

---

# TOPOLOGY PROCEEDINGS



Volume 14, 1989

Pages 113–129

---

<http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/>

## SPACES OF CONTINUOUS LINEAR FUNCTIONALS: SOMETHING OLD AND SOMETHING NEW

by

S. KUNDU

---

### Topology Proceedings

**Web:** <http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/>

**Mail:** Topology Proceedings  
Department of Mathematics & Statistics  
Auburn University, Alabama 36849, USA

**E-mail:** [topolog@auburn.edu](mailto:topolog@auburn.edu)

**ISSN:** 0146-4124

COPYRIGHT © by Topology Proceedings. All rights reserved.

**SPACES OF CONTINUOUS LINEAR  
FUNCTIONALS: SOMETHING OLD  
AND SOMETHING NEW**

**S. Kundu**

Let  $C(X)$  denote the set of all continuous real-valued functions on a completely regular Hausdorff space  $X$  and  $C^*(X)$  be the set of bounded functions in  $C(X)$ . Let us denote by  $C_k(X)$  (respectively by  $C_p(X)$ ) the set  $C(X)$  topologized with the compact-open (respectively the point-open) topology. Both  $C_k(X)$  and  $C_p(X)$  are locally convex spaces. The locally convex compact-open topology on  $C(X)$  is generated by the collection of seminorms  $\{p_K: K \text{ is a compact subset of } X\}$  where  $p_K(f) = \sup \{|f(x)|: x \in K\}$  for  $f \in C(X)$ . Similarly the locally convex point-open topology on  $C(X)$  is generated by the collection of seminorms  $\{p_F: F \text{ is a finite subset of } X\}$  where  $p_F(f) = \sup \{|f(x)|: x \in F\}$ . Let  $K(X) = \{K \subseteq X: K \text{ is a compact subset of } X\}$  and  $F(X) = \{F \subseteq X: F \text{ is a finite subset of } X\}$ .

Basic open sets in  $C_k(X)$  (respectively in  $C_p(X)$ ) look like  $\langle f, A, \epsilon \rangle = \{g \in C(X): |f(x) - g(x)| < \epsilon \text{ for all } x \in A\}$  where  $f \in C(X)$ ,  $A \in K(X)$  (respectively  $A \in F(X)$ ) and  $\epsilon > 0$ .

Let  $\Lambda_k(X)$  (respectively  $\Lambda_p(X)$ ) be the set of all continuous linear functionals (real-valued functions) on  $C_k^*(X)$  (on  $C_p^*(X)$  respectively). Note since  $C_k^*(X)$  (respectively  $C_p^*(X)$ ) is a dense linear subspace of the

locally convex space  $C_k(X)$  (respectively  $C_p(X)$ ), the set of all continuous linear functionals on  $C_k^*(X)$  (respectively  $C_p^*(X)$ ) equals the set of all continuous linear functionals on  $C_k(X)$  (respectively on  $C_p(X)$ ). In [8], a normed linear space whose underlying set is  $\Lambda_k(X)$  has been studied in detail. In [8], the notation  $\Lambda(X)$  has been used in place of  $\Lambda_k(X)$ . A necessary condition for this normed linear space  $\Lambda_k(X)$  to be complete is that  $C(X) = C^*(X)$ , that is, every real-valued continuous function on  $X$  must be bounded. In this paper, we want to put the problem of completeness of  $\Lambda_k(X)$  in a proper perspective and we show that the problem of completeness of  $\Lambda_k(X)$  is essentially a problem of finding a suitable topology on  $C^*(X)$ . Because of the discussion in this paragraph, from now on, we will be interested only in  $C^*(X)$ . We want to answer the problem of completeness of  $\Lambda_k(X)$  in a more general setting. For this purpose, we first define a new topology on  $C^*(X)$  and we will see that the point-open, compact-open and sup-norm topologies on  $C^*(X)$  are all special cases of this topology.

### 1. A New Topology on $C^*(X)$

Let  $\alpha$  be a collection of subsets of  $X$  which satisfies the following two conditions: (i) each member of  $\alpha$  is  $C^*$ -embedded and (ii) if  $A, B \in \alpha$ , then there exists  $C \in \alpha$  such that  $A \cup B \subseteq C$ .

For each  $A \in \alpha$ , define a seminorm  $p_A$  on  $C^*(X)$  as follows. For  $f \in C^*(X)$ ,  $p_A(f) = \sup \{|f(x)| : x \in A\}$ .

Consider the locally convex topology on  $C^*(X)$  generated by the collection of seminorms  $\{p_A: A \in \alpha\}$ . Because of (ii), for each  $f \in C^*(X)$ ,  $f + U = \{f + V: V \in U\}$  is a neighborhood base at  $f$  where  $U = \{V_{p_A, \epsilon}: A \in \alpha, \epsilon > 0\}$ .

We call this new locally convex topology on  $C^*(X)$   $\alpha$ -topology and the corresponding topological space we denote by  $C^*_\alpha(X)$ . Note when  $\alpha = K(X)$  or  $F(X)$ , we get compact-open or point-open topology on  $C^*(X)$  respectively.

