# TOPOLOGY PROCEEDINGS

Volume 10, 1985

Pages 385-397

http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/

## ON NON-METRIC PSEUDO-ARCS

by Michel Smith

## Topology Proceedings

Web: http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/

Mail: Topology Proceedings

Department of Mathematics & Statistics Auburn University, Alabama 36849, USA

E-mail: topolog@auburn.edu

**ISSN:** 0146-4124

COPYRIGHT © by Topology Proceedings. All rights reserved.

### ON NON-METRIC PSEUDO-ARCS

#### Michel Smith

We construct an example of non-metric hereditarily indecomposable continuum that has many of the properties of the pseudo-arc. In particular, we construct a non-metric hereditarily indecomposable homogeneous hereditarily equivalent continuum.

Definitions. A continuum is defined to be a compact connected Hausdorff space. Suppose  $\lambda$  is an ordinal,  $X_{\alpha}$  is a topological space for each  $\alpha<\lambda$ , and if  $\alpha<\beta$  then  $h_{\alpha}^{\beta}$  is a mapping from  $X_{\beta}$  to  $X_{\alpha}$ . Then the space  $X=\lim_{\alpha<\beta<\lambda}\{X_{\alpha},h_{\alpha}^{\beta}\}$  denotes the space which is the inverse limit of the inverse system  $\{X_{\alpha},h_{\alpha}^{\beta}\}_{\alpha<\beta<\lambda}$ . Each point of X is a function  $P\colon \lambda\to U_{\alpha<\lambda}X_{\alpha} \text{ such that for all } \alpha<\beta<\lambda\colon P(\alpha)=P_{\alpha}\in X_{\alpha}$  and  $P_{\alpha}=h_{\alpha}^{\beta}(P_{\beta})$ . A basis for the topology is the collection to which the set U belongs if and only if there exists a  $\beta<\lambda$  and an open set  $O_{\beta}$  of  $X_{\beta}$  so that  $U=\{P\big|P_{\beta}\in O_{\beta}\}$ . Let  $\pi_{\alpha}\colon X\to X_{\alpha}$  be defined by  $\pi_{\alpha}(P)=P_{\alpha}$ .

Suppose that M is a continuum and P  $\in$  M. Then C is the composant of M at P means that C is the point set to which x belongs if and only if there is a proper subcontinuum of M containing x and P. The set C is a composant of M means that C is a composant of M at some point of M. A pseudo-arc is a nondegenerate hereditarily indecomposable metric chainable continuum. The pseudo-arc is homogeneous [Bi] and hereditarily equivalent [Ms].

We use the following results due to Wayne Lewis [L2].

Theorem A. Suppose that M is a one-dimensional continuum. Then there exists a one dimensional continuum  $\hat{M}$  and a continuous decomposition G of  $\hat{M}$  into pseudo-arcs so that the decomposition space  $\hat{M}/G$  is homeomorphic to M. Furthermore, if  $\pi\colon \hat{M}\to \hat{M}/G$  is the mapping so that  $\pi(x)$  is the element of G containing x then if  $h\colon \hat{M}/G\to \hat{M}/G$  is a homeomorphism then there exists a homeomorphism  $\hat{h}\colon \hat{M}\to \hat{M}$  so that  $\pi\circ \hat{h}=h\circ \pi.$ 

Theorem B. Under the hypothesis of theorem A if x and y are elements of the same pseudo-arc in G then there exists a homeomorphism  $\hat{h}: \hat{M} \to \hat{M}$  so that  $\hat{h}(x) = y$  and  $\pi \circ \hat{h} = \pi$ .

From the fact that the pseudo-arc of pseudo-arcs is unique [L1], we have the following:

Corollary B. Suppose that X is a pseudo-arc and G is a continuous collection of pseudo-arcs filling X, so that for each  $x \in X$ ,  $\pi(x)$  is the element of G that contains x, and Y = X/G. Then Y is a pseudo-arc and if  $h\colon Y \to Y$  is a homeomorphism then there exists a homeomorphism  $\hat{h}\colon X \to X$  so that  $\pi \circ \hat{h} = h \circ \pi$ .

