# TOPOLOGY PROCEEDINGS

Volume 13, 1988

Pages 107-123

http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/

# CLOSED PREIMAGES OF CERTAIN ISOCOMPACTNESS PROPERTIES

by

EDWARD S. MILLER

# **Topology Proceedings**

Web: http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/

Mail: Topology Proceedings

Department of Mathematics & Statistics Auburn University, Alabama 36849, USA

 $\textbf{E-mail:} \quad topolog@auburn.edu$ 

**ISSN:** 0146-4124

COPYRIGHT © by Topology Proceedings. All rights reserved.

# CLOSED PREIMAGES OF CERTAIN ISOCOMPACTNESS PROPERTIES

1988

### Edward S. Miller

In this paper we provide necessary and sufficient conditions that certain isocompactness properties are preserved in the closed preimage. A space is isocompact if every closed countably compact subset is compact. We consider the properties pure, closed complete, realcompact, Borel complete and neat in addition to isocompact itself. Also, we describe a new property,  $\delta$ -neat, which is related to the others.

It has previously been shown that the perfect preimages of closed complete, pure, neat and isocompact spaces are closed complete, pure, neat and isocompact, respectively. Since perfect mappings are closed mappings such that inverse images of points are compact, it is natural to ask by how much one can weaken the compactness condition. Later it will be shown that, at least in some cases, compactness can be reduced to the property under study. For example, the inverse image of a pure space under a closed mapping such that the inverse images of points are pure is itself pure. Analogous results hold for closed complete,  $\delta$ -neat and isocompact. Related results are shown for realcompact and Borel complete spaces.

### 1. Definitions and Preliminary Results

Throughout the paper all spaces are assumed to be Hausdorff, all mappings are continuous unless otherwise specified. A fiber of a mapping is defined to be the inverse image of a singleton.

We begin with a theorem which sets a pattern for the remainder of the paper. For each property described, we prove a result analogous to Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 1.1. The inverse image X of an isocompact space Y under a closed mapping f is isocompact if and only if every fiber of f is isocompact.

Proof. First assume X is isocompact. Every fiber
of f is closed and isocompactness is hereditary in closed
subsets. Clearly then each fiber of f is isocompact.

Assume now that each fiber of f is isocompact. Let  $A \subseteq X$  be closed and countably compact. Then  $f \stackrel{+}{} (A)$  is a closed countably compact subset of Y, and is therefore compact. Consider  $f = f|_A$ .  $f : A \rightarrow f \stackrel{+}{} (A)$  is closed and continuous. Let  $y \in f \stackrel{+}{} (A)$ . Now  $f \stackrel{+}{} (\{y\}) \subseteq A$  is closed, implying that it is countably compact. It is also a closed subset of  $f \stackrel{+}{} (\{y\})$ ; by assumption  $f \stackrel{+}{} (\{y\})$  is isocompact, hence  $f \stackrel{+}{} (\{y\})$  is compact. Now we have that f is perfect. It is well known that the perfect preimage of a compact space is compact. Therefore A is compact. X is isocompact.

Definition [1]. A family  $E = \{En: n \in \omega\}$  of non-empty subsets of a space X is called an *interlacing* on X if  $\cup \cup E = X$  and for each  $n \in \omega$ ,  $U \in En$ , U is open in  $\cup En$ . An interlacing E is  $\delta$ -suspended from a family H of subsets of a space X if for arbitrary  $n \in \omega$ ,  $x \in \cup En$ ,  $\exists F \subseteq H$ ,  $|F| < \omega$  such that  $st(x,En) \cap (\cap F) = \emptyset$ .

Definition [1]. A space X is called pure if for each free closed ultrafilter F on X there is an interlacing which is  $\delta$ -suspended from F.

A collection of sets A has the *countable intersection* property (cip), if every countable subset of A has non-empty intersection. The following proposition is easy to prove.

Proposition 1.2. A space X is pure if and only if each free closed ultrafilter with cip has an interlacing which is  $\delta$ -suspended from it.

Definition [3]. A space X is called closed complete (also a-realcompact or  $\alpha$ -realcompact) if every closed ultrafilter with cip has nonempty intersection.