The supremum norm on  $C^*(X)$  is defined as  $\|f\|_\infty = \sup \{|f(x)|: x \in X\}$  for  $f \in C^*(X)$ . This supremum norm generates a finer topology than the  $\alpha$ -topology on  $C^*(X)$ . We denote this normed linear space by  $C^*_\infty(X)$ . If  $\alpha$  contains  $X$ , then  $C^*_\alpha(X) = C^*_\infty(X)$ ; and if, in addition, we assume the members of  $\alpha$  to be closed, then  $C^*_\alpha(X) = C^*_\infty(X)$  only if  $\alpha$  contains  $X$ . (see [7], page 7).

Let  $\Lambda_\alpha(X)$  be the set of all continuous linear functionals (real-valued) on  $C^*_\alpha(X)$  and let  $\Lambda_\infty(X)$  be the set of all continuous linear functionals (real-valued) on  $C^*_\infty(X)$ . Since the sup-norm topology on  $C^*(X)$  is finer than the  $\alpha$ -topology on it,  $\Lambda_\alpha(X) \subset \Lambda_\infty(X)$ . Now  $\Lambda_\infty(X)$  is a normed linear space with the usual conjugate norm, that is, given  $\lambda \in \Lambda_\infty(X)$ , we have a norm  $\|\lambda\|_* = \sup \{|\lambda(f)|: f \in C^*(X), \|f\|_\infty \leq 1\}$  where  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$  is the sup-norm on  $C^*(X)$ . Consequently we can assign this  $\|\cdot\|_*$ -norm on  $\Lambda_\alpha(X)$  to make it a normed linear space  $(\Lambda_\alpha(X), \|\cdot\|_*)$ .

Note  $\Lambda_\infty(X)$  is actually a particular case of  $\Lambda_\alpha(X)$ . Here we also mention another particular  $\Lambda_\alpha(X)$ . Let  $X$  be

a normal Hausdorff space and  $\sigma = \{cl_X A : A \text{ is a } \sigma\text{-compact subset of } X\}$ . Note that  $\sigma$  is closed under finite union because  $\bigcup_{n=1}^k cl_X A_n = cl_X (\bigcup_{n=1}^k A_n)$ . We denote the corresponding  $\Lambda_\alpha(X)$  by  $\Lambda_\sigma(X)$ . While considering  $\Lambda_\sigma(X)$ , we will always assume  $X$  to be a normal Hausdorff space.

## 2. Basic Properties of $\Lambda_\alpha(X)$

Let  $\Lambda_\alpha^+(X) = \{\lambda \in \Lambda_\alpha(X) : \lambda \geq 0\}$  where  $\lambda \geq 0$  provided that  $\lambda(f) \geq 0$  for each  $f \in C^*(X)$  such that  $f \geq 0$ . If  $\lambda \in \Lambda_\alpha(X)$  and  $A$  is a subset of  $X$ , then  $\lambda$  is said to be supported on  $A$  provided that whenever  $f \in C^*(X)$  with  $f|_A = 0$ , then  $\lambda(f) = 0$ . Since  $\lambda$  is linear, this is equivalent to saying that whenever  $f, g \in C^*(X)$  with  $f|_A = g|_A$ , then  $\lambda(f) = \lambda(g)$ .

The next two lemmas can be proved in manners similar to Lemmas 1.1 and 1.2 in [8].

*Lemma 2.1. For each  $\lambda \in \Lambda_\alpha(X)$ , there exists an element  $A$  in  $\alpha$  such that  $\lambda$  is supported on  $A$ . Conversely, if  $\lambda$  is a positive linear functional on  $C^*(X)$  which is supported on an element of  $\alpha$ , then  $\lambda \in \Lambda_\alpha^+(X)$ .*

*Lemma 2.2. Let  $A$  be a closed subset of  $X$ , let  $F \in \alpha$  and let  $\lambda \in \Lambda_\alpha(X)$ . If  $\lambda$  is supported on each of  $A$  and  $F$ , then  $\lambda$  is supported on  $A \cap F$ .*

Now on  $\Lambda_\alpha^+(X)$  we give a topology induced by the metric  $d_*(\lambda, \mu) = \|\lambda - \mu\|_*$  for  $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda_\alpha^+(X)$ .

*Theorem 2.3.*  $(\Lambda_\alpha^+(X), d_*)$  is a closed subspace of  $(\Lambda_\alpha(X), \|\cdot\|_*)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\lambda \in \Lambda_\alpha(X) \setminus \Lambda_\alpha^+(X)$ . Then there exists a  $g \in C^*(X)$  such that  $g \geq 0$  and  $\lambda(g) < 0$ . Let  $r$  be a positive number such that  $\|rg\|_\infty \leq 1$ . Define  $\epsilon = -\frac{r}{2}\lambda(g)$ . Now suppose  $\mu \in \Lambda_\alpha(X)$  is such that  $\|\mu - \lambda\|_* < \epsilon$ . Then  $|\mu(rg) - \lambda(rg)| < \epsilon$  so that  $\mu(g) - \lambda(g) < \frac{\epsilon}{r} = -\frac{1}{2}\lambda(g)$ . Therefore  $\mu(g) < \frac{1}{2}\lambda(g) < 0$  so that  $\mu \in \Lambda_\alpha(X) \setminus \Lambda_\alpha^+(X)$ .