Example 1. Let  $X_1$  be a pseudo-arc, let  $X_2$  be a pseudo-arc, and let  $G_2$  be a continuous decomposition of  $X_2$  into pseudo-arcs. Then  $X_2/G_2$  is a pseudo-arc and is homeomorphic to  $X_1$ . Let  $f_1^2$  be the open monotone map,  $f_1^2\colon X_2\to X_1$  so that  $G_2=\{f_1^{2-1}(x)\,|\,x\in X_1\}$ . By induction, construct  $\{X_\alpha\}_{\alpha<\omega_1}$  as follows. Suppose  $\gamma<\omega_1$  and  $X_\alpha$  and  $f_\alpha^\beta$  have been

constructed for all  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  such that if  $\alpha < \beta < \lambda$  then  $X_{\alpha}$  is a pseudo-arc and  $f_{\alpha}^{\beta} \colon X_{\beta} \to X_{\alpha}$  is an open monotone map. Suppose  $\lambda < \omega_1$  is not a limit ordinal. Then  $\lambda$  has a predecessor  $\lambda - 1$ . Then let  $X_{\lambda}$  be a pseudo arc and let  $G_{\lambda}$  be a continuous decomposition of  $X_{\lambda}$  into pseudo-arcs. Then  $X_{\lambda}/G_{\lambda}$  is homeomorphic to  $X_{\lambda-1}$  so there is an open monotone map  $f_{\lambda-1}^{\lambda} \colon X_{\lambda} \to X_{\lambda-1}$  so that  $G_{\lambda} = \{f_{\lambda-1}^{\lambda}^{-1}(x) \mid x \in X_{\lambda-1}\}$ . For  $\alpha < \lambda$ -1 let  $f_{\alpha}^{\lambda} = f_{\alpha}^{\lambda-1} \circ f_{\lambda-1}^{\lambda}$ . Suppose that  $\lambda$  is a limit ordinal. Then  $\{X_{\alpha}, f_{\alpha}^{\beta}\}_{\alpha < \beta < \lambda}$  is an inverse system. Let  $X_{\lambda} = \lim_{\alpha < \beta} \{X_{\alpha}, f_{\alpha}^{\beta}\}$ . If  $\lambda < \omega_1$  then some countable set is cofinal in  $\lambda$  so  $X_{\lambda}$  is homeomorphic to an inverse limit of pseudo-arcs and hence must be a metric chainable hereditarily indecomposable continuum. So  $X_{\lambda}$  is a pseudo-arc. If  $\alpha < \lambda$  then let  $f_{\alpha}^{\lambda} \colon X_{\lambda} \to X_{\alpha}$  denote the projection of  $X_{\lambda}$  onto the  $\alpha$ -th coordinate space  $X_{\alpha}$ .

Let M denote the space  $X_{\omega_1} = \lim_{\alpha < \beta < \omega_1} \{X_{\alpha}, f_{\alpha}^{\beta}\}.$ 

Theorem 1.1. The space M is a non-metric chainable hereditarily indecomposable continuum.

*Proof.* The chainability and hereditary indecomposability of M easily follows from the fact that each X is chainable and hereditarily indecomposable. The non-metrizability of M follows from the existence of an  $\omega_1$ -long monotonic sequence of subcontinua of M which is constructed below.

Let  $L_1 = X_1$ ,  $I_1 = X_{\omega_1}$ , and  $P_1 \in L_1$ . Let  $I_2 = \{x \in M \mid |x_1 = P_1\}$ ,  $L_2 = \{x_2 \in X_2 \mid x \in I_2\} = \pi_2(I_2)$ , and  $P_2 \in L_2$ . By

the construction of  ${\bf X}_\alpha^{},~{\bf L}_2^{}$  is nondegenerate and in fact  ${\bf L}_2^{}=~{\bf f}_1^{2^{-1}}({\bf P}_1^{})~.$  Let  $\lambda^{}<~\omega_1^{}$  .

Suppose I  $_{\alpha}\text{, }P_{\alpha}\text{, }$  and L  $_{\alpha}$  have been constructed for all  $\alpha$  <  $\lambda$  .

Case i:  $\lambda$  is not a limit ordinal and  $\lambda = \lambda' + 1$ . Then let  $\mathbf{I}_{\lambda} = \{\mathbf{x} | \mathbf{x}_{\lambda}, = \mathbf{P}_{\lambda}, \}$ ,  $\mathbf{L}_{\lambda} = \{\mathbf{x}_{\lambda} \in \mathbf{X}_{\lambda} | \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{I}_{\lambda}\} = \pi_{\lambda}(\mathbf{I}_{\lambda})$ , and  $\mathbf{P}_{\lambda} \in \mathbf{L}_{\lambda}$ .

