## TOPOLOGY PROCEEDINGS

Volume 12, 1987

Pages 327-349

http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/

# POINT-COUNTABLE COVERS AND k-NETWORKS

by Yoshio Tanaka

#### **Topology Proceedings**

Web: http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/

Mail: Topology Proceedings

Department of Mathematics & Statistics Auburn University, Alabama 36849, USA

 $\textbf{E-mail:} \quad topolog@auburn.edu$ 

**ISSN:** 0146-4124

COPYRIGHT © by Topology Proceedings. All rights reserved.

### POINT-COUNTABLE COVERS AND k-NETWORKS

#### Yoshio Tanaka

#### Introduction

Let X be a space, and let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a cover (not necessarily open or closed) of X. Recall that P is a k-network if whenever K  $\subset$  U with K compact and U open in X, then K  $\subset$  U $\mathcal{P}'$   $\subset$  U for some finite  $\mathcal{P}' \subset \mathcal{P}$ . Such covers have played a role in  $\aleph_0$ -spaces [23] (i.e., spaces with a countable k-network) and  $\aleph$ -spaces [26] (i.e., spaces with a  $\sigma$ -locally finite k-network).

A cover P of X is called a cs-network [17], if whenever  $\{x_{n}^{}\}$  is a sequence converging to a point  $x\in X$  and Uis a nbd of x, then  $\{x\}$  U  $\{x_m; m \ge n\} \subset P \subset U$  for some  $n \in N$  and some  $P \in P$ . Spaces with a countable cs-network, or cs- $\sigma$ -spaces [18] (i.e., spaces with a  $\sigma$ -locally finite cs-network) were studied in [9], [12], [17], and [18], etc.

For a cover P of X, we shall consider the following modifications of k-networks or cs-networks.

- $(C_1)$  If  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence converging to a point  $x \in X$  and U is a nbd of x, then P  $\subset$  U, P  $\ni$  x, and P contains a subsequence of  $\{x_n\}$  for some  $P \in \mathcal{P}$ .
  - $(C_2)$  Same as  $(C_1)$ , but without requiring P  $\ni$  x.
- (C<sub>2</sub>) If  $K \subset X \{x\}$  with K compact and  $x \in X$ , then  $K \subset U^{p'} \subset X - \{x\}$  for some finite  $P' \subset P$ .

Every closed k-network  $\mathcal P$  (i.e., k-network consisting of closed sets) satisfies  $(\mathbf C_1)$ ,  $(\mathbf C_1)$  implies  $(\mathbf C_2)$ , and every k-network  $\mathcal P$  satisfies  $(\mathbf C_2)$  and  $(\mathbf C_3)$ .

A cover satisfies  $(C_1)$  is called a cs\*-network in [14]. Let  $\mathcal P$  be a point-countable (i.e., every point is in at most countably many  $P \in \mathcal P$ ) cover. Then  $\mathcal P$  satisfies  $(C_2)$  if and only if  $\mathcal P$  is a wcs-network in the sense of [25] (equivalently, Fcs-network in the sense of [12]). Spaces with a point-countable k-network, and spaces with a point-countable cover satisfying  $(C_3)$  were studied in [15], where the latter condition was labeled  $(1.4)_{\mathcal P}$ .

In Section 1, we show that each of the following conditions implies that X has a point-countable k-network.

- (1) X has a point-countable weak base.
- (2) X is the closed image of an ℵ-space.
- (3) X is the quotient, Lindelöf (i.e., each fiber is Lindelöf) image of a k-and-%-space.
  - (4) X is dominated by X-spaces.

In Section 2, we show that a sequential (resp. Frechet; first countable; Lašnev) space with a point-countable cover satisfying  $(C_1)$  is precisely the quotient (resp. pseudo-open; open; closed) s-image of a metric space.

In Section 3, we prove that a space (resp. completely regular space) is a Moore space if and only if it is a  $\theta$ -refinable w $\Delta$ -space (resp. a strict p-space) with a point-countable cover satisfies (C $_3$ ). In particular, a strict p-space with a point-countable k-network is a Moore space.

The definitions of the spaces, maps etc. appearing in the above results will be respectively given in Sections dealing with these results.

We assume that all spaces are regular,  $T_1$ , and all maps are continuous and onto.

#### 1. Point-Countable k-Networks

TOPOLOGY PROCEEDINGS

In view of [4; Theorem 3.5], we have

Lemma 1.1. Every compact space with a point-countable cover satisfying  $(C_3)$  is metrizable.

A collection  $\{A_\alpha; \ \alpha \in A\}$  of subsets of X is called hereditarily closure-preserving, if  $\overline{U\{B_\alpha; \ \alpha \in A'\}} = U\{\overline{B}_\alpha; \ \alpha \in A'\}$  for any  $A' \subset A$  and  $B_\alpha \subset A_\alpha$  for each  $\alpha \in A'$ .

Proposition 1.2. (1) Let P be a point-countable cover of X. Then P is a k-network if and only if P satisfies  $(C_2)$ , and each compact subset of X is sequentially compact.

(2) Let  $^p$  be a  $\sigma$ -hereditarily closure-preserving cover of X. Then  $^p$  is a k-network if and only if p satisfies  $(C_2)$ .

*Proof.* (1) By Lemma 1.1, every compact space with a point-countable k-network is metrizable, hence sequentially compact. Thus the "only if" part holds. To prove the "if" part, let K be compact and U be open in X with K  $\subset$  U. For each x  $\in$  X, let  $\{P \in P; x \in P \subset U\} = \{P_n(x); n \in N\}$ . Then K is covered by some finite  $P' \subset \{P_n(x); x \in X, n \in N\}$ . Indeed, suppose not. Then there exists a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in K such that  $x_n \notin P_1(x_j)$  for i,j < n. Since K is sequentially

compact, there exists a subsequence S of  $\{x_n\}$  converging to a point in K. Since  $\mathcal P$  satisfies  $(C_2)$ , there exists  $P \in \mathcal P$  such that  $P \subset U$  and P contains a subsequence of S. Then  $P = P_i(x_j) \text{ for some i,j, and there exists } n > i,j \text{ such that } P_i(x_j) \ni x_n.$  This is a contradiction. Hence  $\mathcal P$  is a k-network.

