

---

# TOPOLOGY PROCEEDINGS



Volume 12, 1987

Pages 239–256

---

<http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/>

## HOMEOMORPHISMS OF COMPOSANTS IN KNASTER CONTINUA

by

W. DEBSKI AND E. D. TYMCHATYN

---

### Topology Proceedings

**Web:** <http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/>

**Mail:** Topology Proceedings  
Department of Mathematics & Statistics  
Auburn University, Alabama 36849, USA

**E-mail:** [topolog@auburn.edu](mailto:topolog@auburn.edu)

**ISSN:** 0146-4124

COPYRIGHT © by Topology Proceedings. All rights reserved.

## HOMEOMORPHISMS OF COMPOSANTS IN KNASTER CONTINUA

W. Debski and E. D. Tymchatyn<sup>1</sup>

### 1. Introduction

H. Cook classified the solenoids in [2]. He showed that there exists a family  $\mathcal{S}$  of solenoids such that  $\mathcal{S}$  has cardinality  $c$  and no two distinct members of  $\mathcal{S}$  are homeomorphic. Recently Debski [4] (see also Watkins [9]) gave a similar classification of the simplest Knaster indecomposable continua. However, relatively little is known about individual composants of Knaster continua and of solenoids.

Two composants  $M$  and  $L$  of an indecomposable continuum  $K$  are said to be in the *same position* if there exists a homeomorphism  $g: K \rightarrow K$  such that  $g(M) = L$ . It is obvious for example that every pair of composants of a homogeneous indecomposable continuum are in the same position. Hence, every pair of composants of the pseudo arc are in the same position.

Bellamy [1] described a homeomorphism  $h: K_{\underline{2}} \rightarrow K_{\underline{2}}$  of Knaster's dyadic indecomposable continuum which fixes exactly two composants of  $K_{\underline{2}}$ . Debski [5] showed that two composants  $L$  and  $M$  of  $K_{\underline{2}}$  are in the same position if and only if there exists an integer  $n$  such that  $h^n(L) = M$ . He obtained analogous results for the other simplest Knaster indecomposable continua. In particular, for each Knaster

---

<sup>1</sup>The authors were supported in part by NSERC Grant No. A5616.

indecomposable continuum  $K$  and each composant  $L$  of  $K$  there exist at most countably many composants of  $K$  with the same position as  $L$ .

It is our purpose in this paper to make a preliminary investigation of composants of solenoids and Knaster continua and to compile a short list of problems.

## 2. Preliminaries

All spaces considered in this paper are separable and metric. A *continuum* is a compact, connected, metric space. A *continuum* is *indecomposable* if it is not the union of two proper subcontinua. If  $p \in X$  and  $X$  is a continuum then the *composant* of  $p$  in  $X$  is the union of all proper subcontinua of  $X$  which contain  $p$ . If  $X$  is an indecomposable metric continuum the composants of  $X$  are pairwise disjoint and dense in  $X$  and  $X$  has  $c$  composants [8].

Let  $R$  be the topological group of real numbers with addition. Let  $Z$  be the subgroup of integers in  $R$ . Let  $\pi: R \rightarrow R/Z$  be the natural homomorphism of  $R$  onto the quotient group  $R/Z$ . Then  $R/Z$  is topologically isomorphic to the unit circle in the complex plane.

Let  $\underline{n} = \{n_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  be a sequence of integers greater than 1. For each  $i$  let  $R_i = R$ ,  $Z_i = Z$ ,  $R/Z_i = R/Z$ ,  $\pi = \pi_i: R_i \rightarrow R/Z_i$  and let  $n_i: R_{i+1} \rightarrow R_i$  be the homeomorphism given by  $n_i(x) = n_i x$ . We have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 z_1 & \xleftarrow{n_1} & z_2 & \xleftarrow{n_2} & \cdots & z_i & \xleftarrow{\quad} \cdots \\
 \cap & & \cap & & & \cap & \phi_i \\
 R_1 & \xleftarrow{n_1} & R_2 & \xleftarrow{n_2} & \cdots & R_i & \xleftarrow{\quad} L_{\underline{n}} \\
 \pi_1 \downarrow & & \pi_2 \downarrow & & & \pi_i \downarrow & \psi_i \downarrow \pi_\infty \downarrow \\
 R/Z_1 & \xleftarrow{n_1} & R/Z_2 & \xleftarrow{n_2} & \cdots & R/Z_i & \xleftarrow{\quad} S_{\underline{n}} \supset C_0
 \end{array}$$

Let  $L_{\underline{n}} = \varprojlim (R_i, n_i)$  and  $S_{\underline{n}} = \varprojlim (R/Z_i, n_i)$  be the inverse limits [6]. Let  $\phi_i: L_{\underline{n}} \rightarrow R_i$  and  $\psi_i: S_{\underline{n}} \rightarrow R/Z_i$  be the natural projections of the inverse limit space to the coordinate space. Since each  $n_i: R/Z_{i+1} \rightarrow R/Z_i$  is a topological group homomorphism of the compact abelian group  $R/Z_i$  we have  $S_{\underline{n}}$  is a compact abelian topological group called a solenoid. Since each  $n_i: R_{i+1} \rightarrow R_i$  is a topological group isomorphism  $L_{\underline{n}}$  is a topological group isomorphic to  $R$ . Let  $\pi_\infty = \varprojlim \pi_i: L_{\underline{n}} \rightarrow S_{\underline{n}}$  be the induced map. Then  $C_0$ , the compositant of the identity element 0 in  $S_{\underline{n}}$  is the one to one continuous image of  $L_{\underline{n}}$  under  $\pi_\infty$ . For each  $i$  let

$$Z_i^! = \pi_\infty \circ \phi_i^{-1}(z_i).$$

Note that  $Z_i^!$  is a topological group in  $C_0 \subset S_{\underline{n}}$  that is group isomorphic to  $Z$ .