Case ii:  $\lambda$  is a limit ordinal. Then let  $\mathbf{I}_{\lambda} = \mathbf{n}_{\alpha < \lambda} \mathbf{I}_{\alpha}$ ,  $\mathbf{L}_{\lambda} = \mathbf{\pi}_{\lambda} (\mathbf{I}_{\lambda})$ , and  $\mathbf{P}_{\lambda} \in \mathbf{L}_{\lambda}$ .

Note that if  $\alpha \neq \beta$  then  $\mathbf{I}_{\alpha} \neq \mathbf{I}_{\beta}$  and if  $\alpha < \beta$  then  $\mathbf{I}_{\beta} \subset \mathbf{I}_{\alpha}.$  So  $\{\mathbf{I}_{\lambda}\}_{\lambda \leq \omega_{1}}$  is the required monotonic collection.

Theorem 1.2. The space M is homogeneous.

Proof. Let x and y be two points of M. Since  $X_1$  is homogeneous there exists a homeomorphism  $h\colon X_1\to X_1$  so that  $h(x_1)=y_1$ . By theorem A there is a homeomorphism  $g\colon X_2\to X_2$  so that  $h\circ f_1^2=f_1^2\circ g$ . Note that  $f_1^2\circ g(x_2)=h\circ f_1^2(x_2)=h(x_1)=y_1$  and  $f_1^2(y_2)=y_1$ . So  $g(x_2)$  and  $y_2$  both belong to the same element of  $G_2$ . So by theorem B there exists a homeomorphism  $k\colon X_2\to X_2$  so that  $k\circ g(x_2)=y_2$  and  $f_1^2\circ k=f_1^2$ . Thus  $k\circ g\colon X_2\to X_2$  is a homeomorphism with  $f_1^2\circ k\circ g=f_1^2\circ g=h\circ f_1^2$  and  $k\circ g(x_2)=y_2$ . Define  $\theta_1=h$ , and  $\theta_2=k\circ g$ . Thus  $\theta_1\circ f_1^2=f_1^2\circ \theta_2$ .

Proceeding by induction, suppose that  $\lambda < \omega_1$  and  $\theta_\alpha$  has been defined for all  $\alpha < \lambda$  so that if  $\alpha < \beta < \lambda$  then  $\theta_\alpha \circ f_\alpha^\beta = f_\alpha^\beta \circ \theta_\beta.$ 

Case i:  $\lambda$  is not a limit ordinal and  $\lambda = \lambda' + 1$  for some  $\lambda'$ . Then using the same argument as above there exists

 $\begin{array}{l} \theta_{\lambda} \colon \ X_{\lambda} \to X_{\lambda} \ \text{so that} \ \theta_{\lambda} \text{, o } f_{\lambda}^{\lambda} \text{, } = f_{\lambda}^{\lambda} \text{, o } \theta_{\lambda} \ \text{and} \ \theta_{\lambda} (x_{\lambda}) = y_{\lambda}. \\ \text{If } \alpha < \lambda \text{, then } \theta_{\alpha} \text{ o } f_{\alpha}^{\lambda} = \theta_{\alpha} \text{ o } f_{\alpha}^{\lambda} \text{ o } f_{\lambda}^{\lambda} = f_{\alpha}^{\lambda} \text{ o } \theta_{\lambda} \text{ o } f_{\lambda}^{\lambda} = f_{\alpha}^{\lambda} \text{ o } \theta_{\lambda} \text{ o } f_{\lambda}^{\lambda} = f_{\alpha}^{\lambda} \text{ o } \theta_{\lambda} \text{ o } f_{\lambda}^{\lambda} = f_{\alpha}^{\lambda} \text{ o } \theta_{\lambda}. \end{array}$ 

Case ii:  $\lambda$  is a limit ordinal. Then, since  $X_{\lambda}$  is the inverse limit  $\lim_{\alpha < \beta < \lambda} \{X_{\alpha}, f_{\alpha}^{\beta}\}$ , the collection  $\{\theta_{\lambda} \colon X_{\lambda} \to X_{\lambda}\}_{\alpha < \lambda}$  induces a homeomorphism  $\theta_{\lambda} \colon X_{\lambda} \to X_{\lambda}$  so that  $\theta_{\alpha} \circ f_{\alpha}^{\lambda} = f_{\alpha}^{\lambda} \circ \theta_{\lambda}$ .

