
TOPOLOGY PROCEEDINGS



Volume 14, 1989

Pages 59–74

<http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/>

A GENERALIZATION OF SCATTERED SPACES

by

H. Z. HDEIB AND C. M. PAREEK

Topology Proceedings

Web: <http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/>

Mail: Topology Proceedings
Department of Mathematics & Statistics
Auburn University, Alabama 36849, USA

E-mail: topolog@auburn.edu

ISSN: 0146-4124

COPYRIGHT © by Topology Proceedings. All rights reserved.

A GENERALIZATION OF SCATTERED SPACES

H. Z. Hdeib and C. M. Pareek

1. Introduction

Scattered spaces have been studied by several authors (see [6], [7], [8], [11], [12], [13], [14], [15], [16] and [17]). Recently, in [11], [15] and [17] some generalizations of scattered spaces have been considered and have been extensively studied. Our interest in this topic was stimulated by some questions in [8] and some of the results obtained in [11], [15] and [18].

In this paper, we introduce the concept of ω -scattered spaces as a natural generalization of the concept of scattered spaces. It is proved that in the class of compact Hausdorff spaces the concept of ω -scatteredness of the space coincides with scatteredness. It is noted that ω -scattered need not be scattered in general. Also, the C-scattered spaces introduced in [15] are not comparable with the ω -scattered spaces. We start out by giving a characterization of ω -scattered spaces. Then, a relationship between ω -scatteredness of the space and scatteredness of some extensions is established. This relationship helps us to prove that Lindelöf P^* -spaces are functionally countable and Lindelöf ω -scattered spaces are functionally countable. Later on, we show that for a compact Hausdorff space X , (i) X is scattered, (ii) X is ω -scattered and (iii) X is functionally countable are

equivalent. Finally, some product theorem for a class of Lindelöf spaces have been established, and it is proved that a T_3 , first countable, paracompact, and ω -scattered space is metrizable. The last result improves a result of Wicke and Worrell in [18].

2. Preliminaries

In this section some essential definitions are introduced, notations are explained and some basic facts which are essential in obtaining the main results are stated.

Throughout this paper X denotes a T_1 space. The symbol ω and c denote the cardinal number of integers and reals respectively. The cardinality of any set A is denoted by $|A|$.

Definition 2.1 [9]. A function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is called *barely continuous* if, for every non-empty closed $A \subseteq X$, the restriction $f|_A$ has at least one point of continuity.

Definition 2.2 [8]. A space X is called *functionally countable* if every continuous real valued function on X has a countable image.

Definition 2.3 [8]. Given a topological space (X, T) , $b(X, T)$ will represent the set X with the topology generated by the G_δ -sets of (X, T) . Sometimes T is not mentioned and bX is written instead of $b(X, T)$.

Definition 2.4 [3]. A space X is called a *P-space* if the intersection of countably many open sets is open.

Now, we list some known results which will be helpful in obtaining the main results.

Theorem 2.5 [2]. If X is a regular, Lindelöf, scattered space, then bX is Lindelöf.

Theorem 2.6 [8]. If X is a regular, Lindelöf, P-space, then X is a functionally countable.

Theorem 2.7 [9]. If f is a barely continuous function from a hereditarily Lindelöf space X onto a space Y , then Y is Lindelöf.

Theorem 2.8. If X is a T_2 , Lindelöf, P-space, then X is normal.

3. ω -Scattered Spaces

A space X is called ω -scattered if every non-empty subset A of X has a point x and an open neighborhood U_x of x in X such that $|U_x \cap A| \leq \omega$.

Every scattered space is ω -scattered but the converse is not true, because every countable space is ω -scattered, while the (countable) set of rationals with the usual topology is not scattered.

A space X is C -scattered [15], if every non-empty closed subset A of X has a point with a compact neighborhood in A . The following remark shows that ω -scattered spaces and C -scattered spaces are not comparable.

Remark 3.1. The set of rationals Q with usual topology is ω -scattered. However, it is not C -scattered since no point of Q has a compact neighborhood.