To describe the topology of the topological group  $S_{\underline{n}}$  it suffices to describe a neighbourhood basis at the identity element 0 of  $S_{\underline{n}}$ .

Note that  $\psi_i^{-1}(0)$  is a Cantor set (i.e. a zero-dimensional, compact set without isolated points) and  $\psi_i^{-1}(0) \cap C_0 = Z_i^!$ . Also,  $Z_i^!$  is a countable dense set in

$\psi_i^{-1}(0)$ . Hence,  $Z_i'$  is homeomorphic to the set of rational numbers.

Since  $S_{\underline{n}}$  is an inverse limit of the simple closed curves  $R/Z_i$  a basic neighbourhood of 0 in  $S_{\underline{n}}$  is of the form  $\psi_i^{-1}(U_i)$  where  $U_i$  is an open interval which is a basic neighbourhood of  $\psi_i(0)$  in the simple closed curve  $R/Z_i$ . Let  $V_i$  be the open interval in  $R_i$  about 0 which projects by  $\pi_i$  one to one onto  $U_i$ . Then  $\psi_i^{-1}(U_i)$  is the algebraic sum of the interval  $\pi_{\infty} \circ \phi_i^{-1}(V_i)$  (without endpoints and containing 0) in  $C_0$  and the Cantor set  $\psi_i^{-1}(0)$ . Hence,  $\psi_i^{-1}(U_i) \cap C_0$  is a basic neighbourhood of 0 in  $C_0$  and is the algebraic sum of the interval  $\pi_{\infty} \circ \phi_i^{-1}(V_i)$  with  $Z_i' = \psi_i^{-1}(0) \cap C_0$ .

To describe the topology of the topological group  $Z_i'$  it suffices to describe a neighbourhood basis at the identity element 0. A basic neighbourhood of 0 in  $Z_i'$  is

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{i+j}^{-1}(U_{i+j}) \cap Z_i' &= (\pi_{\infty} \circ \phi_{i+j}^{-1}(V_{i+j}) + Z_{i+j}') \cap Z_i' \\ &= Z_{i+j}' = n_i \cdot n_{i+1} \cdot \dots \cdot n_{i+j-1} Z_i' \end{aligned}$$

for all sufficiently small basic open neighbourhoods

$U_{i+j}$  of  $\psi_{i+j}(0)$  in  $R/Z_{i+j}$  and  $V_{i+j}$  the component of 0 in  $\pi_{i+j}^{-1}(U_{i+j})$ . Now,  $Z_i'$  is a topological group which is group isomorphic to the group  $Z$  by  $\xi_i: Z \rightarrow Z_i'$ . If we give  $Z$  the topology with basic neighbourhoods of the identity having the form  $n_i \cdot n_{i+1} \cdot \dots \cdot n_{i+j} Z$  then  $\xi_i$  becomes a topological group isomorphism.

### 3. Homeomorphisms of Composants and Maps of Integers

Let  $\underline{n} = \{n_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  and  $\underline{m} = \{m_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  be two sequences of integers greater than 1. Let  $C_0$  be the component of the

identity 0 in  $S_{\underline{n}}$  and let  $\tilde{C}_0$  be the component of the identity 0 in  $S_{\underline{m}}$ . Let  $Z_i^! = C_0 \cap \Psi_i^{-1}(0)$  and  $\tilde{Z}_i = \tilde{C}_0 \cap \Psi_i^{-1}(0)$ .

We will establish a correspondence between the set of homeomorphisms of  $C_0$  onto  $\tilde{C}_0$  and the set of all one to one, order preserving, open continuous mappings of some  $Z_j^!$  to  $\tilde{Z}_i^!$  (i and j are not fixed).

Let  $h: C_0 \rightarrow \tilde{C}_0$  be a homeomorphism. Since  $\tilde{C}_0$  is homogeneous we may suppose h carries the identity 0 of  $C_0$  onto the identity of  $\tilde{C}_0$ . Since the mapping  $x \rightarrow -x$  is a homeomorphism of  $\tilde{C}_0$  we may suppose h preserves order. We show that h can be used to define a one to one, order preserving, open, continuous function  $f: Z_j^! \rightarrow \tilde{Z}_i^!$  for some i and j.