Definition [5]. A Tychonoff space X is realcompact if every ultrafilter of zero sets (z-ultrafilter) with cip has nonempty intersection.

Definition [3]. A space X is called Borel complete if every Borel ultrafilter with cip has nonempty intersection.

Theorem 1.3. Borel complete  $\Rightarrow$  closed complete  $\Rightarrow$  pure  $\Rightarrow$  isocompact.

Proof. The first implication appears in [6],
Theorem 1.1. The second is clear, as in a closed complete
space there are no free closed ultrafilters with cip. The
final implication is Theorem 5 of [1].

The following is shown in [3].

Theorem 1.4. Realcompact spaces are closed complete.

# 2. Pure Spaces

The following is stated by Arhangel'skii without proof:

Theorem 2.1. [1, Proposition 7]. The inverse image of a pure space under a perfect mapping is a pure space.

With the following two constructions we will give necessary and sufficient conditions that the inverse image of a pure space under a closed mapping is a pure space.

Lemma 2.2. If  $f: X \to Y$ , H is a collection of subsets of X,  $F = \{f^{\to}(H): H \in H\}$ , and E is an interlacing  $\delta$ -suspended from F, then there is an interlacing G which is  $\delta$ -suspended from H.

*Proof.* For each  $n \in \omega$ , let  $G_n = \{f \vdash (E) : E \in En\}$ . Then  $G = \{G_n : n \in \omega\}$  is an interlacing which is

 $\delta$ -suspended from H.  $\cup G$  covers X since  $\cup E$  covers Y, and for each  $n \in \omega$  and  $G \in Gn$ , there is some  $E \in En$  with G = F  $\leftarrow$  (E). E is open in  $\cup$ En, so G is open in  $f \leftarrow (\cup En) = \cup Gn$ . We have shown that G is an interlacing. Now, let  $n \in \omega$ , and  $x \in \bigcup Gn$ . Then  $f(x) \in \bigcup En$ , so there is some countable  $F' \subseteq F$  such that  $st(f(x), En) \cap (\cap F') = \emptyset$ . This implies  $f \leftarrow (st(f(x), En)) \cap f \leftarrow (\cap F') = \emptyset$ . However,  $f \leftarrow (st(f(x), En)) = f \leftarrow (\cup \{E: f(x) \in E \in En\}) =$  $\bigcup \{f \stackrel{\leftarrow}{} (E): f(x) \in E \in En\} = \bigcup \{G: x \in G \in Gn\} = st(X, Gn).$ Also, since each  $F \in F'$  is  $f^+$  (H) for some  $H \in H$ , there is an  $H' \subset H$  which is countable and for all  $F \in F'$ ,  $F = f \rightarrow (H)$  for some  $h \in H'$ . Thus  $\cap H' \subset \cap \{f^{+}(f^{+}(H)): H \in H'\} \subset \cap \{f^{+}(F): F \in F'\} =$ f  $\stackrel{\leftarrow}{}$  ( $\cap F$ '). Combining this with the previous two equations we get that  $st(x,Gn) \cap (\cap H') = \emptyset$ . Therefore G is  $\delta$ -suspended from H.

Lemma 2.3. If H is a free closed ultrafilter on X with cip,  $D \in H$ , and D with the subspace topology is pure, then there is an interlacing G which is  $\delta$ -suspended from H.

Proof. Let  $\mathcal{H}_D = \{ \mathbb{H} \cap \mathbb{D} \colon \mathbb{H} \cap \mathcal{H} \}$ .  $\mathcal{H}_D$  is a free closed ultrafilter on D with cip. Since D is pure, there is an interlacing  $G_D = \{G\mathbf{n} \colon \mathbf{n} \in \omega \setminus \{0\}\}$  on D which is  $\delta$ -suspended from  $\mathcal{H}_D$ . Define  $G_0 = \{\mathbb{X} \setminus \mathbb{D}\}$ . Then  $G = \{G\mathbf{n} \colon \mathbf{n} \in \omega \}$  is an interlacing which is  $\delta$ -suspended from  $\mathcal{H}$ . It is clear that G is an interlacing, since  $\cup G_D$  covers D and  $\mathbb{X} \setminus \mathbb{D}$  is open in  $\mathbb{X} \setminus \mathbb{D} = \cup \{\mathbb{X} \setminus \mathbb{D}\}$ . For  $\mathbb{X} \in \cup GO$ ,

