TOPOLOGY PROCEEDINGS

Volume 10, 1985

Pages 177-186

http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/

CLOSED IMAGES OF METRIC SPACES AND METRIZATION

by

Ken-ichi Tamano

Topology Proceedings

Web: http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/

Mail: Topology Proceedings

Department of Mathematics & Statistics Auburn University, Alabama 36849, USA

 $\textbf{E-mail:} \quad topolog@auburn.edu$

ISSN: 0146-4124

COPYRIGHT © by Topology Proceedings. All rights reserved.

CLOSED IMAGES OF METRIC SPACES AND METRIZATION

Ken-ichi Tamano

0. Introduction

A space is called a Lasnev space if it is the image of a metric space under a closed continuous mapping.

First we are concerned with products of Lašnev spaces. In spite of the recent simple and useful characterization of Lašnev spaces given by L. Foged [1], it is well known that Lašnev spaces behave quite badly with respect to product operations. Even a product of two Lašnev spaces does not preserve Fréchet property and countable tightness. An interesting general problem is to determine which spaces can be embedded in a finite or countable product of Lašnev spaces. We show that a subspace of the product of countably many Lašnev spaces is a metrizable space (resp. a Lašnev space) if and only if it is strongly Fréchet (resp. Fréchet).

Secondly we study the κ -metrizable property of Lašnev spaces. κ -metrizable spaces were introduced in 1976 by E. V. Ščepin (see [8]) as a generalization of metric spaces and locally compact topological groups. We show that a Lašnev space is metrizable if and only if it is κ -metrizable.

All spaces are assumed to be regular \mathbf{T}_1 . The letter N denotes the set of positive integers.

1. Subspaces of the Product of Countability Many Lasnev Spaces

A collection \mathcal{P} of subsets of a space X is a k-network for X if, given a compact subset C of X and any neighborhood

U of C, there is a finite subcollection Q of $\mathcal P$ so that $C \subset U \mathcal Q \subset U$.

A collection \mathcal{P} of subsets of a space X is closure-preserving if $Cl(U\{P: P \in Q\}) = U\{Cl \ P: P \in Q\}$ for any subcollection Q of \mathcal{P} . A collection \mathcal{P} of subsets of X is hereditarily closure-preserving if, whenever a subset $R(P) \subset P$ is chosen for each $P \in \mathcal{P}$, the resulting collection $\mathcal{P} = \{R(P): P \in \mathcal{P}\}$ is closure-preserving.

A space X is a Fréchet space if for every $A \subset X$ and every $x \in Cl$ A there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ of points of A converging to x. A space X is strongly Fréchet if whenever $\{A_n \colon n \in N\}$ is a decreasing sequence of sets in X and $x \in n\{Cl\ A_n \colon n \in N\}$, there exists an $x_n \in A_n$ such that the sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges to x. A space is strongly Fréchet if and only if it is countably bisequential (see [3]). Note that every first countable space is strongly Fréchet.

For the product X = $\prod_{i=1}^{\infty}$ X_i of spaces, let π_n : X \rightarrow X_n be the natural projection for each n.

L. Foged recently proved the following:

Theorem 1.1 [1]. The following are equivalent for a space X:

- (a) X is a Lašnev space;
- (b) X is a Fréchet space with a k-network $\mathcal{P}=\cup\{\mathcal{P}_n:n\in\mathbb{N}\}$ where each \mathcal{P}_n is a hereditarily closure-preserving collection;
- (c) X is a Fréchet space with a k-network $\mathcal{P}=\cup\{\mathcal{P}_n:n\in\mathbb{N}\}$ where each \mathcal{P}_n is a hereditarily closure-preserving and point finite collection;

(d) X is a Fréchet space with a k-network $\mathcal{P}=\cup\{\mathcal{P}_n:n\in\mathbb{N}\}$ where each \mathcal{P}_n is a hereditarily closure-preserving closed collection.

The following theorem due to E. Michael is a generalization of the theorem of K. Morita, S. Hanai [4] and A. Stone [9] that a Lašnev space is metrizable if and only if it is first countable.

Theorem 1.2 [3]. A Lašnev space is metrizable if and only if it is strongly Fréchet.