(2) The "only if" part is obvious, so we prove the "if" part. Let  $\mathcal{P} = \{\mathcal{P}_n; n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a  $\sigma$ -hereditarily closure-preserving cover satisfying  $(C_2)$  with  $\mathcal{P}_n \subset \mathcal{P}_{n+1}$ . Let K be compact and U be open with  $K \subset U$ . Let  $\mathcal{P}'_n = \{P \in \mathcal{P}_n; P \subset U\}$  and  $U_n = U\mathcal{P}'_n$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since each point of K is a  $G_{\delta}$  in K (hence in K), the compact set K is first countable. Thus K is sequentially compact. Then, since  $\mathcal{P}$  satisfies  $(C_2)$ , it follows that  $K \subset U_1$  for some  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . But,  $\mathcal{P}'_i$  is hereditarily closure-preserving. Then the compact set K is covered by some finite  $\mathcal{P}' \subset \mathcal{P}_i$ . Thus  $K \subset U\mathcal{P}' \subset U$ . Then  $\mathcal{P}$  is a k-network.

Remark 1.3. As a modification of  $(C_3)$ , we define the following  $(C_3)$ ' weaker than  $(C_2)$ .

 $(C_3)$ ' Same as  $(C_2)$  with  $U = X - \{y\}$  for a point  $y \in X - \{x\}$ .

In view of the proof of Proposition 1.2(1), a point-countable cover  $\mathcal P$  of X satisfies  $(C_3)$  if and only if  $\mathcal P$  satisfies  $(C_3)$ , and each compact subset of X is sequentially compact.

Definition 1.4. Let X be a space, and let  $\mathcal P$  be a cover of X. Then X is determined by  $\mathcal P$  [15], or X has the

weak topology with respect to  $\mathcal{P}$ , if  $A \subset X$  is closed in X if and only if  $A \cap P$  is closed in P for every  $P \in \mathcal{P}$ . Here, we can replace "closed" by "open." Recall that a space X is sequential, if  $A \subset X$  is closed in X if and only if no sequence in A converges to a point not in A. Then X is sequential if and only if it is determined by the cover of all compact metric subsets. If we replace "compact metric" by "compact," then such a space is called a K-space. First countable spaces are sequential, and sequential spaces are K-spaces. Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a closed cover of a space X. Then X is dominated by X [21], if the union of any X is closed in X, and the union is determined by X is well-known, every CW-complex is dominated by the cover of all finite subcomplexes (hence, compact metric subsets).

Corollary 1.5. Let X be a sequential space, or a space in which every point is a  $G_{\delta}$ . Then a point countable cover  ${\mathcal P}$  of X is a k-network (resp. satisfies  $(C_3)$ ) if and only if  ${\mathcal P}$  satisfies  $(C_2)$  (resp.  $(C_3)$ ).

*Proof.* It is easy to show that every compact subset of a sequential space is sequentially compact. Also, as is seen in the proof of Proposition 1.2(2), every compact subset of a space in which every point is a  $G_{\delta}$  is sequentially compact. Thus the result follows from Proposition 1.2(1) and Remark 1.3.

Lemma 1.6. Suppose that  $f: X \to Y$  satisfies (1) or (2) below. Then for every sequence  $\{y_n\}$  converging to y in Y with  $y \neq y_n$ , there exists a convergent sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in X such that  $\{f(x_n)\}$  is a subsequence of  $\{y_n\}$ .

(1) f is a quotient map such that X is a sequential space.

- (2) f is a closed map such that each point of X is a  $G_{\hat{\Lambda}^{\bullet}}$
- Proof. (1) Let  $A = \{y_n; n \in N\}$ . Since A is not closed in Y,  $B = f^{-1}(A)$  is not closed in X. Thus, since X is sequential, there exists a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  converging to a point not in B, hence  $\{f(x_n)\}$  is a subsequence of  $\{y_n\}$ .
- (2) Our proof is due to the proof of [14; Lemma 1]. Indeed, there exists a point  $x \in f^{-1}(y)$  such that  $x \in \overline{U\{f^{-1}(y_n); n \geq i\}}$  for any  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $\{x\}$  is a  $G_{\delta}$  in X, there exists a sequence  $\{G_n; n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  of nbds of x such that  $\{G_n; n \in \mathbb{N}\} = \{x\}$  and  $\overline{G}_{n+1} \subset G_{\dot{n}}$ . Let  $U_i = G_i \cap U\{f^{-1}(y_n); n \geq i\}$  for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since f is closed, any sequence  $\{a_n\}$  with  $a_n \in U_n$  accumulates to x. Hence, let  $x_n \in U_n$  ( $\frac{1}{7}$ ) for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  converges to x, and  $\{f(x_n)\}$  is a subsequence of  $\{y_n\}$ .

Definition 1.7. Let X be a space. For each  $x \in X$ , let  $T_X$  be a finite multiplicative family of subsets of X containing x. The collection  $\{T_X; x \in X\}$  is a weak base [1] for X, if  $F \subset X$  is closed in X if and only if for each  $x \notin F$ , there exists  $Q(x) \in T_X$  with  $Q(x) \cap F = \emptyset$ .

Proposition 1.8. Each of the following conditions implies that Y has a point-countable k-network. (The result for (3) (resp. (4)) with X metric is due to [10] (resp. [15]).)

- (1) Y has a point-countable weak base.
- (2) Y has a o-hereditarily closure-preserving k-network.
- (3) Y is the closed image of an X-space X.
- (4) Y is the quotient, Lindelöf image of a k-and-X-space X.
  - (5) Y is dominated by  $\aleph$ -spaces  $X_{\alpha}$  ( $\alpha < \gamma$ ).