 $\emptyset$  = (X\D)  $\cap$  D = st(x,G0)  $\cap$  ( $\cap$ {D}), and {D} is a countable subset of H. For n > 0, x  $\in$   $\cup$  Gn, there is a countable H'  $\subseteq$  H<sub>D</sub>  $\subseteq$  H such that st(x,Gn)  $\cap$  ( $\cap$ H') =  $\emptyset$ . The collection G is therefore  $\delta$ -suspended from H.

Theorem 2.4. The inverse image X of a pure space Y under a closed mapping f is pure if and only if every fiber of f is pure.

Proof. Assume that X is pure. Since f is continuous,
each fiber of f is closed in X. Closed subspaces of pure
spaces are pure [1, Proposition 6].

Conversely, assume that every fiber of f is pure. Let H be a free closed ultrafilter on X with cip. Let  $F = \{f^{\rightarrow}(H): H \in H\}$ . F is a closed ultrafilter on Y with cip. Assume F is free. Then there is an interlacing E which is  $\delta$ -suspended from F. By Lemma 2.2, there is an interlacing G which is  $\delta$ -suspended from H. If F is fixed, then  $\cap F = \{y\}$  for some  $y \in Y$ . Then  $D = f^{\leftarrow}(\{y\})$  is closed, pure, and a member of H since  $y \in f^{\rightarrow}(H)$  for every  $H \in H$ . By Lemma 2.3, H has an interlacing which is  $\delta$ -suspended from it. We conclude that X is pure.

# 3. Closed compelte, Borel complete and realcompact

# spaces

The result analogous to Theorem 2.4 for closed complete spaces is more easily proved. This partially generalzes Theorem 1.5 in [3].

Theorem 3.1. The inverse image X of a closed complete space Y under a closed mapping f is closed complete if and only if every fiber of f is closed complete.

Proof. Assume X is closed complete. Closed subspaces of closed complete spaces are closed complete.

Now assume that every fiber of f is closed complete. Let H be a closed ultrafilter on X with cip. Then  $F = \{f \xrightarrow{\rightarrow} (H) : H \in H\}$  is a closed ultrafilter on Y with cip. Since Y is closed complete, F is fixed; therefore,  $\cap F = \{y\}$  for some  $y \in Y$ . Then  $D = f \xrightarrow{\leftarrow} (\{y\}) \in H$  as in Theorem 2.4.  $H_D = \{H \cap D : H \in H\}$  is a closed ultrafilter on D with cip. Thus  $H_D$  has a nonempty intersection since D is closed complete. However,  $\cap H_D = \cap H$  because  $D \in H$ . H has a nonempty intersection, so X is closed complete.

Borel completeness is a somewhat different property from both pure and closed complete. It is known that compact spaces need not be Borel complete. The space  $2^{\omega_1}$  with the product topology is an easy example [6, Corollary 2.10]. Thus  $f: 2^{\omega_1} \to \{x\}$  is a perfect mapping onto a Borel complete space where the preimage is not Borel complete. However, the following result does hold.

Theorem 3.2. [6, Theorem 2.6]. If  $f: X \to Y$  is one-to-one and Borel measurable, then X is Borel complete if Y is.

This result can be generalized using a proof similar to that of Theorem 3.1. Note that we no longer require the mapping to be continuous or closed.

Theorem 3.3. The inverse image X of a Borel complete space Y under a Borel measurable map f is Borel complete if and only if every fiber of f is Borel complete.

Proof. If X is Borel complete, then the fibers of f are Borel complete since this property is hereditary.

Strong preimage theorems are available in the literature for realcompactness. The following is given by Isiwata in [7].

Theorem 3.4. [7, Theorem 5.3]. Let  $f: X \to Y$  be a Z-mapping with  $C^*$ -embedded realcompact fibers. If Y is realcompact, then so is X.

A Z-mapping is one which maps zero sets into closed sets. It is easily seen that Z-mappings are generalizations of closed mappings. Note, however, that the fibers are C\*-embedded in addition to being realcompact. An immediate corollary to this theorem is the following.