**3. The Completeness of  $\Lambda_\alpha^+(X)$  and  $\Lambda_\alpha(X)$**

The space  $\Lambda_\alpha^+(X)$  is a metric space with the metric  $d_*$ . This space is complete provided that if a sequence in  $\Lambda_\alpha^+(X)$  is a Cauchy sequence with respect to  $d_*$ , then it converges. Likewise the normed linear space  $\Lambda_\alpha(X)$  is complete if it is complete with respect to its norm  $\|\cdot\|_*$ , that is, if it is a Banach space.

We have studied the completeness of  $\Lambda_k(X)$  and  $\Lambda_k^+(X)$  in [8]. We already know that  $\Lambda_\omega(X)$ , being the conjugate space of a normed linear space, is always complete.

To establish that the completeness of  $\Lambda_\alpha^+(X)$  is equivalent to the completeness of  $\Lambda_\alpha(X)$ , we need the following theorem which can be proved like Theorem 2.2 in [8].

*Theorem 3.1.* Each  $\lambda \in \Lambda_\alpha(X)$  can be written as  $\lambda = \lambda^+ - \lambda^-$  where  $\lambda^+$  and  $\lambda^-$  are members of  $\Lambda_\alpha^+(X)$ . Furthermore, if  $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda_\alpha(X)$ , then  $\|\lambda^+ - \mu^+\|_{*\leq} \|\lambda - \mu\|_*$  and  $\|\lambda^- - \mu^-\|_{*\leq} \|\lambda - \mu\|_*$ .

*Theorem 3.2.* The metric space  $\Lambda_{\alpha}^{+}(X)$  is complete if and only if the normed linear space  $\Lambda_{\alpha}(X)$  is complete.

*Proof.* Use Theorems 3.1 and 2.3.

Because of Theorem 3.2, each of the following theorems about  $\Lambda_{\alpha}^{+}(X)$  is also true for  $\Lambda_{\alpha}(X)$ .

*Theorem 3.3.* Suppose  $X$  is infinite and  $F(X) \subseteq \alpha$ . Now if  $\Lambda_{\alpha}^{+}(X)$  is complete, then every countable subset of  $X$  is contained in some member of  $\alpha$ .

*Proof.* Let  $A = \{x_n : n \in \mathbb{IN}\}$  be any countable subset of  $X$ . For each  $m \in \mathbb{IN}$ , define  $\lambda_m : C_{\alpha}^{*}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{IR}$  as follows. For each  $f \in C_{\alpha}^{*}(X)$ , take  $\lambda_m(f) = \sum_{n=1}^m \frac{1}{2^n} f(x_n)$ . Each  $\lambda_m$  is a positive linear functional on  $C_{\alpha}^{*}(X)$  supported on the finite set  $\{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$ . Then by Lemma 2.1,  $\lambda_m$  is continuous. Now for each  $k$  and  $m$  with  $k < m$ ,  $d_{*}(\lambda_k, \lambda_m) = \|\lambda_k - \lambda_m\|_{*} \leq \sum_{n=k+1}^m \frac{1}{2^n}$ . Therefore  $(\lambda_m)$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $\Lambda_{\alpha}^{+}(X)$ . Since  $\Lambda_{\alpha}^{+}(X)$  is complete, the  $(\lambda_m)$  converges to some  $\lambda$  in  $\Lambda_{\alpha}^{+}(X)$ . Also  $\lambda_m \rightarrow \lambda$  implies  $\lambda(f) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_m(f) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} f(x_n)$  for all  $f \in C_{\alpha}^{*}(X)$ .

Now suppose  $\lambda$  has a support  $Y$  which belongs to  $\alpha$ . We show that  $A \subseteq Y$ . Suppose not, then there is some  $m$  such that  $x_m \notin Y$ . Since  $X$  is completely regular, there is some continuous function  $f$  on  $X$  with values in the unit interval  $I$  such that  $f(x_m) = 1$  and  $f(Y) = \{0\}$ . Since  $\lambda$  is supported on  $Y$ ,  $\lambda(f) = 0$ . But  $\lambda(f) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} f(x_n) \geq \frac{1}{2^m} f(x_m) = \frac{1}{2^m} > 0$ . With this contradiction, it follows that  $A \subseteq Y$ .

*Corollary 3.4.* If  $X$  is infinite, then  $\Lambda_p^{+}(X)$  and  $\Lambda_p(X)$  are not complete.

*Theorem 3.5.* If the closure of each countable union of elements of  $\alpha$  belongs to  $\alpha$ , then  $\Lambda_{\alpha}^{+}(X)$  is complete.

