σ is a metric for X .

If $R \cup L \cup I$ is dense in X then the metric σ is much less simple as the next two examples illustrate.

Example 1. Let X be the Sorgenfrey Line restricted to Q , that is, $GO_Q(Q, \phi, \phi, \phi)$. Let q_1, q_2, \dots be a counting of Q . Then, for each $k \in N$, $\phi_\ell(q_k) = 2^{-k}$ and $\phi_r(q_k) = 0$. Thus if $q_n < q_m$, it follows that

$$\sigma(q_n, q_m) = \Sigma\{2^{-k} \mid q_n < q_k \leq q_m\}.$$

Example 2. Let X be the LOTS $[1, \alpha)$ where $\alpha < \omega_1$. Then $R = E = \emptyset$. Let x_1, x_2, \dots be a counting of $[1, \alpha)$. Then if x_k is a non-limit ordinal $\phi_r(x_k) = \phi_\ell(x_k) = 2^{-k}$ and if x_k is a limit ordinal $\phi_\ell(x_k) = 0$ and $\phi_r(x_n) = 2^{-n}$. Hence, if $x_n < x_m$, then

$$\sigma(x_n, x_m) = \phi_r(x_n) + \Sigma\{\phi_r(x) + \phi_\ell(x) \mid x_n < x < x_m\} + \phi_\ell(x_m).$$

The following corollary easily follows.

Corollary. If $R \cup L \cup I$ is dense in the countable GO-space X then

$$\sigma(a, b) = \phi_r(a) + \Sigma\{\phi_r(x) + \phi_\ell(x) \mid a < x < b\} + \phi_\ell(b)$$

is a metric for X .

The countable GO-space case motivates the metrizable GO-space case by realizing the countable GO-spaces are σ -discrete.

The following theorem gives structural conditions for the metrizability of a given GO-space.

Theorem 2 [Fa]. Let X be a GO-space. The following properties are equivalent.

- (i) X is metrizable, and
- (ii) There is a dense, σ -discrete set D in X containing $R \cup L$.

It follows from this result that if X is a metrizable GO-space then each of R and L are σ -discrete in X . Since I is open in X it is an F_σ -set and, hence, a σ -discrete set.

Let $R = \cup\{R_n \mid n = 1, 2, \dots\}$, $L = \cup\{L_n \mid n = 1, 2, \dots\}$ and $I = \cup\{I_n \mid n = 1, 2, \dots\}$ where for each n , $R_n \subseteq R_{n+1}$, $L_n \subseteq L_{n+1}$ and $I_n \subseteq I_{n+1}$.

If $X = GO_Y(R, E, I, L)$ is a metrizable GO-space where Y is a metric LOTS with metric d then in order to find a metric for X compensation functions must be found (as in the countable case). This is motivated by embedding X in $L(X)$ and observing how one "travels" from point to point. If $x \leq y$, let $R(x, y) = 2^{-i}$, where i is the first natural number such that $R_i \cap]x, y] \neq \emptyset$. If no such i exists let $R(x, y) = 0$. Let $L(x, y) = 2^{-j}$ where j is the first natural number such that $L_j \cap [x, y[\neq \emptyset$. If no such j exists let $L(x, y) = 0$. If $x < y$ let $I(x, y) = 2^{-k}$ where k is the first natural number such that $I_k \cap [x, y] \neq \emptyset$. If no such k exists or if $x = y$ let $I(x, y) = 0$.

Let

$$\rho(y, x) = \rho(x, y) = d(x, y) + R(x, y) + L(x, y) + I(x, y).$$

It is a matter of checking cases to see that ρ is a metric function on X . Notice if $y_1 < y_2$ and $x < y_1$ then $\rho(x, y_1) \leq \rho(x, y_2)$.

Theorem 2. Let Y be a LOTS with metric d and $X = GO_Y(R, E, I, L)$ be a metrizable G -space. Then ρ , defined above, is a metric on X .

Proof. All that needs to be shown is that ρ preserves the topology on X . Consider the following cases:

(i) If $x \in I$ then let k be the first natural number such that $x \notin I_k$. It follows that $S_\rho(x, 2^{-k}) = \{x\}$.

(ii) If $x \in R$ and $S_\rho(x, \epsilon)$ is given, choose the first natural number n such that $3 \cdot 2^{-n} < \epsilon \cdot 2^{-2}$. Let $K_n = \cup\{R_i \cup L_i \cup I_i \mid i = 1, \dots, n\}$. Choose $y > x$ such that $d(x, y) < \epsilon \cdot 2^{-2}$ and $]x, y[\cap K_n = \emptyset$. This can be done since K_n is discrete and $x \in R$. It follows that $\rho(x, y) < \epsilon \cdot 2^{-2} + 3 \cdot \epsilon \cdot 2^{-2} = \epsilon$. Thus $]x, y[\subset S_\rho(x, \epsilon)$.

If $]x, b[$ is given let n be the first natural number such that $x \in R_n$. Let $\epsilon = \min\{d(x, b), 2^{-n}\}$. Then $S_\rho(x, \epsilon) \subseteq]x, b[$.

(iii) If $x \in L$ argue analogously to (ii).

(iv) If $x \in E$ combine (ii) and (iii).

Hence ρ preserves the topology on X and, hence, is a metric for X .

Corollary. If $R \cup L \cup I$ is dense in the metrizable GO -space X then

$$\rho(x,y) = R(x,y) + L(x,y) + I(x,y)$$

is a metric on X .

Let E denote the real line with the usual order topology.

Example 3. Let $X = GO_E(Q, E-Q, \Phi, \Phi)$ and let q_1, q_2, \dots be any counting of the rational numbers. Then

$$\rho(x,y) = R(x,y) = 2^{-j}$$

(where q_j is the first rational number in $]x,y[$) is a metric on X .

If $Y = GO_Q(Q, \Phi, \Phi, \Phi)$ (i.e., the Sorgenfrey Line) then the above ρ is a metric on Y that is simpler than the metric given in Example 1.

References

- [A] D. R. Anderson, *Metric in half-open interval topology*, Amer. Math. Monthly 77 (1970), Advanced problem 5694.
- [Fa] M. J. Faber, *Metrizability in generalized ordered spaces*, Math. Centre Tract 53.
- [Fr] M. Fréchet, *Les dimensions d'un ensemble abstrait*, Math. Ann. 68 (1910), 145-168.
- [L] D. J. Lutzer, *On generalized ordered spaces*, Dissertations Math. 89 (1971), 1-36.
- [S] R. H. Sorgenfrey, *On the topological product of paracompact spaces*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 53 (1947), 631-632.

Texas Tech University

Lubbock, Texas 79409