Corollary 3.5. [7, Theorem 5.4]. If  $f: X \to Y$  is a Z-mapping, X is normal, and fibers of f are realcompact, then if Y is realcompact, so is X.

If we consider the relationships between a-realcompact and realcompact spaces, a similar result can be proved.

Theorem 3.6. [3, Corollary 1.10]. A Tychonoff a-realcompact cb space is realcompact.

Theorem 3.7. If  $f: X \to Y$  is a closed mapping with a-realcompact fibers from a Tychonoff cb-space X onto an a-realcompact space Y, then X is realcompact.

Proof. By Theorem 3.1, X is a-realcompact (recall that closed complete and a-realcompact are the same). Then Theorem 3.6 gives the result.

Application of the techniques of this section to the real compactness property are partially successful. The nature of zero sets and Z-filters allow the mappings considered to be only continuous, but an embedding condition is required on the fibers. A subset F of a space X is said to be Z-embedded if for every zero set  $Z \subseteq F$  in the subspace topology, there is a zero set Y in X such that  $Z = F \cap Y$ .

Lemma 3.8. [3, before Theorem 1.7]. If I is a Z-ultrafilter which contains a prime Z-filter with cip, then I has cip.

*Proof.* Let Z' be such a prime filter. Suppose  $\{\text{Zi: } i \in \omega\} \subseteq Z \text{ such that } \cap \{\text{Zi: } i \in \omega\} = \emptyset.$  Choose fi: X \rightarrow I such that each Zi = fi<sup>+</sup>({0}), and let

 $f = \sum \frac{fi}{2^i}$ . For each  $n \in \omega$ , define  $Jn = \{x: f(x) \ge 2^{-n}\}$ 

and  $Kn = \{x: f(x) \le 2^{-n}\}$ . Then  $Jn \cup Kn = X \in Z'$  for every  $n \in \omega$ ; Jn and Kn are also zero sets. For

 $\mathbf{x} \in \bigcap_{\mathbf{i}=0}^{\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{1}} \mathtt{Zi} \ \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) \leq 2^{-\mathbf{n}}, \ \mathsf{so} \ \bigcap_{\mathbf{i}=0}^{\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{1}} \mathtt{Zi} \subseteq \mathtt{Kn} \ \mathsf{and} \ \bigcap_{\mathbf{i}=0}^{\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{1}} \mathtt{Zi} \cap \mathtt{Jn} = \emptyset.$ 

This shows that Jn  $\not\in$  Z' and therefore Kn  $\in$  Z' since Z' is prime. But  $\cap$  Kn =  $\cap$  Zn =  $\emptyset$ , contradicting the  $n\in\omega$ 

fact that I' has cip. Thus I has cip.

Theorem 3.9. Let  $f: X \to Y$  be a continuous mapping with Z-embedded realcompact fibers. If X is Tychonoff and Y is realcompact, then X is realcompact.

Proof. Let Z be a Z-ultrafilter on X with cip. Then  $U = \{Z \subseteq Y: f \xrightarrow{f} (Z) \in Z \text{ and } Z \text{ is a zero set in } Y \}$  is a prime Z-filter on Y with cip [9, Problem 12F] and is contained in a unique Z-ultrafilter U on Y [9, Problem 12E] which, by Lemma 3.8, has cip. Since Y is realcompact, U is fixed. Let D =  $f \xrightarrow{f} (\{y\})$  for some  $y \in \cap U$  and  $Z_D = \{D \cap Z: Z \in Z\}$ . For all  $Z \in Z$ ,  $D \cap Z \neq \emptyset$ , so  $\emptyset \notin Z_D$ . We verify that  $Z_D$  is a Z-filter. Let  $A \in Z_D$  and