Let  $V_0 + Z_1^!$  be a small basic open neighbourhood of the identity in  $C_0$ . Since h is open there is a basic open neighbourhood  $U + \tilde{Z}_i^!$  of the identity in  $\tilde{C}_0$  such that  $U + \tilde{Z}_i^! \subset h(V_0 + Z_1^!)$ . Since h is continuous there exists a neighbourhood  $V_1 + Z_j^! \subset V_0 + Z_1^!$  of the identity in  $C_0$  such that  $h(V_1 + Z_j^!) \subset U + \tilde{Z}_i^!$ . Then

$$Z_j^! \subset V_1 + Z_j^! \xrightarrow{h} U + \tilde{Z}_i^! \xrightarrow{p} \tilde{Z}_i^!$$

where p is the second coordinate projection. Then p is open. Now,  $h' = p \circ h|_{Z_j^!}$  maps  $Z_j^!$  to  $\tilde{Z}_i^!$ . Since  $p \circ h: V_1 + Z_j^! \rightarrow \tilde{Z}_i^!$  is open and p \circ h factors through h' it follows that h' is open. Since p and h are order preserving h' is order preserving. Finally, since

$$h \circ h^{-1}|_{U + \tilde{Z}_i^!}: U + \tilde{Z}_i^! \rightarrow U + \tilde{Z}_i^!$$

is the identity and distinct components of  $V_1 + Z_j^!$  lie in distinct components of  $V_0 + Z_j^!$  it follows that h' is one to one. Hence, h defines a one to one, order preserving, continuous, open mapping  $h': Z_j^! \rightarrow \tilde{Z}_i^!$ .

We shall need the following proposition:

*Proposition 3.1.* If  $f: Z_j^! \rightarrow \tilde{Z}_i^!$  is a one to one, order preserving continuous, open function then there exists an integer  $k$  such that  $f(a+1) - f(a) \leq k$  for all  $a \in Z_j^!$ .

*Proof.* Since  $f$  is open there exists an integer  $q$  such that  $\tilde{Z}_{i+q}^! \subset f(Z_j^!)$ . Now,

$$\tilde{Z}_{i+q}^! = m_1 \cdot m_2 \cdot \dots \cdot m_{i+q-1} \tilde{Z}_1^!.$$

Let  $k = m_1 \cdot m_2 \cdot \dots \cdot m_{i+q-1}$ . Then for  $a \in Z_j^!$   $f(a+1) - f(a) \leq k$  since  $f$  is order preserving.

Now, suppose  $f: Z_j^! \rightarrow \tilde{Z}_i^!$  is a one to one, order preserving, continuous, open function. Since  $\tilde{Z}_i^!$  is homogeneous we may suppose  $f(0) = 0$ . We denote by  $1$  a generator of  $Z_j^!$ . Let  $g: C_0 \rightarrow \tilde{C}_0$  be the linear extension of  $f$ , i.e.  $g(x) = f(k) + (f(k+1) - f(k))(x - k)$  if  $k \leq x \leq k+1$  for  $k \in Z_j^!$ . Clearly,  $g$  is one to one, preserves order and carries  $C_0$  onto  $\tilde{C}_0$ . We must prove  $g$  is continuous and open.

Define  $r: Z_j^! \rightarrow \tilde{Z}_i^!$  by  $r(a) = f(a+1) - f(a)$ . By Proposition 3.1  $r(Z_j^!)$  is a finite discrete set. Since  $r$  is continuous  $r$  is a locally constant function. Also,  $g(x) = f(k) + r(k)(x - k)$  where  $k \leq x \leq k+1$ ,  $k \in Z_j^!$ .

Let  $x \in C_0 \setminus Z_j^!$ . Then  $k < x < k+1$  for some  $k \in Z_j^!$ . Let  $U$  be a basic open neighbourhood of  $g(x)$  in  $\tilde{C}_0$ . Then  $U = (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) + g(x) + \tilde{Z}_{i+q_1}^!$  for some  $\varepsilon > 0$  and some positive integer  $q_1$ . Since  $f$  is continuous and  $f(0) = 0$  there exists a positive integer  $q_2$  such that the neighbourhood  $k + Z_{j+q_2}^!$  of  $k$  in  $Z_j^!$  maps into the neighbourhood

$f(k) + \tilde{Z}'_{i+q_1}$  of  $f(k)$  in  $\tilde{C}_0$ . We may also suppose  $r$  is constant on  $k + Z'_{j+q_2}$ . Then  $V = (-\frac{\epsilon}{r(k)}, \frac{\epsilon}{r(k)}) + x + Z'_{j+q_2}$  is a neighbourhood of  $x$  in  $C_0$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} g(V) &= f(k + Z'_{j+q_2}) + [(-\frac{\epsilon}{r(k)} + x - k, \frac{\epsilon}{r(k)} + x - k)]r(k) \\ &\subset f(k) + Z'_{i+q_1} + (-\epsilon, \epsilon) + (x - k)r(k) \\ &= (-\epsilon, \epsilon) + g(x) + \tilde{Z}'_{i+q_1} \end{aligned}$$

and  $g(V)$  is open.

If  $x \in Z'_j$  let  $U = (-\epsilon, \epsilon) + f(x) + \tilde{Z}'_{i+q_1}$ ,  $U_- = (-\epsilon, 0] + f(x) + \tilde{Z}'_{i+q_1}$  and  $U_+ = [0, \epsilon) + f(x) + \tilde{Z}'_{i+q_1}$ . One can then carry through the above argument for each of  $U_-$  and  $U_+$  to get an open neighbourhood  $V$  of  $x$  such that  $g(V)$  is open and contained in  $U$ .

This completes the proof that  $g$  is both open and continuous. So  $g$  is the required homeomorphism of  $Z'_j$  into  $\tilde{Z}'_i$ .