Then since  $M = X_{\omega_1}$  is the inverse limit  $\lim_{\alpha < \beta < \omega_1} \{X_{\alpha}, f_{\alpha}^{\beta}\}$ . The collection  $\{\theta_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha < \lambda}$  induces a homeomorphism  $\theta \colon X_{\omega_1} \to X_{\omega_1}$  so that  $f_{\alpha}^{\omega_1} \circ \theta = \theta_{\alpha} \circ f_{\alpha}^{\omega_1}$  and since  $\theta_{\lambda}(x_{\lambda}) = y_{\lambda}$  we also have  $\theta(x) = y$ .

Definition. The continuum X is said to be hereditarily equivalent if it is homeomorphic to each of its nondegenerate subcontinua.

Theorem 1.3. The space M is hereditarily equivalent.

Proof. Let L be a nondegenerate subcontinuum of M. Let P and Q be two points of L. Then there exists  $\lambda < \omega_1$  so that  $P_{\lambda} \neq Q_{\lambda}$ . Let  $L_{\alpha}$  denote the projection of L into the  $\alpha^{\frac{th}{c}}$  coordinate. Thus  $L_{\alpha} = \{x_{\alpha} | x \in L\} = f_{\alpha}^{\omega_1}(L)$ . First we will show that if  $\lambda < \gamma < \omega_1$  then

$$L_{\gamma} = f_{\lambda}^{\gamma-1}(L_{\lambda}).$$

Clearly,  $L_{\gamma} \subset f_{\lambda}^{\gamma-1}(L_{\lambda})$ .

For each  $x \in L_{\lambda}$  the set  $f_{\lambda}^{\gamma-1}(x)$  is a subcontinuum of  $X_{\lambda}$ . Since  $L_{\lambda}$  is nondegenerate, it follows that  $L_{\gamma}$  is not a subset of  $f_{\lambda}^{\gamma-1}(x)$ . But by hereditary indecomposability one

of  $L_{\gamma}$  and  $f_{\lambda}^{\gamma-1}(x)$  is a subset of the other. So  $f_{\lambda}^{\gamma-1}(L_{\lambda}) \subset L_{\lambda}$ . Therefore we have  $L_{\gamma} = f_{\lambda}^{\gamma-1}(L_{\lambda})$ . Notice that this argument also verifies that  $f_{\lambda}^{\gamma}|_{L_{\gamma}}: L_{\gamma} \to L_{\lambda}$  is a monotone map. Thus  $L = \lim_{\lambda < \alpha < \frac{1}{\beta} < \omega_{1}} \{L_{\alpha}, f_{\alpha}^{\beta}|_{L_{\beta}}\}.$ 

The set  $\omega_1$  is order isomorphic to the set  $\{\gamma \,|\, \lambda < \gamma < \omega_1\}$ . Let  $\psi$  be the isomorphism. Suppose  $\lambda < \omega_1$  and  $\{\theta_\alpha\}_{\alpha < \lambda}$  have been defined so that for all  $\alpha < \beta < \lambda$ 

$$\theta_{\alpha} \circ f_{\alpha}^{\beta} = f_{\psi(\alpha)}^{\psi(\beta)}\Big|_{L\psi(\beta)} \circ \theta_{\beta}.$$

If  $\lambda$  is not a limit ordinal and  $\lambda = \gamma + 1$  then using Wayne Lewis's results there exists a homeomorphism  $\theta_{\gamma+1}\colon X_{\gamma+1} \to L_{\psi(\gamma+1)}$  so that the following diagram commutes

If  $\lambda$  is a limit ordinal the maps  $\{\theta_{\gamma}\}_{\gamma<\lambda}$  induce a homeomorphism  $\theta_{\lambda}$  of  $X_{\lambda}$  onto  $X_{\psi\left(\lambda\right)}.$  Therefore for all  $\alpha<\beta<\omega_{1}$   $\theta_{\alpha}\circ f_{\alpha}^{\beta}=f_{\psi\left(\alpha\right)}^{\psi\left(\beta\right)}\Big|_{L_{\psi\left(\beta\right)}}\circ \theta_{\beta} \text{ and the maps } \{\theta_{\gamma}\}_{\gamma<\omega_{1}}$  induce a homeomorphism of M onto L.