let B be a zero set in D such that  $A \subseteq B$ . There exists  $\mathbf{Z_A} \in \mathbf{Z}$  and, since D is Z-embedded in X, a zero set  $\mathbf{Z_B}$  in X such that  $A = D \cap Z_n$  and  $B = D \cap Z_n$ . Now because Z is a Z-filter and  $Z_{A} \in Z$ ,  $Z_{A} \cup Z_{B}$  is also in Z. Thus  $\mathsf{D} \, \cap \, \mathsf{Z}_{\mathsf{A}} \, \subseteq \, \mathsf{D} \, \cap \, \mathsf{Z}_{\mathsf{B}} \, \, \, \mathsf{gives} \, \, \mathsf{B} \, = \, \mathsf{D} \, \cap \, \mathsf{Z}_{\mathsf{B}} \, = \, \, (\mathsf{D} \, \cap \, \mathsf{Z}_{\mathsf{A}}) \, \, \cup \, \, (\mathsf{D} \, \cap \, \mathsf{Z}_{\mathsf{B}}) \, \, = \, \, (\mathsf{D} \, \cap \, \mathsf{Z}_{\mathsf{A}}) \, \, \cup \, \, (\mathsf{D} \, \cap \, \mathsf{Z}_{\mathsf{B}}) \, = \, (\mathsf{D} \, \cap \, \mathsf{Z}_{\mathsf{A}}) \, \, \cup \, \, (\mathsf{D} \, \cap \, \mathsf{Z}_{\mathsf{B}}) \, = \, (\mathsf{D} \, \cap \, \mathsf{Z}_{\mathsf{A}}) \, \, \cup \, \, (\mathsf{D} \, \cap \, \mathsf{Z}_{\mathsf{B}}) \, = \, (\mathsf{D} \, \cap \, \mathsf{Z}_{\mathsf{A}}) \, \, \cup \, \, (\mathsf{D} \, \cap \, \mathsf{Z}_{\mathsf{B}}) \, = \, (\mathsf{D} \, \cap \, \mathsf{Z}_{\mathsf{A}}) \, \, \cup \, \, (\mathsf{D} \, \cap \, \mathsf{Z}_{\mathsf{B}}) \, = \, (\mathsf{D} \, \cap \, \mathsf{Z}_{\mathsf{A}}) \, \, \cup \, \, (\mathsf{D} \, \cap \, \mathsf{Z}_{\mathsf{B}}) \, = \, (\mathsf{D} \, \cap \, \mathsf{Z}_{\mathsf{A}}) \, \, \cup \, \, (\mathsf{D} \, \cap \, \mathsf{Z}_{\mathsf{B}}) \, = \, (\mathsf{D} \, \cap \, \mathsf{Z}_{\mathsf{A}}) \, \, \cup \, \, (\mathsf{D} \, \cap \, \mathsf{Z}_{\mathsf{B}}) \, = \, (\mathsf{D} \, \cap \, \mathsf{Z}_{\mathsf{A}}) \, \, \cup \, \, (\mathsf{D} \, \cap \, \mathsf{Z}_{\mathsf{B}}) \, = \, (\mathsf{D} \, \cap \, \mathsf{Z}_{\mathsf{A}}) \, \, \cup \, \, (\mathsf{D} \, \cap \, \mathsf{Z}_{\mathsf{B}}) \, = \, (\mathsf{D} \, \cap \, \mathsf{Z}_{\mathsf{A}}) \, \, \cup \, \, (\mathsf{D} \, \cap \, \mathsf{Z}_{\mathsf{A}}) \, \, \cup \, (\mathsf{D} \, \cap \, \mathsf{Z}_{\mathsf{B}}) \, = \, (\mathsf{D} \, \cap \, \mathsf{Z}_{\mathsf{A}}) \, \, \cup \, (\mathsf{D} \, \cap \, \mathsf{Z}_{\mathsf{A}}) \, \, \cup \, (\mathsf{D} \, \cap \, \mathsf{Z}_{\mathsf{B}}) \, = \, (\mathsf{D} \, \cap \, \mathsf{Z}_{\mathsf{A}}) \, \, \cup \, (\mathsf{D} \, \cap \, \mathsf{Z}_{\mathsf{A}) \, \, \cup \, (\mathsf{D} \, \cap \, \mathsf{Z}_{\mathsf{A}}) \, \, \cup \, (\mathsf{D} \, \cap \, \mathsf{Z}_{\mathsf{A}) \, \, \cup \, (\mathsf{D} \, \cap \, \mathsf{Z}_{\mathsf{A}}) \, \, \cup \, (\mathsf{D} \, \cap \, \mathsf{Z}_{\mathsf{A}}) \, \, \cup \, (\mathsf{D} \, \cap \, \mathsf{Z}_{\mathsf{A}) \, \, \cup \, (\mathsf{D} \, \cap \, \mathsf{Z}_{\mathsf{A}}) \, \, \cup \, (\mathsf{D} \, \cap \, \mathsf{Z}_{\mathsf{A}) \, \, \cup \, (\mathsf{D} \, \cap \, \mathsf{Z}_{\mathsf$  $D \cap (Z_B \cup Z_A) \in Z_D$ . We have shown that  $Z_D$  is a Z-filter on D. Now let A be a zero set in D such that  $A \cap (D \cap Z) \neq \emptyset$  for every  $Z \in Z$ . There is a zero set  $Z_A$ on X such that A =  $Z_n \cap D$ , so for  $Z \in I$ , the intersection  $z \, \cap \, z_{_{A}} \, \supseteq \, z \, \cap \, D \, \cap \, z_{_{A}} = \, z \, \cap \, D \, \cap \, A$  is nonempty. Since Z is an ultrafilter, we have  $Z_{A} \in \mathcal{I}$ ; hence  $A \in \mathcal{I}_{D}$ , proving that  $Z_{\rm D}$  is a Z-ultrafilter. Clearly  $Z_{\rm D}$  has cip. Since D is realcompact,  $\emptyset \neq \cap Z_D \subseteq \cap Z$ . We conclude that X is realcompact.