*Theorem 3.2.* If  $h, g: C_0 \rightarrow \tilde{C}_0$  are homeomorphisms and  $h - g$  is bounded then  $h$  is homotopic to  $g$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $-a \leq h(x) - g(x) \leq a$  for all  $x \in C_0$ . Let  $H: C_0 \times I \rightarrow \tilde{C}_0$  be the linear homotopy

$$\begin{aligned} H(x, t) = H_t(x) &= (1 - t)h(x) + tg(x) = h(x) + \\ & t(g - h)(x). \end{aligned}$$

To prove  $H$  is an homotopy from  $h$  to  $g$  it clearly suffices to show that the function  $H_t$  is a continuous function for each  $t \in I$ .

Since  $g - h$  is bounded and  $t \in I$   $t(g - h)$  is bounded. Since the topology on each bounded interval of  $\tilde{C}_0$  is the usual topology it follows that  $t(g - h)$  is continuous



since  $g - h$  is continuous. It follows that  $H_t$  is continuous since it is the sum of two continuous functions.

If  $x < y$  in  $C_0$  then  $h(x) < h(y)$  and  $g(x) < g(y)$  in  $\tilde{C}_0$  since  $h$  and  $g$  are one to one and order preserving.

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} H_t(x) &= (1 - t)h(x) + tg(x) < (1 - t)h(y) \\ &\quad + tg(y) = H_t(y). \end{aligned}$$

We have proved that  $H_t$  is one to one and order preserving.

The theorem is proved.

*Proposition 3.3.* If  $h, g: C_0 \rightarrow \tilde{C}_0$  are homeomorphisms and  $H: C_0 \times I \rightarrow \tilde{C}_0$  is a homotopy from  $h$  to  $g$  then  $h - g$  is bounded.

*Proof.* The set  $H(\{0\} \times I)$  is an arc of some length  $a$ . Let  $V$  be a neighbourhood of  $H(\{0\} \times I)$  in  $\tilde{C}_0$  whose components have length less than  $2a$ . Since  $\{0\}$  is compact there exists a neighbourhood  $U$  of  $0$  in  $C_0$  such that  $H(U \times I) \subset V$ . Hence, for  $x \in U$   $h(x)$  and  $g(x)$  lie in an arc in  $V$ . So  $(h - g)|_U$  is bounded. Let  $i$  be an integer with  $Z_i^1 \subset U$ . Then  $(h - g)|_{Z_i^1}$  is bounded.

Since  $h$  is a homeomorphism  $\{h(i + 1) - h(i) \mid i \in Z_i^1\}$  is bounded by Proposition 3.1. Let  $1$  be a generator of  $Z_i^1$ . If  $z \in C_0$  then  $j \leq x < j + 1$  for some  $j \in Z_i^1$ . So  $h(j) \leq h(x) < h(j + 1)$  and  $g(j) \leq g(x) < g(j + 1)$ . Thus,  $h - g$  is bounded.

*Corollary 3.4.* If  $h, g: C_0 \rightarrow \tilde{C}_0$  are homeomorphisms and  $h$  is homotopic to  $g$  then  $h^{-1}$  is homotopic to  $g^{-1}$ .

*Proof.* We may suppose  $h$  is order preserving. By Proposition 3.1 there exists an integer  $k$  such that

$$h^{-1}(j + 1) - h^{-1}(j) \leq k \text{ for } j \in Z_1^+.$$

Let  $a \in Z_1^+$  such that

$$-a \leq h(x) - g(x) \leq a$$

for  $x \in C_0$  by Proposition 3.3.

For  $y \in \tilde{C}_0$

$$h^{-1}(h(g^{-1}(a))) - h^{-1}(g(g^{-1}(a))) = g^{-1}(a) - h^{-1}(a).$$

So  $-ak \leq g^{-1}(a) - h^{-1}(a) \leq ak$ .

*Theorem 3.5.* If  $h, g: C_0 \rightarrow \tilde{C}_0$  are homeomorphisms such that  $h - g$  is bounded then  $h$  is isotopic to  $g$ .

*Proof.* By 3.3 and 3.4 there exists a number  $a$  such that

$$-a \leq h(x) - g(x) \leq a \text{ for } x \in C_0$$

and

$$-a \leq h^{-1}(y) - g^{-1}(y) \leq a \text{ for } y \in \tilde{C}_0.$$

Let  $H: C_0 \times I \rightarrow \tilde{C}_0$  be the linear homotopy from  $h$  to  $g$  defined in 3.2. It was proved in 3.2 that each  $H_t: C_0 \rightarrow \tilde{C}_0$  is continuous, one to one and order preserving. It remains to prove that  $H_t$  is open.

Let  $x_0 \in C_0$ .

Let  $W$  be a basic open neighbourhood of  $0$  in  $\tilde{C}_0$  such that components of  $\tilde{C}_0 \setminus W$  have length greater than  $10a$ . Let  $U$  be a basic open neighbourhood of  $0$  in  $C_0$  such that components of  $C_0 \setminus U$  have length greater than  $10a$  and since  $h$  and  $g$  are continuous  $h(U + x_0) \subset W + h(x_0)$  and  $g(U + x_0) \subset W + g(x_0)$ . Since  $h$  and  $g$  are open there exists  $V \subset W$  a basic open neighbourhood of  $0$  in  $\tilde{C}_0$  such that

$V + h(x_0) \subset h(U + x_0)$  and  $V + g(x_0) \subset g(U + x_0)$ . We prove  $H_t(U + x_0) \supset V + H_t(x_0)$ .