The set of reals \mathbb{R} with usual topology is C -scattered (in fact, it is locally compact) but not ω -scattered.

A point x of a space X is called a *condensation point* of the set $A \subseteq X$ if every neighborhood of the point x contains an uncountable subset of A .

Definition 3.2 [4]. A subset A of a space X is called ω -closed if it contains all of its condensation points. The complement of an ω -closed set is called ω -open.

Observe that $A \subseteq X$ is ω -open iff for each x in A there is an open set U in X containing x such that $|U - A| \leq \omega$.

The next theorem characterizes ω -scattered spaces.

Theorem 3.3. For any space X the following are equivalent:

- (i) X is ω -scattered.
- (ii) Every nonempty ω -closed subset A of X contains a point x which is not a condensation point.
- (iii) There exists a well ordering \leq of X such that for each $x \in X$, the set $A_x = \{y \in X \mid y \leq x\}$ has the property that for each $y \in A_x$ there exists an open set

U_y containing y such that $|U_y \cap (X - A_x)| \leq \omega$, i.e., for each $x \in X$, the set A_x is ω -open.

Proof. (i) \rightarrow (ii) is obvious.

(ii) \rightarrow (iii). Let X be a space in which every nonempty closed subset has a point which is not a condensation point. Then X has a point x_1 which is not a condensation point. Now, $X - \{x_1\}$ is ω -closed in X and therefore $X - \{x_1\}$ has a point x_2 which is not a condensation point. Then $X - \{x_1, x_2\}$ is ω -closed. Finally, using transfinite induction one can complete the proof.

(iii) \rightarrow (i). Let A be any nonempty subset of X . Since X is well ordered, A has a first element, say x_0 . Now, by the hypothesis $A_{x_0} = \{y \in X \mid y \leq x_0\}$ is ω -open. Hence, X is ω -scattered.

Definition 3.4 [5]. A function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is called ω -continuous at $x \in X$ if for every open set V containing $f(x)$ there is an ω -open set U containing x such that $f(U) \subseteq V$. If f is ω -continuous at each point of X , then f is ω -continuous on X . A function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is called *barely ω -continuous* if for every non-empty closed subset A of X , $f|_A$ has at least one point of ω -continuity.

The following theorem provides a basic tool to obtain some of the main results.

Theorem 3.5. If (X, T) is a topological space and T_ω is the topology on X having as a base $\{U - C \mid U \in T \text{ and}$

C is finite or countable}, then for any $A \subset X$ the following holds:

- (i) A is ω -open if and only if A is open in (X, T_ω) , i.e., $A \in T_\omega$.
- (ii) A is ω -closed if and only if A is closed in (X, T_ω) , i.e., $X - A \in T_\omega$.
- (iii) $f: (X, T) \rightarrow Y$ is ω -continuous if and only if $f: (X, T_\omega) \rightarrow Y$ is continuous.
- (iv) $f: (X, T) \rightarrow Y$ is barely ω -continuous if and only if $f: (X, T_\omega) \rightarrow Y$ is barely continuous.

The proof is straightforward.

Theorem 3.6. If (X, T) is Lindelöf, then (X, T_ω) is Lindelöf.

The proof is straightforward, therefore left for the reader.

Theorem 3.7. If $f: (X, T) \rightarrow Y$ is barely ω -continuous and (X, T) is hereditarily Lindelöf, then Y is Lindelöf.

Proof. It follows from theorem 3.6 that (X, T_ω) is hereditarily Lindelöf. By theorem 3.5, $f: (X, T_\omega) \rightarrow Y$ is barely continuous. Hence by theorem 2.7, Y is Lindelöf.

Theorem 3.8. (X, T) is ω -scattered if and only if (X, T_ω) is scattered.

The proof is obvious by the Theorem 3.5.

Definition 3.9 [4]. A space X is called a P^* -space if the intersection of countably many open sets is ω -open.