In this section we prove:

Theorem 1.3. Let $\{X_n\colon n\in N\}$ be a collection of Lašnev spaces. Then a subspace X of $\prod \{X_n\colon n\in N\}$ is Lašnev if and only if it is Fréchet.

Combining Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3, we have the following theorem which generalizes Theorem 1.2:

Theorem 1.4. A subspace of the product of countably many Lašnev spaces is metrizable if and only if it is strongly Fréchet.

Remark 1.5. Theorem 1.3 and Lemma 1.8 was suggested by the referee. Formerly the author proved Theorem 1.4 directly and it was an open question whether Theorem 1.3 is true or not. The author takes this opportunity to thank the referee for his many helpful comments and suggestions which much improve and simplify the results in this section.

Corollary 1.6. A subspace of the product of countably many Lasnev spaces is metrizable if and only if it is first countable.

K. Nagami [5] defined the class of free L-spaces as a generalization of Lasnev spaces. He asked: Does there exist a free L-space which cannot be embedded in the product of countably many Lasnev spaces? It is known that there exists a first countable non-metrizable free L-space [6]. It follows from the above corollary that the space is also a counterexample to his question as well as the example in [10].

We need two lemmas to prove Theorem 1.3. The following lemma is implicitly stated in [7].

Lemma 1.7. (a) Let P be a k-network for a space X, and Y a subspace of X. Then $Q = \{P \cap Y \colon P \in P\}$ is a k-network for Y.

(b) Let \mathcal{P}_i be a k-network for a space X_i for each $i \in N$. Define $Q = \{(\prod_{i=1}^n P_i) \times (\prod_{i=n+1}^\infty X_i) : n \in N, P_i \in \mathcal{P}_i \text{ for each } i = 1, 2, \cdots, n\}$. Then Q is a k-network for the product $\prod_{i=1}^\infty X_i$.

Lemma 1.8. Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be spaces, and \mathcal{P}_i a hereditarily closure-preserving and point finite collection of X_i for each $i=1,2,\dots,n$. Suppose that a subspace $X\subset \prod_{i=1}^n X_i$ is a Fréchet space. Then the collection $Q=\{X\cap (\prod_{i=1}^n P_i): P_i\in \mathcal{P}_i \text{ for each } i=1,2,\dots,n\}$ is hereditarily closure-preserving in X.

Proof. Suppose Q is not hereditarily closure-preserving in X. Then by the Fréchet property of X, there is a sequence $\{x_j\colon j\in\mathbb{N}\}$ converging to $x\in X$, with $x_j\in X\cap (\prod_{i=1}^n P_i^j)$, where the $\prod_{i=1}^n P_i^j$ are distinct members of $\prod_{i=1}^n P_i$. We may assume that for some $m\leq n$, $\{P_m^j\colon j\in\mathbb{N}\}$ are distinct members of P_m .

For every $k \in N$, the collection $\{P_m^j \colon j \geq k\}$ is hereditarily closure-preserving in X_m . So $\{\pi_m(x_j) \colon j \geq k\}$ is closed in X_m . Since $x \in Cl\ \{x_j \colon j \geq k\}$, $\pi_m(x) \in Cl\ \{\pi_m(x_j) \colon j \geq k\}$ = $\{\pi_m(x_j) \colon j \geq k\}$. Thus $\pi_m(x) = \pi_m(x_j)$ for infinitely many $j \in N$, violating the point finiteness of \mathcal{P}_m .

Remark 1.9. In general, the product of hereditarily closure-preserving collections need not be hereditarily closure-preserving. For example, let X be an arbitrary space satisfying that there are a hereditarily closure-preserving collection $\{P_n: n \in N\}$ of X and a point x with $x \in n\{P_n: n \in N\}$. Let Y = [0,1] be the unit interval. Define $P_X = \{P_n: n \in N\}$ and $P_Y = \{Y\}$. Then the product $P_X \times P_Y$ is not hereditarily closure-preserving. In fact, although $(x,1/n) \in P_n \times Y$ for each $n \in N$, the collection $\{\{(x,1/n)\}: n \in N\}$ is not closure-preserving.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. It is well known that every Lašnev space is Fréchet. So suppose that X is a Fréchet space. By Theorem 1.1, let $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{i}} = \cup \{\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{j}} \colon \mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a k-network for $\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{i}}$ so that each $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{j}}$ is hereditarily closure-preserving and point finite.

