
TOPOLOGY PROCEEDINGS



Volume 14, 1989

Pages 239–247

<http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/>

EACH MAP FROM THE CANTOR SET TO THE PSEUDO-ARC IS NULL PSEUDO-HOMOTOPIC

by

KAZUHIRO KAWAMURA

Topology Proceedings

Web: <http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/>

Mail: Topology Proceedings
Department of Mathematics & Statistics
Auburn University, Alabama 36849, USA

E-mail: topolog@auburn.edu

ISSN: 0146-4124

COPYRIGHT © by Topology Proceedings. All rights reserved.

EACH MAP FROM THE CANTOR SET TO THE PSEUDO-ARC IS NULL PSEUDO-HOMOTOPIC

Kazuhiro Kawamura

1. Introduction

A compact connected metric space is called a *continuum*. K. Kuperberg posed a problem whether the pseudo-arc is pseudo-contractible (University of Houston Problem Book, Problem 31). See below for the definition. In connection with this problem, D. Bellamy [1] constructed a map from the Cantor set onto the pseudo-arc which is null pseudo-homotopic. He also asked ([1], Question 1) whether each map from the Cantor set onto the pseudo-arc is null pseudo-homotopic. The purpose of this paper is to answer the above question in the affirmative. More precisely, we show that each map from the Cantor set to the pseudo-arc (not necessarily onto) is null pseudo-homotopic. Moreover, the parameter space can be taken to be the pseudo-arc.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 1. Let X and Y be continua and $f, g: X \rightarrow Y$ be maps. We say that f and g are *pseudo-homotopic* if there exist a continuum Z , points $a, b \in Z$ and a map $H: X \times Z \rightarrow Y$ such that $H(x, a) = f(x)$, $H(x, b) = g(x)$ for each $x \in X$. The continuum Z is called the *parameter space* of a *pseudo-homotopy* H .

A map which is pseudo-homotopic to a constant map is said to be *null pseudo-homotopic*. If $\text{id}_X: X \rightarrow X$ is null pseudo-homotopic, then we say that X is *pseudo-contractible*.

Definition 2. 1) Let $U = \{U_1, \dots, U_n\}$ be a collection of sets. The collection U is called a *chain* provided $U_i \cap U_j \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $|i-j| \leq 1$.

2) A function $f: \{1, \dots, m\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, n\}$ is called a *pattern* if $|f(i) - f(i+1)| \leq 1$ for each $i = 1, \dots, m-1$.

3) Let $U = \{U_1, \dots, U_m\}$ and $V = \{V_1, \dots, V_n\}$ be chains, and $f: \{1, \dots, m\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, n\}$ be a pattern. We say that U follows f in V if $U_i \subset V_{f(i)}$ for each $i = 1, \dots, m$. In this case, a function $\bar{f}: U \rightarrow V$ is defined by $\bar{f}(U_i) = V_{f(i)}$. We will identify f and \bar{f} .

4) Let $U = \{U_1, \dots, U_n\}$ be a chain cover of a continuum. The links U_1 and U_n are denoted by first U and last U respectively. For each k ($1 \leq k \leq n$), $i(U_k)$ is defined by $U_k - \text{cl} \left(\bigcup_{j \neq k} U_j \right)$.

Definition 3. Let X be a continuum.

1) X is said to be *arc-like* if, for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a chain cover U of X such that $\text{mesh } U < \varepsilon$.

2) X is said to be *hereditarily indecomposable* if no subcontinuum of X can be represented as the union of two of its proper subcontinua.

3) Hereditarily indecomposable arc-like continuum is topologically unique ([3] and [6]), which is called

the *pseudo-arc*. Throughout this paper, the pseudo-arc is denoted by P .

4) Let p and q be points of X . X is said to be *irreducible between p and q* , if X contains no proper subcontinuum which contains both of p and q .

The following theorem is well known and will be used for the proof.

Theorem 4 ([2] and [5]). Let $C = \{C_1, \dots, C_n\}$ be a chain cover of P and $x \in i(C_1)$, $y \in i(C_n)$. Suppose that P is irreducible between x and y . Then for each pattern $f: \{1, \dots, m\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, n\}$ with $f(1) = 1$ and $f(m) = n$, there exists a chain cover $\mathcal{D} = \{D_1, \dots, D_m\}$ which follows f in C , and $x \in i(D_1)$, $y \in i(D_m)$.

3. The Main Theorem

Our main theorem is

Theorem 5. Each map from the Cantor set to the pseudo-arc is null pseudo-homotopic. Furthermore, we can take the parameter space of the pseudo-homotopy as the pseudo-arc.

In the rest of this paper, C denote the Cantor set.

The following theorem is the key step.

Proposition 6. Suppose that a map $f: C \rightarrow P$ satisfies the following condition:

there exists a point $a_0 \in P$ such that P is irreducible between a_0 and y , for each $y \in f(C)$.

Then f is pseudo-homotopic to a constant map with the parameter space P .