Theorem 3.10. If (X, T) is a T_2 , Lindelöf P^* -space, then (X, T) is functionally countable.

Proof. Suppose (X, T) is a Lindelöf P^* -space, then by Theorem 3.6, (X, T_ω) is Lindelöf. Now, (X, T_ω) is a T_2 , Lindelöf P -space. Thus, by Theorem 2.8, (X, T_ω) is normal. Hence, by Theorem 2.6, (X, T_ω) is functionally countable. Let $f: (X, T_\omega) \rightarrow (X, T)$ be the identity function. Then, f is continuous. Since (X, T_ω) is functionally countable, it is easy to see that (X, T) is functionally countable.

Theorem 3.11. (X, T) is ω -scattered if and only if every function f on (X, T) is barely ω -continuous.

Proof. Suppose (X, T) is ω -scattered. Let $f: (X, T) \rightarrow Y$ be a function from (X, T) onto an arbitrary space Y . Let A be any ω -closed subset of X . Then, A contains a point x_0 which is not a condensation point by Theorem 3.3. Now, it is easy to conclude that $f|_A$ is ω -continuous at x_0 . Hence, f is barely ω -continuous.

For the converse, suppose that any function f from (X, T) onto any space is barely ω -continuous. So, in particular the identity function i_x from (X, T) onto X with discrete topology is barely ω -continuous. Let A be any non-empty ω -closed subset of X . Then, $i_x|_A$ is ω -continuous at some y in A , i.e., there is an ω -open set U such that $U \cap A = i_x^{-1}(i_x(y)) = \{y\}$. Hence, (X, T_ω) is scattered. Therefore, by Theorem 3.8 (X, T) is ω -scattered.

Notation. Let X be a topological space. Let $X^{(0)} = X$. Let $X^{(1)}$ denote the collection of condensation points of X . With $X^{(\alpha)}$ for an ordinal α , let $X^{(\alpha+1)} = (X^{(\alpha)})^{(1)}$. If α is a limit ordinal, let $X^{(\alpha)} = \bigcap_{\beta < \alpha} X^{(\beta)}$.

It is easy to see that X is ω -scattered if and only if $X^{(\alpha)} = \emptyset$ for some α .

Theorem 3.12. If X is a Lindelöf ω -scattered space then bX is Lindelöf.

Proof. Let α be an ordinal such that $X^{(\alpha)} = \emptyset$. α exists because X is ω -scattered. If $\alpha = 1$, then it is easy to see that X is countable because X is Lindelöf. Hence the result follows. Suppose we have proved the result for all $\beta < \alpha$. That is, if $\beta < \alpha$ and $X^{(\beta)} = \emptyset$, then bX is Lindelöf.

Case 1. There is $\beta < \alpha$ such that $\beta + 1 = \alpha$ and $X^{(\alpha)} = \emptyset$. It is easy to see that $X^{(\beta)}$ is a countable closed subset of X . Consider the open cover $U = \{X - X^{(\beta)}\} \cup \{U_x \mid x \in X^{(\beta)}\}$ where $|U_x \cap X^{(\beta)}| \leq \omega$ for each x and U_x is open in X containing x . Since X is regular, there exists an open cover H of X such that the closure of members of H refines U . X is Lindelöf implies H has a countable subcover V . Now if $V \in V$ and $\bar{V} \subseteq X - X^{(\beta)}$ then $\bar{V}^{(\beta)} = \emptyset$, i.e. $b\bar{V}$ is Lindelöf by the inductive assumption. Let $V' = \{\bar{V} \mid V \in V, \text{ and } \bar{V} \subseteq X - X^{(\beta)}\}$. Since $X^{(\beta)}$ is countable we have $bX^{(\beta)}$ is Lindelöf. Now $M = \{X^{(\beta)}\} \cup V'$ is countable closed cover

of X such that for each $M \in \mathcal{M}$ we have bM is Lindelöf.