Proof. (1) Let  $\mathcal{P}=\{T_y;\ y\in Y\}$ , where  $T_y=\{Q_n(y);\ n\in N\}$ , be a point-countable weak base for Y. Then it follows that for any  $y\in Y$ , any sequence  $\{y_n\}$  with  $y_n\in Q_n(y)$  converges to y. Conversely any sequence  $\{y_n\}$  converging to y is eventually in  $Q_n(y)$  for any  $n\in N$ . Indeed, suppose that  $A=\{y_n;\ n\in N\}-Q_n(y)$  is infinite. Since  $A\cup\{y\}$  is closed in Y, for each  $p\notin A$  with  $p\neq y$ ,  $Q_m(p)\cap A=\emptyset$  for some  $m\in N$ . Since  $Q_n(y)\cap A=\emptyset$ , A is closed in Y. This is a contradiction. Therefore Y is a sequential space, and Y has a point-countable k-network  $\mathcal{P}$  by Corollary 1.5.

- (2) Let  $\mathcal{P}=\cup\{\mathcal{P}_n;\ n\in\mathbb{N}\}$  be a  $\sigma$ -hereditarily closure-preserving k-network for Y. For each  $n\in\mathbb{N}$ , let  $\mathbb{D}_n=\{y\in Y;\ \mathcal{P}_n \ \text{is not point-finite at }y\}$  and let  $\mathcal{P}_n=\{P-\mathbb{D}_n;\ P\in\mathcal{P}_n\}\cup\{\{y\};\ y\in\mathbb{D}_n\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{P}'=\cup\{\mathcal{P}_n;\ n\in\mathbb{N}\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{P}'$  is a point-countable cover satisfying  $(C_2)$ . While, each point of Y is a  $G_\delta$ . Then, by Corollary 1.5,  $\mathcal{P}'$  is a point-countable k-network for Y.
- (3) Let  $f: X \to Y$  be a closed map. Let  $\mathcal P$  be a  $\sigma$ -locally finite k-network for X. Since each point of X is  $G_{\delta}$ , by Proposition 1.2(2) and Lemma 1.6,  $f(\mathcal P)$  is a  $\sigma$ -hereditarily closure-preserving k-network for Y. Hence Y has a point-countable k-network by the result for (2).

(4) Let  $f: X \to Y$  be a quotient, Lindelöf map. Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a  $\sigma$ -locally finite k-network for X. Since each point of X is a  $G_{\delta}$ , a k-space X is sequential by [24; Theorem 7.3]. Thus, by Lemma 1.6,  $f(\mathcal{P})$  is a point-countable cover satisfying  $(C_2)$ . But, Y is sequential, for every quotient image of a sequential space is sequential. Thus, by Corollary 1.5,  $f(\mathcal{P})$  is a point-countable k-network for Y.

(5) For each  $\alpha$ , let  $Y_{\alpha} = X_{\alpha} - \cup \{X_{\beta}; \ \beta < \alpha\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha}$  be a  $\sigma$ -locally finite k-network for  $\overline{Y}_{\alpha}$ , and let  $\mathcal{P}'_{\alpha} = \mathcal{P}_{\alpha} \cap Y_{\alpha}$ . Then  $\mathcal{P} = \cup \{\mathcal{P}'_{\alpha}; \ \alpha\}$  is point-countable. To show that  $\mathcal{P}$  is a k-network, let K be compact and U be open with K  $\subset$  U. Then K meets only finitely many  $Y_{\alpha}$ 's. Indeed, if there exists D =  $\{x_n; \ n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  such that  $x_n \in \mathbb{K} \cap Y_{\alpha}$  with  $\alpha_n < \alpha_{n+1}$ , then each D  $\cap$   $X_{\alpha}$  is finite. Hence D is closed discrete in X. This is a contradiction. Let  $\{\alpha; \ Y_{\alpha} \cap \mathbb{K} \neq \emptyset\} = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \cdots, \alpha_n\}$ . For each  $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$ , there exists a finite  $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha_i} \subset \mathcal{P}_{\alpha_i}$  such that  $\overline{Y}_{\alpha_i} \cap \mathbb{K} \subset \cup \mathcal{F}_{\alpha_i} \subset \mathbb{U}$ . Hence,  $\mathbb{K} \subset \cup \{\mathcal{F}_{\alpha_i} \cap \mathbb{K}_{\alpha_i} : i = 1, 2, \cdots, n\} \subset \mathbb{U}$ . Then  $\mathbb{K} \subset \cup \mathcal{P}' \subset \mathbb{U}$  for some finite  $\mathcal{P}' \subset \mathcal{P}$ .

We shall give Remark to the previous proposition.

Remark 1.9. (i) In (2), we can not replace "\sigma-hereditarily closure preserving" with "\sigma-closure preserving."

Indeed, there exists a non-metrizable, separable, first-countable space X with a \sigma-closure preserving base ([6; Example 9.2]). X has a \sigma-closure preserving k-network.

But X does not have any point-countable k-network by [15; Theorem 5.2] and [22; Proposition 3.1] for X is separable, first-countable, but not metrizable.

- (ii) In (4), we can not omit the k-ness of X; see [15; Example 9.6].
- (iii) (3) implies (2) by the proof of (3). Unlike this,
  (5) (or (4)) need not imply (2). We shall give a counterexample. First, we need Lemmas.

Lemma A. Let X be a sequential space with a  $\sigma$ -hereditarily closure-preserving k-network  $\mathcal{P} = \cup \{\mathcal{P}_n; n \in N\}$ . Then  $X = X_0 \cup X_1$ , where  $X_0$  is an X-space and  $X_1$  is a countable union of closed, discrete subsets of X.