*Proof.* Let  $(\lambda_n)$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $\Lambda_{\alpha}^{+}(X)$ . Consider  $\Lambda_{\alpha}^{+}(X)$  as a subspace of the complete metric space  $\Lambda_{\infty}^{+}(X)$ . Then  $(\lambda_n)$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $\Lambda_{\infty}^{+}(X)$  and hence converges to some  $\lambda$  in  $\Lambda_{\infty}^{+}(X)$ . Suppose each  $\lambda_n$  is supported on  $A_n$  where  $A_n \in \alpha$ . We show that  $\lambda$  is supported on  $A = \text{cl}_X(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n)$ . Let  $f \in C^*(X)$  with  $f|_A = 0$ . Since each  $\lambda_n$  is supported on  $A_n \subseteq A$ , then each  $\lambda_n(f) = 0$  and consequently  $\lambda(f) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n(f) = 0$ . Therefore  $\lambda$  has support  $A$ . But by hypothesis  $A \in \alpha$ . Hence by Lemma 2.1  $\lambda \in \Lambda_{\alpha}^{+}(X)$ . So  $\Lambda_{\alpha}^{+}(X)$  is complete.

*Corollary 3.6.* Suppose  $X$  is a normal Hausdorff space. Then  $\Lambda_{\sigma}^{+}(X)$  is always complete.

*Proof.* Suppose for each  $n$ ,  $A_n$  is a  $\sigma$ -compact subset of  $X$ . Then  $\text{cl}_X(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{cl}_X A_n) = \text{cl}_X(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n) \in \sigma$ .

#### 4. Measure Theoretic-Counterparts

In this section, we will talk about the measure-theoretic counterparts of  $\Lambda_{\alpha}(X)$  and  $\Lambda_{\infty}(X)$  with some extra conditions on  $\alpha$  and  $X$ . So now we introduce some ideas from measure theory.

The algebra generated by the closed sets of  $X$  are denoted by  $A_c$  while the  $\sigma$ -algebra they generate is denoted by  $B$ , called the *Borel sets*.

For us a *finitely additive measure* (also called *signed measure*) on  $A_c$  is a real-valued function defined



on  $A_C$  satisfying the following two properties (i)  $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$ ;  
(ii)  $\mu(A \cup B) = \mu(A) + \mu(B)$  if  $A, B \in A_C$  and  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ .

A finitely additive measure  $\mu$  is called a countably additive measure or simply a measure provided that

(iii)  $\mu(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_n)$  for all pairwise disjoint sequences  $(A_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  such that  $A_n \in A_C$  and  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \in A_C$ .

When a measure  $\mu$  is defined on  $\mathcal{B}$ , we call it a Borel

measure. A measure  $\mu$  defined on  $\mathcal{B}$  has support  $A$  where

$A \subseteq X$  and  $A \in \mathcal{B}$  if  $|\mu|(X \setminus A) = 0$ . A finitely additive

measure  $\mu$  defined on  $A_C$  or  $\mathcal{B}$  is regular whenever  $A$  is in

the domain of definition of  $\mu$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ , there are closed

and open sets  $C$  and  $U$  such that  $C \subseteq A \subseteq U$  and  $|\mu|(U \setminus C) < \epsilon$ .

Note when  $\mu$  has compact support, this definition of regu-

larity coincides with the one usually given in the books

on measure theory. For more information on measure

theory see [4] and [6].

Now we fix some notations.

A (signed) measure  $\mu$  defined on  $\mathcal{B}$  is said to be a

finite (signed) measure if  $|\mu(A)| < \infty$  holds for each  $A \in \mathcal{B}$ .

It can be shown that a signed measure  $\mu$  is finite if and

only if  $|\mu|(X) < \infty$ . So a finite signed measure defined

on  $\mathcal{B}$ , has finite total variation. For details on the

above, see [1], 26.

Now let  $M_{\mathcal{B}}(X)$  be the set of all finite (signed)

regular Borel measures on  $X$ . Let  $M_{\mathcal{B}}^+(X) = \{\mu \in M_{\mathcal{B}}(X) :$

$\mu \geq 0$ , that is,  $\mu$  is a positive measure}. Throughout

the remaining part of this paper we will assume the fol-

lowing extra condition on  $\alpha$ : the members of  $\alpha$  are closed.

Now define  $M_{b,\alpha}(X) = \{\mu \in M_b(X) : \mu \text{ has a support } A(\subseteq X) \text{ such that } A \in \alpha\}$ . Let  $M_{b,\alpha}^+(X) = \{\mu \in M_{b,\alpha}(X) : \mu \geq 0\}$ . When  $\alpha = K(X)$  or  $F(X)$ , we write  $M_{b,k}(X)$  or  $M_{b,p}(X)$  respectively.

The next thing to observe is that given  $\mu \in M_b(X)$ ,  $\|\mu\| = |\mu|(X)$  defines a norm on  $M_b(X)$ . So  $(M_b(X), \|\cdot\|)$  is actually a normed linear space. Also  $M_b^+(X)$  is a metric space when equipped with the norm  $p$  given by  $p(\mu_1, \mu_2) = \|\mu_1 - \mu_2\|$  for every  $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in M_b^+(X)$ . Note  $(M_{b,\alpha}(X), \|\cdot\|)$  is a normed linear space while  $(M_{b,\alpha}^+(X), p)$  is a metric space.