An additional question may be posed in this area. In [4], Frolik defines a property which is weaker than realcompact and stronger than a-realcompact. A space is almost realcompact if for every open filter U such that the intersection of the closures of every countable subcollection of U is nonempty,  $\cap \{\overline{U}: U \in U\} \neq \emptyset$ . Does a similar theorem hold for almost realcompact spaces; i.e., is the closed preimage of an almost realcompact space under a mapping with almost realcompact fibers almost realcompact?

## 4. Neat Spaces

Definition [8]. For an ultrafilter H,  $\lambda(H) = \min\{|F|: F \subset H \text{ and } \cap F = \emptyset\}.$ 

Definition [8]. A space X is called neat if for every free closed ultrafilter H with cip on X there is a system  $\langle X\gamma, V\gamma, f\gamma \rangle \gamma \in \Gamma$  such that

- (1)  $\Gamma < \lambda (H)$  and  $\bigcup X\gamma = X$ ,  $\gamma \in \Gamma$
- (2) for each  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ,  $V\gamma$  is an open collection in X and  $X\gamma \subset \cup V\gamma$ ,
- (3) each f $\gamma$ :  $X\gamma \to V\gamma$  is such that if  $A \in [x]^{\leq \omega}$  and  $f\gamma_{\mid A}$  is injective, then  $\overline{A}^{\cup V\gamma} \subseteq \bigcup f\gamma(x)$ ,
- (4) for each  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  and  $x \in X\gamma$ ,  $\exists H \in H$  such that  $f\gamma(x) \cap Xn \cap H = \emptyset$ .

Such a system is called a neat system for H.

The following two results given by Sakai in his paper which introduces the concept of neat spaces suggested the line of investigation leading to the group of pre-image results given in this paper:

Theorem 4.1. [8, Theorem 3.2]. Let f be a closed map from X onto a neat space Y. If each fiber of f is Lindelof, then X is neat.

Theorem 4.2. [8, Theorem 3.7]. Let f be a closed map from X onto a closed complete space Y. If each fiber of f is neat, then X is neat.

By employing techniques used in proving Theorems 4.2 and 2.4, closed complete can be replaced in the statement of 4.2 by pure; using a similar method of proof, one may also substitute property  $\theta L$  described by S. W. Davis in [2]. Either substitution makes a slight strengthening of the theorem. The nature of the definition of  $\lambda(H)$  has so far prevented us from proving a result for neat spaces which is similar to Theorems 2.4 and 3.1.

However, a slight modification to the definition of neat produces a technically stronger property which preserves all the theorems stated for neat save one. In addition, one may prove a theorem in the manner of 2.4 and 3.1 for this new isocompactness property. The author has unfortunately been unable to provide an example of a space which distinguishes the following from neat.

