
TOPOLOGY PROCEEDINGS



Volume 12, 1987

Pages 295–298

<http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/>

ANOTHER ALTERNATIVE PROOF OF EFFROS' THEOREM

by

AARNO HOHTI

Topology Proceedings

Web: <http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/>

Mail: Topology Proceedings
Department of Mathematics & Statistics
Auburn University, Alabama 36849, USA

E-mail: topolog@auburn.edu

ISSN: 0146-4124

COPYRIGHT © by Topology Proceedings. All rights reserved.

ANOTHER ALTERNATIVE PROOF OF EFFROS' THEOREM

Aarno Hohti¹

1. Introduction

After its discovery by Ungar [8] in 1975, the so-called *Effros theorem* has become a central tool in the study of homogenous continua. (See e.g. [2], [7].) This important result (actually a corollary to the original result in Effros [4]) states that if a Polish transformation group G acts transitively on a Polish space X , then the evaluation map $T_x: G \rightarrow X$, given by $T_x(g) = g(x)$, is open for every $x \in X$. Stated in other terms, close points can be mapped to each other by homeomorphisms close to the identity map.

Effros' original proof used a Borel selection argument. An elementary straightforward proof was given by F. D. Ancel in [1]. The author presented a similar (independent) proof in Prague in January 1984; afterwards Jiří Vilímovský noted that it had similarity with the argument used by I. M. Dektjarev [3] for his result on almost open maps. In this note we present a proof of Effros' theorem based on Dektjarev's result. The most important case of this theorem stating that for a compact homogeneous metrizable space X , the evaluation map $T_x: H(X) \rightarrow X$ is open for every $x \in X$, would follow almost immediately, while in the general case one needs a simple additional argument.

¹This paper was written during the author's stay at the University of Wrocław in May-June 1987, supported by an exchange scholarship between the Polish Academy of Science and the Academy of Finland.

2. Preliminaries

In this note, X denotes a Polish space, and G denotes a Polish group acting transitively on X . We use on G the natural uniformity induced by the neighbourhoods of the identity. If $\mu X, \nu Y$ are uniform spaces, then a map $f: \mu X \rightarrow \nu Y$ is called *uniformly almost open* if for every $U \in \mu$ there is a $V \in \nu$ such that

$$\overline{f[\text{St}(x, U)]} \supset \text{St}(f(x), V)$$

for all $x \in X$. Likewise, such an f is called *uniformly open* if the above condition without closure bar is satisfied. A uniform space μX is called *supercomplete* (see [5]), if the uniform hyperspace $H(\mu X)$ of all closed subsets of X , with the Hausdorff uniformity, is complete. A complete metric space is supercomplete.

Let us now state Dektjarev's theorem.

Theorem 2.1 ([3]). A uniformly almost open (multi-valued) mapping of a supercomplete uniform space into a uniform space, with closed graph, is uniformly open.

3. The Result

In this section we give a short proof of Effros' theorem. With the help of Dektjarev's theorem, the arguments needed reduce to mere observations. In this way, Effros' theorem becomes a variant of the open mapping theorem for topological groups (see [6], p. 213), where one of the groups is replaced by a homogeneous space.

Theorem 3.1. Let X be a Polish space, and let G be a Polish transformation group acting transitively on X .

Then the evaluation map $T_x: G \rightarrow X$ is open for every $x \in X$.

Proof. First we shall prove that given any nonempty open set $B \subset G$, then $\text{int}_X \overline{T_x[B]} \neq \emptyset$. As G is separable, there exist g_1, g_2, \dots such that $G = \cup \{g_n B: n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Hence,

$$X = T_x[G] = \cup \{\overline{T_x[g_n B]}: n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Since X is Polish, it follows from Baire's Category Theorem that for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ the set $\overline{T_x[g_k B]}$ has a nonempty interior. Since g_k is a homeomorphism, we get $\text{int}_X \overline{T_x[B]} \neq \emptyset$.

Next we shall prove that in fact $x \in \text{int}_X \overline{T_x[B]}$ for any nonempty open $B \subset G$. Let V be a symmetric neighbourhood of the identity e in G such that $V^2 \subset B$. (We can assume that B is a neighbourhood of e .) Let $y \in \text{int}_X \overline{T_x[V]}$. Then there exists a sequence (h_n) of elements of V with $h_n(x) \rightarrow y$. But then $h_k(x) \in \text{int}_X \overline{T_x[V]}$ already for some k and consequently

$$x \in h_k^{-1}[\text{int}_X \overline{T_x[V]}] = \overline{\text{int}_X \overline{T_x[h_k^{-1}V]}} \subset \text{int}_X \overline{T_x[B]},$$

as required. It follows that for any $g \in G$, we have $g(x) \in \text{int}_X \overline{T_x[gB]}$. Choose a complete compatible metric ρ for G , and define a new map $T'_x: G \rightarrow G \times X$ by setting $T'_x(g) = (g, g(x))$. Let \mathcal{U} be a uniform cover of (G, ρ) . Then there is $\varepsilon > 0$ such that the balls $B_\rho(g, \varepsilon)$ refine \mathcal{U} . For each $g \in G$, let $W_g = B_\rho(g, \varepsilon/2)$, and note that by the above there is an open set $V_g \subset X$ such that $g(x) \in V_g \subset \overline{T_x[W_g]}$. Consider the cover \mathcal{V} of $T'_x[G]$ formed by the sets $(W_g \times V_g) \cap T'_x[G]$. As $T'_x[G]$ is metrizable and thus paracompact, \mathcal{V} is a uniform cover in the fine uniformity $\mathcal{J}(T'_x[G])$. To show that T'_x is uniformly almost open, it is enough to

show that $\text{St}(T'_x(g), V) \subset \overline{T'_x[B_\rho(g, \varepsilon)]}$ for all $g \in G$. To see this, suppose that $(W_g \times V_g) \cap (W_h \times V_h) \neq \emptyset$. Then clearly $W_h \subset B_\rho(g, \varepsilon)$, and thus $V_h \subset \overline{T'_x[W_h]} \subset \overline{T'_x[B_\rho(g, \varepsilon)]}$, from which the claim follows. On the other hand, (G, ρ) is supercomplete, and it is obvious that (by continuity of the action of G on X) T'_x has closed graph. Therefore, T'_x is (uniformly) open by Dektjarev's theorem. But then T_x is open, too.

Acknowledgement. The author is grateful to K. Omilianowski for a useful discussion.

References

- [1] F. D. Ancel, *An alternative proof and applications of a theorem of E. G. Effros*, Michigan J. Math. 34:1 (1987), 39-55.
- [2] J. J. Charatonik and T. Maćkowiak, *Around Effros' theorem*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 298:2 (1986), 579-602.
- [3] I. M. Dektjarev, *A closed graph theorem for ultracomplete spaces*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk. Sov. 154 (1964), 771-773 (Russian).
- [4] E. G. Effros, *Transformation groups and C*-algebras*, Ann. of Math. 81:2 (1965), 38-55.
- [5] J. R. Isbell, *Uniform spaces*, American Mathematical Society, Rhode Island, 1964.
- [6] J. L. Kelley, *General topology*, Van Nostrand, 1955.
- [7] J. T. Rogers, Jr., *Homogeneous continua*, Topology Proc. 8 (1983), 213-233.
- [8] G. S. Ungar, *On all kinds of homogeneous spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 212 (1975), 393-400.

University of Helsinki

SF-00100 Helsinki, Finland