Before having our first theorem in this section, we need the following two lemmas.

*Lemma 4.1.* Suppose  $Y$  is a Borel subset of a completely regular Hausdorff space  $X$ . Let  $\mathcal{B}(X)$  and  $\mathcal{B}(Y)$  be the  $\sigma$ -algebras of Borel subsets of  $X$  and  $Y$  respectively. Then  $\mathcal{B}(X) \cap Y = \mathcal{B}(Y)$  where  $\mathcal{B}(X) \cap Y = \{B \cap Y : B \in \mathcal{B}(X)\}$ .

*Proof.* Define  $\mathcal{D} = \{A \in \mathcal{P}(X) : A = E \cup (B \setminus Y); E \in \mathcal{B}(Y) \text{ and } B \in \mathcal{B}(X)\}$  where  $\mathcal{P}(X)$  is the power set of  $X$ . Note  $X \setminus (E \cup (B \setminus Y)) = (Y \setminus E) \cup ((X \setminus (B \setminus Y)) \setminus Y)$ . Now it can be easily shown that  $\mathcal{D}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $X$  containing all the closed subsets of  $X$ . Hence  $\mathcal{B}(X) \subseteq \mathcal{D}$ . So  $\mathcal{B}(X) \cap Y \subseteq \mathcal{D} \cap Y$ . But  $\mathcal{D} \cap Y = \mathcal{B}(Y)$ . So  $\mathcal{B}(X) \cap Y \subseteq \mathcal{B}(Y)$ . Note  $\mathcal{B}(X) \cap Y$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $Y$  and if  $C$  is a closed subset of  $Y$ , then  $C = C' \cap Y$  for some closed subset  $C'$  of  $X$  which means  $C \in \mathcal{B}(X) \cap Y$ . Hence  $\mathcal{B}(Y) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(X) \cap Y$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{B}(X) \cap Y = \mathcal{B}(Y)$ .

*Lemma 4.2.* If  $A$  is a compact subset of a completely regular Hausdorff space  $X$ , then for every closed set  $B \subseteq X \setminus A$ , there exists a continuous function  $f: X \rightarrow I$  such that  $f(x) = 0$  for  $x \in A$  and  $f(x) = 1$  for  $x \in B$ .

*Proof.* See [5], page 168.

*Theorem 4.3.* Suppose  $\alpha \subseteq K(X)$ , that is, the members of  $\alpha$  are compact. Then  $(M_{\mathcal{B}, \alpha}(X), \|\cdot\|)$  is isometrically isomorphic to  $(\Lambda_{\alpha}(X), \|\cdot\|_*)$  while  $M_{\mathcal{B}, \alpha}^+(X)$  is identified with  $\Lambda_{\alpha}^+(X)$  under this isometric isomorphism.

*Proof.* Define  $F: M_{\mathcal{B}, \alpha}(X) \rightarrow \Lambda_{\alpha}(X)$  by  $F(\mu)(f) = \int f \, d\mu$  for each  $\mu \in M_{\mathcal{B}, \alpha}(X)$  and  $f \in C_{\alpha}^*(X)$ . Let  $K$  be a compact support of  $\mu$  belonging to  $\alpha$ , that is,  $|\mu|(X \setminus K) = 0$  and  $K \in \alpha$ . Then for each  $f \in C_{\alpha}^*(X)$ ,  $|F(\mu)(f)| = |\int f \, d\mu| = |\int_K f \, d\mu| \leq \int_K |f| \, d|\mu| \leq |\mu|(K) \cdot p_K(f)$  and so  $F(\mu)$  is continuous. Clearly  $F(\mu)$  is linear. Hence  $F(\mu) \in \Lambda_{\alpha}(X)$ .

Also  $\|F(\mu)\|_* \leq \sup \{|\mu|(K) p_K(f) : f \in C^*(X), \|f\|_{\infty} \leq 1\}$   
 $= |\mu|(K) = \|\mu\|.$

Now we prove the reverse inequality, that is,  
 $\|\mu\| \leq \|F(\mu)\|_*.$

Note  $|\mu|(K) = \sup \{\sum |\mu|(A_i) : \{A_i\} \text{ is a finite disjoint collection of } \mathcal{B} \text{ with } \cup A_i \subseteq K\}$ . So given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exist  $A_1, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{B}$  such that  $A_i$ 's are pairwise disjoint and  $\sum_{i=1}^n |\mu|(A_i) > |\mu|(K) - \epsilon$ . Since  $\mu$  is regular there exist compact sets  $C_i$  and open sets  $U_i$  such that  $C_i \subseteq A_i \subseteq U_i$  and  $|\mu|(U_i \setminus C_i) < \epsilon/n$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Since the compact subsets  $C_i$ 's are pairwise disjoint, pairwise disjoint open sets  $V_i$  exist such that  $C_i \subseteq V_i$ . Now let