Theorem 1.4. The continuum M is irreducible from the point x to the point y if and only if  $X_1$  is irreducible from the point  $x_1$  to the point  $y_1$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $X_1$  is not irreducible from  $x_1$  to  $y_1$ . Then there is a proper subcontinuum  $L_1$  of  $X_1$  containing  $x_1$  and  $y_1$ . Let  $L_2 = f_1^{2^{-1}}(L_1)$ ; then, since  $f_1^2$  is monotone,  $L_2$  is a subcontinuum of  $X_2$  and it must be a proper subcontinuum of  $X_2$  because  $L_1$  is proper in  $X_1$ . Let us construct a collection  $\{\mathbf{L}_{\alpha}^{}\}_{\alpha^{<}\omega_{1}^{}}$  by induction so that  $\mathbf{L}_{\alpha}^{}$  is a proper subcontinuum of  $X_{\alpha}$  containing  $x_{\alpha}$  and  $y_{\alpha}$ . Suppose that  $L_{\alpha}$ has been defined for all  $\alpha \in \lambda$ . If  $\lambda$  is not a limit ordinal then let  $L_{\lambda} = f_{\lambda-1}^{\lambda-1}(L_{\lambda-1})$ . Since  $f_{\lambda-1}^{\lambda}$  is monotone and  $L_{\lambda-1}$ is a proper subcontinuum of  $X_{\lambda-1}$  then  $L_{\lambda}$  is a proper subcontinuum of  $X_{\lambda}$ , and  $L_{\lambda}$  contains  $x_{\lambda}$  and  $y_{\lambda}$ . If  $\lambda$  is a limit ordinal then let  $L_{\lambda} = \lim_{\alpha < \beta < \lambda} \{X_{\alpha}, f_{\alpha}^{\beta} | L_{\beta} \}$ . Since  $L_{1}$  is a proper subcontinuum of  $X_1$  then  $L_{\lambda}$  is a proper subcontinuum of  $X_{\lambda}$ . Since  $x_{\alpha}$  and  $y_{\alpha}$  lie in  $L_{\alpha}$  for  $\alpha < \lambda$ , and for  $\alpha < \beta < \lambda$  $f_{\alpha}^{\beta}(x_{\beta}) = x_{\alpha} \text{ and } f_{\alpha}^{\beta}(y_{\beta}) = y_{\alpha}, \text{ then } x_{\lambda} \text{ and } y_{\lambda} \text{ lie in } L_{\lambda}.$ Therefore L =  $\lim_{\alpha < \beta < \omega_1} \{L_{\alpha}, f_{\alpha}^{\beta}|_{L_{\beta}}\}$  is a proper subcontinuum of

M. Furthermore by construction for each  $\alpha < \omega_1$  the points  $x_{\alpha}$  and  $y_{\alpha}$  both lie in  $L_{\alpha}$ . So L contains x and y hence M is not irreducible from x to y.

Suppose that M is not irreducible from the point x to the point y. Let L be a proper subcontinuum of M containing x and y. Then for some  $\lambda < \omega_1$ ,  $f_{\lambda}^{\omega_1}(L) \neq X_{\lambda}$ . Let  $L_{\lambda} = f_{\lambda}^{\omega_1}(L)$ . Then  $x_{\lambda}$  and  $y_{\lambda}$  both lie in  $L_{\lambda}$ . Since  $L_{\lambda}$  is a proper subcontinuum of  $X_{\lambda}$  there is a point  $z_{\gamma} \in X_{\lambda} - L_{\lambda}$ . Let  $z_1 = f_1^{\lambda}(z_{\lambda})$ . Then  $f_1^{\lambda-1}(z_1)$  is a subcontinuum of  $X_{\lambda}$ . But  $z_{\lambda} \in f_1^{\lambda-1}(z_1)$  and  $z_{\lambda} \notin L_{\lambda}$  also  $x_{\lambda} \in L_{\lambda}$  so  $x_1 \neq z_1$  and