Proof. Suppose that P is irreducible between x_0 and y_0 . We can take a sequence $(\mathcal{D}_n)_{n \geq 0}$ of open covers of C as follows:

- a) Each \mathcal{D}_n is a mutually disjoint clopen cover of C .
- b) \mathcal{D}_{n+1} is a refinement of \mathcal{D}_n for each n .
- c) $\text{mesh } \mathcal{D}_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Step 1. For each $x \in C$, there exists a chain cover \mathcal{V}_x of P such that

- 1-1) $f(x) \in i(\text{first } \mathcal{V}_x)$ and $a_0 \in i(\text{last } \mathcal{V}_x)$.
- 1-2) $\text{mesh } \mathcal{V}_x < 1/4$ ([2], [4]).

By c) and the continuity of f , we can take an integer $n(x) > 0$ such that

- 1-3) $f(\mathcal{D}_{n(x)}(x)) \subset i(\text{first } \mathcal{V}_x)$,
 where, $\mathcal{D}_{n(x)}(x)$ denotes the unique member of $\mathcal{D}_{n(x)}$ which contains x .

The collection $\{\mathcal{D}_{n(x)}(x) \mid x \in C\}$ forms an open cover of C , so we can take finitely many points $x_1, \dots, x_r \in C$ such that $C = \bigcup_{i=1}^r \mathcal{D}_{n(x_i)}(x_i)$. Define n_1 as

- 1-4) $n_1 = \max \{n(x_i) \mid 1 \leq i \leq r\}$.

Then noticing b), we have

- 1-5) for each $D \in \mathcal{D}_{n_1}$, there exists a chain cover \mathcal{V}_D^1 such that $f(D) \subset i(\text{first } \mathcal{V}_D^1)$ and $a_0 \in i(\text{last } \mathcal{V}_D^1)$

For each member D of \mathcal{D}_{n_1} , we define a chain cover u_D^1 of P as follows.

1-6) (The number of links of u_D^1) = (The number of links of v_D^1)

1-7) $x_0 \in i(\text{first } u_D^1)$ and $y_0 \in i(\text{last } u_D^1)$.

Now we have an open cover $D \times u_D^1$ of $D \times P$, for each $D \in \mathcal{D}_{n_1}$.

Step 2. Fix a member D_1 of \mathcal{D}_{n_1} . For each $x \in D_1$, we can take a chain cover v_x^2 of P such that

2-1) $f(x) \in i(\text{first } v_x^2)$ and $a_0 \in i(\text{last } v_x^2)$.

2-2) mesh $v_x^2 < 1/8$ and v_x^2 is a closure refinement of $v_{D_1}^1$ (that is, for each $V \in v_x^2$, there exists $U \in v_{D_1}^1$ such that $\text{cl}(V) \subset U$).

Again by c), there exists an integer $m(x) > 0$ such that

2-3) $f(\mathcal{D}_{m(x)}(x)) \subset i(\text{first } v_x^2)$.

The collection $\{\mathcal{D}_{m(x)}(x) \mid x \in D_1\}$ forms an open cover of D_1 , so there exist finitely many points $y_1, \dots, y_s \in D_1$ such that $D_1 = \bigcup_{j=1}^s \mathcal{D}_{m(y_j)}(y_j)$.

Repeating these processes for all members of \mathcal{D}_{n_1} , we obtain finitely many points y_1, \dots, y_t and chain covers $v_{y_1}^2, \dots, v_{y_t}^2$. Define n_2 as

2-4) $n_2 = \max \{m(y_j) \mid 1 \leq j \leq t\}$.

Then we have

2-5) for each $D_2 \in \mathcal{D}_{n_2}$, there exists a chain cover

$$V_{D_2}^2 \text{ such that } f(D_2) \subset i(\text{first } V_{D_2}^2) \text{ and} \\ a_0 \in i(\text{last } V_{D_2}^2).$$

Next, we define a pattern as follows. For each $D_2 \in \mathcal{D}_{n_2}$, take the unique $D_1 \in \mathcal{D}_{n_1}$ which contains D_2 . Then by the choice of $V_{D_2}^2$ (2-2), 5)), $V_{D_2}^2$ is a closure refinement of $V_{D_1}^1$. So we can find a pattern

$$f_{D_2 D_1}: V_{D_2} \rightarrow V_{D_1} \text{ such that}$$

$$f_{D_2 D_1}(\text{first } V_{D_2}^2) = \text{first } V_{D_1}^1 \text{ and}$$

$$f_{D_2 D_1}(\text{last } V_{D_2}^2) = \text{last } V_{D_1}^1. \quad (\text{Recall the remark}$$

in Definition 2).