$W_i = U_i \cap V_i$ . Then  $C_i \cap (X \setminus W_i) = \emptyset$ . Hence by Lemma 4.2, there exists a continuous function  $f_i: X \rightarrow I$  such that  $f_i(C_i) = \{1\}$  and  $f_i(X \setminus W_i) = 0$ . Let  $a_i = \frac{|\mu(A_i)|}{\mu(A_i)}$  if  $\mu(A_i) \neq 0$  and if  $|\mu(A_i)| = 0$ , let  $a_i = 0$ . Let  $f = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i f_i$ . Since  $W_i$ 's are pairwise disjoint,  $\|f\|_\infty \leq 1$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now } & \left| \int f \, d\mu - \sum_{i=1}^n |\mu(A_i)| \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \int f_i \, d\mu - \sum_{i=1}^n |\mu(A_i)| \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \int_{W_i} f_i \, d\mu - \sum_{i=1}^n |\mu(A_i)| \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{i=1}^n [a_i \int_{C_i} f_i \, d\mu - |\mu(A_i)|] + \right. \\ &\quad \left. \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \int_{W_i \setminus C_i} f_i \, d\mu \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{i=1}^n [a_i \mu(C_i) - a_i \mu(A_i)] + \right. \\ &\quad \left. \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \int_{W_i \setminus C_i} f_i \, d\mu \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n |a_i| |\mu(C_i) - \mu(A_i)| + \\ &\quad \sum_{i=1}^n |a_i| \int_{W_i \setminus C_i} |f_i| \, d|\mu| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n |\mu(A_i \setminus C_i)| + \sum_{i=1}^n |\mu|(W_i \setminus C_i) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n |\mu|(A_i \setminus C_i) + \sum_{i=1}^n |\mu|(W_i \setminus C_i) \\ &< n \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{n} + n \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{n} = 2\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

So  $\|F(\mu)\|_* \geq \left| \int f \, d\mu \right| > \sum_{i=1}^n |\mu(A_i)| - 2\varepsilon > |\mu|(K) - 3\varepsilon = \|\mu\| - 3\varepsilon$ . Therefore  $\|\mu\| - 3\varepsilon < \|F(\mu)\|_* \leq \|\mu\|$ . Hence  $\|F(\mu)\|_* = \|\mu\|$ , that is,  $F$  is an isometry.

Now we need to show that  $F$  is onto. Suppose  $\lambda \in \Lambda_Q(X)$ . Then  $\lambda$  can be written as  $\lambda = \lambda^+ - \lambda^-$  where

$\lambda^+, \lambda^- \in \Lambda_\alpha^+(X)$ . Now if  $\lambda$  has a compact support  $K$  belonging to  $\alpha$ , then both  $\lambda^+$  and  $\lambda^-$  have compact support  $K$ . To show  $F$  is onto, we try to get  $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in M_{b,\alpha}^+(X)$  such that  $\lambda^+ = F(\mu_1)$  and  $\lambda^- = F(\mu_2)$ . So  $\lambda = \lambda^+ - \lambda^- = F(\mu_1) - F(\mu_2) = F(\mu_1 - \mu_2) = F(\mu)$  where  $\mu = \mu_1 - \mu_2 \in M_{b,\alpha}(X)$ . So we just need to consider  $\lambda^+$ . Define  $\lambda_K^+ : C_\infty^*(K) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  as follows. For each  $f \in C_\infty^*(K)$ , choose an  $f_K \in C_\alpha^*(X)$  such that  $f_K|_K = f$ . Then define  $\lambda_K^+(f) = \lambda^+(f_K)$ . Since  $\lambda^+$  is supported on  $K$ ,  $\lambda_K^+$  is well-defined. Also since  $\lambda^+$  is linear, so is  $\lambda_K^+$ . Finally  $\lambda_K^+$  is continuous since  $\sup \{|\lambda_K^+(f)| : f \in C^*(K), \|f\|_\infty \leq 1\} = \sup \{|\lambda^+(f)| : f \in C^*(X), \|f\|_\infty \leq 1\} = \|\lambda^+\|_* < \infty$ . By the Riesz Representation Theorem (see [1]), there exists a  $\mu_K \in M_b^+(K)$  such that  $\lambda_K^+(f) = \int_K f \, d\mu_K$  for all  $f \in C^*(K)$ .

It only remains to show that an element  $\mu_1 \in M_b^+(X)$  can be found such that  $\mu_1(B) = \mu_K(B \cap K)$  for all  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ . Then  $\mu_1$  would be supported on  $K$  so that  $\mu_1$  would be in  $M_{b,\alpha}^+(X)$  and thus for each  $f \in C^*(X)$ ,  $\lambda^+(f) = \lambda_K^+(f|_K) = \int_K f|_K \, d\mu_K = \int f \, d\mu_1 = F(\mu_1)(f)$  which shows that  $\lambda^+ = F(\mu_1)$ .