hence  $\mathbf{x}_{\lambda} \not\in \mathbf{f}_{1}^{\lambda^{-1}}(\mathbf{z}_{1})$ . So by hereditary indecomposability,  $\mathbf{L}_{\lambda}$  and  $\mathbf{f}_{1}^{\lambda^{-1}}(\mathbf{z}_{1})$  are disjoint continua. Thus  $\mathbf{z}_{1} \not\in \mathbf{f}_{1}^{\lambda}(\mathbf{L}_{\lambda})$  but  $\mathbf{x}_{1}$  and  $\mathbf{y}_{1}$  are elements of  $\mathbf{f}_{1}^{\lambda}(\mathbf{L}_{\lambda})$ . Therefore,  $\mathbf{f}_{1}^{\lambda}(\mathbf{L}_{\lambda})$  is a proper subcontinuum of  $\mathbf{X}_{1}$  containing  $\mathbf{x}_{1}$  and  $\mathbf{y}_{1}$ .

The following corollary follows easily from the construction and theorem 1.4.

Corollary 1.5. The continuum M has c composants.

Example 2. In [S3] an example of a hereditarily indecomposable continuum with exactly two composants was constructed. The example was an inverse limit of pseudo-arcs indexed by  $\omega_1$  with special types of retractions as bonding maps.

We will use the following theorems from [S3].

Theorem C. Suppose that X is a pseudo-arc, X is irreducible from the point P to the point Q, Y is a pseudo-arc,  $X \subset Y$ , and Y is the union of two closed sets H and K so that X is a component of H,  $X \cap K = \{Q\}$ , and  $Bd(H) = Bd(K) = K \cap H$ . Then there is a retraction h of Y onto X so that h(K) = Q,  $h^{-1}(P) = P$ , and h(Y-X) lies in the composant of X at Q.

Suppose X is a continuum. Let us use the following notation. If  $H \subset X$ , let  $Bd_X(H)$  denote the boundary of H in X, let  $Int_X(H)$  denote the interior of H with respect to X, and let  $Cl_X(H)$  denote the closure of H in X. If  $Q \in X$ , then let Cmps (X,Q) denote the composant of X at Q.

Theorem C was used to construct the example in [S3]. The example which we will denote by N was constructed so that N =  $\lim_{\alpha < \beta < \omega_1} \{ X_{\alpha}, h_{\alpha}^{\beta} \}$  and for each  $\alpha < \omega_1$ :

- 1)  $X_{\alpha}$  is a pseudo-arc with  $X_{\alpha} \subset X_{\alpha+1}$ ,
- 2)  ${\rm X}_{_{\rm C\!\!\!/}}$  is irreducible from the point P to the point  ${\rm Q}_{_{\rm C\!\!\!/}}$  ,
- 3)  $X_{\alpha+1}$  is the union of two closed sets  $H_{\alpha+1}$  and  $K_{\alpha+1}$  so that  $X_{\alpha}$  is a component of  $H_{\alpha+1}$ ,  $X_{\alpha+1}$   $\cap$   $K_{\alpha+1} = \{Q_{\alpha}\}$ ,  $Bd_{X_{\alpha+1}}(H_{\alpha+1}) = Bd_{X_{\alpha+1}}(K_{\alpha+1}) = H_{\alpha+1} \cap K_{\alpha+1}$ ,  $Q_{\alpha+1} \in Int_{X_{\alpha+1}}(K_{\alpha+1})$ , and  $Q_{\alpha+1} \notin Cmps(X_{\alpha+1},Q_{\alpha})$ ,
- 4)  $h_{\alpha}^{\alpha+1} \colon X_{\alpha+1} \to X_{\alpha}$  is a retraction so that  $h_{\alpha}^{\alpha+1}(K_{\alpha+1}) = Q_{\alpha}$ ,  $h_{\alpha}^{\alpha+1}(P) = P$ , and  $h_{\alpha}^{\alpha+1}(X_{\alpha+1} X_{\alpha}) \subset Cmps(X_{\alpha}, Q_{\alpha})$ .

Conditions 1-4 were used to obtain the following theorem [S].

Theorem D. The continuum N =  $\lim_{\alpha < \beta < \omega_1} \{x_\alpha h_\alpha^\beta\}$  is a hereditarily indecomposable continuum with exactly two composants.