Hence bX is Lindelöf.

$$\text{Case 2. } X^{(\alpha)} = \bigcap_{\beta < \alpha} X^{(\beta)} = \phi.$$

Consider the cover $\mathcal{U} = \{X - X^{(\beta)} \mid \beta < \alpha\}$ of X . Since X is regular, there exists an open cover \mathcal{H} of X such that the closures of members of \mathcal{H} refines \mathcal{U} . X is Lindelöf implies \mathcal{H} has a countable subcover \mathcal{V} . Then for each $V \in \mathcal{V}$, \bar{V} is in some $X - X^{(\beta)}$ for $\beta < \alpha$. Hence, for each $V \in \mathcal{V}$, $\bar{V}^{(\beta)} = \phi$. By the inductive assumption, for each $V \in \mathcal{V}$, $b\bar{V}$ is Lindelöf. Therefore, bX is Lindelöf.

Theorem 3.13. (i) If (X, T) is a regular, Lindelöf, ω -scattered space, then (X, T) is functionally countable.

(ii) If X is a regular, Lindelöf, ω -scattered space such that each point of X is a G_δ -set, then $|X| \leq \omega$.

Proof. (i) It follows from Theorem 3.12 that $b(X, T)$ is Lindelöf. Also $b(X, T)$ is a T_2 P-space. Hence by Theorem 2.6 and 2.8, $b(X, T)$ is functionally countable. Let $f: b(X, T) \rightarrow (X, T)$ be the identity function. Then, f is continuous. Since $b(X, T)$ is functionally countable, (X, T) is functionally countable.

The proof of (ii) follows easily from the Theorem 3.12.

Theorem 3.14. If (X, T) is hereditarily Lindelöf ω -scattered space, then (X, T) is countable.

Proof. Suppose (X, T) is hereditarily Lindelöf ω -scattered space. Let i_x be the identity function from

(X, T) into X with discrete topology. Then i_x is barely ω -continuous. Hence, by Theorem 3.7, $i_x(X)$ is Lindelöf. Therefore, X is countable.

In [8], the following theorem is attributed to Rudin [13] and Pelczyński and Semadeni [12].

Theorem 3.15. For a compact Hausdorff space the following are equivalent:

- (i) X is scattered.
- (iii) X is functionally countable.

It is natural to ask whether Theorem 3.15 remains true if we replace scattered by ω -scattered. The following theorem gives an affirmative answer to this question.

Theorem 3.16. For a compact Hausdorff space X the following are equivalent:

- (i) X is scattered.
- (ii) X is ω -scattered.
- (iii) X is functionally countable.

Proof. (i) \rightarrow (ii) is obvious
(ii) \rightarrow (iii). It follows from Theorem 3.13.
(iii) \rightarrow (i) follows from Theorem 3.15.

4. Product of Lindelöf ω -Scattered Spaces

Theorem 4.1. If bX and Y are Lindelöf spaces, then $X \times Y$ is Lindelöf.

The proof that $bX \times Y$ is Lindelöf follows an argument similar to the one used in ([6], Vol. II, page 16)

to prove that the product of two compact spaces is compact. Since $X \times Y$'s topology is weaker than $bX \times Y$'s, $X \times Y$ is Lindelöf.

Theorem 4.2. If X is a regular, Lindelöf, ω -scattered space and Y is any Lindelöf space, then $X \times Y$ is Lindelöf.

Proof. It follows from Theorem 3.12 that bX is Lindelöf. Hence, by Theorem 4.1, $X \times Y$ is Lindelöf.

Corollary 4.3. A finite product of Lindelöf ω -scattered spaces is Lindelöf.

In [10], it was shown that a countable product of Lindelöf P-spaces is Lindelöf. Using this result we can obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 4.4. A countable product of regular, Lindelöf, ω -scattered spaces is Lindelöf.