First observe that because of Lemma 4.1,  $\mu_1$  is well-defined on  $\mathcal{B}$ . So we only need to show that  $\mu_1$  is regular. Let  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  and let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Since  $\mu_K$  is regular, there exists a compact subset  $C$  of  $K$  and an open subset  $U$  of  $K$  such that  $C \subseteq B \cap K \subseteq U$  and  $\mu_K(U \setminus C) < \epsilon$ . Let  $V = U \cup (X \setminus K)$  which is open in  $X$ . Then  $C \subseteq B \subseteq V$  and  $\mu_1(V \setminus C) = \mu_K((V \setminus C) \cap K) = \mu_K(U \setminus C) < \epsilon$ . Therefore  $\mu_1$  is regular and is thus an element of  $M_{b,\alpha}^+(X)$ .

Note when  $\alpha = F(X)$  or  $K(X)$ , the above theorem tells us what is exactly the measure-theoretic counterpart of  $\Lambda_p(X)$  or of  $\Lambda_k(X)$  respectively. Note that when  $\alpha = F(X)$ ,  $M_{b,\alpha}(X)$  is actually the linear space over  $\mathbb{R}$  generated by the set of Dirac's measures on  $X$ . This fact explains why  $\Lambda_p(X)$  and  $\Lambda_p^+(X)$  cannot be complete because a limit of a Cauchy sequence in  $M_{b,p}(X)$  or in  $M_{b,p}^+(X)$  may converge to a regular Borel measure on  $X$  with infinite support.

Now what is the measure-theoretic counterpart of  $\Lambda_\infty(X)$ ? To answer this question, we introduce a new measure space. Let  $M_C(X)$  be the set of all bounded finitely additive regular measures defined on  $A_C$ . Again  $M_C(X)$  is a normed linear space with the total variation norm. Let  $M_C^+(X) = \{\mu \in M_C(X) : \mu \geq 0\}$ .

*Theorem 4.4.* *If  $X$  is a normal and Hausdorff, then  $(M_C(X), \|\cdot\|)$  is isometrically isomorphic to  $(\Lambda_\infty(X), \|\cdot\|_*)$  while  $M_C^+(X)$  is identified with  $\Lambda_\infty^+(X)$  under this isometric isomorphism.*

*Proof.* See [3], pages 78-83.

But what about the countable additiveness of elements of  $M_C(X)$ ? When  $X$  is countably compact, we have the following answer.

*Theorem 4.4.* *If  $X$  is countably compact and if  $\mu$  is a bounded regular finitely additive measure defined on  $A_C$ , then  $\mu$  is countably additive on  $A_C$ , that is,  $\mu(\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty A_n) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \mu(A_n)$  whenever  $(A_n)$  is a countable family of pairwise*

disjoint sets from  $A_c$  with union in  $A_c$ . Moreover  $\mu$  has a regular countably additive extension to the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  of Borel subsets of  $X$ .

*Proof.* See Theorem 3.11 in [7]. Also see [3].

Now the last theorem can be used to improve Theorem 4.4 to the following version.

*Theorem 4.6.* If  $X$  is countably compact, normal and Hausdorff, then  $M_b(X)$  is isometrically isomorphic to  $\Lambda_\infty(X)$  while  $M_b^+(X)$  is identified with  $\Lambda_\infty^+(X)$  under this isometric isomorphism.

Since  $\Lambda_\alpha(X) \subseteq \Lambda_\infty(X)$ , for a countably compact, normal Hausdorff space, we have the following measure-theoretic counterpart of  $\Lambda_\alpha(X)$ .

*Theorem 4.7.* If  $X$  is countably compact, normal and Hausdorff, then  $M_{b,\alpha}(X)$  is isometrically isomorphic to  $\Lambda_\alpha(X)$  while  $M_{b,\alpha}^+(X)$  is identified with  $\Lambda_\alpha^+(X)$  under this isometric isomorphism.

## 5. Density

The density  $d(X)$  of a space  $X$  is the smallest infinite cardinal number  $m$  such that  $X$  has a dense subset which has cardinality less than or equal to  $m$ . Now a space  $X$  is separable if and only if  $d(X) = \aleph_0$ . If  $X$  is a subspace of a metrizable space  $Y$ , then  $d(X) \leq d(Y)$ .

*Theorem 5.1.* For each space  $X$ ,  $d(\Lambda_\alpha^+(X)) = d(\Lambda_\alpha(X))$ .

*Proof.* Use Theorem 3.1.

*Corollary 5.2.*  $\Lambda_\alpha^+(X)$  is separable if and only if  $\Lambda_\alpha(X)$  is separable.

For each  $x \in X$ , define the evaluation function at  $x$ ,  $\phi_x: C_\alpha^*(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by taking  $\phi_x(f) = f(x)$  for each  $f \in C_\alpha^*(X)$ . Now  $\phi_x$  is a positive linear functional on  $C_\alpha^*(X)$  which is supported on  $\{x\}$ . Now if  $\{x\} \in \alpha$ , then by Lemma 2.1  $\phi_x \in \Lambda_\alpha^+(X)$ .