Definition. A space X is called  $\delta$ -neat if for every free closed ultrafilter H with cip on X there is a system  $\langle Xn, Vn, fn \rangle n \in \omega$  such that

- $(1) \quad \cup \quad Xn = X,$
- (2) for each  $n{\in}_{\!\omega}\ {\mathcal V}_n$  is an open collection in X and  $Xn\,\subset\,\cup\ {\mathcal V}_n\,,$
- (3) each fn:  $Xn \to Vn$  is such that if  $A \in [x]^{\leq \omega}$  and  $fn_{\mid A}$  is injective, then  $\overline{A}^{\cup Vn} \subseteq \bigcup_{x \in A} fn(x)$ ,
- (4) for each  $n \in \omega$  and  $x \in Xn$ ,  $\exists H \in H$  such that  $fn(x) \cap Xn \cap H = \emptyset$ .

Such a system is called a  $\delta$ -neat system for H.

Proposition 4.3. The following spaces are  $\delta$ -neat:

- (a) neighborhood F spaces
- (b) spaces satisfying property  $\theta L$
- (c)  $\delta\theta$ -penetrable spaces
- (d) pure spaces

The proof of this proposition is identical to that of [8, Proposition 2.3]. While it is known that spaces which are weakly  $\left[\omega_1,\infty\right)^r$ -refinable are neat [8, Proposition 2.3], it is still unknown whether weakly  $\left[\omega_1,\infty\right)^r$ -refinable spaces are  $\delta$ -neat. Sakai provides a CH example which shows that neat spaces are different from all of those mentioned in Proposition 4.3 as well as weakly  $\left[\omega_1,\infty\right)^r$ -refinable spaces. This example [8, Example 3.8] serves also to distinguish  $\delta$ -neat from these spaces.

Proposition 4.4.  $\delta$ -neat spaces are neat Proof. Since all closed ultrafilters H must have cip,  $\omega$  <  $\lambda$ (H). Otherwise the definition of  $\delta$ -neat corresponds exactly to that of neat.

Theorem 4.5. [8, Theorem 2.6]. Neat spaces are isocompact.

We now show the principal theorem which is true for  $\delta$ -neat spaces but is not yet known for neat spaces. The following preliminary lemma does hold when formulated for neat systems.

Lemma 4.6. Every free closed ultrafilter H with cip on a space X which contains a  $\delta$ -neat subspace of X has a  $\delta$ -neat system for H.

Proof. Let  $H \subseteq H$  be the  $\delta$ -neat subspace, and define  $F = \{H' \subseteq H \colon H' \subseteq H\}$ . Then F is a free closed ultrafilter with cip on H. Let  $\langle Fn, Vn, gn \rangle n \in \omega \setminus \{0\}$  be a  $\delta$ -neat system for F. For each  $n \in \omega \setminus \{0\}$ , let  $wn = \{v \cup (x \setminus H) \colon v \in Vn\}$ , xn = Fn and define  $fn \colon xn \to wn$  by  $fn(x) = gn(x) \cup (x \setminus H)$ . Also let  $x0 = x \setminus H$ ,  $w0 = \{x \setminus H\}$ , and  $f0 \colon x0 \to w0$ . Then  $\langle xn, wn, fn \rangle n \in \omega$  is a  $\delta$ -neat system for H. We need only verify conditions (2) - (4) for n = 0.

- (1)  $\bigcup_{\mathbf{n} \in \omega} \mathbf{X}\mathbf{n} = (\mathbf{X} \setminus \mathbf{H}) \cup (\bigcup_{\mathbf{n} \in \omega} \mathbf{H}\mathbf{n}) = (\mathbf{X} \setminus \mathbf{H}) \cup \mathbf{H} = \mathbf{X},$
- (2)  $X\ H$  is open in X and  $X\ H \subseteq X\ H$ ,
- (3) If  $A \subseteq X \setminus H$ ,  $|A| \le \omega$ , and f0 |A| is injective, then
- $\left|A\right| \; \leq \; 1 \text{, so } \overline{A} \; = \; A \text{.} \quad \text{Thus } \overline{A}^{X \setminus H} \; \subseteq \; X \setminus H \; = \; \underset{\mathbf{x} \in A}{\cup} \; \; \text{fo} \left(\mathbf{x}\right) \text{,}$
- (4) Since  $H \in H$ ,  $fO(x) \cap (X\backslash H) \cap H = \emptyset$ .