Proof. Let $\{X_n \mid n \leq \omega\}$ be a family of Lindelöf ω -scattered spaces. Then, by Theorem 3.12, each bX_n is a Lindelöf. Hence $\prod_{n \leq \omega} bX_n$ is Lindelöf. Since $\prod_{n \leq \omega} bX_n$ maps continuously onto $\prod_{n \leq \omega} X_n$, we obtain that $\prod_{n \leq \omega} X_n$ is Lindelöf.

In [7], Kunen proved that if each X_n is a Hausdorff compact scattered space, then the box product $\square_{n \leq \omega} X_n$ is c-Lindelöf.

In view of Theorem 3.16, we can state Kunen's result as follows:

Theorem 4.5. If each X_n is a Hausdorff compact, ω -scattered space, then the box product $\prod_{n < \omega} X_n$ is c -Lindelöf.

5. Metrizable of ω -Scattered Spaces

In [18], it was shown that every regular, first countable, paracompact, scattered space is metrizable. In this section, we obtain a generalization of this result using ω -scattered spaces.

Definition 5.1 [11]. A space X is called σ -discrete if it is a union of countably many closed discrete subspaces.

Definition 5.2. A space X is called F_σ -screenable if every open cover of X has a σ -discrete closed refinement.

Definition 5.3. A subset Y of a space X is called locally countable if for each $y \in Y$ there is an open neighborhood U_y in X containing y such that $|U_y \cap Y| \leq \omega$.

Lemma 5.4. If X is F_σ -screenable (or metalindelöf) and locally countable, then X is σ -discrete.

Proof. We prove the lemma when X is F_σ -screenable and locally countable. The other case follows similarly. By the assumptions, X has an open cover $U = \{U_\beta \mid \beta \in \Gamma\}$ such that $|U_\beta| \leq \omega$ for each $\beta \in \Gamma$. X is F_σ -screenable implies there exists a σ -discrete closed refinement $F = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i$ where $F_i = \{F_{i\alpha} \mid \alpha \in \Lambda_i\}$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Since

each U_β is countable and F refines U , we see that $|F_{i\alpha}| \leq \omega$ for each i and α . Hence $F_{i\alpha}$ is σ -discrete for each i and α . Let $F_{i\alpha} = \{x_{ij\alpha} \mid j \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and $G_{ij} = \{x_{ij\alpha} \mid \alpha \in \Lambda_i\}$. Then it is obvious that G_{ij} is discrete, closed and $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} G_{ij}$. Therefore, X is σ -discrete.

Lemma 5.5. *If X is F_σ -screenable and ω -scattered, then X is σ -discrete.*

Proof. Let α be an ordinal such that $X^{(\alpha)} = \phi$. α exists because X is ω -scattered. If $\alpha = 1$, then it is easy to see that X is locally countable and by Lemma 5.4 the result follows. Suppose we have proved the result for all $\beta < \alpha$ and $X^{(\beta)} = \phi$, then X is σ -discrete.

Case 1. There is $\beta < \alpha$ such that $\alpha = \beta + 1$ and $X^{(\alpha)} = \phi$. It is easy to see that $X^{(\beta)}$ is a closed locally countable subset of X . Consider the open cover $U = \{X - X^{(\beta)}\} \cup \{U_x \mid x \in X^{(\beta)}\}$ where $|U_x \cap X^{(\beta)}| \leq \omega$ for each x and U_x is open in X containing x . X is F_σ -screenable implies U has a σ -discrete closed refinement $V = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} V_n$ where $V_n = \{V_{n\lambda} \mid \lambda \in \Lambda_n\}$. Note that each $V_{n\lambda}$ is F_σ -screenable and ω -scattered. Also if $V_{n\lambda} \subseteq X - X^{(\beta)}$ then $V_{n\lambda}^{(\beta)} = \phi$, i.e. $V_{n\lambda}$ is σ -discrete by the inductive assumption. Let $V' = \{V \mid V \in V, \text{ and } V \subseteq X - X^{(\beta)}\}$, then V' covers $X - X^{(\beta)}$. Since $X^{(\beta)}$ is a closed subset of X , it follows by Lemma 5.4 that $X^{(\beta)}$ is σ -discrete. Now $M = \{X^{(\beta)}\} \cup V'$ is a σ -discrete closed cover of X with

each member is σ -discrete. Hence, it is easy to conclude that X is σ -discrete.