By Theorem D it follows that N is a non-metric continuum. By Theorem D and Corollary 1.5 the continua M and N are not homeomorphic. It would be of interest to determine if N is homogeneous or hereditarily equivalent. We will show that N is neither of these, and we will obtain a general theorem about non-metric hereditarily indecomposable continua.

The fact that N is not hereditarily equivalent easily follows from the following observation.

Theorem 2.1. The continuum N contains a pseudo-arc.

Proof. The proof easily follows from the construction. From condition 4  $h_{\alpha}^{\alpha+1}\colon X_{\alpha+1}\to X_{\alpha}$  is a retraction and  $h_{\alpha}^{\alpha+1}(X_{\alpha+1}-X_{\alpha})\subset \operatorname{Cmps}(X_{\alpha},Q_{\alpha})$ . So if I is a proper subcontinuum of  $X_{\alpha}$  that does not intersect  $\operatorname{Cmps}(X_{\alpha},Q_{\alpha})$  then  $f_{\alpha}^{\alpha+1}$  (I) = I. Therefore, if L is a nondegenerate subcontinuum of  $X_1$  that does not intersect  $\operatorname{Cmps}(X_1,Q_1)$ , then  $f_1^{\alpha-1}(L)=L$ . So  $\hat{L}=\lim_{\alpha<\hat{\beta}<\omega_1}\{L,f_{\alpha}^{\beta}\big|_L\}$  is a pseudo-arc since  $f_{\alpha}^{\beta}\big|_L$  is the identity on L.

Definitions. Suppose X is a space and  $x \in X$ . Then X is first countable at x means that there is a countable collection of open sets that forms a basis at x. The point x is a P-point of X means that if  $\{O_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  is a countable collection of open sets each containing x, then there exists an open set O containing x such that  $O \subset O_{i=1}^{\infty}O_i$ .

The fact that N is not homogeneous easily follows from Theorems D and 2.1 as well as from the following theorem.

Theorem 2.2. The continuum N contains both a point at which it is first countable and a P-point.

Proof. First we show that the point Q = {Q\_{\alpha}} is a P-point of N. Suppose  $\alpha < \omega_1$  and R is an open set in  $X_{\alpha}$  then let  $\tilde{R} = \{x \in N | x_{\alpha} \in R\}$ , the set  $\tilde{R}$  is open in N. Suppose  $\{O_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  is a countable sequence of open sets in N each containing Q. Then for each i there is an ordinal  $\alpha_i$  and an open set  $R_i$  in  $X_{\alpha_i}$ , so that  $Q_{\alpha_i} \in R_i$  and  $Q \in \tilde{R}_i \subset O_i$ .

Since  $\{\alpha_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  is countable there exists  $\lambda < \omega_1$  so that  $\alpha_i < \lambda$  for all positive integers i and so that  $\lambda$  is not a limit ordinal. Let U be an open set containing  $Q_{\lambda}$  so that  $\operatorname{Cl}_{X_{\lambda}}(U) \subset K_{\lambda}$ , this can be done by condition 3. Then by condition 4,  $f_{\lambda-1}^{\lambda}(\operatorname{Cl}_X U) = Q_{\lambda-1}$  and hence  $\tilde{U} \subset O_{\alpha_1}$  for all  $\alpha_i$ .