 $Proof. \quad \text{Each } \overline{\mathcal{P}}_n \text{ is hereditarily closure-preserving} \\ (\text{for, if not, there exist } \{A_\alpha; \ \alpha \in A'\} \text{ and } x \in X \text{ such that } A_\alpha \subset P_\alpha, \text{ where } \mathcal{P}_n = \{P_\alpha; \ \alpha \in A\}, \ A' \subset A, \text{ and } x \in \overline{U\{A_\alpha; \alpha \in A'\}} - U\{\overline{A}_\alpha; \alpha \in A'\}. \quad \text{Let } U \supset A_\alpha \text{ be open with } \overline{U} \ngeq x, \text{ and } B_\alpha = U \cap A_\alpha \text{ for } \alpha \in A'. \quad \text{Then } x \in \overline{U\{B_\alpha; \alpha \in A'\}} - U\{\overline{B}_\alpha; \alpha \in A'\}, \text{ a contradiction}). \quad \text{Then we can assume } \mathcal{P} \text{ is a closed cover of } X. \quad \text{For each } n \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ let } D_n = \{x \in X; \mathcal{P}_n \text{ is not point-finite at } x\}. \quad \text{Then each } D_n \text{ is closed,} \\ \text{discrete in } X. \quad \text{Indeed, suppose } D_n \text{ is not closed discrete} \\ \text{in } X. \quad \text{Since } X \text{ is sequential, there exists a sequence } \{x_n\} \\ \text{in } D_n \text{ converging to } x \in X \text{ with } x_n \notin x. \quad \text{But there exists} \\ \{P_n; n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset \mathcal{P}_n \text{ such that } x_n \in P_n \text{ and } P_m \notin P_n \text{ if } m \notin n. \\ \text{Thus } x_n = x \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad \text{This is a contradiction. Let} \\ X_0 = X - U\{D_n; n \in \mathbb{N}\}. \quad \text{Then } X_0 \text{ is an } \mathbb{N}\text{-space.} \\ \end{cases}$ 

Lemma B. Let X be dominated by metric subsets  $X_{\alpha}$   $(\alpha < \gamma)$ , and let  $Y \subset X$  be an X-space. Then  $Y = U\{Y_n;$   $n \in N\}$ , where each  $Y_n$  is a metrizable, closed subset of Y, and each compact subset of Y is contained in some  $Y_n$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{P} = \bigcup \{\mathcal{P}_n; n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be a  $\sigma$ -locally finite closed k-network for Y, where  $\mathcal{P}_n \subset \mathcal{P}_{n+1}$  and  $\mathcal{P}_n$  is closed under finite intersections. Let  $K \subset Y$  be compact, and let  $\{Q_n; n \in N\}$  be the collection of finite unions of elements of  $\{P \in P; P \cap K \neq \emptyset\}$  with  $Q_n \supset K$ . Let  $K_n = \cap \{Q_i; i \leq n\}$ for each  $n \in N$ . Since P is a k-network, any open subset of Y containing K contains some  $K_n$ . Thus any sequence  $\{y_n\}$ with  $y_n \in K_n$  has an accumulation point in Y. Hence some  $K_n$  is contained in a finite union of  $X_\alpha$ 's. Indeed, suppose not. Then there exists a sequence  $\{a_n\}$  in Y such that  $a_n \in K_n \cap X_{\alpha(n)} - \bigcup \{X_{\alpha(i)}; i < n\} \text{ for some } \{X_{\alpha(n)}; n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset \mathbb{N}$  $\{X_{\alpha}; \alpha\}$ . Since each  $\{a_n; n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cap X_{\alpha(n)}$  is finite,  $\{a_n; n \in N\}$  is closed, discrete in Y. This is a contradiction. Then some  $K_n$  is metrizable, so is any  $K_m$   $(m \ge n)$ . But any  $\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{m}}$  can be expressed as a finite union of elements of  $\mathcal{P}$ . This implies that  $U\{\mathcal{P}'_n; n \in N\}$ , where  $\mathcal{P}'_n = \{P \in \mathcal{P}_n; n \in N\}$ P is metrizable, is a k-network for Y. Thus  $Y_n = UP'_n$ (n  $\in$  N) are the desired subsets of Y.

Frample. Let I be the closed unit interval [0,1]. For each  $\alpha \in I$ , let  $S_{\alpha}$  be a 2-sphere. Let S be the topological sum of  $\{I,S_{\alpha}; \ \alpha \in I\}$ . Let X be the quotient space obtained from S by identifying each  $\alpha \in I$  with a point  $p_{\alpha}$  of  $S_{\alpha}$ . Then X is a CW-complex with cells  $\{\{0\}, \ \{1\}, \ (0,1), S_{\alpha} - \{p_{\alpha}\}; \ \alpha \in I\}$ , which is the quotient, finite-to-one image of a locally compact, metric space. But X does not have any  $\sigma$ -hereditarily closure-preserving k-network.

*Proof.* Suppose that X has a  $\sigma$ -hereditarily closure-preserving k-network. Let  $L=\{0\}\times I$ , and for each  $\alpha\in I$ ,

let  $L_{\alpha} = I \times \{\alpha\}$ . Let  $\ell = \{L\} \cup \{L_{\alpha}; \alpha \in I\}$  and  $Y = \bigcup \ell$ . Let L and the L be subspaces of Euclidean 2-space  $E^2$ , and let us consider Y as a subspace of X. Then Y is determined by  $\ell$  consisting of compact metric subsets. Since Y is a sequential space with a  $\sigma$ -hereditarily closure-preserving k-network, by Lemma A, Y =  $Y_0 \cup Y_1$ , where  $Y_0$  is an  $\aleph$ -space, and  $Y_1$  is a countable union of closed discrete subsets of Y. By Lemma B,  $Y_0 = U\{X_n; n \in N\}$ , where each  $X_n$  is metrizable and closed in  $Y_0$ , and each compact subset of  $Y_0$  is contained in some  $\mathbf{X_n}$ . We note that  $\mathbf{L} \, \cap \, \mathbf{Y_1}$  and  $\mathbf{L}_{\alpha} \, \cap \, \mathbf{Y_1}$  are at most countable sets. For each  $\alpha \in I$ , let  $\{\alpha_n\}$  be a sequence in  $L_{\alpha}$  -  $Y_1$  converging to  $(0,\alpha)$   $(\neq \alpha_n)$ . For each  $n \in N$ , let  $A_n = \{ \alpha \in I; (0, \alpha) \notin Y_1 \text{ and } X_n \supset \{\alpha_n; n \in N\} \}$ . Then, by R. Baire's Category theorem, there exists  $A = A_i$  $(\alpha,\beta)$  such that A is dense in  $(\alpha,\beta)$  N I for some i  $\dot{\varepsilon}$  N and some open interval  $(\alpha, \beta)$ . Then there exists a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in A converging to a point  $x \in (\alpha, \beta)$  with  $x \neq x_n$  and (0,x)  $\notin Y_1 \cap L$ . For each n  $\in$  N, there exists a sequence  $\{x_{nj}; j \in N\}$  in  $X_i$  converging to  $(0,x_n)$   $(\ddagger x_{nj})$ , where  $x_{nj} \in L_{x_n} - Y_1$ . Let  $T = \{(0,x_n); n \in N\} \cup \{(0,x)\} \cup \{(0,x_n)\} \cup \{$  $\{x_{nj}; n, j \in N\}$ . Then  $T \subseteq X_{j}$ , hence T is metrizable. But, since T is closed in Y, T is determined by  $\{T \cap L; L \in \mathcal{L}\}.$ Thus T is not first countable (at x), hence T is not metrizable. This is a contradiction.