$$\text{Case 2. } X^{(\alpha)} = \bigcap_{\beta < \alpha} X^{(\beta)} = \emptyset.$$

Consider the cover $U = \{X - X^{(\beta)} \mid \beta < \alpha\}$ of X . Let V be a σ -discrete closed refinement of U . Then, each $V \in V$ is in some $X - X^{(\beta)}$ for $\beta < \alpha$. Hence $V^{(\beta)} = \emptyset$ for each $V \in V$. Therefore, for each $V \in V$, V is σ -discrete by the inductive assumption. Hence, it is easy to conclude that X is σ -discrete.

Theorem 5.6. If X is a regular, first countable, paracompact, ω -scattered space, then X is metrizable.

Proof. It follows from Lemma 5.5 that X is σ -discrete. Now, it is well known that a σ -discrete first countable space is developable. Thus X is developable. Therefore by Bing's metrization theorem (see [1], p. 408), X is metrizable.

Corollary 5.7 [18]. If X is a regular, first countable, scattered, paracompact space, then X is metrizable.

Finally, we suggest, the following questions.

Question 5.8. Which spaces (X, T) have (X, T_ω) paracompact?

Question 5.9. When are regular Lindelöf, ω -scattered spaces, scattered?

References

- [1] R. Engelking, *General topology*, Warszawa, 1977.
- [2] M. Gewand, *The Lindelöf degree of scattered spaces and their products*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. (series A), 37 (1984), 98-105.
- [3] L. Gillman and M. Jerison, *Rings of continuous functions*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, NJ, 1960.
- [4] H. Z. Hdeib, *ω -closed mappings*, Revista Colombiana de Matematicas, Vol. XVI (1982), 65-78.
- [5] H. Z. Hdeib, *ω -continuous functions*, preprint.
- [6] K. Kuratowski, *Topology*, Vol. I and II, New York-London-Warszawa, 1966.
- [7] K. Kunen, *Paracompactness of box products of compact spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 240 (1978), 307-316.
- [8] R. Levy and M. D. Rice, *Normal P-spaces and G_δ -topology*, Colloquium Mathematicum, 47 (1981), 227-240.
- [9] E. Michael and I. Namioka, *Barely continuous functions*, Bull. de l'acad. Polonaise des sci. serie des sci. math. astr. et phys. 24, (1976), 889-892.
- [10] N. Noble, *Products with closed projections*, II Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 160 (1971), 169-183.
- [11] P. J. Nyikos, *Covering properties on σ -scattered spaces*, Top. Proc. 2 (1977), 509-542.
- [12] A. Pelczynski and Z. Semadeni, *Spaces of continuous functions (III) (Spaces $C(\Omega)$ for Ω without perfect sets)*, Studia Mathematica 18 (1959), 211-222.
- [13] W. Rudin, *Continuous functions on compact spaces without perfect subsets*, Proceedings of the American Math. Soc. 8 (1957), 39-42.
- [14] Z. Semadeni, *Sur les ensembles clair semes*, Rozpr. Alatem. 19 (1959), 1-39.
- [15] R. Telgarsky, *C-scattered and paracompact spaces*, Fund. Math. LXXIII, (1971), 59-74.
- [16] R. Telgarsky, *Total paracompactness and paracompact dispersed spaces*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. 16 (1968), 567-572.

- [17] H. H. Wicke and J. M. Worrell, Jr., *Spaces which are scattered with respect to collections of sets*, *Top. Proc.*, 2 (1977), 281-307.
- [18] H. H. Wicke and J. M. Worrell, Jr., *Topological completeness of first countable Hausdorff spaces I*, *Fund. Math.* 75 (1972), 209-222.

Kuwait University

13060 Safat, Kuwait