Now we prove that if  $x \in X_1$  - Cmps $(X_1,Q_1)$  then the point  $z \in N$  so that  $z_{\alpha} = x$  for all  $\alpha < \omega_{1}$  is a point of first countability of N. Let  $\{U_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  be a countable local basis of open sets of  $\mathbf{X}_1$  at  $\mathbf{x}$ . We claim that  $\{\overset{\leftarrow}{\mathbf{U}}_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is a local basis for z in N. Suppose on the other hand that  $\{ \overset{\leftarrow}{\mathbf{U}}_i \}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  is not a local basis for z. Then there is a point  $y \neq z$  so that  $y \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \dot{b}_i$ . Since  $y \neq z$ there is a first  $\lambda$  so that  $\mathbf{y}_{\lambda} \neq \mathbf{z}_{\lambda} = \mathbf{x}$ . Clearly  $\lambda$  is not a limit ordinal and  $\lambda \neq 1$  since  $\{U_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  is a local basis for  $x \in X_1$ . Therefore,  $f_{\lambda-1}^{\lambda}(y_{\lambda}) = x$ . But  $x \in X_1 \subset X_{\lambda-1} \subset X_{\lambda}$ and  $f_{\lambda-1}^{\lambda}(X_{\lambda}-X_{\lambda-1}) \subset Cmps(X_{\lambda-1},Q_{\lambda-1})$ . Also,  $x \notin Cmps(X_{\lambda-1},Q_{\lambda-1})$ .  $Q_{\lambda-1}$ ) because for  $\lambda = 2 \times \text{was chosen so that } x \notin \text{Cmps}(X_1,Q_1)$ and for  $\lambda > 2$ ,  $X_1$  is a proper subcontinuum of  $X_{\lambda-1}$  that contains P and hence cannot intersect  $Cmps(x_{\lambda-1},Q_{\lambda-1})$ . Therefore, the only point of  $X_{\lambda}$  that is mapped onto x by  $f_{\lambda-1}^{\lambda}$  is x. But this contradicts the fact that  $y_{\lambda} \neq x$ . So N is first countable at x. Similarly it can be shown that if  $\lambda < \omega_1$  and  $x \in X_{\lambda}$  - Cmps $(X_{\lambda},Q_{\lambda})$  then N is first countable at the point z so that  $z_{\alpha} = x$  for all  $\lambda < \alpha < \omega_{1}$ .

The next theorem shows that, in terms of the existence of points of first countability and P-points in hereditarily

indecomposable continua example 2 is as complicated as it can get.

Theorem 3. If X is a hereditarily indecomposable continuum then no proper subcontinuum of X can contain a P-point of X and a point at which X is first countable.

*Proof.* Suppose X is a hereditarily indecomposable continuum, x is a P-point of X, y is a point of X at which X is first countable, and L is a proper subcontinuum of X containing both x and y. Let  $\{R_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  be a countable local basis at y so that  $R_{i+1} \subset R_i$ . Let  $z \in X - L$ .

Let  $I_n$  be the component of  $X-R_n$  containing z. Then  $I_n\cap Bd_X(R_n)\neq \emptyset$ , and since  $y\not\in I_n$  by hereditary indecomposability  $I_n\cap L=\emptyset$ . Thus  $x\not\in I_n$ . Let K be the limiting set of  $I_1,I_2,\cdots$ . Since x is a P-point then  $x\not\in K$ . Since y is the sequential limit of  $\{I_n\cap Bd_X(R_n)\}_{n=1}^\infty$  and  $I_n\subset I_{n+1}$  for each n then K is a continuum that contains y. Thus  $y\in L$ ,  $y\in K$ ,  $z\in K$ ,  $z\not\in L$ ,  $x\not\in K$ , and  $x\in L$ ; but this contradicts the hereditary indecomposability of X.

The following questions arise naturally from our discussion.

 $\textit{Question 1.} \quad \text{Are there other non-metric hereditarily}$  equivalent continua?

Question 2. Are there other non-metric homogeneous chainable continua? In particular, is there an inverse limit on a larger index set of chainable continua which is homogeneous?

Question 3. How many different inverse limits of pseudo-arcs indexed by  $\boldsymbol{\omega}_1$  are there?

#### **Bibliography**

- [Be] D. P. Bellamy, Indecomposable continua with one and two composants, Fund. Math. 101 (2) (1978), 129-134.
- [Bi] R. H. Bing, A homogeneous indecomposable plane continuum, Duke Math. J. 15 (1951), 43-51.
- [L1] W. Lewis, The pseudo-arc of pseudo-arcs is unique, Houston J. Math. 10 (1984), 227-234.
- [L2] , Continuous curves of pseudo-arcs (preprint).
- [Mor] R. L. Moore, Foundations of point set theory, AMS Colloq. Pub. XIII Revised Edition, Providence, R.I. (1962).
- [Ms] E. E. Moise, An indecomposable plane continuum which is homeomorphic to each of its non-degenerate subcontinua, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 63 (1948), 581-594.
- [S1] M. Smith, Generating large indecomposable continua, Pacific J. Math. 62 (1976), 587-593.
- [S2] \_\_\_\_, Large indecomposable continua with only one composant, Pacific J. Math. 86 (2) (1980), 593-600.
- [S3] \_\_\_\_\_, A hereditarily indecomposable Hausdorff continuum with exactly two composants, Top. Proc. 9 (1984), 123-143.

Auburn University
Auburn, Alabama 36849