For a string $\sigma = \langle \sigma(1), \sigma(2), \cdots, \sigma(n) \rangle$ of length n in N, let $Q(\sigma) = \{X \cap [(\prod_{i=1}^{n} P_i) \times (\prod_{i=n+1}^{\infty} X_i)]: P_i \in \mathcal{P}_{i\sigma(i)} \}$.

By Lemma 1.7, $Q = \bigcup \{Q(\sigma): \sigma \text{ is a finite string in N}\}$ is a k-network for X.

On the other hand, define Y = $\prod_{i=n+1}^{\infty} X_i$ and $\mathcal{P}_{n+1} = \{Y\}$. Apply Lemma 1.8 to the spaces X_1, \dots, X_n , Y, the hereditarily closure-preserving and point finite collections $\mathcal{P}_{1\sigma(1)}, \dots, \mathcal{P}_{n\sigma(n)}, \mathcal{P}_{n+1}$, and the Fréchet subspace X $\subset (\prod_{i=1}^{n} X_i) \times Y$. Then each $\mathcal{Q}(\sigma)$ is hereditarily closure-preserving in X.

Thus X is a Fréchet space with a σ -hereditarily closure-preserving k-network. Hence, by Theorem 1.1, X is a Lašnev space. The proof is completed.

Problem 1.10. Find an internal characterization of subspaces of the product of countably many Lašnev spaces.

Note that a space with a σ -hereditarily closure-preserving k-network need not be able to be embedded in a product of countably many Lašnev spaces. For example, let p denote a free ultrafilter on N. Then $X = N \cup \{p\}$ cannot be embedded in any countable product of Lašnev spaces [10]. But since every compact subspace of X is a finite set, $\{\{x\}: x \in X\}$ is a k-network consisting of countable members.

Problem 1.11. Does a subspace of the product of countably many Lašnev spaces have a σ -hereditarily closure-preserving k-network?

2. K-Metrizability of Lasnev Spaces

A κ -metric on a completely regular space X is a non-negative real-valued function $\rho(x,C)$ of two variables, a point $x \in X$ and a regular closed set C of X, satisfying the following conditions:

- K1 (membership axiom) $\rho(x,C) = 0$ if and only if $x \in C$;
- K2 (monotonicity axiom) If $C \subset C'$, then $\rho(x,C) \ge \rho(x,C')$ for every $x \in X$;
- K3 (continuity axiom) $\rho(x,C)$ is continuous with respect to x for every C; and
- $\mbox{K4 (union axiom)} \quad \rho\left(\mbox{x,Cl}\left(\mbox{U}_{\alpha}\mbox{ C_{α}}\right)\right) \; = \; \inf_{\alpha} \; \rho\left(\mbox{x,C}_{\alpha}\right) \; \; \mbox{for}$ every increasing transfinite sequence $\{\mbox{C}_{\alpha}\}$.

A space X is $monotonically\ normal$ if to each pair (H,K) of disjoint closed subsets of X, one can assign an open set D(H,K) such that

- (i) $H \subset D(H,K) \subset Cl D(H,K) \subset X K$;
- (ii) if $H \subset H'$ and $K \supset K'$, then $D(H,K) \subset D(H',K')$. The function D is called a monotone normality operator for X. Gruenhage [2] contains a brief survey on monotonically normal spaces, stratifiable spaces and the other generalized metric spaces.

The sequential fan is the space $S = N \times N \cup \{\infty\}$, where each point of $N \times N$ is an isolated point, and the collection $\{\{(m,n): n \geq \varphi(m)\} \cup \{\infty\}: \varphi \text{ is a function from } N \text{ into } N\}$ forms a basis of neighborhoods of the point ∞ .

Lemma 2.1. The sequential fan S cannot be embedded in any monotonically normal κ -metrizable spaces.

Proof. Suppose the contrary. Let X be a monotonically normal κ -metrizable spaces and f: S \rightarrow X be an embedding. Let D and $\rho(x,C)$ be a monotone normality operator and a κ -metric for X respectively.