#### 2. s-Images of Metric Spaces

In terms of various kinds of point-countable covers, some characterizations for the quotient (resp. open; closed) s-images of metric spaces are obtained by [15] or

[20] (resp. [27]; [13]). By means of point-countable covers satisfying  $(C_1)$  (i.e., point-countable cs\*-networks), we will unify these characterizations.

Lemma 2.1. Let X be a sequential space. Then the following (1) and (2) are equivalent.

- (1) X has a point-countable cover P satisfying  $(C_1)$ .
- (2) X has a point-countable cover P such that each open  $U \subset X$  is determined by  $\{P \in P; P \subset U\}$ . (This condition is labeled (1.1) in [15].)

Proof. (1)  $\rightarrow$  (2). Let U be open in X. Suppose A  $\subset$  U is not open in U. Then X - A is not closed in X. Since X is sequential, there exists a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in X - A converging to a point  $x \in A$ . Since  $\mathcal P$  satisfies  $(C_1)$ , there exist P  $\in$   $\mathcal P$  and a subsequence S of  $\{x_n\}$  such that  $K = S \cup \{x\} \subset P \subset U$ . Then K  $\cap$  A is not open in K. Thus P  $\cap$  A is not open in P. Hence U is determined by  $\{P \in \mathcal P; P \subset U\}$ .

 $(2) \rightarrow (1). \quad \text{Let } \{x_n\} \text{ be a sequence converging to } x,$  and U be a nbd of x. We assume that  $x_n \neq x$  and  $x_n \in U$  for each  $n \in N$ . Since  $\{x_n; n \in N\}$  is not closed in U, there exists  $P \in P$  such that  $P \subset U$  and  $P \cap \{x_n; n \in N\}$  is not closed in P. Then P contains x and a subsequence of  $\{x_n\}$ . Hence P satisfies  $(C_1)$ .

A map  $f: X \to Y$  is called *pseudo-open* if for any  $y \in Y$  and any open set U containing  $f^{-1}(y)$ ,  $y \in int f(U)$ . Every open map, or closed map is pseudo-open.

Recall that a space X is  $Fr\'{e}chet$  if whenever  $x \in \overline{A}$ , then there exists a sequence in A converging to x.

- Lemma 2.2. (1) [15]. X is the quotient (resp. pseudo-open) s-image of a metric space if and only if X is a sequential (resp. Fréchet) space satisfying (2) in Lemma 2.1.
- (2) [27]. X is the open s-image of a metric space if and only if X has a point-countable base.
- (3) [13]. X is the closed s-image of a metric space if and only if X is a Fréchet space with a  $\sigma$ -closure preserving point-countable closed k-network (equivalently, Frechet  $\aleph_0$ -space).

Theorem 2.3. X is the quotient (resp. pseudo-open; open) s-image of a metric space if and only if X is a sequential (resp. Fréchet; first countable) space with a point-countable cover satisfying  $(C_1)$ .

*Proof.* This follows from Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 (1) and (2) and the result that every first countable space which is the quotient s-image of a metric space has a point-countable base ([8]).

Lemma 2.4. Let  $S_{\omega}$  be the quotient space obtained from the disjoint union of  $\omega_1$  convergent sequences  $L_{\alpha}$  ( $\alpha < \omega_1$ ) by identifying all the limit points to a single point  $\infty$ . Then any point-countable cover of  $S_{\omega}$  does not satisfy  $(C_1)$ .

 $\label{eq:proof.} \begin{array}{ll} \textit{Proof.} & \text{Suppose that X has a point-countable cover} \\ \textit{$\mathcal{P}$ satisfies $(C_1)$. Let $\textit{$\mathcal{P}'$} = \{P \in \textit{$\mathcal{P}$}; \ P \ni \infty\}$, and $\textit{$\mathcal{P}_1$} = \{P \in \textit{$\mathcal{P}$}; \ P - \{\infty\}$ meets infinitely many $L_{\alpha}$'s}. \text{ Let $\textit{$\mathcal{P}_1$} = \{P_n; \ n \in N\}$.} \\ \text{We can choose a sequence $\{x_n\}$ such that $x_n \in P_n - \{\infty\}$, and $\text{$\mathcal{P}_n$} = \{\infty\}$.} \end{array}$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{x}_n \notin \mathbf{L}_\alpha \ \, \text{for any } \mathbf{L}_\alpha \ \, \text{containing } \mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \cdots, \mathbf{x}_{n-1}. \quad \text{Let} \\ \mathcal{P}_2 = \mathcal{P}' - \mathcal{P}_1, \ \, \text{and } \mathbf{S} = \mathbf{U}\{\mathbf{P} \in \mathcal{P}_2\}. \quad \text{Then } \mathbf{S} - \{\infty\} \ \, \text{meets at} \\ \text{most countably many } \mathbf{L}_\alpha \text{'s.} \quad \text{Hence, there exists } \mathbf{L}_\beta \ \, \text{such} \\ \text{that } \mathbf{L}_\beta \cap \mathbf{S} = \{\infty\}, \ \, \mathbf{L}_\beta \ \, \Rightarrow \ \, \mathbf{x}_n \ \, (n \in \mathbf{N}). \quad \text{Since } \mathbf{L}_\beta \subset \mathbf{X} - \{\mathbf{x}_n; \ \, n \in \mathbf{N}\}, \ \, \text{there exists } \mathbf{P} \in \mathcal{P}_2 \ \, \text{with } \mathbf{P} \cap (\mathbf{L}_\beta - \{\infty\}) \ \, \neq \emptyset. \\ \text{This is a contradiction.} \end{array}$ 