Define homeomorphisms

$$h': C_0 \rightarrow \tilde{C}_0 \text{ and } g': C_0 \rightarrow \tilde{C}_0$$

by  $h'(x) = h(x + x_0) - h(x_0) \in W$  and  $g'(x) = g(x + x_0) - g(x_0) \in W$  for  $x \in C_0$ . Then  $-2a < h'(x) - g'(x) < 2a$  for  $x \in C_0$ . Hence, if  $x \in U$  then  $h'(x)$  and  $g'(x)$  lie in the same component of  $W$  and  $h(x + x_0)$  and  $g(x + x_0)$  lie in the same component of  $W + h(x_0)$ . Let  $y \in V$  then  $y + h(x_0) = h(x + x_0)$  and  $y + g(x_0) = g(x' + x_0)$  for some  $x, x' \in U$ . Hence,  $x + x_0$  and  $x' + x_0$  lie in the same component of  $U + x_0$ . Thus,  $x$  and  $x'$  lie in the same component of  $U$ . We may suppose  $x < x'$  and  $h(x + x_0) < g(x' + x_0)$ . Then,  $h(x_0) < g(x_0)$ . It follows that  $g(x' + x_0) < g(x + x_0)$  and  $h(x' + x_0) < h(x + x_0)$  since  $g$  and  $h$  are order preserving. Now,

$$\begin{aligned} H_t(x + x_0) &= (1 - t)h(x + x_0) + t g(x + x_0) \\ &= (1 - t)(y + h(x_0)) + t(g(x' + x_0) - t(g(x' + x_0) \\ &\quad - g(x + x_0))) \\ &= (1 - t)(y + h(x_0)) + t(y + g(x_0)) - t(g(x' + x_0) \\ &\quad - g(x + x_0)) \\ &= y + H_t(x_0) - t(g(x' + x_0) - g(x + x_0)) < y \\ &\quad + H_t(x_0). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,  $H_t(x' + x_0) > y + H_t(x_0)$ .

Since  $x$  and  $x'$  are contained in an arc in  $U$  it follows that  $H_t(x'') = y + H_t(x_0)$  for some  $x'' \in U$ . Hence,  $V + H_t(x_0) \subset H_t(U + x_0)$  and the theorem is proved.

*Theorem 3.6.* Let  $h: C_0 \rightarrow \tilde{C}_0$  be a homeomorphism,  $f: Z_j^! \rightarrow \tilde{Z}_1^!$  is a continuous, one to one, order preserving, open mapping induced by  $h$  and  $g: C_0 \rightarrow \tilde{C}_0$  is the homeomorphism of  $C_0$  onto  $\tilde{C}_0$  induced by  $f$  as in the paragraph following Proposition 3.1. Then  $h$  is isotopic to  $g$ .

*Proof.* We proved that  $h|Z_j^! - f$  is bounded. Let  $l$  be a generator of  $Z_j^!$ . If  $x \in C_0$  then  $k < x < k + l$  for some  $k \in Z_j^!$ . Now,

$$h(k) < h(x) < h(k + l) \text{ and } f(k) < g(k) < f(k + l).$$

Hence,  $h - g$  is bounded. The theorem now follows by Theorem 3.5.

A homeomorphism  $h: C_0 \rightarrow \tilde{C}_0$  is said to be *regular* if there exists a linear homeomorphism  $g: C_0 \rightarrow \tilde{C}_0$  such that  $h$  is homotopic to  $g$ .

*Remark 3.7.* If  $g, h: C_0 \rightarrow \tilde{C}_0$  are homotopic linear homeomorphisms then  $f - g$  is constant.

*Remark 3.8.* If  $g: C_0 \rightarrow \tilde{C}_0$  is a linear map then  $g$  is uniformly continuous and, hence,  $g$  extends to a linear map  $\bar{g}: S_n \rightarrow S_m$ . Similarly,  $g^{-1}$  extends to a linear map  $\bar{g}^{-1}: S_m \rightarrow S_n$ . Then  $\bar{g}^{-1} \circ \bar{g}$  is the identity on  $C_0$ . By continuity it is the identity on  $S_n$ . So  $\bar{g}$  is one to one. Hence, since  $S_n$  is compact  $\bar{g}$  is a homeomorphism.

#### 4. Lifting Homeomorphisms of Composants of $K_n$

Let  $S_n$  be a solenoid. Let  $N_n = \mathcal{M}: S_n \rightarrow K_n$  be the quotient map onto the quotient space  $K_n$  where point inverses under  $N_n$  are the pairs  $\{x, -x\}$  for  $x \in S_n$ . The decomposition of  $S_n$  into  $\{\{x, -x\}: x \in S_n\}$  is upper semi-continuous so  $K_n$

is a continuum. We call  $K_{\underline{n}}$  a *simplest Knaster indecomposable continuum*. The map  $N_{\underline{n}}$  folds the composant  $C_0$  of 0 in  $S_{\underline{n}}$  so  $N_{\underline{n}}(C_0)$  is the one to one continuous image of  $[0, \infty)$  and  $N_{\underline{n}}(0)$  is an end point of  $K_{\underline{n}}$ . If all but finitely many of the integers  $\{n_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  are odd then  $N_{\underline{n}}$  also folds the composant of the point  $(a_1, \dots, a_r, \pi, \pi, \pi, \dots) = a$  so that  $N_{\underline{n}}(a)$  is also an endpoint of  $K_{\underline{n}}$ . All other composants of  $S_{\underline{n}}$  are mapped one to one onto composants of  $K_{\underline{n}}$ .