Applying Theorem 4, there exists a chain cover $U_{D_2}^2$ of P

such that

$$2-6) U_{D_2}^2 \text{ follows } f_{D_2 D_1} \text{ in } U_{D_1}^1.$$

$$2-7) x_0 \in i(\text{first } U_{D_2}^2) \text{ and } y_0 \in i(\text{last } U_{D_2}^2).$$

Now, we have a covering $D_2 \times U_{D_2}^2$ of $D_2 \times P$, for each

$$D_2 \in \mathcal{D}_{n_2}.$$

Step 3. Continuing these processes, we obtain a subsequence $(n_k)_{k \geq 1}$ satisfying the following conditions.

$$3-1) x_0 \in i(\text{first } U_{D_k}^k) \text{ and } y_0 \in i(\text{last } U_{D_k}^k).$$

$$3-2) f(D_k) \subset i(\text{first } V_{D_k}^k) \text{ and } a_0 \in i(\text{last } V_{D_k}^k).$$

- 3-3) For each $D_k \supset D_{k+1}$ ($D_\alpha \in \mathcal{D}_{n_\alpha}$, $\alpha = k, k+1$),
 there exists a pattern $f_{D_{k+1}D_k}$ such that $u_{D_{k+1}}^{k+1}$
 ($v_{D_{k+1}}^{k+1}$ resp.) follows $f_{D_{k+1}D_k}$ in $u_{D_k}^k$ ($v_{D_k}^k$ resp.).
- 3-4) $f_{D_{k+1}D_k}$ (first $u_{D_{k+1}}^{k+1}$) = first $u_{D_k}^k$, and
 $f_{D_{k+1}D_k}$ (last $u_{D_{k+1}}^{k+1}$) = last $u_{D_k}^k$.
 The same conditions hold for $v_{D_{k+1}}^{k+1}$ and $v_{D_k}^k$.
- 3-5) $\text{mesh } v_{D_k}^k < 1/2^{k+1}$ for each $k \geq 1$.

There are then more and more chains, both v 's and u 's at each stage than there were before. Each chain at the k -level has several different refining chains at $(k+1)$ -level.

Finally, we define $H: C \times P \rightarrow P$ as follows. For each $x \in C$, there exists the unique sequence $D_1(x) \supset D_2(x) \supset \dots$ with $D_k(x) \in \mathcal{D}_{n_k}$ such that $\{x\} = \bigcap_{k \geq 1} D_k(x)$.

Then we have two sequences $\{u_{D_k}^k(x)\}_{k \geq 1}$ and $\{v_{D_k}^k(x)\}_{k \geq 1}$ of chain covers of P . By the standard method of constructing a map between the pseudo-arcs, we have a map $H|x \times P: x \times P \rightarrow P$ such that

- 3-6) $H(x \times u_{D_k}^k(i)) \subset \text{st}(v_{D_k}^k(x)(i), v_{D_k}^k(x))$ for each $u_{D_k}^k(x)(i) \in u_{D_k}^k(x)$.

Notice the following.

- 3-7) If $x, y \in D_k \in \mathcal{D}_{n_k}$, then $u_{D_i}^i(x) = u_{D_i}^i(y)$ and $v_{D_i}^i(x) = v_{D_i}^i(y)$ for each $i = 1, \dots, k$.

Using this fact, it is easy to see that the map H defined as above is continuous and $H(x, x_0) = f(x)$, $H(x, y_0) = a_0$ for each $x \in C$. This completes the proof.

Proof of Theorem 5.

Let $f: C \rightarrow P$ be a map. Take a nondegenerate proper subcontinuum Q of P . By [3], Q is a retract of P . Fix a retraction $r: P \rightarrow Q$ and a homeomorphism $h: P \rightarrow Q$. Fix a point a_0 of P which lies in a different component from Q . Applying Proposition 6 to $h \circ f: C \rightarrow Q$ and a_0 , we have a map $H: C \times P \rightarrow P$ and points x_0 and $y_0 \in P$ such that $H|_{C \times x_0} = h \circ f$ and $H|_{C \times y_0} = a_0$. Define $F: C \times P \rightarrow P$ as $F = h^{-1} \circ r \circ H$. Then $F|_{C \times x_0} = f$ and $F|_{C \times y_0} = h^{-1}(a_0)$. This completes the proof of Theorem 5.

Corollary 7. Any Cantor set in the pseudo-arc P is pseudo-contractible in P .

References

1. D. Bellamy, *A null pseudohomotopic map onto a pseudo-arc*, *Topology Proc.* 11 (1986), pp. 1-4.
2. R. H. Bing, *A homogeneous indecomposable plane continuum*, *Duke Math. J.* 15 (1948), pp. 729-742.
3. J. L. Cornette, *Retracts of the pseudo-arc*, *Colloq. Math.* 19 (1968), pp. 235-239.
4. G. R. Lehner, *Extending homeomorphisms on the pseudo-arc*, *Trans. A. M. S.* 98 (1961), pp. 369-394.
5. W. Lewis, *Stable homeomorphisms of the pseudo-arc*, *Canad. J. Math.* 31 (1979), pp. 363-374.

6. E. E. Moise, *An indecomposable plane continuum which is homeomorphic to each of its nondegenerate subcontinua*, Trans. A. M. S. 63 (1948), pp. 581-594.

Institute of Mathematics

University of Tsukuba

Ibaraki 305, Japan