Define $x_{mn}=f(m,n)$, $x=f(\infty)$, and $B_{mn}=C1$ D($\{x_{mn}\}$, $\{x\}$). Then $\{B_{mn}:m,\ n\in N\}$ is a collection of regular closed

subsets of X satisfying the following:

- (a) for each m, $x \in Cl(y\{B_{mn}: n \in N\})$; and

It is easy to see (a). (b) follows from the property of the monotone normality operator D. Whenever $n \leq \phi(m)$, $D(\{x_{mn}\}, \{x\}) \subset D(Cl\{x_{mn}: m, n \in N, n \leq \phi(m)\}, \{x\}). \text{ So we have } \cup \{B_{mn}: m, n \in N, n \leq \phi(m)\} \subset Cl \ D(Cl\{x_{mn}: m, n \in N, n \leq \phi(m)\}, \{x\}) \subset X - \{x\}. \text{ Hence } x \not\in Cl(\cup \{B_{mn}: m, n \in N, n \leq \phi(m)\}). \text{ Now define } F_{mn} = \cup \{B_{mk}: k \leq n\}. \text{ For each } m \in N, \text{ by (a) and Kl, } \rho(x, Cl(\cup \{F_{mn}: n \in N\})) = \rho(x, Cl(\cup \{B_{mn}: n \in N\})) = 0. \text{ Since } \{F_{mn}: n \in N\} \text{ is an increasing sequence, by K4, there exists } n_m \text{ with } \rho(x, F_{mn_m}) < 1/m.$

Let $C_m = \bigcup_{k=1}^m F_{kn}_k$ and $C = Cl(\bigcup\{C_m : m \in N\})$. Observe that $\{C_m : m \in N\}$ is an increasing sequence and by K2, $\rho(x,C_m) \leq \rho(x,F_{mn}_m) < 1/m.$ Thus by K4, $\rho(x,C) = \inf\{\rho(x,C_m) : m \in N\} \leq 0$. But by (b), $x \notin C$ and hence by K1, $\rho(x,C) > 0$. Contradiction.

The following theorem gives a partial negative answer to Problem 6 of [8]: Is a quotient group (more generally, a quotient space) of a κ -metrizable topological group κ -metrizable?

Indeed, a non-metrizable image of a metrizable topological group under a closed continuous mapping is a quotient space of a κ -metrizable topological group and it is not κ -metrizable.

Theorem 2.2. If a Lašnev space X is κ -metrizable, then it is metrizable.

Proof. Let X be a non-metrizable Lašnev space. By Morita, Hanai and Stone's theorem ([4],[9]), the sequential fan S can be embedded in X. It is well known that every Lašnev space is stratifiable, hence monotonically normal. Using Lemma 2.1, X is not K-metrizable, which completes the proof.

Remark 2.3. In the proof of Lemma 2.1 and Theorem 2.2, axiom K3 of the κ -metric is not needed.

Problem 2.4. Is a stratifiable space metrizable if it is κ -metrizable?

Acknowledgements. The author wishes to thank Y. Tanaka and Y. Yajima for their helpful comments and suggestions. Special thanks are due to the referee of this paper.

Added in Proof. Recently the author gave a negative answer to Problem 2.4.

References

- [1] L. Foged, A characterization of closed images of metric spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 95 (1985), 487-490.
- [2] G. Gruenhage, Generalized metric spaces, Handbook of Set-Theoretic Topology, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1984.
- [3] E. Michael, A quintuple quotient quest, Topology Appl. 2 (1972), 91-138.
- [4] K. Morica and S. Hanai, Closed mappings and metric spaces, Proc. Japan Acad. 32 (1956), 10-14.
- [5] K. Nagami, Dimension of free L-spaces, Fund. Math. 108 (1980), 211-224.

[6] A. Okuyama, An example of an L-, Non-D-space, Math. Japonica 28 (1983), 139-142.

- [7] P. O'Meara, On paracompactness in function spaces with the compact-open topology, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 29 (1971), 183-189.
- [8] E. V. Ščepin, On K-metrizable spaces, Math. USSR Izvestija 14 (1980), 407-440.
- [9] A. Stone, Metrizability of decomposition spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 7 (1956), 690-700.
- [10] K. Tamano, On a local property of products of Lašnev spaces, Topology Appl. 14 (1982), 105-110.

Shizuoka University 836 Ohya, Shizuoka 422 Japan