Let  $D$  be a composant of  $K_{\underline{n}}$  which is the one to one continuous image of a line. Give  $D$  some orientation.

Let  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  be a sequence in  $D$  which converges to a point  $x \in D$ . Let  $V + Z'_k$  be a basic neighbourhood of 0 such that the closure of  $V + Z'_k + x$  does not contain an endpoint of  $K_{\underline{n}}$ . We may suppose each  $x_i \in V + Z'_k + x$ . We decompose the sequence  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  into three disjoint subsequences  $\{x_{1,j}\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ ,  $\{x_{2,j}\}_{j=2}^{\infty}$  and  $\{x_{3,j}\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ . The sequence  $\{x_{1,j}\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  is a sequence in a compact interval of  $D$ . We call such a sequence a type I sequence. The orientation of the component of  $V + Z'_k + x$  containing  $x_{2,j}$  is the same as that of the component of  $V + x$  for large  $j$ . Such a sequence is called a type II sequence. The orientation of the component of  $V + Z'_k + x$  containing  $x_{3,j}$  is opposite to that of the component of  $V + x$  for large  $j$ . Such a sequence will be called a type III sequence. Such a decomposition is called a decomposition of type (t). Any two such divisions of  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  differ in at most finitely many elements. If  $\phi$  is a homeomorphism of  $D$  onto a composant  $\tilde{D}$  of  $\tilde{K}_{\underline{m}}$  then  $\{\phi(x_{1,j})\}$ ,  $\{\phi(x_{2,j})\}$  and  $\{\phi(x_{3,j})\}$  is a decomposition of  $\{\phi(x_j)\}$  of type (+). Hence, this division is topological.

Let  $C$  be a component of  $S_n$  such that  $N_n(C) = D$ . Let  $-C$  denote the inverse component to  $C$ . Let  $\{y_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  be a sequence in  $C \cup (-C)$  which converges to  $y \in C$ . Then the sequence  $\{y_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  may be decomposed into three disjoint sequences  $\{y_{1,j}\}_{j=1}^\infty$ ,  $\{y_{2,j}\}_{j=1}^\infty$  and  $\{y_{3,j}\}_{j=1}^\infty$  such that the sequence  $\{y_{1,j}\}$  is contained in a compact interval of  $C$ . We call  $\{y_{1,j}\}$  a type I sequence. Each subsequence of the sequence  $\{y_{2,j}\}$  is an unbounded sequence of  $C$ . We call  $\{y_{2,j}\}$  a type II sequence. Each subsequence of  $\{y_{3,j}\}$  is an unbounded sequence in  $-C$ . We call  $\{y_{3,j}\}$  a type III sequence. Two such divisions of  $\{y_n\}$  differ in at most a finite number of elements.

The component  $C$  is the one to one image of a line so we can assign to it an orientation. This orientation is continuous on  $C$  since  $C$  projects by small open maps to a circle. Note that the orientation on  $C$  can be extended continuously to each component of  $S_n$ .

Next we show that  $\{N_n(y_{1,j})\}$ ,  $\{N_n(y_{2,j})\}$  and  $\{N_n(y_{3,j})\}$  is a decomposition of the sequence  $\{N_n(y_i)\}$  of the type (+). That  $\{N_n(y_{1,j})\}$  lies in a compact interval in  $D$  is clear. Clearly, also, no subsequence of  $\{N_n(y_{2,j})\}$  or  $\{N_n(y_{3,j})\}$  is contained in a bounded interval of  $D$ . That each small interval about a point  $N_n(y_{2,j})$  for large  $j$  has the same orientation in  $D$  as a small interval in  $D$  containing  $N_n(y)$  follows from the fact that intervals close to each other in  $C$  have the same orientation in  $C$  and  $N_n$  is continuous.

Note that the orientation of  $D = N_{\underline{n}}(-C) = N_{\underline{n}}(C)$  introduced from  $C$  by  $N_{\underline{n}}$  is opposite to the orientation introduced from  $-C$  by  $N_{\underline{n}}$ . It follows that for large  $j$  an interval in  $D$  containing  $N_{\underline{n}}(y_{3,j})$  has opposite orientation to that of an interval in  $D$  containing  $N_{\underline{n}}(y)$ . Hence,  $\{N_{\underline{n}}(y_{3,j})\}$  is a type III sequence.

*Theorem 4.1.* Suppose  $K_{\underline{n}}$  and  $K_{\underline{m}}$  are simplest Knaster indecomposable continua and  $h: D \rightarrow \tilde{D}$  is a homeomorphism of a component  $D$  of  $K_{\underline{n}}$  without an endpoint onto a component  $\tilde{D}$  of  $K_{\underline{m}}$ . Let  $C$  and  $-C$  be the components of  $S_{\underline{n}}$  which project by  $N_{\underline{n}}$  onto  $D$  and let  $\tilde{C}$  and  $-\tilde{C}$  be the components of  $S_{\underline{m}}$  which project by  $N_{\underline{m}}$  onto  $\tilde{D}$ . Then  $h$  can be lifted uniquely to a homeomorphism  $\bar{h}: C \cup (-C) \rightarrow \tilde{C} \cup (-\tilde{C})$  such that  $\bar{h}(C) = \tilde{C}$ .