The following proposition shows that, among point-countable covers, there exist essential gaps between  $\begin{tabular}{l} "k-network" and "closed k-network," and also between "(C_1)" and "(C_2)" by Proposition 1.8. \end{tabular}$ 

Proposition 2.5. Let  $f: X \to Y$  be a closed map such that X is a paracompact k-and-X-space. Then the following are equivalent. (The equivalence (1) and (2), where X is metric, is due to [30].)

- (1) Every  $\partial f^{-1}(y)$  is Lindelöf.
- (2) Y has a point-countable closed k-network.
- (3) Y has a point-countable cover satisfying  $(C_1)$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\rightarrow$  (2). As in the proof of [22; Corollary 1.2], we can assume that every  $f^{-1}(y)$  is Lindelöf. Thus, the Proof of Proposition 1.8(3) implies that (1)  $\rightarrow$  (2) holds.

- (2)  $\rightarrow$  (3). This is trivial.
- (3)  $\rightarrow$  (1). By Lemma 2.4, Y does not contain a copy of  $S_{\omega_1}$ . Thus, by [31; Lemma 1.5], each  $\partial f^{-1}(y)$  is  $\omega_1$ -compact (i.e., every subset of  $\partial f^{-1}(y)$  of cardinality  $\omega_1$  has an accumulation point). But,  $\partial f^{-1}(y)$  is an X-space (or paracompact space). Hence each  $\partial f^{-1}(y)$  is Lindelöf.

A space is called  ${\it La\"{s}nev}$  if it is the closed image of a metric space.

L. Foged [10] proved that a space is Lašnev if and only if it is a Fréchet space with a  $\sigma$ -hereditarily closure-preserving k-network.

Theorem 2.6. The following are equivalent.

- (1) X is the closed s-image of a metric space.
- (2) X is a Lasnev space with a point-countable cover satisfying  $(C_1)$ .
- (3) X is a Fréchet space with a  $\sigma$ -closure-preserving point-countable closed cover satisfying  $(C_1)$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\rightarrow$  (3). This follows from Lemma 2.2 (3).

- (3)  $\rightarrow$  (2). Since X is sequential, by Corollary 1.5, every point-countable cover of X satisfying (C<sub>1</sub>) is a k-network. Then (3)  $\rightarrow$  (2) follows from Lemma 2.2 (3).
  - (2)  $\rightarrow$  (1). This follows from Proposition 2.5.

Remark 2.7. In (3) of the previous theorem, we can not omit the closedness of the cover, because any Lašnev space has a  $\sigma$ -hereditarily closure-preserving point-countable k-network by the proof of Proposition 1.8. We can replace " $\sigma$ -closure-preserving point-countable closed cover" by " $\sigma$ -locally countable closure-preserving cover" in (3).

#### 3. Moore Spaces

By means of point-countable covers satisfying  $(C_3)$ , we will obtain general conditions under which a w $\Delta$ -space (or a strict p-space) is a Moore space.

A cover  $\mathcal P$  of X is said to be *separating* if for any points x, y  $\in$  X with x  $\neq$  y, there exists P  $\in$   $\mathcal P$  with  $x \in$  P  $\subset$  X -  $\{y\}$ . Recall that a space X is *subparacompact* if every open cover of X has a  $\sigma$ -locally finite closed refinement.

Proposition 3.1. Each of the following conditions implies that X has a point-countable cover satisfying  $(C_3)$ .

- (1) X has a point-countable separating open cover.
- (2) X has a point-countable k-network.
- (3) X is a subparacompact (or metacompact) space having a  $G_g$ -diagonal. In particular, X is a  $\sigma$ -space.

Proof. The result for (1) and (2) is obvious.

(3) Since X has a  $G_{\delta}$ -diagonal, by [6; Lemma 5.4] there exists a sequence  $\{\mathcal{U}_n; n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  of open covers of X such that for any points x, y  $\in$  X with x  $\neq$  y, y  $\notin$  St(x, $\mathcal{U}_n$ ) for some n  $\in$  N. If X is subparacompact (resp. metacompact), each  $\mathcal{U}_n$  has a  $\sigma$ -locally finite closed refinement (resp. a point-finite open refinement). Thus if X is metacompact, X has a point-countable cover satisfying (C<sub>3</sub>). If X is subparacompact, X has also a point-countable cover satisfying (C<sub>3</sub>) by the same way as in the proof of [4; Theorem 5.2], where X is a  $\sigma$ -space.

Definition 3.2. A space X is called a w $\Delta$ -space if there exists a sequence  $\{\ \mathcal{U}_n\}$  of open covers of X such that if  $x \in X$  and  $x_n \in St(x,\mathcal{U}_n)$  for each  $n \in N$ , then the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  has an accumulation point y in X. When

y = x, such a space is called a *Moore space*, or a developable space.

A completely regular space X is called a p-space if, in the Stone-Čech compactification  $\beta(X)$ , there exists a sequence  $\{\mathcal{U}_n\}$  of families of open subsets of  $\beta(X)$  such that each  $\mathcal{U}_n$  covers X, and  $\bigcap \{St(x,\mathcal{U}_n): n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset X$  for each  $x \in X$ . If we also have an additional property that for any  $x \in X$  and any  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\overline{St(x,\mathcal{U}_n)} \subset St(x,\mathcal{U}_i)$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then X is called a strict p-space. Every locally compact space, more generally every Čech complete space (i.e., space which is a  $G_{\delta}$ -set in its Stone-Čech compactification) is a p-space. It is well-known that every completely regular, Moore space is a strict p-space, and every strict p-space is a w $\Delta$ -space (e.g., see [16; p. 443]).