Lemma 4.7. Let  $f: X \to Y$  be a closed mapping, H be a free closed ultrafilter on X, and  $F = \{f^{+}(H): H \in H\}$ . If F has a  $\delta$ -neat system  $< Yn, Un, yn > n \in \omega$ , then H has a  $\delta$ -neat system.

Proof. Define, for each  $n \in \omega$ ,  $Xn = f^+(Yn)$ ,  $Vn = \{f^+(U): U \in Un\}$ , and  $fn: Xn \to Vn$  by  $fn(x) = f^+(gn(f(x)))$ . Then  $< Xn, Vn, fn > n \in \omega$  is a  $\delta$ -neat system for H.

(1) and (2) are clear since f is a continuous surjection.

(3) Let  $n \in \omega$ ,  $A \subseteq Xn$ ,  $|A| \le \omega$ . Assume  $fn_{|A}$  is an injection. Then  $gn_{|f}^+(A)$  must also be an injection.

Let 
$$a \in \overline{A}^{\cup Vn} = \overline{A} \cap (\cup Vn)$$
. This gives  $f(a) \in f^{+}(\overline{A}) \cap (\cup Un) = \overline{f^{+}(A)} \cap (\cup Un)$ . Since  $f^{+}(A) \subseteq Yn$ ,  $|f^{+}(A)| \le \omega$ , and  $gn_{|f^{+}(A)}$  is an injection, we have  $\overline{f^{+}(A)} \subseteq Vn \cap (-1) \cap$ 

 $\,\,\,\cup\,\,$  fn(x). Working in the other direction xEA

$$\overline{A}^{\cup Vn} \subseteq \overline{f^{+}(f^{+}(A))}^{\cup Vn} \subseteq f^{+} \left[ \overline{f^{+}(A)}^{\cup Un} \right] \subseteq \bigcup_{x \in A} fn(x).$$

(4) For each  $n \in \omega$  and  $x \in Xn$  there is an  $F \in F$  such that  $gn(f(x)) \cap Yn \cap F = \emptyset$ . Thus, mapping back into X, we get  $fn^+(x) \cap Xn \cap f^+(F) = f^+(gn(f(x))) \cap f^+(Yn) \cap f^+(F) = \emptyset$ . However,  $f^+(F) \in H$ , completing the proof.

Copying the proof of Theorem 2.4, using Lemmas 4.6 and 4.7 to replace Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3 where appropriate, we obtain the following result.

Theorem 4.8. The inverse image X of a  $\delta$ -neat space Y under a closed mapping f is  $\delta$ -neat if and only if every fiber of f is  $\delta$ -neat.

#### References

- A. V. Arhangel'skii, The Star Method, new classes of spaces and countable compactness, Soviet Math Dokl, Vol. 21, Number 7, (1980), 550-554.
- 2 S. W. Davis, A cushioning-type weak covering property, Pacific Journal of Math, Vol. 80, Number 2 (1979), 359-370.
- N. Dykes, Generalizations of realcompact spaces, 3 Pacific Journal of Math, Vol. 33 (1970), 571-581.
- 4 Z. Frolik, A generalization of realcompact spaces, Czechoslovak Math Journal, Vol. 13 (1963), 127-138.
- 5 Leonard Gillman, Meyer Jerison, Rings of continuous functions, D van Nostrand Company, Inc. (1960).
- 6 Anthony W. Hager, George D. Reynolds, M. D. Rice, Borel complete topological spaces, Fund. Math., Vol. 75 (1972), 136-143.
- 7 Takesi Isiwata, Mappings and spaces, Pacific Journal of Math, Vol. 20 (1967), 455-480.
- 8 Masami Sakai, A new class of isocompact spaces and related results, Pacific Journal of Math., Vol. 122, Number 1 (1986), 211-221.
- 9 Stephen Willard, General Topology, Addison-Wesley (1970).

Ohio University

Athens, Ohio 45701