*Proof.* The existence of a unique one to one function  $\bar{h}: C \cup (-C) \rightarrow \tilde{C} \cup (-\tilde{C})$  such that  $\bar{h}(C) = \tilde{C}$  and  $N_{\underline{m}} \circ \bar{h} = h \circ N_{\underline{n}}$  is clear. Note that  $\bar{h}(-x) = -\bar{h}(x)$  for  $x \in C \cup (-C)$ .

We must prove that  $\bar{h}$  is a homeomorphism. It suffices to prove  $\bar{h}$  is continuous.

Let  $y \in C \cup (-C)$  and let  $\{y_i\}$  be a sequence in  $C \cup (-C)$  which converges to  $x$ . Without loss of generality  $y \in C$ . Note that the sequence  $\{\bar{h}(y_i)\}$  has at most two limit points in  $S_{\underline{m}}$  namely  $\bar{h}(y)$  and  $\bar{h}(-y)$  since  $\{N_{\underline{m}} \circ \bar{h}(y_i)\}$  converges to  $h \circ N_{\underline{n}}(y)$  by commutativity. We shall prove  $\lim \bar{h}(y_i) = \bar{h}(y)$ .

Let  $\{y_{1,j}\}$ ,  $\{y_{2,j}\}$  and  $\{y_{3,j}\}$  be a decomposition of the sequence  $\{y_i\}$  into type I, type II and type III sequences respectively. Then  $\{\bar{h}(y_{1,j})\}$  converges to  $\bar{h}(y)$  since  $\bar{h}$  carries a bounded sequence in  $C$  to a bounded sequence in  $\tilde{C}$ .

The type II sequence  $\{y_{2,j}\}$  goes to the sequence  $\{h \circ N_{\underline{n}}(y_{2,j})\}$  which is a type II sequence in  $\tilde{D}$  since both  $N_{\underline{n}}$  and  $h$  preserve type II sequences. If  $\{\bar{h}(y_{2,j})\}$  were to converge to  $\bar{h}(-y) \in -\tilde{C}$  then it would be a type III sequence converging to  $\bar{h}(-y)$ . But  $N_{\underline{m}}$  preserves type III sequences. Hence,  $\{\bar{h}(y_{2,j})\}$  converges to  $\bar{h}(y)$ . Similarly,  $\{\bar{h}(y_{3,j})\}$  converges to  $\bar{h}(y)$ . The theorem is proved.

**5. Regular Homeomorphisms of Composants of Knaster Continua**

Let  $K_{\underline{n}}$  and  $K_{\underline{m}}$  be simplest Knaster indecomposable continua. Let  $D \subset K_{\underline{n}}$  and  $\tilde{D} \subset K_{\underline{m}}$  be composants without endpoints. Let  $h: D \rightarrow \tilde{D}$  be a homeomorphism. In section 4 we proved that  $h$  lifts to a homeomorphism

$$\bar{h}: C \cup (-C) \rightarrow \tilde{C} \cup (-\tilde{C})$$

where  $C$  is a component of  $S_{\underline{n}}$  and  $\tilde{C}$  is a component of  $S_{\underline{m}}$  and  $\bar{h}(c) = \tilde{c}$ .

Let  $C_0$  be the component of the identity 0 in  $S_{\underline{n}}$  and let  $\tilde{C}_0$  be the component of the identity in  $S_{\underline{m}}$ . Let  $a \in C$ . For  $x \in C_0$  define

$$g(x) = \bar{h}(x + a) - \bar{h}(a).$$

Then  $g(0) = 0$ . Clearly,  $g: C_0 \rightarrow \tilde{C}_0$  is a homeomorphism. We say  $h: D \rightarrow \tilde{D}$  is *regular* if  $g: C_0 \rightarrow \tilde{C}_0$  is regular. Notice that this definition is independent of the choice of  $a$  and of the lifting  $\bar{h}$ .

*Theorem 5.1. If  $h: D \rightarrow \tilde{D}$  is a regular homeomorphism then  $K_{\underline{n}}$  and  $K_{\underline{m}}$  are homeomorphic and  $D$  and  $\tilde{D}$  are in the same position.*



*Proof.* Let  $C$  (resp.  $\tilde{C}$ ) be a component of  $S_{\underline{n}}$  (resp.  $S_{\underline{m}}$ ) such that  $N_{\underline{n}}(C) = D$  (resp.  $N_{\underline{m}}(\tilde{C}) = \tilde{D}$ ). Let  $\bar{h}: C \cup (-C) \rightarrow \tilde{C} \cup (-\tilde{C})$  be a lifting of  $h$  so  $\bar{h}(C) = \tilde{C}$ . Let  $a \in C$  and define  $g: C_0 \rightarrow \tilde{C}_0$  by  $g(x) = \bar{h}(x + a) - \bar{h}(a)$  for  $x \in C_0$ .

Since  $g$  is a regular homeomorphism there exists a linear homeomorphism  $f: S_{\underline{n}} \rightarrow S_{\underline{m}}$  such that  $f|_{C_0}$  is homotopic to  $g$ . Note that  $f(C_0) = \tilde{C}_0$ . We may suppose  $f(0) = 0$ .

Define  $f' = S_{\underline{n}} \rightarrow S_{\underline{m}}$  by

$$f'(y) = f(y - a) + \bar{h}(a).$$

Then  $f'$  is a linear homeomorphism (but  $f'(0) = f(-a) + \bar{h}(a)$  is not necessarily zero).