A space X is called  $\theta$ -refinable (= submetacompact) if for every open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of X, there exists a sequence  $\{\mathcal{U}_n\}$  of open refinements of  $\mathcal{U}$  such that each point of X is in at most finite number of elements of some  $\mathcal{U}_n$ . Such a sequence is called a  $\theta$ -refinement of  $\mathcal{U}$ . As is well-known, metacompact spaces, and  $\sigma$ -spaces, more generally subparacompact spaces are  $\theta$ -refinable (e.g., see [5; p. 360]). We note that, among completely regular  $\theta$ -refinable spaces, w $\Delta$ -spaces, p-spaces, and strict p-spaces are equivalent ([2]).

R. E. Hodel [19; Theorem 3.6] proved that every  $\theta$ -refinable,  $w\Delta$ -space with a point-countable separating open cover is a Moore space.

We will use the techniques in the proof of the Hodel's result to obtain a more general result.

Lemmas 3.3 and 3.4 below are respectively due to [15; Corollary 3.5] and [3; Lemma 3.2].

Lemma 3.3. Let X be first countable. Then every point-countable cover  $\mathcal P$  satisfying  $(C_3)$  satisfies the following  $(\star)$ .

(\*) If  $y \in X - \{x\}$ , then there exists a finite  $P' \subset P$  such that  $y \in \text{int } UP' \subset UP' \subset X - \{x\}$ .

Lemma 3.4. Let X be first countable, and  $A \subset X$ . If P is a point-countable collection of subsets of X, then there exists at most countably many minimal finite  $\mathcal{F} \subset P$  such that  $A \subset \text{int } U\mathcal{F}$ , where minimal means that  $A \not \in \text{int } U\mathcal{F}'$  if  $\mathcal{F}' \subsetneq \mathcal{F}$ .

Theorem 3.5. A space X is a Moore space if and only if X is a  $\theta\text{-refinable}\ w\Delta\text{-space}\ with a point-countable cover satisfying (C_3).$ 

*Proof.* "Only if." Every Moore space is a w $\Delta$ -space, and a  $\sigma$ -space (e.g., [16; p. 447]). Hence, X is a  $\theta$ -refinable space with a point-countable cover satisfying (C<sub>3</sub>) by [4; Theorem 5.2] (or Proposition 3.1).

"If." Since X is a  $\theta$ -refinable w $\Delta$ -space, by [2; Remark 1.9] there exists a sequence  $\{\mathcal{G}_n\}$  of open covers of X such that for each  $x \in X$ ,  $C_x = \cap \{\operatorname{St}(x,\mathcal{G}_n); n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is compact, and  $\{\operatorname{St}(x,\mathcal{G}_n); n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a base for  $C_x$ ; that is, any open set U with U  $\supset C_x$  contains some  $\operatorname{St}(x,\mathcal{G}_n)$ . We

note that each  $C_{\rm X}$  is metrizable by Lemma 1.1. Hence X is first countable. Then,  ${\mathcal P}$  satisfies (\*) in Lemma 3.3. Hence  ${\rm X}^2$  is a first countable space with a point-countable cover  ${\mathcal P}^2$  satisfying (\*).

Let  $\mathcal{G}(i,j) = \mathcal{G}_i \times \mathcal{G}_j$  for each  $i,j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then, for any  $p = (x,y) \in x^2$ ,  $\{St(p,\mathcal{Y}(i,j)); i,j \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a base for a compact set  $C_p$ . For each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\{\#(i,k); k \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be a  $\theta$ -refinement of  $\mathcal{G}_i$ , and let  $\#(i,j,k,\ell) = \#(i,k) \times \#(j,\ell)$ .

We show that every closed subset of  $X^2$  is a  $G_{\chi}$ . Let F be a closed subset of  $X^2$ . For each  $H \in \#(i,j,k,l)$ , by Lemma 3.4, the collection # of all finite minimal subcollections  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{P}^2$  with H  $\cap$  F  $\subset$  int U $\mathcal{F}$  is at most countable, so let  $\# = \{H(i,j,k,\ell,m); m \in N\}$ . Let  $H^*(i,j,k,\ell,m) =$  $int(UH(i,j,k,\ell,m))$  and  $W(i,j,k,\ell,m) = U\{H \cap (\cap\{H^*(i,j,k,\ell,n);$ n < m);  $H \in \mathcal{H}(i,j,k,\mathcal{L})$ . Then  $F = \bigcap \{W(i,j,k,\mathcal{L},m)\}$ ; i,j,k, $\ell$ ,m  $\in$  N}. Indeed, let p = (x,y)  $\notin$  F. Since  $C_p \cap F$ is compact, there exists a finite  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{P}^2$  such that  $C_p \cap F \subset \mathcal{F}$ int  $U\mathcal{F} \subset U\mathcal{F} \subset x^2 - \{p\}$ . Hence  $C_p \subset St(p, \mathcal{G}(i,j)) \subset int U\mathcal{F}U$  $(x^2$  - F) for some i,j  $\in$  N. While, for some k,  $\angle$   $\in$  N,  $\{H \in \mathcal{H}(k,j,k,\ell); H \ni p\} = \{H_1,H_2,\cdots,H_+\}.$  For each  $n = 1, 2, \dots, t$ , since  $H_n \cap F \subset int \cup \mathcal{I}$ , select from  $\mathcal{I}$  a minimal subcollection which covers  $H_n \cap F$ , and label it  $H_n(i,j,k,l,m_n)$ . Let  $m_0 = Max\{m_1,m_2,\dots,m_t\}$ . Then,  $p \notin W(i,j,k,l,m_0)$ . This implies that F is a  $G_{\delta}$ -set in  $X^2$ . Thus X has a  $G_{\delta}$ -diagonal. Then, since X is  $\theta$ -refinable, X is a Moore space by [19; Corollary 2.6] (or [28]).