For the remainder of this section, the notation  $|X|$  stands for the cardinality of  $X$ .

*Theorem 5.3.* Suppose  $F(X) \subseteq \alpha$ . Then  $|X| \leq d(\Lambda_\alpha^+(X))$ .

*Proof.* Since  $F(X) \subseteq \alpha$ ,  $\phi_x \in \Lambda_\alpha^+(X)$  for all  $x \in X$ . Define the evaluation function  $\phi: X \rightarrow \Lambda_\alpha^+(X)$  by taking  $\phi(x) = \phi_x$ . Since  $C^*(X)$  separate points, then  $\phi$  is one-to-one. Therefore  $|\phi(X)| = |X|$ . Now let  $x$  and  $y$  be distinct points of  $X$ . Then  $d_*(\phi_x, \phi_y) = \|\phi_x - \phi_y\|_* = \sup \{|\phi_x(f) - \phi_y(f)| : f \in C^*(X), \|f\|_\infty \leq 1\} = \sup \{|f(x) - f(y)| : f \in C^*(X), \|f\|_\infty \leq 1\} \geq 1$ . So  $\phi(X)$  is a discrete subset of  $\Lambda_\alpha^+(X)$  and hence  $|\phi(X)| \leq d(\phi(X))$ . Therefore  $|X| = |\phi(X)| \leq d(\phi(X)) \leq d(\Lambda_\alpha^+(X))$ .

*Corollary 5.4.* Suppose  $F(X) \subseteq \alpha$  and  $\Lambda_\alpha^+(X)$  is separable. Then  $X$  is countable.

In order to establish a more general theorem on separability of  $\Lambda_\alpha^+(X)$ , we need to discuss the separability of  $M_{b,\alpha}^+(X)$ . Note that the proof of Theorem 3.3 in [8] actually shows that if  $X$  is countable, then  $M_b(X)$  is



separable. So when  $X$  is countable,  $M_{b,\alpha}^+(X)$  and  $M_{b,\alpha}^+(X)$  are also separable.

*Theorem 5.5.* Suppose  $F(X) \subseteq \alpha \subseteq K(X)$ . Then  $\Lambda_\alpha^+(X)$  is separable if and only if  $X$  is countable.

*Proof.* Suppose  $\Lambda_\alpha^+(X)$  is separable. Then by Corollary 5.4,  $X$  is countable. Conversely, let  $X$  be countable. Now since  $\alpha \subseteq K(X)$ , by Theorem 4.3,  $\Lambda_\alpha^+(X)$  is isomorphic to  $M_{b,\alpha}^+(X)$ . So  $d(\Lambda_\alpha^+(X)) = d(M_{b,\alpha}^+(X))$ . Hence  $\Lambda_\alpha^+(X)$  is separable.

Lastly, we talk about the separability of  $\Lambda_\infty(X)$ . Note that Theorem 5.1 gives us  $d(\Lambda_\infty^+(X)) = d(\Lambda_\infty(X))$ . This means that  $\Lambda_\infty(X)$  is separable if and only if  $\Lambda_\alpha^+(X)$  is separable.

*Theorem 5.6.*  $\Lambda_\infty(X)$  is separable if and only if  $X$  is compact and countable.

*Proof.* If  $\Lambda_\infty(X)$  is separable, then  $\Lambda_K(X)$  is separable and so  $X$  is countable. Again, since  $\Lambda_\infty(X)$  is the conjugate space of the normed linear space  $C_\infty^*(X)$ ,  $C_\infty^*(X)$  is separable. But this implies that  $X$  is compact (see [9], page 54). Conversely, let  $X$  be compact and countable. Since  $X$  is compact,  $C_K^*(X) = C_\infty^*(X)$  and consequently  $\Lambda_\infty(X) = \Lambda_K(X)$ . But  $X$  is countable and so  $\Lambda_K(X)$  is separable. Hence  $\Lambda_\infty(X)$  is separable.

## References

1. C. Aliprantis and O. Burkinshaw, *Principle of Real Analysis*, North Holland, New York, 1981.

2. C. Aliprantis and O. Burkinshaw, *Positive Operators*, Academic Press Inc., Orlando, Florida, 1985.
3. E. Beckenstein, L. Narici, C. Suffel, *Topological Algebras*, Notas de Matematica (60), North Holland, New York, 1958.
4. N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz, *Linear Operators, Part 1*, Interscience Publishers Inc., New York, 1958.
5. R. Engelking, *General Topology*, Polish Scientific Publishers, Warszawa, Poland, 1977.
6. E. Hewitt and K. Stromberg, *Real and Abstract Analysis*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1965.
7. S. Kundu, *Dissertation*, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, USA, 1989.
8. S. Kundu, R. A. McCoy and A. Okuyama, *Spaces of Continuous Linear Functionals on  $C_k(X)$* , *Mathematica Japonica* 34, No. 5 (1989).
9. R. A. McCoy and I. Ntantu, *Topological Properties of Spaces of Continuous Functions*, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* 1315, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1988.

Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University

Blacksburg, Virginia 24061