Since  $f'(a) = f(0) + \bar{h}(a) = 0 + \bar{h}(a) \in \tilde{C}$  we have  $f'(C) = \tilde{C}$ .

We prove next that  $f'(-C) = -\tilde{C}$ . For  $x \in C$

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{h}(x) - f'(x) &= \bar{h}(x) - f(x - a) - \bar{h}(a) \\ &= g(x - a) - f(x - a) \in C_0. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $x - a \in C_0$  and  $f|_{C_0}$  and  $g$  are homotopic we have  $f|_{C_0} - g$  is bounded. Hence,  $(f|_{C_0} - g)(C_0)$  is contained in a compact interval  $J$  of  $\tilde{C}_0$ . By continuity  $(\bar{h} - f')(C \cup (-C))$  is contained in  $J$  since  $C$  is dense in  $C \cup (-C)$ . So  $f'(-C) \subset -\tilde{C}$ .

Since  $f'$  is linear  $f'(x) = p(x) + f'(0)$  for each  $x \in S_{\underline{n}}$  where  $p: S_{\underline{n}} \rightarrow S_{\underline{m}}$  is linear and  $p(0) = 0$ .

For  $x \in C$

$$\begin{aligned} f'(x) + f'(-x) &= p(x) + f'(0) + p(-x) + f'(0) \\ &= 2f'(0) \in \tilde{C}_0 \text{ since } f'(x) \in \tilde{C} \text{ and } f'(-x) \in -\tilde{C}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $2f'(0) = 2\alpha$  where  $\alpha \in \tilde{C}_0$ .

Define  $\bar{f}: S_{\underline{n}} \rightarrow S_{\underline{m}}$  by

$$\bar{f}(x) = f'(x) - \alpha.$$

Then  $\bar{f}(-x) = f'(-x) - \alpha$  but  $f'(-x) = 2\alpha - f'(x)$  so  $\bar{f}(-x) = \alpha - f'(x) = -(f'(x) - \alpha) = -\bar{f}(x)$ . Hence,  $\bar{f}$  is a linear homeomorphism of  $S_{\underline{n}}$  onto  $S_{\underline{m}}$ ,  $\bar{f}(C) = \tilde{C}$ ,  $\bar{f}(-C) = -\tilde{C}$  and  $\bar{f}(-x) = -\bar{f}(x)$ .

Define a homeomorphism

$$f^*: K_{\underline{n}} \rightarrow K_{\underline{m}}$$

by  $f^*(x) = N_{\underline{m}} \circ \bar{f}(N_{\underline{n}}^{-1}(x))$ . Then  $f^*(D) = \tilde{D}$  since  $\bar{f}(C) = \tilde{C}$ .

*Remark 5.2.* The converse to Theorem 5.1 is true. If  $D$  and  $\tilde{D}$  are in the same position in  $K_{\underline{n}}$  then Debski [5] has shown that there exists a regular homeomorphism of  $K_{\underline{n}}$  which takes  $D$  onto  $\tilde{D}$ .

*Remark 5.3.* If  $D$  is a composant of  $K_{\underline{n}}$  without an endpoint then there exist by [5] at most countably many composants of  $K_{\underline{n}}$  which are homeomorphic to  $D$  under a regular homeomorphism. Hence, there exists a family of cardinality  $c$  of composants of  $K_{\underline{n}}$  such that no two members of the family are homeomorphic under a regular homeomorphism.

## 6. Questions

We list a few open questions about composants of Knaster continua and solenoids.

(1) (*Bellamy*) Do there exist in  $K_{\underline{2}}$  two composants without endpoints which are *not* homeomorphic?

(2) Are two homeomorphic composants of  $K_{\underline{n}}$  in the same position?

(3) If  $C \subset S_n$  and  $\tilde{C} \subset S_m$  are homeomorphic composants is  $S_n$  homeomorphic to  $S_m$ ?

(4) Is each homeomorphism  $h: C \rightarrow \tilde{C}$  of composants of solenoids homotopic to a linear homeomorphism  $\bar{h}: C \rightarrow \tilde{C}$  (i.e.  $\bar{h}(x) = ax + b$  for each  $x$ )?

A positive solution to Question 4 would imply a positive solution to Questions 1, 2 and 3.

### References

- [1] D. P. Bellamy, *Homeomorphisms of composants*, Houston J. Math. 5 (1979), 313-318.
- [2] H. Cook, *Upper semi-continuous, continuum-valued mappings onto circle-like continua*, Fund. Math. 60 (1967), 233-239.
- [3] R. H. Crowell, *Invertible isotopies*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 14 (1963), 658-664.
- [4] W. Debski, *On topological types of the simplest indecomposable continua*, Colloq. Math. 49 (1985), 203-211.
- [5] \_\_\_\_\_, *The positions of composants in indecomposable arc-like continua of the simplest type* (to appear).
- [6] R. Engelking, *General Topology*, Polish Scientific Publishers, Warsaw, 1977.
- [7] E. Hewitt and K. E. Ross, *Abstract Harmonic Analysis*, Vol. 1, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1963.
- [8] K. Kuratowski, *Topology*, Vol. 2, Academic Press, New York, 1968.
- [9] W. T. Watkins, *Homeomorphic classification of certain inverse limit spaces with open bonding maps*, Pacific J. Math. 103 (1982), 589-601.

Silesian University

Katowice, Poland

and

University of Saskatchewan

Saskatoon, Saskatchewan, Canada