By Theorem 3.5 and Propositions 1.8 and 3.1, we have

Corollary 3.6. (1) [19]. A  $\theta$ -refinable  $w_\Delta$ -space with a point-countable separating open cover is a Moore space.

(2) [28]. A  $\theta$ -refinable  $w\Delta$ -space with a point-countable weak base is a Moore space.

Corollary 3.7. [15]. A paracompact p-space (= paracompact M-space) with a point-countable cover satisfying  $(C_3)$  is metrizable.

Proof. We recall that every paracompact p-space is
strict p-space, and every paracompact Moore space is
metrizable. Thus the result follows from Theorem 3.5.

Quite recently, S. Jiang [29] proved the following interesting result.

Lemma 3.8. Every strict p-space is 0-refinable.

Recall that every completely regular Moore space is a strict p-space. Thus, combining Theorem 3.5 with Lemma 3.8, we have

Theorem 3.9. A completely regular space is a Moore space if and only if it is a strict p-space with a point-countable cover satisfying  $(C_2)$ .

Corollary 3.10. A strict p-space (or a  $\theta$ -refinable  $w\Delta$ -space) with a point-countable k-network is a Moore space.

Remark 3.11. In the previous corollary, we can not replace "strict p-space" with "p-space" or "w $\Delta$ -space" by (i) and (ii) below. Also, by (ii) we can not omit the  $\theta$ -refinability in the parenthetic part.

- (i) S. W. Davis [7] constructed a non-developable, Čech-complete space (hence, p-space) with a point-countable base.
- (ii) Z. Frolik [11] constructed an infinite, completely regular, countably compact space, each of whose compact set is finite. This space is a non-developable,  $w\Delta$ -space with a point-finite k-network.

Acknowledgment. The author wishes to thank Professor Y. Yajima for his helpful suggestions.

#### References

- 1. A. V. Arhangelskii, Mappings and spaces, Russian Math. Surveys 21 (1966), 115-162.
- 2. D. Burke, On p-spaces and  $w\Delta$ -spaces, Pacific J. Math. 35 (1970), 285-296.
- and E. Michael, On a theorem of V. V. 3. Filippov, Israel J. Math. 11 (1972), 394-397.
- 4. , On certain point-countable covers, Pacific J. Math. 64 (1976), 79-92.
- D. Burke, Covering properties, Handbook of Set-Theoretic Topology, North-Holland (1984), 347-422.
- J. G. Ceder, Some generalizations of metric spaces, Pacific J. Math. 4 (1961), 105-125.
- 7. S. W. Davis, A non developable Čech-complete space with a point-countable base, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 78 (1980), 139-142.
- 8. V. V. Filippov, Quotient s-maps, Soviet Math. Dokl. 9 (1968), 1055-1057.
- L. Foged, Characterizations of N-spaces, Pacific J. 9. Math. 110 (1984), 59-63.
- \_\_\_\_, A characterization of closed images of metric 10. spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 3 (1985), 487-490.
- Z. Frolik, Generalizations of the  $G_{\hat{\kappa}}$ -property of com-11. plete metric spaces, Czech. Math. J. 10 (1960), 359-379.

- 12. Zhi min Gao, Remarks on the cs- $\sigma$ -spaces, Q & A in General Topology 3 (1985), 64-69.
- and Y. Hattori, A characterization of closed s-images of metric spaces, Q & A in General Topology 4 (1986/87), 147-152.
- 14. Zhi min Gao, ℵ-space is invaliant under perfect mappings, Q & A in General Topology 5 (1987), 271-279.
- 15. G. Gruenhage, E. Michael and Y. Tanaka, Spaces determined by point-countable covers, Pacific J. Math. 113 (1984), 303-332.
- 16. G. Gruenhage, Generalized metric spaces, Handbook of Set-Theoretic Topology, North-Holland (1984), 423-502.
- 17. J. A. Guthrie, A characterization of  $\aleph_0$ -spaces, General Topology and its Appl. 1 (1971), 105-110.
- 18. \_\_\_\_\_, Mapping spaces and cs-networks, Pacific J. Math. 47 (1973), 465-471.
- 19. R. E. Hodel, Moore spaces and  $w\Delta$ -spaces, Pacific J. Math. 38 (1971), 641-652.
- 20. T. Hoshina, On the quotient s-images of metric spaces, Sci. Rep. Tokyo Kyoiku Daigaku, Sect. A, 10 (1970), 265-268.
- 21. E. Michael, Continuous selections I, Ann. Math. 63 (1956), 361-382.
- 22. \_\_\_\_\_, A note on closed maps and compact sets, Israel J. Math. 2 (1964), 173-176.
- 23. \_\_\_\_\_, %<sub>0</sub>-spaces, J. Math. Mech. 15 (1966), 983-
- 24. \_\_\_\_\_, A quintuple quotient quest, General Topology and its Appl. 2 (1972), 91-138.
- 25. J. Nagata, A new characterization of Lašnev spaces, Q & A in General Topology 3 (1985), 70-75.
- 26. P. O'Meara, On paracompactness in function spaces with the compact-open topology, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 29 (1971), 183-189.
- 27. B. Ponomarev, Axioms of countability and continuous mappings, Bull. Pol. Akad. Nauk. 7 (1960), 127-134.

- 28. T. Shiraki, M-spaces, their generalizations and metrization theorems, Sci. Rep. Tokyo Kyoiku Daigaku 11 (1971), 57-67.
- 29. S. Jiang, Every strict p-space is  $\theta$ -refinable, Topology Proceedings 11 (1986), 309-316.
- 30. Y. Tanaka, Closed maps on metric spaces, Topology and its Appl. 11 (1980), 87-92.
- 31. \_\_\_\_\_, Products of spaces of countable tightness, Topology Proceedings 6 (1981), 115-133.

Tokyo Gakugei University
Koganei-shi, Tokyo, 184, Japan